Ontario Energy Board Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario



EB-2013-0160

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Orangeville Hydro Limited for an order approving just and reasonable rates and other charges for electricity distribution to be effective May 1, 2014.

PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 1 November 26, 2013

Orangeville Hydro Limited ("OHL") filed a complete cost of service application with the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") on October 1, 2013 under section 78 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act*, *1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B), seeking approval for changes to the rates that OHL charges for electricity distribution, to be effective May 1, 2014. The Board has assigned the application file number EB-2013-0160.

The Board issued a Notice of Application and Hearing dated October 22, 2013. The Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC") and Energy Probe ("EP") applied for intervenor status and cost eligibility. No objection was received from OHL.

The Board approves VECC and EP as intervenors. The list of parties in this proceeding is attached as Appendix A to this Procedural Order. The Board has also determined that VECC and EP are eligible to apply for an award of costs under the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*.

A draft Issues List has been included as Appendix B to this Procedural Order. The draft Issues List enumerates the issues that arise when the application is examined in the context of the Board's *Renewed Regulatory Framework for Electricity Distributors: A Performance-Based Approach*. The Board notes that OHL has filed for a Z-factor, related to the recovery of costs incurred as a result of remediation of contaminated land site of a dismantled distribution station in 2011 and 2012. This request will be considered under Issue 9.1 of the attached draft Issues List.

The Board makes provision below for written submissions on the draft Issues List. After considering these submissions the Board will determine a final Issues List.

The Board considers it necessary to make provision for the following matters related to this proceeding.

THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:

1. If OHL or intervenors wish to comment on the draft Issues List, they shall file written submissions with the Board and deliver them to all parties on or before **December 3, 2013.**

All filings to the Board must quote the file number, EB-2013-0160, be made electronically through the Board's web portal at

https://www.pes.ontarioenergyboard.ca/eservice/, in searchable / unrestricted PDF format. Two paper copies must also be filed at the Board's address provided below. Filings must clearly state the sender's name, postal address and telephone number, fax number and e-mail address. Parties must use the document naming conventions and document submission standards outlined in the RESS Document Guideline found at http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/Industry. If the web portal is not available parties may email their documents to the address below. Those who do not have internet access are required to submit all filings on a CD in PDF format, along with two paper copies. Those who do not have computer access are required to file 7 paper copies.

All communications should be directed to the attention of the Board Secretary at the address below, and be received no later than 4:45 p.m. on the required date.

With respect to distribution lists for all electronic correspondence and materials related to this proceeding, parties must include the Case Manager, Birgit Armstrong at <u>birgit.armstrong@ontarioenergyboard.ca</u> and Board Counsel, Michael Millar at michael.millar@ontarioenergyboard.ca.

ADDRESS

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto ON M4P 1E4 Attention: Board Secretary

E-mail: <u>boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca</u> Tel: 1-888-632-6273 (Toll free) Fax: 416-440-7656

DATED at Toronto, November 26, 2013

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original Signed By

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary

APPENDIX A

Orangeville Hydro Limited

List of Intervenors

EB-2013-0160

Dated: November 26, 2013

Orangeville Hydro Limited EB-2013-0160

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

November 26, 2013

APPLICANT	Rep. and Address for Service
Orangeville Hydro Limited	George Dick
	President Orangeville Hydro Limited 400 C Line, Box 400 Orangeville, ON L9W 2Z7
	Tel: 519-942-8000 Fax: 519-941-6061 gdick@orangevillehydro.on.ca

INTERVENORS	Rep. and Address for Service
Energy Probe Research Foundation	Randy Aiken
	Aiken & Associates
	578 McNaugton Ave. W.

