RATE BASE

- 1
- 2

3 **1.0 PURPOSE**

This evidence presents the rate base for the previously and newly regulated hydroelectric facilities and nuclear facilities, including drivers of period-over-period differences. In addition, it provides a description of each of the components of rate base and the methodology with which these components are determined.

8

9 **2.0 OVERVIEW**

10 This evidence supports OPG's request for approval of a rate base for the previously 11 regulated hydroelectric facilities, the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities and the nuclear 12 facilities for the test period. The forecast of rate base for the previously regulated 13 hydroelectric facilities is \$5,128.0M in 2014 and \$5,084.6M in 2015 (Ex. B1-1-1 Table 1). The 14 forecast of rate base for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities is \$2,511.5M in 2014 and 15 \$2,528.2M in 2015 (Ex. B1-1-1 Table 1). The forecast of rate base for the nuclear facilities is 16 \$3,706.7M in 2014 and \$3,659.0M in 2015 (Ex. B1-1-1 Table 2). The rate base for the 17 previously regulated hydroelectric and nuclear facilities for 2010 to 2013 is also presented in 18 Ex. B1-1-1 Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

19

The components of rate base and the methodology used to calculate them are the same as those reflected in the rate base approved by the OEB in EB-2010-0008. The rate base for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities is calculated in the same manner as for the previously regulated hydroelectric facilities.

24

OPG's forecast of rate base for the bridge and test periods is based on a forecast of net fixed/intangible in-service assets (including nuclear asset retirement costs or "ARC") and working capital associated with the regulated facilities. The rate base amounts for the historical period are based on actual balances for those years. As in EB-2010-0008, working capital consists of cash working capital, fuel inventory, and materials and supplies.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 13

The previously regulated hydroelectric rate base for 2013, 2014 and 2015 is projected to be significantly higher than in the historical period. As shown in Ex B2-1-1 Table 1, rate base reflects \$1,143.6M, \$1,473.6M and \$1,457.7M in 2013, 2014 and 2015 respectively, related to the Niagara Tunnel, which came into service in March 2013. Otherwise, the previously regulated hydroelectric rate base is stable over the 2010 - 2015 period.

6

7 The rate base for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities is presented for 2014 and 2015 8 in Ex. B1-1-1 Table 1. The newly regulated hydroelectric rate base is stable over this two-9 year period. Rate base components for these facilities for 2010 - 2013 are presented for 10 illustrative comparison and continuity purposes in Exhibit B2 tables referenced below.

11

Nuclear rate base including ARC is forecast to decline over the 2013-2015 period. The primary drivers of the decline are amortization of ARC and a gradual decrease in fuel inventory levels due to lower inventory level targets and lower uranium market prices. Non-ARC net plant is forecast to increase modestly over the 2013 - 2015 period mainly as a result of in-service additions during the period related to Darlington Refurbishment.

17

18 The fixed/intangible asset component of rate base is discussed in section 3.1. Working 19 capital is discussed in section 3.2. A more detailed comparison of rate base over the 2010-20 2015 period is presented in section 4.0

21

22 3.0 COMPONENTS OF RATE BASE

23 **3.1** Fixed and Intangible Assets

24 3.1.1 <u>Overview</u>

The forecast net plant for the previously regulated hydroelectric facilities is \$5,105.6M in 26 2014 and \$5,062.2M in 2015. For the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities, the forecast net 27 plant is \$2,502.5M in 2014 and \$2,519.2M in 2015. The net plant for the nuclear facilities, 28 including ARC, is projected at \$2,963.8M in 2014 and \$2,930.6M in 2015.

29

30 The net plant for the previously regulated hydroelectric facilities is presented separately for 31 each of the Niagara Plant Group, the Niagara Tunnel project, and the R.H. Saunders

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 13

1 generating station in Ex. B2-1-1 Table 1. The net plant for the newly regulated hydroelectric 2 facilities is presented separately for each of the Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group (excluding 3 the R.H. Saunders station), the Central Hydro Plant Group, the Northeast Plant Group and 4 the Northwest Plant Group in Ex. B2-1-1 Table 1. The net plant for the nuclear facilities is 5 presented separately for each of Darlington (including Darlington Refurbishment), Pickering, 6 Nuclear Support Divisions, and ARC in Ex. B3-1-1 Table 1.¹ All fixed assets under 7 construction and intangible assets under development are excluded from the rate base for 8 the period 2010 - 2015.

9

10 As in EB-2010-0008, fixed and intangible assets used by both the regulated and unregulated 11 generating business units continue to be held centrally. These assets are not included in rate 12 base. Instead, all generating business units are charged an asset service fee for the use of 13 these assets, as discussed in Ex. F3-2-1. This applies to assets that are used by both the 14 newly regulated hydroelectric stations and the unregulated operations of the Hydro-Thermal 15 business unit, unless the assets are used at least 90 per cent in support of the newly 16 regulated stations. In those instances, the full value of such assets is included in the newly 17 regulated hydroelectric rate base. This is further discussed in Ex. F3-2-1.

18

19 3.1.2 Forecast Methodology and In-Service Additions

20 OPG is using the same rate base forecast methodology used in EB-2010-0008 and EB-21 2007-0905. The forecast of net fixed/intangible in-service asset values for 2013 - 2015 is 22 based on OPG's property, plant, and equipment values (including intangible assets) as at 23 December 31, 2012. In order to determine forecasts for 2013 - 2015, these values are rolled 24 forward based on a forecast of in-service additions (including adjustments to ARC, if any), 25 retirements/transfers, and depreciation/amortization on these assets. The determination of 26 net fixed/intangible asset values is performed separately for the previously regulated 27 hydroelectric facilities, the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities and the nuclear facilities.

28

Exhibits D1, D2, and D3 present the capital expenditure forecasts (including expenditures on intangible assets) and forecast in-service additions, excluding ARC, for the previously

¹ The ARC included in nuclear net plant amounts is presented as a separate component of rate base as directed by the OEB's Decision with Reasons in EB-2010-0008 (p. 59).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 13

1 regulated and newly regulated hydroelectric facilities, nuclear facilities and support services, 2 respectively. Specifically, Ex. D1-1-2 Table 5, Ex. D2-1-2 Table 4, Ex. D2-2-1 Table 6, and 3 Ex. D3-1-2 Table 4 summarize the forecast in-service additions for all regulated hydroelectric 4 facilities, nuclear operations, Darlington Refurbishment including Facilities and Infrastructure 5 projects, and support services, respectively. Ex. D3-1-2 Table 5 separately presents forecast 6 support services in-service additions that are included in rate base, and those that impact the 7 asset service fees and therefore are not included in rate base.

8

9 A summary of the forecast in-service additions from the capital projects exhibits (Exhibits D) 10 and those presented in the rate base exhibits (Exhibit B) for 2013, 2014 and 2015 is provided 11 below in Chart 1.

12

13

- 14
- 15

Chart 1 Forecast In-service Capital Additions* (\$M)

	Reference	2013	2014	2015
Previously regulated hydroelectric capital projects	Ex. D1-1-2 Table 5, line 20	1,518.5	23.3	55.8
Newly regulated hydroelectric capital projects	Ex. D1-1-2 Table 5, line 27	51.3	62.8	95.8
Nuclear operations capital projects	Ex. D2-1-3 Table 4, line 16	180.7	158.3	141.7
Darlington Refurbishment projects, including Nuclear Facilities and Infrastructure	Ex. D2-2-1 Table 6, line 14	104.2	18.7	209.4
Support services capital projects entering rate base	Ex. D3-1-2 Table 5, lines 7 &9	8.6	2.6	7.2
Total in-service additions per capital projects exhibits		1,863.3	265.7	509.9
Total regulated hydroelectric in-service additions	Ex. B2-3-1 Table 2, col. (b)	1,570.4	86.3	151.6
Total nuclear in-service additions, excluding ARC	Ex. B3-3-1 Table 2, col. (b)	293.0	179.4	358.2
Total in-service additions per rate base exhibits		1,863.3	265.7	509.9

19 18

*Amounts may not add due to rounding

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 13

1 The depreciation/amortization forecasts for 2013, 2014 and 2015 are determined by applying 2 the estimated service lives and depreciation/amortization policy to the opening in-service 3 asset values fixed/intangible and planned additions during the vear. These 4 depreciation/amortization forecasts are presented in Ex. F4-1-1 Tables 1 and 2. The 5 depreciation/amortization policy, including the treatment of losses and gains on asset 6 retirements, is described in Ex. F4-1-1.

7

8 As in EB-2010-0008, the net fixed/intangible asset portion of rate base is determined using a 9 mid-year average methodology. For large in-service additions or adjustments, where the in-10 service addition amount or the amount of an adjustment exceeds \$50M, the month in which 11 the addition or adjustment is reflected is used, instead of a mid-year average, to improve 12 accuracy. There are two capital projects expected to come into service during the bridge year 13 in the amount of greater than \$50M. These are the Niagara Tunnel and the Darlington 14 Energy Complex. The Heavy Water Storage Facility project is the only project expected to 15 have an in-service amount exceeding \$50M during the test period. The Niagara Tunnel is 16 discussed in Ex. D1-2-1, and the Darlington Energy Complex and the Heavy Water Storage 17 Facility projects are discussed in Ex. D2-2-1 as part of Darlington Refurbishment.

