

A. RELATED ISSUES

1. Are the proposed facilities needed? Considerations may include but are not limited to demand, reliability, security of supply, flexibility, constraints, operational risk, cost savings and diversity as well as the Board's statutory objectives.

REF: TCPL Supplemental Evidence, page 4, lines 10-17

1. Please provide the NEB approved cost allocation methodologies from RH-003-2011 that would be used to dispose of the ratepayer portion of the balances in the TSA account at the end of 2017.
 - a. If the NEB has not approved disposition methodologies for this account, what assumptions has TCPL used to allocate costs to Ontario consumers?

REF: TCPL Supplemental Evidence, page 7-8

2. Please provide the calculations and all underlying assumptions used to estimate the impacts in Tables 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5.
 - a. Please provide all sources of market forecasts that TCPL currently uses for its system planning.
 - b. Without direct attribution to the specific provider if confidential, the respective forecasts for the basis differential from Empress to Dawn for the annual periods starting:
 - i. November 1, 2015
 - ii. November 1, 2016

REF: TCPL Supplemental Evidence, page 11-16

3. Please provide TCPL's forecast of gas available to the Empress receipt point in 2003-2025 given projected exports through BC and intra-Alberta utilization.

4. What are the alternatives to the proposed facilities? Are any alternatives to the proposed facilities preferable to the proposed facilities?

REF: TCPL Supplemental Evidence, page 5, lines 26-37

4. In providing these figures, has TCPL assumed as a zero pressure drop across the pressure control station connecting segment A to Albion?
 - a. Does TCPL operate any "zero pressure drop pressure" control stations in its system (compression stations excluded)?
 - b. Is it then true that "To arrive at Albion with a higher than required distribution pressure as suggested by Enbridge is overbuilding...."?
 - c. Please provide the calculation that supports the NPS 24 alternative that TCPL is recommending.

5. Is the proposed timing of the various components of the projects appropriate?

REF: TCPL Supplemental Evidence, page 17

5. Please provide any analysis of Ontario impacts TCPL performed prior to entering into the MOU with EGD.
 - a. Please provide any analysis of Ontario impacts presented to TCPL's Board of Directors prior to the decision to notify Union and Gaz Metro that additional capacity between Parkway and Maple would not be available as of Nov. 1, 2015.
 - b. Please provide the analysis that was presented to TCPL's Board of Directors which lead to the Union/Gaz Metro notification referenced above.

2013-08-20

Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario
Interrogatories to TCPL

EB-2012-0451

EB-2013-0074

EB-2013-0433