

EB-2013-0321

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S. O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule B;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Ontario Power Generation Inc. pursuant to section 78.1 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* for an order or orders determining payment amounts for the output of certain of its generating facilities.

PROCEDURAL ORDER NO. 1 December 20, 2013

Ontario Power Generation Inc. ("OPG") filed an application, dated September 27, 2013, with the Ontario Energy Board under section 78.1 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act,* 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B (the "Act") seeking approval for increases in payment amounts for the output of its nuclear generating facilities and the currently prescribed hydroelectric generating facilities, to be effective January 1, 2014. The application also seeks approval for payment amounts for newly prescribed hydroelectric generating facilities, to be effective July 1, 2014. The Board has assigned the application file number EB-2013-0321.

The Board issued a Notice of Application and Hearing on October 25, 2013. The Board received 18 requests for intervenor status. The Board approves the following intervention requests:

- Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario ("AMPCO")
- Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME")
- Consumers Council of Canada ("CCC")
- Energy Probe Research Foundation ("Energy Probe")
- Environmental Defence

- EnWin Utilities Ltd.
- Green Energy Coalition ("GEC")
- Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI")
- HQ Energy Marketing Inc.
- Independent Electricity System Operator
- London Property Management Association ("LPMA")
- Ontario Power Authority
- Power Workers' Union
- Retail Council of Canada ("RCC")
- School Energy Coalition ("SEC")
- Shell Energy North America (Canada) Inc.
- Society of Energy Professionals
- Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC")

The current list of the parties to the proceeding is attached as Appendix A.

The following parties have also applied for cost award eligibility: AMPCO, CME, CCC, Energy Probe, Environmental Defence, GEC, HDI, LPMA, RCC, SEC and VECC. The Board finds that each of these parties is eligible to apply for an award of costs under the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*.

Impact Statement

The application, as filed on September 27, 2013, was based on OPG's 2013-2015 Business Plan. The application would have resulted in an increase of about \$5.36 each month for the typical residential customer beginning on January 1, 2014. This impact was reflected in the Notice of Application and Hearing issued by the Board on October 25, 2013.

On December 6, 2013, OPG filed an impact statement to reflect material changes in costs and production forecasts for the 2014-2015 test period that are included in the 2014-2016 Business Plan approved by OPG's Board of Directors on November 14, 2013. The changes result in a revised customer increase of \$5.94 each month for the typical residential customer beginning on January 1, 2014.

Given the material change in customer impact, the Board has determined that further notice is required. OPG shall make a copy of the Notice of Application and Hearing, which is attached as Appendix B, available for public review on OPG's website on January 6, 2014. OPG shall provide a link on its home page to the notice.

Issues List

OPG filed a draft issues list with its application at Exhibit A1, Tab 10, Schedule 1. The Board has not deleted any issues, but has added some potential issues, generally based on the approved issues list of the previous cost of service proceeding (EB-2010-0008). The revised draft for comment is attached as Appendix C.

The Board is making provision for written submissions on the draft issues list. The parties will have the opportunity to make written submissions on the draft issues list and propose changes for the Board's consideration. In proposing additional issues parties should provide justification and give consideration to whether the item is already included under one of the proposed issues. Similarly, parties proposing to remove or limit the scope of an issue on the draft issues list should provide justification. After reviewing these submissions, the Board will issue a final issues list. Only matters that are on the final issues list will be considered in this proceeding.

As noted in <u>correspondence</u> issued on November 11, 2011, with the *Filing Guidelines* for Ontario Power Generation Inc. in Setting Payment Amounts for Prescribed Generation Facilities ("Filing Guidelines for OPG"), the Board will make provision for interrogatories on all issues. Following the filing of interrogatory responses, the Board will make provision for submissions on categorizing issues into primary and secondary issues. Any unsettled primary issues will proceed by way of oral hearing. Any unsettled secondary issues will proceed by way of written hearing. OPG has provided a categorization with the issues list filed at Exhibit A1, Tab 10, Schedule 1. The Board will make a determination on the categorization of issues after considering the submissions filed following the filing of interrogatory responses.