18

19 For the Niagara Tunnel, the regulated hydroelectric rate base reflects both the actual amount 20 of \$1,424.9M placed in-service in March 2013 as well as a forecast remaining amount of 21 \$49.3M expected to be placed in-service at the end of November 2013, for a total in-service 22 amount of \$1,474.2M in 2013 shown in Ex. B2-3-1 Table 2, col. (b), line 2. These amounts 23 are assigned weightings of 9.5/12 and 1/12, respectively, as part of the 2013 regulated 24 hydroelectric gross plant rate base amount, as referenced in Ex. B2-3-1 Table 2, note 2. The 25 weighting of 9.5/12 reflects the fact that the Niagara Tunnel came in service part way through 26 the month of March. The remaining 2013 amount is weighted at 1/12, as it is assumed to be 27 placed in-service at the end of November. A final amount of \$2.0M is projected to be placed 28 in-service for the Niagara Tunnel at the beginning of 2014.

29

30 For the Darlington Energy Complex, the nuclear rate base reflects a forecast in-service 31 amount of \$94.2M at the beginning of July 2013. Accordingly, this amount is assigned a Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 13

weighting of 6/12 as part of the 2013 nuclear gross plant rate base amount, as referenced in
 Ex. B3-3-1 Table 2, note 1.

3

For the Heavy Water Storage Facility project, the nuclear rate base for 2015 reflects a forecast in-service amount of \$83.5M at the beginning of October 2015. Accordingly, the amount is assigned a weighting of 3/12 as part of the 2015 gross plant rate base amount, as referenced in Ex. B3-3-1 Table 2, note 3.

8

9 Changes in ARC recorded on January 1, 2010, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012
10 exceed \$50M and are weighted accordingly, as discussed in section 3.1.3 below.

11

12 For the previously and newly regulated hydroelectric facilities, supporting continuity 13 schedules for the gross in-service fixed/intangible assets and related accumulated 14 depreciation/amortization are provided for each of the historical, bridge and test years in Ex. 15 B2-3-1 Tables 1 and 2 and Ex. B2-4-1 Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Similar supporting 16 schedules are provided for the nuclear facilities in Ex. B3-3-1 Tables 1 and 2 and Ex. B3-4-1 17 Tables 1 and 2, respectively. These supporting continuity schedules also present the gross 18 plant and the accumulated depreciation/amortization rate base amounts for the historical, 19 bridge and test years.²

20

21 3.1.3 Asset Retirement Costs

The nuclear net plant rate base amounts for 2010 - 2015 reflect the impact of changes in the ARC associated with the changes in the ARO recognized on January 1, 2010, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. The increase in the ARO of \$475.5M for Darlington and Pickering on January 1, 2010 (Ex. C2-1-1 Table 2, line 25) resulted from OPG's decision to proceed with the Darlington Refurbishment project, as discussed in EB-2010-0008. The full impact of this increase is reflected in the 2010 gross plant opening balance for the purposes of determining the 2010 nuclear rate base amount.

² Amounts for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities for the historical and bridge years are presented for illustrative purposes only.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 7 of 13

1 Starting in 2012 and 2013, the nuclear net plant rate base amounts also reflect the full impact 2 of the ARC/ARO changes for the prescribed facilities (Ex. C2-1-1 Table 2, line 29) recorded 3 on December 31, 2011 (increase of \$439.2M) and December 31, 2012 (decrease of 4 \$276.9M), respectively. As discussed in EB-2012-0002, these changes arose from the 5 accounting implementation of the current approved ONFA Reference Plan, which reflects the 6 impact of the changes in the estimated useful lives of the Pickering facilities effective 7 December 31, 2012. The December 31, 2011 increase in the ARC is excluded from the 2011 8 nuclear net plant rate base amount, as it was recorded at the end of the year. Similarly, the 9 ARC increase recorded on December 31, 2012 is excluded from the 2012 rate base. These 10 changes in ARC are discussed in Ex. C2-1-1, with detailed continued schedules of ARC and 11 ARO for the prescribed facilities presented in Ex. C2-1-1 Table 2. The changes in the 12 Pickering useful lives are discussed in Ex. F4-1-1.

13

14 **3.2 Working Capital**

15 3.2.1 <u>Overview</u>

16 As in EB-2010-0008, the working capital included in rate base consists of cash working 17 capital, fuel inventory and materials and supplies. The fuel inventory and materials and 18 supplies values for rate base continue to be determined using a mid-year average of opening 19 and closing balances during the period. Cash working capital continues to be determined 20 using a lead/lag analysis. Total working capital for the previously regulated hydroelectric 21 facilities is forecast to be \$22.4M in each of 2014 and 2015 (Ex. B2-5-1 Table 1). Total 22 working capital for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities is forecast to be \$9.0M in each 23 of 2014 and 2015 (Ex. B2-5-1 Table 2). Total working capital for OPG's nuclear facilities is 24 forecast to be \$742.8M in 2014 and \$728.4M in 2015 (Ex. B3-5-1 Table 1).

25

26 3.2.2 Cash Working Capital

Cash working capital is the average amount of capital provided by investors in addition to investments in plant and other rate base components that bridges the gap between the time expenditures are made to produce output and the time payment is received for that output. As in EB-2010-0008 and EB-2007-0905, cash working capital is calculated using net lag days, which is the difference between the time that revenue is received by OPG and the time Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 8 of 13

1 that expenses are paid. The revenue lag is compared to the expense lead, and the net lag is

- 2 applied to each of OPG's expenses to determine the cash working capital amount.
- 3

The net lag days used in the cash working capital calculation were determined by a lead/lag study conducted by OPG, the results of which were approved by the OEB in EB-2007-0905. As discussed and presented in Ex. B1-1-2, OPG has calculated cash working capital for the 2010 - 2012 period by applying the net lag days from that study to the relevant expenses for those years. This approach is consistent with that used in EB-2010-0008. The same net lag days were applied to determine the cash working capital for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities as for the previously regulated hydroelectric facilities.

11

As in EB-2010-0008, given the modest size of cash working capital relative to the total rate base, OPG continues to use the cash working capital amount of the most recent historical year (i.e., 2012) as the amount for the bridge year and the test period.

15

16 3.2.3 Fuel Inventory

17 The hydroelectric generating stations do not require any fuel inventory. Nuclear generating 18 stations maintain a nuclear fuel inventory as well as an inventory of fuel oil for standby

19 generators. The cost of the inventory of fuel oil is minimal compared to that of nuclear fuel.

20

21 Chart 2 below provides details of the year-end nuclear fuel inventory for 2010 to 2015.

				Chart 2					
	Summary of Year End Fuel Inventory - 2010 through 2015								
Line	Туре	units	2010 Actuals	2011 Actuals	2012 Actuals	2013 Budget	2014 Plan	2015 Plan	
No.			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
1		τζφ	07.000	05 556	70.402	55 (2)	45 270	44.057	
1	Uranium Concentrate	K\$	97,332	95,556	/0,402	55,634	45,370	44,957	
2		MgU	509	530	435	344	288	288	
3		\$/KgU	191.29	180.18	162.03	161.85	157.28	155.85	
4	Uranium Dioxide ²	K\$	15,265	26,158	10,515	17,719	14,522	14,528	
5		MgU	74	128	54	92	77	78	
6		\$/KgU	206.28	204.36	194.72	192.60	188.60	186.26	
7	Finished Bundles	K\$	218,953	226,541	241,157	220,371	202,784	215,781	
8		MgU	913	878	908	808	769	832	
9		\$/KgU	239.85	258.08	265.51	272.61	263.81	259.26	
		-							
10	Fuel Oil	M\$	5.4	5.7	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
11	Total	M\$	336.9	353.9	327.4	299.1	268.1	280.7	

^{12345 6}

¹ Excludes impact of anticipated 2013 4th quarter spot purchase of 105,000 kgU shown in Ex. F2-5-1 Chart 2 ² Includes reusable inventory resulting from the fuel bundle manufacturing process

includes reusable inventory resulting from the fuel bundle manufacturing process

As described in Ex. F2-5-1, the supply chain for nuclear fuel continues to consist of the purchase of uranium concentrate, the purchase of services to convert the uranium concentrate into uranium dioxide, and the purchase of services to manufacture fuel bundles that contain the uranium dioxide. OPG maintains inventories at each stage of, and maintains ownership of the work-in-process throughout this supply chain, as described in Ex. F2-5-1. The nuclear fuel inventory costs represent the accumulation of costs incurred by OPG during the supply chain process.

13

Fuel inventory continues to be valued using the weighted average costing method. The nuclear fuel inventory amounts for 2013 to 2015 are forecast based on the closing nuclear fuel inventory quantities and values as of December 31, 2012, and expected purchases and usage during the forecast period. The purchases reflect OPG's current target levels for the inventory. This methodology is unchanged from EB-2010-0008. OPG's target level for uranium concentrate inventory has been reduced consistent with changes in uranium market conditions and recommendations from the report of Longenecker & Associates on OPG's Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 10 of 13

uranium procurement program (Ex. F5-2-1), as discussed further in Ex. F2-5-1. The rate
 base nuclear fuel value is the average of the opening and closing balances during the period.
 3

4 3.2.4 Materials and Supplies

5 Materials and supplies consist of consumable supplies and spare parts. All of OPG's 6 regulated facilities maintain materials and supplies, with the previously and newly regulated 7 hydroelectric facilities typically requiring a minimal amount (less than \$1M each) of materials 8 and supplies on hand. The rate base materials and supplies value, which is net of a provision 9 for accumulated obsolescence, is the average of the opening and closing balances during 10 the period. OPG's inventory management system uses an average costing basis, whereby 11 the value of the materials and supplies inventory is based on the average unit price of each 12 item times the quantity on hand.