Alken & Associates 578 McNaugton Ave. W. Chatham ON N7L 4J6 Tel: 519-351-8624 Fax: 519-351-4331 randy.aiken@sympatico.ca

David MacIntosh

Case Manager Energy Probe Research Foundation 225 Brunswick Avenue Toronto ON M5S 2M6 Tel: 416-964-9223 Ext: 235 Fax: 416-964-8239 DavidMacIntosh@nextcity.com

Orangeville Hydro Limited EB-2013-0160

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

- 2 -

November 26, 2013

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition

Michael Janigan

Special Counsel Public Interest Advocacy Centre ONE Nicholas Street Suite 1204 Ottawa ON K1N 7B7 Tel: 613-562-4002 Ext: 26 Fax: 613-562-0007 mjanigan@piac.ca

Mark Garner

Project Manager Econalysis Consulting Services 34 King Street East Suite 630 Toronto ON M5C 2X8 Tel: 647-408-4501 Fax: 416-348-0641 mgarner@econalysis.ca

Bill Harper

Econalysis Consulting Services 34 King Street East Suite 630 Toronto On M5C 2X8 Tel: 416-348 0193 Fax: Not Provided bharper@econalysis.ca

APPENDIX B

Orangeville Hydro Limited

Draft Issues List

EB-2013-0160

Dated: November 26, 2013

Draft Issues List Orangeville Hydro Limited 2014 Cost of Service Rate Application EB-2013-0160

1. Foundation

- 1.1 Does the planning (regional, infrastructure investment, asset management etc.) undertaken by the applicant and outlined in the application support the appropriate management of the applicant's assets?
- 1.2 Are the customer engagement activities undertaken by the applicant commensurate with the approvals requested in the application?

2. Performance Measures

2.1 Does the applicant's performance in the areas of: (1) delivering on Board-approved plans from its most recent cost of service decision; (2) reliability performance; (3) service quality, and (4) efficiency benchmarking, support the application?

3. Customer Focus

3.1 Are the applicant's proposed capital expenditures and operating expenses appropriately reflective of customer feedback and preferences?

4. Operational Effectiveness

- 4.1 Does the applicant's distribution system plan appropriately support continuous improvement in productivity, the attainment of system reliability and quality objectives, and the level of revenue requirement requested by the applicant?
- 4.2 Are the applicant's proposed OM&A expenses clearly driven by appropriate objectives?
- 4.3 Are the applicant's proposed operating and capital expenditures appropriately paced and prioritized to result in reasonable rate increases for customers, or is any additional rate mitigation required?

5. Public Policy Responsiveness

5.1 Do the applicant's proposals meet the obligations mandated by government in areas such as renewable energy and smart meters and any other government mandated obligations?

6. Financial Performance

- 6.1 Do the applicant's proposed rates allow it to meet its obligations to its customers while maintaining its financial viability?
- 6.2 Has the applicant adequately demonstrated that the savings resulting from its operational effectiveness initiatives are sustainable?

7. Revenue Requirement

- 7.1 Is the proposed Test year rate base including the working capital allowance reasonable?
- 7.2 Are the proposed levels of depreciation/amortization expense appropriately reflective of the useful lives of the assets and the Board's accounting policies?
- 7.3 Are the proposed levels of taxes appropriate?
- 7.4 Is the proposed allocation of shared services and corporate costs appropriate?
- 7.5 Are the proposed capital structure, rate of return on equity and short and long term debt costs appropriate?
- 7.6 Is the proposed forecast of other revenues including those from specific service charges appropriate?
- 7.7 Has the proposed revenue requirement been accurately determined from the operating, depreciation and tax (PILs) expenses and return on capital, less other revenues?

8. Load Forecast, Cost Allocation and Rate Design

- 8.1 Is the proposed load forecast, including billing determinants an appropriate reflection of the energy and demand requirements of the applicant?
- 8.2 Is the proposed cost allocation methodology including the revenue-to-cost ratios appropriate?
- 8.3 Is the proposed rate design including the class-specific fixed and variable splits and any applicant-specific rate classes appropriate?
- 8.4 Are the proposed Total Loss Adjustment Factors appropriate for the distributor's system and a reasonable proxy for the expected losses?
- 8.5 Is the proposed forecast of other regulated rates and charges including the proposed Retail Transmission Service Rates appropriate?

8.6 Is the proposed Tariff of Rates and Charges an accurate representation of the application, subject to the Board's findings on the application?

9. Accounting

- 9.1 Are the proposed deferral accounts, both new and existing, account balances, allocation methodology, disposition periods and related rate riders appropriate?
- *9.2* Have all impacts of any changes in accounting standards, policies, estimates and adjustments been properly identified, and is the treatment of each of these impacts appropriate?