Filed: September 20, 2013 EB-2013-0046 Exhibit K1. Page 1 of 5

#### EGDI – ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY FRPO – JULY 20, 2013

Ref: EB-2013-0046, Exhibit I, Tab 7, Schedule 5 and 7

#### **RESPONSE**

The Company contracts for transportation capacity to meet peak and winter seasonal demands and as such does not release any of that capacity via an assignment to third parties during the winter for a month, a week or a day. The Company does recognize that at times during the winter season that the total contracted capacity may be surplus compared to the demand on a particular day and the Company may be able to accommodate an exchange with a third party between two points generating transactional services revenue. This is only done with authorization of Gas Control. For example in January 2012 the Company entered into a number of exchange deals for a total of 4,710,766GJ's. This can be done without an assignment of capacity. This does not constitute a release of assets.

The Company has also stated that throughout the summer there will be temporary surplus capacity in relation to the daily summer demand and that exchanges of volumes will occur on days throughout the summer. The Company has also discussed that by entering into a monthly or seasonal transaction it is possible to gain additional value than waiting to enter into a deal on the day. While there is an expectation that an exchange for the entire summer may occur the Company will only enter into such a transaction once it is comfortable with a level of volume and if there is a willing participant and an ability to determine the value of such a deal. During the winter months the Company will begin to evaluate the level of anticipated daily exchanges that might be available during the upcoming summer. Included in this evaluation will be the need to accumulate STS Injection credits but will also include a review of the daily exchanges done the previous summer. The Company entered into a total of 20 Empress to Dawn exchange deals of varying amounts and duration in 2012. For 2012, pricing started to look favourable in early winter. Also, weather in early winter started to indicate that there would be room for some level of capacity releases for the following summer. As such Gas Control began to turn capacity over to Gas Supply in late November in small increments to market for the benefit of ratepayers and the Company. Gas Control continued this over the winter and into the spring releasing capacity in measured amounts as the winter continued to be mild. Please see attached table on page 2.

Filed: September 20, 2013 EB-2013-0046 Exhibit K1.\_\_\_\_ Page 2 of 5

# EGDI – ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY FRPO – JULY 20, 2013

Trade date	<u>Term</u>	<u>Volume</u> GJ's/day
11/23/201	l1 Apr-Oct	15,408
11/30/201	L1 Apr-Oct	10,000
11/30/201	L1 Apr-Oct	5,000
12/2/201	L1 Apr-Oct	5,136
12/7/201	l1 Apr-Oct	5,000
12/12/201	l1 Apr-Oct	5,136
12/14/201	L1 Apr-Oct	5,136
12/15/201	L1 Apr-Oct	4,200
12/21/201	l1 Apr-Oct	9,984
12/21/201	l1 Apr-Oct	5,065
12/21/201	l1 Apr-Oct	10,000
1/11/203	L2 Apr-Oct	10,000
1/17/201	L2 Apr-Oct	7,500
2/1/201	L2 Apr-Oct	4,391
3/21/201	L2 May-Sept	5,000
3/26/201	L2 May-Oct	5,275
9/26/201	l2 Oct	5,000
10/15/201	L2 Nov	10,272
10/23/201	L2 Nov	7,913
10/23/203	l2 Nov	6,858

Filed: September 20, 2013 EB-2013-0046 Exhibit K1.\_\_\_\_ Page 3 of 5

#### EGDI – ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY FRPO – JULY 20, 2013

REF: EB-2013-0046, Exhibit I, Tab 7, Schedule 15

#### **RESPONSE**

As per Issue #2 of the Settlement Agreement in EB-2013-0046 (Exhibit N1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 12 of 13) "The issue is whether, instead of the credit to ratepayers of \$13.97 million recorded in the 2012 TSDA, the full net revenues of \$18.63 million from capacity release exchange transactions in 2012 should be recorded in the transportation component of the PGVA." The difference of \$4.66 million represents the shareholder portion of Transactional Services revenue pertaining to capacity release net revenues as per the current 75/25 sharing mechanism of Transportation Optimization.