13

14 In accordance with USGAAP, materials and supplies are valued at the lower of average cost 15 and market value. The determination of the market value of materials and supplies takes into 16 account various factors including technological obsolescence, the remaining life of the 17 related facilities in which the materials and supplies are expected to be used, and 18 adjustments required as a result of performing physical inventory counts. Charges incurred 19 as a result of valuing nuclear materials and supplies at the lower of cost and market value 20 are reflected in the inventory adjustments recorded in nuclear OM&A, as discussed in Ex. 21 F2-2-1, and reduce the nuclear materials and supplies balance in rate base.

22

The nuclear materials and supplies values for 2013 to 2015 are forecast based on the closing materials and supplies balance as of December 31, 2012 and expected consumption, purchases, and charges related to valuation at the lesser of cost and market value during the forecast period. This methodology is unchanged from EB-2010-0008.

27

28 4.0 COMPARISON OF RATE BASE

29 4.1 Comparison of Regulated Hydroelectric Rate Base

30 For the regulated hydroelectric facilities, a comparison of rate base amounts consisting 31 almost exclusively of net plant is presented at Ex. B2-2-1 Table 1. With the exception of the

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 11 of 13

1 impact of Niagara Tunnel, the previously regulated hydroelectric rate base is stable over the 2 2010-2015 period, with variances of less than one per cent. Rate base for these facilities is 3 expected to increase by \$1,087.5M in 2013 relative to 2012, predominately due to the partial-4 year impact of the total forecast in-service additions of \$1,474.2M for the Niagara Tunnel 5 during 2013. A further increase of \$296.5M in the rate base for the prescribed hydroelectric 6 facilities is forecast in 2014 mainly due to the full-year impact of the 2013 Niagara Tunnel in-7 service additions. A decrease of less than one per cent is projected in 2015 compared to 8 2014 for the previously and newly regulated hydroelectric rate base in aggregate. The 9 previously regulated hydroelectric rate base was within approximately one per cent of the 10 budget for 2010 and OEB-approved amounts for 2011 and 2012.

11

Additional detail regarding in-service additions for all regulated hydroelectric facilities and support services capital projects impacting the hydroelectric rate base amounts is provided in Exhibits D1 and D3, respectively.

15

16 4.2 Comparison of Nuclear Rate Base

A comparison of rate base amounts for the nuclear facilities for the 2010 - 2015 period is presented at Ex. B3-2-1 Table 1. The significant fluctuations in the total nuclear rate base over the 2010 - 2012 period relate primarily to changes in ARC. Over the 2013-2015 period, total nuclear rate base is forecast to decline primarily as a result of depreciation for the ARC component accompanied by a gradual decline in nuclear fuel inventory values.

22

Variances in the ARC component of the nuclear rate base over the 2010 - 2015 period relate primarily to the impact of ARC adjustments recorded on December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 and the annual depreciation expense. The December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 adjustments are discussed in section 3.1.3 above. The ARC component of rate base was higher than the OEB-approved amount in 2012 mainly as a result of the December 31, 2011 adjustment. Annual depreciation is forecast at \$80.7M during 2013 - 2015, with no other changes in ARC expected during the period.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 12 of 13

Excluding ARC, the two main drivers of period-over-period variances for the nuclear rate base over 2010 - 2015 are the decline in nuclear fuel inventory values during 2011 - 2015 and changes in non-ARC net plant, which declined during 2010 - 2012 and is projected to increase during 2013 - 2015. The net effect of these drivers is a relatively small decline in the total non-ARC rate base during 2010 - 2012 and a stable non-ARC rate base in 2013, followed by a modest increase in each of 2014 and 2015. By 2015, the non-ARC nuclear rate base is forecast to be approximately one per cent lower than the 2010 rate base.

8

9 Specifically, the nuclear rate base, excluding ARC, was largely stable in 2011 compared to 10 2010, with a variance of less than one per cent, and declined in 2012, mainly as a result of 11 the net impact on net plant of depreciation/amortization of existing assets and additions of 12 new in-service assets in 2012 and the full-year impact of the same factors in 2011.

13

The non-ARC rate base is forecast to remain stable in 2013 as compared to 2012, and is projected to increase modestly in 2014 and 2015 by less than two per cent per year. These projections reflect an increase in non-ARC net plant over the 2013 - 2015 period, which is mainly due to in-service additions related to Darlington Refurbishment, partly offset by a decrease in working capital, which is primarily due to a forecast decline in fuel inventory values.

20

21 As shown in Chart 2, the declining trend in nuclear fuel inventory values over the 2011 - 2015 22 period is primarily due to a lower level of uranium concentrate inventory being carried by 23 OPG and a decline in uranium market prices. As discussed in section 3.2.3, the lower level of 24 uranium concentrate on hand follows a reduction in inventory target levels, which OPG 25 expects to reach by the end of 2015. The decreasing trend in uranium prices, subject to the 26 weighted average costing method, is reflected in the lower average unit cost for the uranium 27 concentrate inventory, which declines from approximately \$191/KgU in 2010 to 28 approximately \$156/KgU in 2015, as shown in Chart 2.

29

The actual nuclear rate base, excluding ARC, was largely on budget for 2010 and consistent with the OEB-approved amount for 2011, with variances of approximately one per cent. For

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Page 13 of 13

1 2010, the variance largely represents the net impact of lower-than-budgeted levels of fuel 2 inventory and materials and supplies, largely offset by the impact on net plant of higher-than-3 budgeted in-service additions. For 2011, the variance largely represents the impact of lower 4 levels of fuel inventory and materials and supplies, partly offset by a higher net plant amount. 5 The higher net plant amount in 2011 is chiefly a result of higher-than-planned in-service 6 additions in 2011 and the full-year impact of higher-than-budgeted additions in 2010.

8 The non-ARC rate base for 2012 was below the OEB-approved amount. The variance is 9 mainly due to a lower-than-planned rate base amount for materials and supplies and fuel 10 inventory. The actual net plant amount for 2012 was within less than one percent of the OEB-11 approved amount.

12

Additional detail regarding in-service additions for the nuclear facilities, including Darlington
 Refurbishment projects, and support services projects impacting the nuclear rate base
 amounts is provided in Exhibits D2 and D3, respectively.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 1

Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M)

Line		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
No.	Rate Base Item	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Plan	Plan
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Previously Regulated Hydroelectric:						
1	Gross Plant at Cost	4,464.3	4,506.6	4,543.5	5,703.0	6,079.9	6,118.4
2	Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	693.4	757.0	821.9	893.9	974.3	1,056.2
3	Net Plant	3,770.9	3,749.6	3,721.5	4,809.1	5,105.6	5,062.2
4	Cash Working Capital	26.4	21.5	21.7	21.7	21.7	21.7
5	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7
6	Total Previously Regulated Hydroelectric	3,798.0	3,771.8	3,744.0	4,831.5	5,128.0	5,084.6
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
7	Gross Plant at Cost					3,275.1	3,347.7
8	Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization					772.6	828.5
9	Net Plant					2,502.5	2,519.2
10	Cash Working Capital					8.3	8.3
11	Materials & Supplies					0.7	0.7
12	Total Newly Regulated Hydroelectric					2,511.5	2,528.2
13	Total Regulated Hydroelectric Rate Base (line 6 + I	line 12)				7,639.5	7,612.8

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Table 2

 Table 2

 Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Nuclear (\$M)

Line		2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
No.	Rate Base Item	Actual	Actual	Actual	Budget	Plan	Plan
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	Gross Plant at Cost	5,391.1	5,563.9	6,098.6	6,026.6	6,262.8	6,510.7
2	Accumulated Depreciation	2,286.8	2,498.5	2,751.7	3,033.8	3,299.0	3,580.1
3	Net Plant	3 10/ 3	3 065 4	3 3/7 0	2 002 8	2 963 8	2 930 6
5		3,104.3	5,005.4	5,547.0	2,332.0	2,303.0	2,350.0
4	Cash Working Capital	14.3	25.9	32.0	32.0	32.0	32.0
5	Fuel Inventory	335.0	345.4	340.7	313.3	283.6	274.4
6	Materials & Supplies	441.8	421.9	413.3	418.0	427.2	422.0
7	Total	3,895.3	3,858.6	4,132.9	3,756.1	3,706.7	3,659.0

CASH WORKING CAPITAL

- 1
- 2

3 **1.0 PURPOSE**

This evidence presents OPG's methodology for calculating cash working capital. Application of this methodology produces a forecast of annual cash working capital in both 2014 and 2015 for the previously regulated hydroelectric facilities of \$21.7M, for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities of \$8.3M and for the nuclear facilities of \$32.0M per year as follows:

8

		Charles 4							
	Chart 1								
Su	mmary of Results - 2012 three	ough 2015 Cash V	Vorking Capita	al (\$M)					
		C	0.						
Line	Туре	Regulated Hy	droelectric	Nuclear					
No.		Previously	Newly						
		(a)	(b)	(c)					
1	Generation Revenue	29.1	11.7	48.6					
2	Other Revenue			0.3					
3	HST	(7.4)	(3.4)	(16.9)					
4	Total	21.7	8.3	32.0					

9 10

11 **2.0 OVERVIEW**

OPG continues to rely on the lead/lag study conducted in EB-2007-0905 as the basis of its cash working capital calculation given that: 1) the OEB accepted OPG's cash working capital calculation in the previous two hearings; 2) the OEB's filing guidelines (EB-2011-0286) do not contemplate a new lead/lag study; and 3) the amount of cash working capital remains small relative to the overall size of rate base. OPG has adopted the approach used in EB-2010-0008 by applying the net lag days provided in its EB-2007-0905 evidence to 2012 actual revenues and expenses.