Confidential Filing

OPG seeks confidential treatment for revenue comparison tables, the Darlington Refurbishment Contract Strategies, the Concentric Energy Advisors Engagement Letter, Business Case Summaries, Business Plans, Business Planning Instructions and 2012 Income Tax Returns. Redacted versions of these documents were included with the application filed on September 27, 2013. Confidential versions were filed with the Board on October 2, 2013, and subsequently on December 10, 2013, OPG re-filed these documents in accordance with section 5.1.4(b) of the Board's <u>Practice Direction on Confidential Filings</u> (the "Practice Direction"). Further, on December 18, 2013, OPG requested confidential treatment for the business plan documents related to the impact statement filed on December 6, 2013.

In accordance with section 5.1.5 of the Practice Direction, OPG has filed cover letters that describe the basis on which confidentiality is claimed. As an interim measure, counsel and consultants for intervenors that wish to review the information for which OPG seeks confidential treatment may do so after signing a copy of the Board's Declaration and Undertaking (which can be found at Appendix C of the Practice Direction), and filing it with the Board. Parties that wish to make submissions on whether or not the Board should ultimately treat the information for which OPG seeks confidential treatment as confidential may make submissions on this matter in accordance with the steps described below. If the Board ultimately decides that the documents should not be afforded confidential treatment, OPG has requested that the information be withdrawn. The Board will issue a decision on the confidential status of the information after considering any submissions.

In correspondence filed on October 3, 2013, OPG requested review by the Board only of certain business plan information related to OPG's unregulated business. OPG seeks permanent redaction for this information. The Board will address this matter separately.

Interrogatories

The Board will make provision at this time for written interrogatories. The Board reminds parties that interrogatories must reference the pre-filed evidence filed on September 27, 2013 or information and reports subsequently filed on December 5 and 6, 2013. In correspondence filed on December 5, 2013, OPG stated that it is unable to provide information that segments costs into Pickering A and B components for the period 2012-2015, due to coding for the cost reporting database and the cost allocation methodology for allocating corporate costs. The Board finds that for situations where OPG has ready access to data for Pickering A and B, parties will be permitted to request the information in interrogatories.

Parties must sort their interrogatories and responses by issue. A continuous numbering system will be used to facilitate subsequent referencing of the interrogatories. The numbering system, interrogatory nomenclature, and the sorting of interrogatories and interrogatory responses are described on pages 3 and 4 of Chapter 1 of the *Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications*.

The Board reminds parties not to engage in detailed exploration of items that do not appear to be material. The materiality thresholds documented in the Filing Guidelines for OPG should be used by the parties. The Board will consider at the cost award stage of the process whether or not intervenors made reasonable efforts to ensure that their participation in the hearing was focussed on material issues and the Board may reflect this in the cost award decision.

The Board considers it necessary to make provision for the following matters related to this proceeding.

THE BOARD ORDERS THAT:

- 1. OPG shall make a copy of the Notice of Application and Hearing, which is attached as Appendix B, available for public review on OPG's website on **January 6, 2014**. OPG shall provide a link on its home page to the notice.
- 2. Board staff, OPG and intervenors may make submissions on the draft issues list and shall file any submissions with the Board and deliver them to all parties no later than **January 24, 2014.**
- 3. OPG may respond to the submissions of intervenors. Similarly, all other parties may respond to the submission of other parties. Those responses shall be filed with the Board and delivered to all parties no later than **January 31, 2014**.
- 4. Parties wishing to make submissions on the confidentiality status of the revenue comparison tables, the Darlington Refurbishment Contract Strategies, the Concentric Energy Advisors Engagement Letter, Business Case Summaries, Business Plans, Business Planning Instructions and 2012 Income Tax Returns shall file such submissions with the Board and deliver them to OPG and all other parties on or before **January 24, 2014**.

