Filed: 2013-12-11 EB-2012-0459 Exhibit I.A1.STAFF.EGDI.13 Page 1 of 1

BOARD STAFF RESPONSE TO ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC. #13

INTERROGATORY

ISSUE A1: Is Enbridge's proposal for a Customized IR plan for a 5 year term covering its 2014 through 2018 fiscal years appropriate?

Evidence Ref: ExhL/T1/S2

I.A1.Staff.EGDI.13

Preamble:

On page 13, PEG states: "The variance account treatment on the GTA reinforcement project will also effectively true up rates to recover expenditures on this project. These true-up mechanisms are clearly reminiscent of COSR rather than IR"

Request:

a. Please explain how the variance account treatment on the GTA reinforcement project is different in effect from the Y-factors that PEG appears to endorse for large projects at page 5 of the report.

RESPONSE

a. Please see the response to I.A.1.Staff.EGD.11b. PEG has endorsed the use of Y factors for the GTA reinforcement project, and sees no substantive difference between the mechanisms.

Witness: Dr. Lawrence Kaufmann, PEG