19

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 2 Page 2 of 7

1 3.0 METHODOLOGY

2 OPG's prescribed assets earn revenues from generation sales and other revenues. 3 Consistent with the approach described in EB-2010-0008 and EB-2007-0905, OPG has 4 applied the net lag days provided in EB-2007-0905 to revenue and expense categories using 5 financial results for OPG's prescribed assets (including the newly regulated hydroelectric 6 assets).¹ For 2010 to 2012, actual financial results are used to determine the cash working 7 capital for each of those years.² The cash working capital amount determined for 2012 is 8 included in rate base for the bridge and test years, as the 2012 calculation was the last 9 assessment performed prior to the filing of evidence in this proceeding. Given the similarity of 10 business operations across the hydroelectric fleet the same net lag days are used to 11 determine the cash working capital for the newly regulated hydroelectric facilities as for the 12 previously regulated hydroelectric facilities.

13

As in EB-2010-0008 and EB-2007-0905, in addition to the working capital calculations for generation sales and other revenues, cash working capital requirements related to commodity taxes (i.e., HST effective July 1, 2010 and GST prior to that date) are calculated separately and are included as a component of cash working capital.

18

19 4.0 GENERATION SALES

The largest component of revenue for the prescribed assets is generation sales, which consist of electricity sales and the provision of ancillary services to the IESO. The revenue lag associated with generation sales and the associated expense leads, as described in EB-2007-0905, and detailed cash working capital calculations for 2012 are provided in Chart 2 for nuclear generation, Chart 3 for previously regulated hydroelectric generation and Chart 4 for newly regulated hydroelectric generation.

¹ Expense categories are listed separately in the calculations of total cash working capital if the expense amount is greater than \$2M. Categories below \$2M are aggregated in the "All other cash expenses" line in Charts 2, 3 and 4. Therefore, the number of expense lead days presented for the "All other cash expenses" line may differ from those shown in EB-2010-0008 and EB-2007-0905 to the extent categories below \$2M vary from prior years.] ² For 2010 and 2011, newly regulated hydroelectric asset information is provided for comparative purposes only and is based on estimates.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 2 Page 3 of 7

		Cha	rt 2			
	Cash V	Vorking Capital	- Nuclear Ge	neration		
		203	12			
		Expense				
		Amount	Revenue	Expense	Net Lead/Lag	Cash Working
Line		(\$M)	Lag Days	Lead Days	Days	Capital (\$M)
No.	Expense Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) - (c)	(e) = (a)*(d)/365
	OM&A - direct	4 202 5	25.7	20.0		53.3
1		1,283.5	35.7	20.9	14.8	52.2
2	EPSCA Labour	3.9	35.7	12.0	23.7	(20.5)
3	Consultants - Nuclear	209.9	35.7	/1.3	(35.6)	(20.5)
4	Consultants - Corporate	/5.5	35.7	40.4	(4.7)	(1.0)
5	Computer Harware Maintenance	3.3	35.7	30.0	5.7	0.1
6	Computer Software and Licences	9.4	35.7	(23.1)	58.8	1.5
/	Augmented Staff - Nuclear	13.9	35.7	44.4	(8.7)	(0.3)
8	Oustside Services - Corporate	/5./	35.7	6.2	29.5	6.1
9		6.6	35.7	54.5	(18.8)	(0.3)
10	Utilities - Nuclear	3.4	35.7	84.4	(48.7)	(0.5)
11	Facilities	3.5	35.7	0.0	35.7	0.3
12	Uperating Licences	30.1	35.7	2.8	32.9	2.7
13	Mambarabia Saas	5.0	35.7	(77.0)	(25.6)	(0.4)
14	Membership Fees	2.8	35.7	(77.9)	(20.2)	0.9
15		2.9	35.7	56.0	(20.3)	(0.2)
16	Travel and Accomodation	2.1	35.7	46.0	(10.3)	(0.1)
17	All other cash expenses	33.7	35.7	19.4	16.3	1.5
10	OM&A - Centrally held Costs	22.2		47.4	10.6	
18	Pensions/OPEB Related Costs	22.3	35.7	17.1	18.6	1.1
19	ONEA Cuerentes Fee	19.7	35.7	240.0	(204.3)	(11.0)
20	Circal Calandar Adjustment	(16.0)	35.7	(151.5)	107.2	3.9
21	Fiscal Calendar Adjustment	(16.0)	35.7	(102.7)	14.8	(0.6)
22		20.6	35.7	(103.7)	139.4	7.9
	τυται υινιαΑ					43.6
	Other Costs:					
23	Property Taxes	15.7	35.7	1.9	33.8	1.5
24	Income Tax	62.2	35.7	15.1	20.6	3.5
	Total Other Costs					5.0
	Cash Working Capital - Nuclear					48.6

	Chart 3								
	Cash Working Capital - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric Generation								
	2012								
		Evponco							
		Amount	Revenue	Fynense	Net Lead/Lag	Cash Working			
Line		(ŚM)	Lag Davs	Lead Davs	Davs	Capital (SM)			
No.	Expense Category	(ə)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) - (c)	$(e) = (a)^*(d)/365$			
		()	(~)	(0)					
1	GRC	261.1	35.7	(1.1)	36.8	26.3			
	OM&A - direct								
2	Labour	64.3	35.7	20.9	14.8	2.6			
3	Consultants - Hydroelectric	19.7	35.7	66.0	(30.3)	(1.6)			
4	Consultants - Corporate	4.6	35.7	40.4	(4.7)	(0.1)			
5	Oustside Services - Corporate	4.6	35.7	6.2	29.5	0.4			
6	All other cash expenses	4.5	35.7	17.1	18.6	0.3			
	Total OM&A					1.6			
_	Other Costs:	24.0	25.7	45.4	20.6	1.2			
/	Income Tax	21.9	35.7	15.1	20.6	1.2			
	Iotal Other Costs					1.2			
	Cash Working Capital Hydroclas	tric				20.1			
	Cash working Capital - Hyurberet	unc				29.1			

		Cha	rt 4						
	Cash Working Capital - Newly Regulated Hydroelectric Generation								
		20:	12						
		F							
		Expense	Dovonuo	Evnonco	Notlood	Cach Working			
Lino		Amount (Św.		Expense	Net Leau/Lag	Casil WORKINg			
No	Expense Category	(יייר) (ב)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) = (c)	(a) - (a)*(d)/365			
110.	Expense category	(a)	(6)	(C)	(u) = (b) = (c)	(e) - (a) (u) / 505			
1	GRC	64.9	35.7	(1.1)	36.8	6.6			
				()					
	OM&A - direct								
2	Labour	116.2	35.7	20.9	14.8	4.7			
3	Consultants - Hydroelectric	20.6	35.7	66.0	(30.3)	(1.7)			
4	Consultants - Corporate	7.1	35.7	40.4	(4.7)	(0.1)			
5	Oustside Services - Corporate	7.1	35.7	6.2	29.5	0.6			
6	All other cash expenses	5.8	35.7	10.1	25.6	0.4			
	OM&A - Centrally held Costs								
7	Pensions/OPEB Related Costs	24.2	35.7	17.1	18.6	1.2			
	Total OM&A					5.1			
	Cash Working Capital - Hydroelec	tric				11.7			

2

1

3 **5.0 OTHER REVENUE**

4 Other revenue consists of isotope and heavy water sales described in Ex. G2-1-1.³

5

- 6 The lead/lag days used to derive the cash working capital in EB-2010-0008 and EB-2007-
- 7 0905 have been applied to the appropriate 2012 expenses. Chart 5 summarizes the results.

³ Consistent with the OEB's Decision in EB-2010-0008 that 50% of heavy water sales and related direct costs is to be included as an offset to the nuclear revenue requirement, 50% of OPG's heavy water revenue and direct costs are included in the 2012 cash working capital calculations.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B1 Tab 1 Schedule 2 Page 6 of 7

	Chart 5 Cash Working Capital - Other Revenue							
		2	2012					
		Expense						
		Amount	Revenue	Expense	Net Lead/Lag Cash	Working		
Line		(\$M)	Lag Days	Lead Days	Days Capi	tal (\$M)		
No.	Expense Category	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (b) - (c) (e) =	(a)*(d)/365		
1	Labour	3.3	58.1	20.9	37.2	0.3		
2	All other cash expenses	2.3	58.1	60.1	(2.0)	0.0		
	Total Cash Working Capital					0.3		

1 2

3 6.0 HARMONIZED SALES TAX

OPG pays HST to suppliers for the purchase of goods and services and remits HST that is collected on revenue to the government. The HST lag is the time between the HST payment date (to the supplier or to the government) and the date the government either refunds the HST to OPG or when OPG receives the input tax credit. OPG also collects HST from the IESO before making the remittance.