- 5. If OPG wishes to respond to any submissions on the confidentiality status of the revenue comparison tables, the Darlington Refurbishment Contract Strategies, the Concentric Energy Advisors Engagement Letter, Business Case Summaries, Business Plans, Business Planning Instructions and 2012 Income Tax Returns, it shall file such submissions with the Board and deliver them to the relevant intervenor and all other parties on or before January 31, 2014.
- 6. Board staff shall request any relevant information and documentation from OPG that is in addition to the evidence already filed, by written interrogatories filed with the Board and served on all parties on or before **February 21, 2014**.
- 7. Intervenors shall request any relevant information and documentation from OPG that is in addition to the evidence already filed, by written interrogatories filed with the Board and served on all parties on or before **February 28, 2014**.
- 8. OPG shall file with the Board complete written responses to all interrogatories and serve them on all intervenors and Board staff on or before **March 19, 2014.**

All filings to the Board must quote the file number, **EB-2013-0321**, be made through the Board's web portal at www.pes.ontarioenergyboard.ca/eservice/, and consist of two paper copies and one electronic copy in searchable / unrestricted PDF format. Filings must clearly state the sender's name, postal address and telephone number, fax number and e-mail address. Parties must use the document naming conventions and document submission standards outlined in the RESS Document Guideline found at www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/Industry. If the web portal is not available parties may email their documents to the address below. Those who do not have internet access are required to submit all filings on a CD in PDF format, along with two paper copies. Those who do not have computer access are required to file 7 paper copies.

All communications should be directed to the attention of the Board Secretary at the address below, and be received no later than 4:45 p.m. on the required date.

With respect to distribution lists for all electronic correspondence and materials related to this proceeding, parties must include the Case Manager, Violet Binette at violet.binette@ontarioenergyboard.ca and Board Counsel, Michael Millar at michael.millar@ontarioenergyboard.ca.

ADDRESS

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto ON M4P 1E4 Attention: Board Secretary

E-mail: boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca

Tel: 1-888-632-6273 (Toll free)

Fax: 416-440-7656

DATED at Toronto, December 20, 2013

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original signed by

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary

APPENDIX A

ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. 2014-2015 PAYMENT AMOUNTS

EB-2013-0321

APPLICANT & CURRENT LIST OF INTERVENORS

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

APPLICANT Rep. and Address for Service

Ontario Power Generation Inc. Colin Anderson

Director

Ontario Power Generation Inc.

700 University Avenue

H18 G2

Toronto ON M5G 1X6 Tel: 416-592-3326 Fax: 416-592-8519 opgregaffairs@opg.com

Ontario Power Generation Inc. Mr. Carlton Mathias

Ontario Power Generation Inc.

Senior Counsel

700 University Avenue

H18-G25

Toronto ON M5G 1X6 Tel: 416-592-4964 Fax: 416-592-1466

carlton.mathias@opg.com

APPLICANT COUNSEL

Charles Keizer

Torys LLP

79 Wellington St. W.

Suite 3000, Maritime Life Tower

P.O. Box 270, TD Centre

Toronto ON M5K 1N2 Tel: 416-865-0040 Fax: 416-865-7380

ckeizer@torys.com

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

INTERVENORS

Rep. and Address for Service

Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario

(AMPCO)

David Crocker

Legal Counsel **Davis LLP**

1 First Canadian Place, Suite 6000

PO Box 367 100 King St. W.

Toronto ON M5X 1E2 Tel: 416-941-5415 Fax: 416-777-7431 dcrocker@davis.ca

Association of Major Power Consumers in Ontario

(AMPCO)

Shelley Grice

Consultant

Econalysis Consulting Services 34 King Street East Suite 630

Toronto ON M5C 2X8 Tel: 416-348-0193 Fax: 416-348-0641

shelley.grice@rogers.com

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters

Paul Clipsham

Director of Policy, Ontario Division Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters

6725 Airport Rd.

Suite 200

Mississauga ON L4V 1V2

Tel: 289-566-9538 Fax: 905-672-1764

paul.clipsham@cme-mec.ca

Peter Thompson

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

100 Queen Street

Suite 1100

Ottawa ON K1P 1J9 Tel: 613-787-3528 Fax: 613-230-8842

pthompson@blg.com

Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters

Vincent DeRose

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

100 Queen St. Suite 1100

Ottawa ON K1P 1J9 Tel: 613-787-3589 Fax: 613-230-8842 vderose@blg.com

Kim Dullet

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

100 Queen Street

Suite 1100

Ottawa ON K1P 1J9 Tel: 613-369-4792 Fax: 613-230-8842

kdullet@blg.com

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Consumers Council of Canada

Julie Girvan

Consultant

Consumers Council of Canada

62 Hillsdale Ave. East Toronto ON M4S 1T5 Tel: 416-322-7936 Fax: 416-322-9703 jgirvan@uniserve.com

Energy Probe Research Foundation

Lawrence Schwartz

Consulting Economist 82 Ridge Hill Drive Toronto ON M6C 2J8 Tel: 416-785-4985 Fax: Not Provided

lschwartz5205@rogers.com

Energy Probe Research Foundation

Norman Rubin

Senior Consultant

Fax: Not Provided

Energy Probe Research Foundation

478 Brunswick Avenue Toronto ON M5R 2Z5 Tel: 416-964-3761

normrubin.energyprobe@gmail.com

Peter Faye

Counsel

Barrister & Solicitor

42 Eastwood Crescent

Markham ON L3P 5Z7

Tel: 905-294-2013 Fax: Not Provided pfaye@rogers.com

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

David MacIntosh

Case Manager Energy Probe Research Foundation 225 Brunswick Avenue Toronto ON M5S 2M6

Tel: 416-964-9223 Ext: 235

Fax: 416-964-8239

DavidMacIntosh@nextcity.com

Environmental Defence

Murray Klippenstein

Klippensteins, Barristers & Solicitors

160 John St. Suite 300 Toronto ON M5V 2E5

Tel: 416-598-0288 Fax: 416-598-9520

murray.klippenstein@klippensteins.ca

Kent Elson

Klippensteins, Barristers & Solicitors

160 John Street

Suite 300

Toronto ON M5V 2E5

Tel: 416-598-0288 Fax: Not Provided

kent.elson@klippensteins.ca

Jack Gibbons

Ontario Clean Air Alliance

160 John Street, Suite 300

Toronto ON M5V 2E5

Tel: 416-260-2080 Ext: 2

Fax: 416-598-9520

jack@cleanairalliance.org

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

EnWin Utilities Ltd. Andrew Sasso

Director, Regulatory Affairs

EnWin Utilities Ltd. 787 Ouellette Avenue

P.O. Box 1625

Windsor ON N9A 5T7 Tel: 519-255-2735 Fax: 519-973-7812 regulatory@enwin.com

Green Energy Coalition David Poch

Barrister

Green Energy Coalition 1649 Old Brooke Road Maberly ON K0H 2B0 Tel: 613-264-0055 Fax: 613-264-2878

Fax: 613-264-287 <u>dpoch@eelaw.ca</u>

Green Energy Coalition Kai Millyard

Green Energy Coalition

72 Regal Road

Toronto ON M6H 2K1 Tel: 416-651-7141

Fax: 416-651-4659

kai@web.net

Shawn-Patrick Stensil

Greenpeace Canada

33 Cecil St.

Toronto ON M5T 1N1

Tel: 416-884-7053 Fax: Not Provided

shawn.patrick.stensil@greenpeace.org

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Haudenosaunee Development Institute

Aaron Detlor

Barrister & Solicitor

Haudenosaunee Development Institute

16 Sunrise Court

Suite 417

Ohsweken ON N0A1M0

Tel: 19)445-4225 Fax: 19)445-2389

aarondetlor@gmail.com

Hydro Quebec

Hélène Cossette

Legal Counsel

HQ Energy Marketing Inc.

75, Rene-levesque Boulevard Ouest

4e etage

Montreal QC H2Z 1A4 Tel: 514-289-3146

Fax: 514-289-6217

cossette.helene@hydro.qc.ca

Matthieu Plante

Manager Regulatory Affairs HQ Energy Marketing Inc.