Filed: September 20, 2013 EB-2013-0046 Exhibit K1.\_\_\_\_\_ Page 4 of 5

## EGDI – ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY FRPO – JULY 20, 2013

REF: EB-2013-0046, Exhibit I, Tab 7, Schedule 14

## **RESPONSE**

The Company filed as an attachment to its pre-filed evidence Appendix D which was intended to provide supporting documentation to Table 4 of the evidence (see page 20 of 21). The attached table on page 5 provides the various unit rates and back-up for the calculations for the information provided on Appendix D, Item #'s 1 through 17 for the month of April 2012.

Exhibit K1.\_\_\_\_ Page 5 of 5

12.

13,

14

16.

15.

				item #				
TCPL - Empress to Eastern Zone FT Toll	Toll				TCPL - Empress to froquois FT Tol			
- Demand Charge	\$/6J/Mth \$/6J	63.84842 2.09913		4 %	- Demand Charge	\$/GJ/Mth \$/GJ	62.15396 2.04342	
- Commodity Toll	(5/\$	0.14377		мi	· Commodity Toll	\$/61	0,14034	
100% Load Fatcor FT Toll	19/5	2,24290		4	100% Load Fatcor FT Tolf	\$/61	2,18376	
IT Bid Floor	19/8	2.46719		vá	IT Bid Floor	19/8	2.40213	
TCPL - Empress to Southwest Zone FT Toll	FT Toll				TCPL - Empress to Southwest Zone FT Toll	T Toll		
- Demand Charge	\$/GJ/tath \$/GJ	53.88793 1.77166		6.	- Demand Charge	\$/6J/Mth \$/GJ	53.88793 1.77166	
- Commodity Toll	19/5	0.12129		∞i	- Commodity Toll	5/63	0.12129	
100% Load Fatcor FT Toll	(5/\$	1,89295		σi	100% Load Fatcor FT Toll	r9/s	1,89295	
IT Bid Floor	5/61	2.08224		10.	ff Bid floor	\$/61	2.08224	
Long-haul FT RAM Formula					Long-haul FT RAM Formula			
Long-hauf FT RAM credit =	(Unutilized Daily Quantity) X [(100% load factor long-haul FT (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X [(2.24290 x 1.1)14377] (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X [2.32342)	- E	tolf x 1.1) - FT Long-haul Commodity]		Long-haul FT RAM credit =	(Unutilized Daily Quantity) X ((100% load factor long-hi (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X ((2.18376 x 1.1)14034) (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X (2.26179)	(Unutilized Daily Quantity) X ([100% load factor long-haul FT toll x 1.1] · FT Long-haul Commodrty) (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X ([2.18376 x 1.1] · .14034] (Unutilized Daily Quantity) X (2.26179)	dity]
FT Capacity Released	T in Daily quantity A G3 75000	Total Release in the month of April 12 GJ 2.250,000			FT Capacity Released	T in Daily quantity GJ 26956	Total Releasc in the month of April 12 SDB_660	
Transportation Charges Empress to Eastern Zone FT Demand Toll (75,000 Gj/day X 563,84842/Gj/mth)	id Toil \	\$(900°s) 4,788.6			Transportation Charges Empress to Eastern Zone FT Demand Toll [26,956 Gj/day X \$62.15396/Gj/mth)	Toil	\$(000's) 1,675.4	
FT RAM Credit (75000 GJ X 30 X \$2.32342/GJ)		(5,227.7)			FT RAM Credit (26956 GJ X 30 X \$2.26179/GJ)		{1,829.1}	
Empress to Southwest Zone IT Toll (75000 Gj X 30 X \$2.08224/Gj)		4,685.0			Empress to Southwest Zone IT Toll (75000 Gj x 30 X 52.08224/Gj)		1,683.9	
Net Transportation Cost (Item # 11 + Item # 12 + Item # 13)		4,246.0			Net Transportation Cost (Item # 13 + Item # 13)		1,530.2	
Net Transportation Savings (teem # 11 minus Nem # 14)		542.6	Avg. per unis savings - 5/ 6j 0.241173		Net Transportation Savings (Item # 11 minus Item # 14)		145.2 0.179551	Ex
Additional volume that could be moved through if (GJ's) (tem # 15 / S2.08224)	oved through IT (GJS)	260,603			Additional volume that could be moved through 17 (Gj's) (them # 15 / S2.08224)	ved through I7 (Gj's)	69,732	hib

EGD! – ADDITIONAL RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY FRPO – JULY 20, 2013

item #