9

10 The 2012 HST cash working capital is calculated as shown in Chart 6:

11

	Chart 6 Cash Working Capital - HST (\$M) 2012							
Line No.	Item	Regulated Hyd Previously	lroelectric Newly	Nuclear				
	(a) (b)							
1	Generation Revenue	(12.0)	(4.7)	(45.3)				
2	Other Revenue			14.1				
3	HST Payments - Regulated	4.6	1.3	14.3				
4	Total	(7.4)	(3.4)	(16.9)				

- 1 A similar approach was followed for calculating the portion of the cash working capital related
- 2 to GST in historic years prior to its replacement with HST effective July 1, 2010. Further
- 3 details on HST are provided in Ex. F4-2-1, section 5.0.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B2 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 1
Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2015

			2010 Actual ¹			2011 Actual ¹	
			Less:			Less:	
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated	
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
1	Niagara Plant Group	2,910.0	457.5	2,452.5	2,936.6	499.5	2,437.1
2	Niagara Tunnel Project	19.2	0.9	18.3	19.2	1.1	18.1
3	Saunders GS	1,535.2	235.1	1,300.1	1,550.8	256.4	1,294.4
4	Sub total	4,464.3	693.4	3,770.9	4,506.6	757.0	3,749.6
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
5	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group	1,489.6	275.6	1,214.0	1,535.9	299.1	1,236.8
6	Central Hydro Plant Group	98.7	17.3	81.5	104.3	19.0	85.3
7	Northeast Plant Group	679.6	117.3	562.4	684.6	128.5	556.1
8	Northwest Plant Group	796.6	153.9	642.7	809.7	167.3	642.4
9	Sub total	3,064.5	564.0	2,500.5	3,134.4	613.9	2,520.5
10	Total	7,528.8	1,257.4	6,271.4	7,641.0	1,370.9	6,270.1

		2012 Actual ¹			2013 Budget ¹			
			Less:			Less:		
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated		
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net	
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	
		(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:							
11	Niagara Plant Group	2,964.4	542.4	2,422.0	2,991.1	586.1	2,405.0	
12	Niagara Tunnel Project	19.2	1.4	17.8	1,151.3	7.7	1,143.6	
13	Saunders GS	1,559.9	278.2	1,281.8	1,560.5	300.0	1,260.5	
14	Sub total	4,543.5	821.9	3,721.5	5,703.0	893.9	4,809.1	
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:							
15	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group	1,563.3	323.2	1,240.1	1,583.1	348.9	1,234.2	
16	Central Hydro Plant Group	112.0	20.9	91.1	118.9	23.0	95.9	
17	Northeast Plant Group	686.8	140.0	546.8	694.0	151.4	542.7	
18	Northwest Plant Group	821.7	180.4	641.4	828.2	194.0	634.2	
19	Sub total	3,183.7	664.4	2,519.3	3,224.2	717.2	2,507.0	
20	Total	7,727.1	1,486.3	6,240.8	8,927.2	1,611.1	7,316.1	

		2014 Plan			2015 Plan			
			Less:			Less:		
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated		
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net	
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:							
21	Niagara Plant Group	3,022.0	630.6	2,391.5	3,053.0	674.9	2,378.1	
22	Niagara Tunnel Project	1,495.4	21.8	1,473.6	1,495.4	37.7	1,457.7	
23	Saunders GS	1,562.4	321.9	1,240.5	1,570.0	343.6	1,226.3	
24	Sub total	6,079.9	974.3	5,105.6	6,118.4	1,056.2	5,062.2	
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:							
25	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	1,602.4	375.9	1,226.5	1,632.7	403.2	1,229.5	
26	Central Hydro Plant Group	123.2	25.2	98.1	133.0	27.4	105.5	
27	Northeast Plant Group	710.1	163.0	547.1	730.0	174.7	555.3	
28	Northwest Plant Group	839.5	208.5	630.9	852.0	223.1	628.9	
29	Sub total	3,275.1	772.6	2,502.5	3,347.7	828.5	2,519.2	
30	Total	9,355.0	1,746.9	7,608.1	9,466.1	1,884.7	7,581.4	

Notes:

1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in these years. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.

2 Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group values are for the balance of the Plant Group (i.e. Saunders GS costs are excluded).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B2 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 1

Comparison of Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M)

Line		2010	(c)-(a)	2010	(g)-(c)	2011	(g)-(e)	2011	(i)-(g)	2012
No.	Prescribed Facility	Budget	Change	Actual	Change	Board Approved	Change	Actual	Change	Actual
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
1	Previously Regulated Hydroelectric	3,815.7	(17.7)	3,798.0	(26.2)	3,803.4	(31.6)	3,771.8	(27.8)	3,744.0

Line		2012	(c)-(a)	2012	(e)-(c)	2013	(g)-(e)	2014	(i)-(g)	2015
No.	Prescribed Facility	Board Approved	Change	Actual	Change	Budget	Change	Plan	Change	Plan
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
2	Previously Regulated Hydroelectric	3,787.4	(43.4)	3,744.0	1,087.5	4,831.5	296.5	5,128.0	(43.4)	5,084.6
3	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric							2,511.5	16.7	2,528.2
4	Total							7,639.5	(26.7)	7,612.8

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B2 Tab 3 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 1

Continuity of Property, Plant and Equipment - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M) Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2012

Line	Proscribod Eacility	Gross Plant Opening Balanco	In-Service	Retirements, Transfers &	(b)+(c) Net	(a)+(d) Closing Balanco	(a+e)/2 Gross Plant Rate Base
NO.			Additions (b)		(d)		(f)
		(a)	(d)	(0)	(u)	(e)	(1)
	2010 Actual: Niagora Blant Croup and Soundars CS:						
1	Niagara Plant Group	2 005 8	8.8	(0.5)	83	2 01/ 1	2 010 0
2	Niagara Tunnel Project	2,305.0	0.0	(0.3)	0.0	19.2	19.2
3	Saunders GS	1 528 6	13.1	0.0	13.1	1 541 7	1 535 2
4	Sub total	4,453.6	21.9	(0.5)	21.4	4,475,0	4,464,3
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:	.,				.,	.,
5	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	1,461.3	61.0	(4.5)	56.5	1,517.8	1,489.6
6	Central Hydro Plant Group	97.2	3.6	(0.6)	3.0	100.2	98.7
7	Northeast Plant Group	675.3	9.3	(0.7)	8.6	683.9	679.6
8	Northwest Plant Group	792.2	9.9	(1.1)	8.8	801.0	796.6
9	Sub total	3,026.0	83.8	(6.9)	76.9	3,102.9	3,064.5
10	Total	7,479.6	105.7	(7.4)	98.3	7,577.9	7,528.8
	2011 Actual: ¹ <u>Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS</u> :						
11	Niagara Plant Group	2,914.1	45.9	(0.9)	45.0	2,959.1	2,936.6
12	Niagara Tunnel Project	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	19.2
13	Saunders GS	1,541.7	18.2	0.0	18.2	1,559.9	1,550.8
14	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:	4,475.0	04.1	(0.9)	03.2	4,556.2	4,306.6
15	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	1,517.8	41.0	(4.9)	36.1	1,553.9	1,535.9
16	Central Hydro Plant Group	100.2	8.2	(0.1)	8.1	108.3	104.3
17	Northeast Plant Group	683.9	1.5	(0.2)	1.3	685.2	684.6
18	Northwest Plant Group	801.0	19.5	(2.1)	17.4	818.4	809.7
19	Sub total	3,102.9	70.2	(7.3)	62.9	3,165.8	3,134.4
20	Total	7,577.9	134.3	(8.2)	126.1	7,704.0	7,641.0
	2012 Actual: ¹						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
21	Niagara Plant Group	2,959.1	15.4	(4.9)	10.5	2,969.6	2,964.4
22	Niagara Tunnel Project	19.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.2	19.2
23	Saunders GS	1,559.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,559.9	1,559.9
24	Sub total	4,538.2	15.4	(4.9)	10.5	4,548.7	4,543.5
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
25	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	1,553.9	24.0	(5.3)	18.7	1,572.6	1,563.3
26	Central Hydro Plant Group	108.3	7.9	(0.6)	7.3	115.6	112.0
27	Northeast Plant Group	685.2	3.2	(0.1)	3.1	688.3	686.8
28	Northwest Plant Group	818.4	9.4	(2.8)	6.6	825.0	821.7
29	Sub total	3,165.8	44.5	(8.8)	35.7	3,201.5	3,183.7
30	Total	7,704.0	59.9	(13.7)	46.2	7,750.2	7,727.1

Notes:

- 1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in these years. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.
- 2 Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group values are for the balance of the Plant Group (i.e. Saunders GS costs are excluded).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B2 Tab 3 Schedule 1 Table 2

Table 2

Continuity of Property, Plant and Equipment - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M) <u>Years Ending December 31, 2013 to 2015</u>