75, Rene-Levesque Boulevard Ouest

17e etage

Montreal PQ H2Z 1A4 Tel: 514-289-3687

Fax: 514-289-3750

Plante.Matthieu@hydro.qc.ca

Independent Electricity System Operator

Tam Wagner

Senior Regulatory Analyst

Independent Electricity System Operator

Station A, Box 4474 Toronto ON M5W 4E5

Tel: 905-403-4291 Fax: 905-855-6371

regulatoryaffairs@ieso.ca

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

London Property Management Association

Randy Aiken

Aiken & Associates 578 McNaugton Ave. W. Chatham ON N7L 4J6 Tel: 519-351-8624

Fax: 519-351-4331

randy.aiken@sympatico.ca

Ontario Power Authority

Miriam Heinz

Regulatory Coordinator, Corporate Affairs/Legal

Ontario Power Authority 120 Adelaide Street West

Suite 1600

Toronto ON M5H 1T1 Tel: 416-969-6045 Fax: 416-967-1947

Miriam.Heinz@powerauthority.on.ca

Power Workers' Union

John Sprackett

Staff Officer, President's Office

Power Workers' Union 244 Eglinton Avenue E. Toronto ON M4P 1K4 Tel: 416-322-4787

Fax: 416-481-7914 spracket@pwu.ca

Kim McKenzie

Consultant

Elenchus Research Associates Inc.

34 King Street East

Suite 600

Toronto ON M5C 2X8 Tel: 416-640-1894

Fax: 416-348-9930

kmckenzie@elenchus.ca

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Bayu Kidane

Elenchus Research Associates Inc.

34 King Street East

Suite 610

Toronto ON M5C 2X8

Tel: 416-348-0666

Fax: 416-348-9930 bkidane@elenchus.ca

Richard Stephenson

Counsel

Paliare Roland Rosenberg Rothstein LLP

155 Wellington Street West

Suite 3500

Toronto ON M5V 3H1

Tel: 416-646-7417

Fax: 416-646-4301

richard.stephenson@paliareroland.com

Retail Council of Canada

Gary Rygus

Director, Government Relations (Ontario)

Retail Council of Canada

1881 Yonge Street

Suite 800

Toronto ON M4S 3C4

Tel: 416-922-0553 Ext: 225

Fax: 416-922-8011

grygus@retailcouncil.org

Jonathan Farkouh

Manager, Member Programs

Retail Council of Canada

1881 Yonge Street

Suite 800

Toronto ON M4S 3C4

Tel: 416-922-6678 Ext: 319

Fax: 416-922-8011

jfarkouh@retailcouncil.org

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Travis Allan

Partner Zizzo Allan Professional Corporation 41-A Avenue Rd. Toronto ON M5R 2G3

Tel: 416-417-1195 Fax: 888-734-9459 travis@zizzoallan.com

Laura Zizzo

Partner
Zizzo Allan Professional Corporation
41-A Avenue Road
Toronto ON M5R 2G3
Tel: 416-817-5140

Fax: 888-734-9459 Laura@zizzoallan.com

School Energy Coalition

Wayne McNally

SEC Coordinator
Ontario Public School Boards' Association
439 University Avenue
18th Floor
Toronto ON M5G 1Y8

Tel: 416-340-2540 Fax: 416-340-7571 wmcnally@opsba.org

Jay Shepherd

Jay Shepherd Professional Corporation 2300 Yonge St. Suite 806 P.O. Box 2305

Toronto ON M4P 1E4 Tel: 416-483-3300

Fax: 416-483-3305

jay.shepherd@canadianenergylawyers.com

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Mark Rubenstein

Jay Shepherd Professional Corporation

2300 Yonge St. Suite 806

P.O. Box 2305

Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Tel: 416-483-3300

Fax: 416-483-3305

mark.rubenstein@canadianenergylawyers.com

Mark Garner

Consultant

Jay Shepherd Professional Corporation

2300 Yonge Street

Suite 806

Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Tel: 416-804-2767

Fax: 416-483-3305

markgarner@rogers.com

Shell Energy North America

(Canada) Inc.

Paul Kerr

General Manager, Market Affairs

Shell Energy North America (Canada) Inc.