		Gross					(a+e)/2
		Plant		Retirements,	(b)+(c)	(a)+(d)	Gross Plant
Line		Opening	In-Service	Transfers &	Net	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Additions	Adjustments	Change	Balance	Amount
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	2013 Budget: ¹						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:	0.000.0	40.4		10.4	0.040.7	0.004.4
1	Niagara Plant Group	2,969.6	43.1	(0.1)	43.1	3,012.7	2,991.1
2	Niagara Tunnel Project ⁻	19.2	1,474.2	0.0	1,474.2	1,493.4	1,151.3
3	Saunders GS	1,559.9	1.4	(0.1)	1.3	1,561.2	1,560.5
4	Sub total	4,548.7	1,518.7	(0.2)	1,518.5	6,067.2	5,703.0
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
5	Ottawa-St. Lawronco Plant Groun ³	1 572 6	24 9	(3.8)	21.1	1 593 7	1 583 1
6	Central Hydro Plant Group	115.6	6.7	(0.0)	66	122.2	118 9
7	Northeast Plant Group	688.3	12.3	(0.8)	11.5	699.8	694.0
8	Northwest Plant Group	825.0	7.8	(1.5)	6.3	831.3	828.2
9	Sub total	3,201.5	51.7	(6.2)	45.5	3,247.0	3,224.2
		,				,	,
10	Total	7,750.2	1,570.4	(6.4)	1,564.0	9,314.2	8,927.2
		-					
	2014 Plan:						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
11	Niagara Plant Group	3,012.7	18.8	0.0	18.8	3,031.4	3,022.0
12	Niagara Tunnel Project ²	1,493.4	2.0	0.0	2.0	1,495.4	1,495.4
13	Saunders GS	1,561.2	2.6	(0.1)	2.5	1,563.7	1,562.4
14	Sub total	6,067.2	23.4	(0.1)	23.3	6,090.5	6,079.9
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
15	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ³	1,593.7	21.3	(3.8)	17.5	1,611.2	1,602.4
16	Central Hydro Plant Group	122.2	2.6	(0.6)	2.0	124.2	123.2
17	Northeast Plant Group	699.8	21.2	(0.7)	20.5	720.3	710.1
18	Northwest Plant Group	831.3	17.8	(1.5)	16.3	847.6	839.5
19	Sub total	3,247.0	62.9	(6.6)	56.3	3,303.3	3,275.1
20	Total	9,314.2	86.3	(6.7)	79.6	9,393.8	9,355.0
	2015 Plan:						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
21	Niagara Plant Group	3,031.4	43.2	0.0	43.2	3,074.6	3,053.0
22	Niagara Tunnel Project	1,495.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,495.4	1,495.4
23	Saunders GS	1,563.7	12.7	(0.1)	12.6	1,576.3	1,570.0
24	Sub total	6,090.5	55.9	(0.1)	55.8	6,146.3	6,118.4
	Nowly Degulated Wydreelestrie:						
		4 044 0	40.0	(0.0)	40.0	4 05 4 0	4 000 7
25	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group	1,611.2	46.8	(3.8)	43.0	1,654.2	1,632.7
20	Northoast Plant Group	724.2	17.7	(0.2)	17.5	141.7	133.0
21	Northwest Plant Group	120.3 Q17 G	20.0	(1.4)	19.4	1 39.1 QEE E	1 JU.U 252 0
20	Sub total	2 303 3	10.4 Q5 2	(1.3)	0.9 22 2	2 202 1	2 2/7 7
23		0,000.0	30.0	(0.9)	0.0	0,002.1	0,0+1.1
20	Total	0 202 0	151 6	(7 0)	1446	0 5 2 9 5	0.466.4
30	i viai	9,090.0	0.101	(7.0)	144.0	9,000.0	9,400.1

Notes:

- 1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in this year. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.
- In-service additions for 2013 are from Ex. D1-1-2 Table 1, col. (h), line 1 and consist of \$1,424.9M placed in-service during March 2013 and \$49.3M assumed to be placed in-service by the end of November 2013. These amounts are assigned a weighting of 9.5/12 and 1/12, respectively, in calculating the 2013 Gross Plant Rate Base amount, as discussed in Ex. B1-1-1. In-service additions for 2014 are from Ex. D1-1-2 Table 1, col. (i), line 1, are assumed to be placed in-service at the beginning of 2014, and are assigned a weighting of 12/12 in calculating the 2014 Gross Plant Rate Base Amount.
- 3 Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group values are for the balance of the Plant Group (i.e. Saunders GS costs are excluded).

Table 1

Continuity of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M) <u>Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2012</u>

						(a+d)/2 Accumulated
						Depreciation and
				Retirements,	(a)+(b)+(c)	Amortization
Line		Opening	Depreciation and	Transfers &	Closing	Rate Base
NO.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Amortization	Adjustments	(d)	Amount
		(a)	(0)	(0)	(u)	(e)
	2010 Actual ¹					
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:					
1	Niagara Plant Group	436.9	41.9	(0.7)	478.1	457.5
2	Niagara Tunnel Project	0.8	0.3	0.0	1.0	0.9
3	Saunders GS	224.5	21.2	(0.1)	245.6	235.1
4	Sub total	662.2	63.4	(0.8)	724.7	693.4
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:					
5	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	264.0	25.3	(2.2)	287.1	275.6
6	Central Hydro Plant Group	16.4	1.9	(0.2)	18.1	17.3
	Northeast Plant Group	111.8	11.4	(0.5)	122.7	117.3
8	Northwest Plant Group	520.4	13.7	(0.3)	160.6	153.9
9	Sub total	559.4	52.5	(3.2)	500.5	504.0
10	Total	1 201 6	115 7	(4.0)	1 212 2	1 257 /
10	Total	1,201.0	115.7	(4.0)	1,313.2	1,237.4
	2011 Actual:					
11	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:	170 1	40.7	0.1	520.0	400.5
12	Niagara Flant Group	470.1	42.7	0.1	1 3	499.5
13	Saunders GS	245.6	21.6	0.0	267.2	256.4
14	Sub total	724.7	64.6	0.1	789.4	757.0
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:					
15	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	287.1	26.2	(2.3)	311.0	299.1
16	Central Hydro Plant Group	18.1	1.9	(0.1)	19.9	19.0
17	Northeast Plant Group	122.7	11.5	0.1	134.3	128.5
18	Northwest Plant Group	160.6	14.4	(1.0)	174.0	167.3
19	Sub total	588.5	54.0	(3.3)	639.2	613.9
20	Total	1,313.2	118.6	(3.2)	1,428.6	1,370.9
	2012 Actual: ¹					
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:					
21	Niagara Plant Group	520.9	44.0	(1.1)	563.8	542.4
22	Niagara Tunnel Project	1.3	0.3	0.0	1.5	1.4
23	Sauriders 65	207.2	21.9	(1.1)	209.1	270.2 821.0
4		109.4	00.2	(1.1)	004.4	021.9
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:					
25	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	311.0	27.0	(2.6)	335.4	323.2
26	Central Hydro Plant Group	19.9	2.1	(0.1)	21.9	20.9
27	Northeast Plant Group	134.3	11.4	(0.1)	145.6	140.0
28	Northwest Plant Group	174.0	14.6	(1.9)	186.7	180.4
29	Sub total	639.2	55.1	(4.7)	689.6	664.4
30	Total	1,428.6	121.3	(5.8)	1,544.0	1,486.3

Notes:

- 1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in these years. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.
- 2 Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group values are for the balance of the Plant Group (i.e. Saunders GS costs are excluded).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B2 Tab 4 Schedule 1 Table 2

Table 2

Continuity of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric and Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M) Years Ending December 31, 2013 to 2015

			Depreciation and	Depreciation and			(a+e)/2 Accumulated
			Amortization	Amortization			Depreciation and
			on	on	Retirements,	(a)+(b)+(c)+(d)	Amortization
Line		Opening	Opening	In-Service	Transfers &	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Balance	Additions	Adjustments	Balance	Amount
		(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	2012 Dudgett ¹						
	2013 Budget: Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
1	Niagara Plant Group	563.8	43.8	0.8	0.0	608.4	586 1
2	Niagara Tunnel Project	1.5	0.3	12.1	0.0	13.9	7 7
3	Saunders GS	289.1	21.8	0.1	0.0	311.0	300.0
4	Sub total	854.4	65.9	13.0	0.0	933.3	893.9
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
5	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	335.4	26.8	0.2	0.0	362.4	348.9
6	Central Hydro Plant Group	21.9	2.0	0.1	0.0	24.0	23.0
7	Northeast Plant Group	145.6	11.4	0.1	0.0	157.1	151.4
8	Northwest Plant Group	186.7	14.4	0.1	0.0	201.2	194.0
9	Sub total	689.6	54.7	0.5	0.0	744.8	717.2
10	Total	1,544.0	120.6	13.5	0.0	1,678.1	1,611.1
	2014 Plan:						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
11	Niagara Plant Group	608.4	43.9	0.4	0.0	652.7	630.6
12	Niagara Tunnel Project	13.9	15.8	0.0	0.0	29.8	21.8
13	Saunders GS	311.0	21.8	0.0	0.0	332.8	321.9
14	Sub total	933.3	81.6	0.4	0.0	1,015.3	974.3
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
15	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	362.4	26.8	0.3	0.0	389.5	375.9
16	Central Hydro Plant Group	24.0	2.1	0.1	0.0	26.3	25.2
17	Northeast Plant Group	157.1	11.5	0.2	0.0	168.8	163.0
18	Northwest Plant Group	201.2	14.5	0.1	0.0	215.8	208.5
19	Sub total	744.8	55.1	0.6	0.0	800.4	772.6
20	Total	1,678.1	136.6	1.0	0.0	1,815.7	1,746.9
	2015 Plan:						
	Niagara Plant Group and Saunders GS:						
21	Niagara Plant Group	652.7	43.9	0.5	0.0	697.0	674.9
22	Niagara Tunnel Project	29.8	15.8	0.0	0.0	45.6	37.7
23	Saunders GS	332.8	21.7	0.0	0.0	354.5	343.6
24	Sub total	1,015.3	81.4	0.5	0.0	1,097.1	1,056.2
	Newly Regulated Hydroelectric:						
25	Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group ²	389.5	27.1	0.3	0.0	416.9	403.2
26	Central Hydro Plant Group	26.3	2.2	0.2	0.0	28.6	27.4
27	Northeast Plant Group	168.8	11.6	0.2	0.0	180.7	174.7
28	Northwest Plant Group	215.8	14.5	0.1	0.0	230.4	223.1
29	Sub total	800.4	55.5	0.7	0.0	856.6	828.5
30	Total	1,815.7	136.8	1.2	0.0	1,953.7	1,884.7

Notes:

1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in this year. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.