90 Sheppard Ave E.

Suite 600

Toronto ON M2N 6Y2

Tel: 416-227-7312 Fax: 877-397-0413

paul.kerr@shell.com

The Society of Energy Professionals

Mike Belmore

External Relations Officer

The Society of Energy Professionals

2239 Yonge St.

Toronto ON M4S 2B5

Tel: 416-979-2709 Ext: 3015

Fax: 416-979-5794

belmorem@thesociety.ca

APPLICANT & LIST OF INTERVENORS

December 20, 2013

Jo-Anne Pickel

Counsel

Cavalluzzo Hayes Shilton McIntyre & Cornish LLP

474 Bathurst Street

Suite 300

Toronto ON M5T 2S6

Tel: 416-964-5537 Fax: 416-964-5895

jpickel@cavalluzzo.com

Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition

Michael Janigan

Special Counsel

Public Interest Advocacy Centre

ONE Nicholas Street

Suite 1204

Ottawa ON K1N 7B7

Tel: 613-562-4002 Ext: 26

Fax: 613-562-0007 mjanigan@piac.ca

James Wightman

Consultant

Econalysis Consulting Services

34 King St. E.

Suite 1102

Toronto ON M5C 2X8

Tel: 416-348-0640 Fax: 416-348-0641

jwightman@econalysis.ca

APPENDIX B

ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. 2014-2015 PAYMENT AMOUNTS

EB-2013-0321

NOTICE FOR POSTING ON OPG'S WEBSITE ENGLISH & FRENCH

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD NOTICE ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC.

Ontario Power Generation Inc. has applied to raise its payment amounts Learn more. Have your say.

On September 27, 2013, Ontario Power Generation Inc. applied to the Ontario Energy Board to increase the amount it charges for the output of its nuclear generating facilities and most of its hydroelectric generating facilities. If approved, this would have resulted in an increase of about \$5.36 each month for the typical residential customer beginning on January 1, 2014. Other customers, including businesses, would be affected as well. On December 6, 2013, Ontario Power Generation revised the application. If approved, the revised application would result in an increase of about \$5.94 each month for the typical residential customer beginning on January 1, 2014.

THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD IS HOLDING A PUBLIC HEARING

The Ontario Energy Board (OEB) will hold a public hearing to consider Ontario Power Generation Inc.'s (OPG) request. We will question the company on its case for a payment amount increase. We will also hear arguments from individuals and from groups that represent consumers of electricity. At the end of this hearing, the OEB will decide what, if any, increase will be allowed.

The OEB is an independent and impartial public agency. We make decisions that serve the public interest. Our goal is to promote a financially viable and efficient energy sector that provides you with reliable energy services at a reasonable cost.

BE INFORMED AND HAVE YOUR SAY

You have the right to information regarding this application and to be involved in the process. You can:

- review OPG's application on the OEB's website now.
- sign up to observe the proceeding by receiving OEB documents related to the hearing.
- file a letter with your comments, which will be considered during the hearing.
- become an active participant (called an intervenor). Apply by **January 16**, **2014** or the hearing will go ahead without you and you will not receive any further notice of the proceeding.
- at the end of the process, review the OEB's decision and its reasons on our website.

LEARN MORE

These payment amounts relate to generation from OPG's nuclear facilities and most of its hydroelectric facilities. They make up part of the Electricity line - one of the five line items on your bill. Our file number for this case is EB-2013-0321. To learn more about this hearing, find instructions on how to file letters or become an intervenor, or to access any document related to this case please select the file number on the OEB website: www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/notice. You can also phone our Consumer Relations Centre at 1-877-632-2727 with any questions.

ORAL VS. WRITTEN HEARINGS

There are two types of OEB hearings – oral and written. The OEB has decided that it will proceed with an oral hearing for the major issues in this application. If you have any comments with respect to the need for an oral or a written hearing, you can write to the OEB to explain.

PRIVACY

If you write a letter of comment or sign up to observe the hearing, your name and the content of your letter or the documents you file with the OEB will be put on the public record and the OEB website. However, your personal telephone number, home address and email address will be removed. If you are a business, all your information will remain public. If you apply to become an intervenor, all information will be public.

This rate hearing will be held under section 78.1 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998 c.15 (Schedule B).