2 Ottawa-St. Lawrence Plant Group values are for the balance of the Plant Group (i.e. Saunders GS costs are excluded).

Table 1
Working Capital Summary - Previously Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2015

				(a+b)/2
Line		Opening	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Working Capital Item	Balance	Balance	Value
		(a)	(b)	(C)
	2010 Actual:			
1	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	26.4
2	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
3	Total			27.1
	2011 Actual:			
4	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	21.5
5	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.8	0.8
6	Total			22.3
	2012 Actual:			
7	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	21.7
8	Materials & Supplies	0.8	0.7	0.8
9	Total			22.5
	2013 Budget:			
10	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	21.7
11	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
12	Total			22.4
	0044 Diama			
40	2014 Plan:	N1/A	N1/A	04.7
13	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	21.7
14		0.7	0.7	0.7
15	IOTAI			22.4
	2015 Plan:			
16	Cash Working Canital	N/A	N/A	21 7
17	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
18	Total			22.4

Table 1
Working Capital Summary - Newly Regulated Hydroelectric (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2015

				(a+b)/2
Line		Opening	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Working Capital Item	Balance	Balance	Value
		(a)	(b)	(C)
	2010 Actual ¹ :			
1	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	12.5
2	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
3	Total			13.2
	2011 Actual ¹ :			
4	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	8.1
5	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
6	Total			8.8
	2012 Actual ¹ :			
7	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	8.3
8	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
9	Total			9.0
	2013 Budget':			
10	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	8.3
11	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
12	Total			9.0
	2014 Plan:			
13	Cash Working Canital	Ν/Δ	NI/A	83
14	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.0
15	Total			9.0
	2015 Plan:			
16	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	8.3
17	Materials & Supplies	0.7	0.7	0.7
18	Total			9.0

Notes:

1 Newly Regulated Hydroelectric is not regulated in these years. Amounts are presented for illustrative comparison and continuity purposes only.

Table 1 Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Nuclear (\$M) Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2015

			2010 Actual			2011 Actual:	
			Less:			Less:	
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated	
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant
		(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)
1	Darlington NGS	678.5	243.6	434.9	702.5	259.0	443.5
2	Pickering NGS	1,747.4	714.0	1,033.4	1,880.3	855.5	1,024.8
3	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	288.3	170.0	118.3	304.2	197.1	107.1
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,714.3	1,127.6	1,586.7	2,887.0	1,311.5	1,575.5
5	Asset Retirement Costs	2,676.9	1,159.2	1,517.6	2,676.9	1,186.9	1,490.0
6	Total	5,391.1	2,286.8	3,104.3	5,563.9	2,498.5	3,065.4

			2012 Actual:		2013 Budget:			
			Less:			Less:		
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated		
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net	
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
7	Darlington NGS	736.5	269.2	467.3	863.6	295.7	567.9	
8	Pickering NGS	1,932.8	1,005.9	926.9	1,988.8	1,144.1	844.6	
9	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	313.2	211.5	101.7	335.1	225.0	110.1	
10	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,982.6	1,486.6	1,495.9	3,187.5	1,664.9	1,522.6	
11	Asset Retirement Costs	3,116.1	1,265.0	1,851.1	2,839.2	1,369.0	1,470.2	
12	Total	6,098.6	2,751.7	3,347.0	6,026.6	3,033.8	2,992.8	

			2014 Plan:		2015 Plan:			
			Less:			Less:		
		Gross	Accumulated		Gross	Accumulated		
Line		Plant	Depreciation and	Net	Plant	Depreciation and	Net	
No.	Prescribed Facility	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	at Cost	Amortization	Plant	
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	
13	Darlington NGS	995.8	329.0	666.7	1,118.6	364.2	754.5	
14	Pickering NGS	2,044.1	1,271.8	772.3	2,078.1	1,409.8	668.3	
15	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	383.8	248.4	135.4	474.8	275.8	199.1	
16	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	3,423.6	1,849.3	1,574.4	3,671.6	2,049.7	1,621.9	
17	Asset Retirement Costs	2,839.2	1,449.7	1,389.5	2,839.2	1,530.4	1,308.8	
18	Total	6,262.8	3,299.0	2,963.8	6,510.7	3,580.1	2,930.6	

Notes:

1 Includes support divisions within Nuclear accountable for providing specialized services (e.g. Nuclear Engineering, Inspection and Maintenance Services).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 1 Schedule 1 Table 1

 Table 1

 <u>Comparison of Prescribed Facility Rate Base - Nuclear (\$M)</u>

Line		2010	(c)-(a)	2010	(g)-(c)	2011	(g)-(e)	2011	(i)-(g)	2012
No.	Prescribed Facility	Budget	Change	Actual	Change	Board Approved	Change	Actual	Change	Actual
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
1	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,355.4	22.3	2,377.7	(9.1)	2,392.5	(23.9)	2,368.6	(86.7)	2,281.9
2	Nuclear - Asset Retirement Costs	1,556.5	(38.9)	1,517.6	(27.7)	1,523.3	(33.4)	1,490.0	361.1	1,851.1
3	Nuclear - Total	3,912.0	(16.6)	3,895.3	(36.7)	3,915.8	(57.2)	3,858.6	274.4	4,132.9

Line		2012	(c)-(a)	2012	(e)-(c)	2013	(g)-(e)	2014	(i)-(g)	2015
No.	Prescribed Facility	Board Approved	Change	Actual	Change	Budget	Change	Plan	Change	Plan
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,354.8	(72.9)	2,281.9	4.0	2,285.9	31.3	2,317.2	33.0	2,350.2
5	Nuclear - Asset Retirement Costs	1,490.1	361.0	1,851.1	(380.9)	1,470.2	(80.7)	1,389.5	(80.7)	1,308.8
6	Nuclear - Total	3,844.9	288.1	4,132.9	(376.9)	3,756.1	(49.4)	3,706.7	(47.7)	3,659.0

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 2 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 1
Continuity of Property, Plant and Equipment - Nuclear (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2012

		Gross					(a+e)/2
		Plant		Retirements,	(b)+(c)	(a)+(d)	Gross Plant
Line		Opening	In-Service	Transfers &	Net	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Additions ¹	Adjustments	Change	Balance	Amount
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	2010 Actual:						
1	Darlington NGS	660.5	36.0	0.0	36.0	696.5	678.5
2	Pickering NGS	1,640.3	215.8	(1.5)	214.3	1,854.6	1,747.4
3	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	277.8	24.7	(3.7)	21.0	298.8	288.3
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,578.6	276.5	(5.2)	271.3	2,849.9	2,714.3
	-						
5	Asset Retirement Costs ³	2,201.4	475.5	0.0	475.5	2,676.9	2,676.9
6	Total	4,780.0	752.0	(5.2)	746.8	5,526.8	5,391.1
	2011 Actual:						
7	Darlington NGS	696.5	46.9	(35.0)	11.9	708.4	702.5
8	Pickering NGS	1,854.6	51.6	(0.1)	51.5	1,906.1	1,880.3
9	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	298.8	21.2	(10.4)	10.8	309.6	304.2
10	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,849.9	119.7	(45.5)	74.2	2,924.1	2,887.0
11	Asset Retirement Costs ⁴	2,676.9	439.2	0.0	439.2	3,116.1	2,676.9
12	Total	5,526.8	558.9	(45.5)	513.4	6,040.2	5,563.9
	2012 Actual:						
13	Darlington NGS	708.4	64.0	(7.8)	56.2	764.6	736.5
14	Pickering NGS	1,906.1	57.8	(4.3)	53.5	1,959.6	1,932.8
15	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	309.6	31.7	(24.5)	7.2	316.8	313.2
16	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	2,924.1	153.5	(36.6)	116.9	3,041.0	2,982.6
17	Asset Retirement Costs ⁴	3,116.1	(276.9)	0.0	(276.9)	2,839.2	3,116.1
18	Total	6,040.2	(123.4)	(36.6)	(160.0)	5,880.2	6,098.6

Notes:

- 1 Includes capital spare purchases of \$19.1M in 2010 and \$4.1M in 2011 initially recorded as materials and supplies and subsequently reclassified to property, plant and equipment ("PP&E") to conform with GAAP. These amounts are not included as capital expenditures in Ex. D2.
- 2 Includes support divisions within Nuclear accountable for providing specialized services (e.g. Nuclear Engineering, Inspection and Maintenance Services).
- 3 The change in ARC was recorded on January 1, 2010 (from Ex. C2-1-1 Table 2); therefore the Gross Plant Rate Base amount for 2010 includes the full-year impact of this change.
- 4 Changes in ARC associated with the accounting implementation of the current approved ONFA Reference Plan were recorded on December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012 (from Ex. C2-1-1 Table 2), therefore the Gross Plant Rate Base amounts for 2011 and 2012 exclude the impacts of these changes in the year recorded.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 3 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 2
Continuity of Property, Plant and Equipment - Nuclear (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2013 to 2015

		Gross					(a+e)/2
		Plant		Retirements,	(b)+(c)	(a)+(d)	Gross Plant
Line		Opening	In-Service	Transfers &	Net	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Additions	Adjustments	Change	Balance	Amount
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	2013 Budget:						
1	Darlington NGS ¹	764.6	197.9	0.0	197.9	962.5	863.6
2	Pickering NGS	1,959.6	58.4	0.0	58.4	2,018.0	1,988.8
3	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	316.8	36.7	0.0	36.7	353.5	335.1
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	3,041.0	293.0	0.0	293.0	3,334.0	3,187.5
5	Asset Retirement Costs	2,839.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,839.2	2,839.2
6	Total	5,880.2	293.0	0.0	293.0	6,173.1	6,026.6
	2014 Plan:						
7	Darlington NGS	962.5	66.5	0.0	66.5	1,029.0	995.8
8	Pickering NGS	2,018.0	52.2	0.0	52.2	2,070.2	2,044.1
9	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	353.5	60.7	0.0	60.7	414.2	383.8
10	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	3,334.0	179.4	0.0	179.4	3,513.3	3,423.6
11	Asset Retirement Costs	2,839.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,839.2	2,839.2
12	Total	6,173.1	179.4	0.0	179.4	6,352.5	6,262.8
	2015 Plan:						
13	Darlington NGS ³	1,029.0	221.0	0.0	221.0	1,250.0	1,118.6
14	Pickering NGS	2,070.2	15.9	0.0	15.9	2,086.0	2,078.1
15	Nuclear Support Divisions ²	414.2	121.4	0.0	121.4	535.5	474.8
16	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	3,513.3	358.2	0.0	358.2	3,871.5	3,671.6
17	Asset Retirement Costs	2,839.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2,839.2	2,839.2
18	Total	6,352.5	358.2	0.0	358.2	6,710.7	6,510.7

Notes:

- 1 Reflects forecast in-service addition of \$94.2M for the Darlington Energy Complex planned to be placed in-service at the beginning of July 2013 (Ex. D2-2-1 Table 3, line 7). This amount is assigned a six-month weighting in calculating the 2013 Gross Plant Rate Base amount, as discussed in Ex. B1-1-1.
- 2 Includes support divisions within Nuclear accountable for providing specialized services (e.g. Nuclear Engineering, Inspection and Maintenance Services).
- 3 Reflects forecast in-service addition of \$83.5M for the Heavy Water Storage Facility planned to be placed in-service in October 2015 (Ex. D2-2-1 Table 3, line 2). This is assigned a three-month weighting in calculating the 2013 Gross Plant Rate Base amount, as discussed in Ex. B1-1-1.

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 3 Schedule 1 Table 2

Table 1
Continuity of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization - Nuclear (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2012

						(a+d)/2 Accumulated
						Depreciation and
			Depreciation	Retirements,	(a)+(b)+(c)	Amortization
Line		Opening	and	Transfers &	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Prescribed Facility	Balance	Amortization	Adjustments	Balance	Amount
		(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
	2010 Actual:					
1	Darlington NGS	227.9	31.4	0.0	259.3	243.6
2	Pickering NGS	646.0	129.6	6.4	782.0	714.0
3	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	154.5	34.1	(3.2)	185.4	170.0
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,028.4	195.1	3.2	1,226.7	1,127.6
5	Asset Retirement Costs	1,146.1	26.3	0.0	1,172.4	1,159.2
6	Total	2,174.5	221.4	3.2	2,399.1	2,286.8
	2011 Actual:					
7	Darlington NGS	259.3	26.5	(27.2)	258.6	259.0
8	Pickering NGS	782.0	147.1	(0.2)	928.9	855.5
9	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	185.4	29.7	(6.3)	208.8	197.1
10	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,226.7	203.3	(33.7)	1,396.3	1,311.5
11	Asset Retirement Costs	1,172.4	29.0	0.0	1,201.4	1,186.9
12	Total	2,399.1	232.3	(33.7)	2,597.8	2,498.5
	2012 Actual:					
13	Darlington NGS	258.6	30.3	(9.1)	279.8	269.2
14	Pickering NGS	928.9	156.4	(2.4)	1,082.9	1,005.9
15	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	208.8	27.7	(22.3)	214.2	211.5
16	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,396.3	214.4	(33.8)	1,576.9	1,486.6
17	Asset Retirement Costs	1,201.4	127.2	0.0	1,328.6	1,265.0
18	Total	2,597.8	341.6	(33.8)	2,905.6	2,751.7

Notes:

1 Includes support divisions within Nuclear accountable for providing specialized services (e.g. Nuclear Engineering, Inspection and Maintenance Services).

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 4 Schedule 1 Table 1

Table 2
Continuity of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization - Nuclear (\$M)
Years Ending December 31, 2013 to 2015

Line No.	Prescribed Facility	Opening Balance	Depreciation and Amortization on Opening Balance	Depreciation and Amortization on In-Service Additions	Retirements, Transfers & Adjustments	(a)+(b)+(c)+(d) Closing Balance	(a+e)/2 Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization Rate Base Amount
		(a)	(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(f)
	2013 Budget:						
1	Darlington NGS	279.8	29.7	2.1	0.0	311.6	295.7
2	Pickering NGS	1,082.9	117.6	4.8	0.0	1.205.3	1,144.1
3	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	214.2	19.3	2.3	0.0	235.8	225.0
4	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,576.9	166.7	9.1	0.0	1,752.8	1,664.9
5	Asset Retirement Costs	1,328.6	80.7	0.0	0.0	1,409.3	1,369.0
6	Total	2,905.6	247.4	9.1	0.0	3,162.1	3,033.8
	2014 Plan:						
7	Darlington NGS	311.6	33.2	1.6	0.0	346.4	329.0
8	Pickering NGS	1,205.3	127.3	5.6	0.0	1,338.3	1,271.8
9	Nuclear Support Divisions ¹	235.8	20.6	4.6	0.0	261.1	248.4
10	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,752.8	181.2	11.9	0.0	1,945.8	1,849.3
11	Asset Retirement Costs	1,409.3	80.7	0.0	0.0	1,490.0	1,449.7
12	Total	3,162.1	261.9	11.9	0.0	3,435.8	3,299.0
10	2015 Plan:	0.40.4				004.0	004.0
13	Darlington NGS	346.4	32.0	3.5	0.0	381.9	364.2
14		1,338.3	137.4	5.0	0.0	1,481.3	1,409.8
15	Nuclear Support Divisions	261.1	25.3	4.1	0.0	290.5	2/5.8
16	Nuclear - Excluding Asset Retirement Costs	1,945.8	194.7	13.1	0.0	2,153.6	2,049.7
17	Asset Retirement Costs	1 490 0	<u> </u>	0.0	0.0	1 570 7	1 530 /
10	Total	2 425 0	00.1 075 A	12.1	0.0	2 704 4	2 500 4
18	Iotai	3,435.8	275.4	13.1	0.0	3,724.4	3,580.1

Notes:

Filed: 2013-09-27 EB-2013-0321 Exhibit B3 Tab 4 Schedule 1 Table 2

¹ Includes support divisions within Nuclear accountable for providing specialized services (e.g. Nuclear Engineering, Inspection and Maintenance Services).

Filed: 2013-EB-2013 Exhi

Sche

Table 1 Working Capital Summary - Nuclear (\$M) Years Ending December 31, 2010 to 2015

				(a+b)/2
Line		Opening	Closing	Rate Base
No.	Working Capital Item	Balance	Balance	Value
		(a)	(b)	(c)
	2010 Actual:			
1	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	14.3
2	Fuel Inventory	333.0	336.9	335.0
3	Materials & Supplies	456.0	427.6	441.8
4	Total			791.0
	2011 Actual:			
5	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	25.9
6	Fuel Inventory	336.9	353.9	345.4
7	Materials & Supplies	427.6	416.1	421.9
8	Total			793.2
	2012 Actual:			
q	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	32.0
10	Fuel Inventory	353.9	327.4	340.7
11	Materials & Supplies	416.1	410.5	413.3
10			110.0	796.0
12				700.0
40	2013 Budget:	N1/A	N1/A	00.0
13	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	32.0
14	Fuel Inventory	327.4	299.1 425.5	313.3
10		410.5	420.0	416.0
16	lotal			763.3
	2014 Plan:			
17	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	32.0
18	Fuel Inventory	299.1	268.1	283.6
19	Materials & Supplies	425.5	428.9	427.2
20	Total			742.8
	2015 Plan:			
21	Cash Working Capital	N/A	N/A	32.0
22	Fuel Inventory	268.1	280.7	274.4
23	Materials & Supplies	428.9	415.1	422.0
24	Total			728.4
				. 20. 1

8-09-27
3-0321
nibit B3
Tab 5
edule 1
Table 1