AVIS DE LA COMMISSION DE L'ÉNERGIE DE L'ONTARIO ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC.

Ontario Power Generation Inc. a déposé une requête en vue d'augmenter ses montants de paiement. Apprenez-en plus. Donnez votre avis.

Le 27 septembre 2013, Ontario Power Generation Inc. a déposé une requête auprès de la Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario en vue d'augmenter le montant facturé pour la distribution d'énergie générée par ses installations de production d'énergie nucléaire et par la plupart de ses installations de production d'énergie hydroélectrique. Si la requête avait été approuvée, le montant des frais mensuels d'un consommateur résidentiel moyen aurait augmenté d'environ 5,36 \$ à compter du 1^{er} janvier 2014. Les autres clients, y compris les entreprises, auraient également été concernés. Le 6 décembre 2013, Ontario Power Generation a révisé sa requête. Si cette nouvelle requête est approuvée, le montant des frais mensuels d'un consommateur résidentiel moyen augmentera d'environ 5,94 \$ à compter du 1^{er} janvier 2014.

LA COMMISSION DE L'ÉNERGIE DE L'ONTARIO VA TENIR UNE AUDIENCE PUBLIQUE

La Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario (CEO) tiendra une audience publique afin d'étudier la requête d'Ontario Power Generation Inc. (OPG). Nous demanderons à la société de justifier la nécessité d'une augmentation des montants à facturer. Nous écouterons également les arguments des personnes et des groupes représentant les consommateurs d'énergie. À l'issue de cette audience, la CEO décidera du bienfondé d'une augmentation et, le cas échéant, du montant de l'augmentation à venir.

La CEO est une agence publique indépendante et impartiale. Les décisions que nous prenons visent à servir au mieux l'intérêt public. Notre objectif est d'encourager le développement d'un secteur de l'énergie efficace et financièrement viable afin d'offrir des services énergétiques fiables à un prix raisonnable.

INFORMEZ-VOUS ET DONNEZ VOTRE AVIS

Vous avez le droit d'être informé au sujet de cette demande et de participer au processus. Vous pouvez :

- examiner la requête d'OPG sur le site Web de la CEO dès maintenant;
- vous inscrire à titre d'observateur pour recevoir les documents de la CEO relatifs à l'audience;
- déposer une lettre de commentaires qui sera prise en compte au cours de l'audience;
- participer activement au processus (à titre d'intervenant). Inscrivez-vous avant le 16 janvier 2014, faute de quoi l'audience aura lieu sans votre participation et vous ne recevrez plus d'avis dans le cadre de la présente affaire;
- examiner la décision rendue par la CEO à l'issue de la procédure, ainsi que ses justifications, sur notre site Web.

APPRENEZ-EN PLUS

Ces montants concernent la production d'énergie provenant des installations d'énergie nucléaire et de la plupart des installations d'énergie hydroélectrique d'OPG. Ils sont inscrits à la ligne « Électricité » de votre facture. Notre numéro de dossier pour cette affaire est EB-2013-0321. Pour en savoir plus sur cette audience, sur les démarches à suivre pour déposer une lettre, participer en tant qu'intervenant ou pour consulter les documents relatifs à cette affaire, sélectionnez ce numéro de dossier sur le site Web de la CEO: www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/notice. Pour toute question, vous pouvez également communiquer avec notre centre des relations avec les consommateurs au 1-877-632-2727.

AUDIENCES ORALES ET AUDIENCES ÉCRITES

Il existe deux types d'audiences à la CEO : les audiences écrites et les audiences orales. La CEO a décidé d'avoir recours à une audience orale au vu de l'importance des questions abordées dans cette affaire. Si vous avez des commentaires concernant le recours à une audience orale ou écrite, vous pouvez en faire part par écrit à la CEO.

PROTECTION DES RENSEIGNEMENTS PERSONNELS

Si vous présentez une lettre de commentaires ou participez à l'audience à titre d'observateur, votre nom ainsi que le contenu de votre lettre et des documents que vous déposerez auprès de la CEO seront versés au dossier public et publiés sur son site Web. Toutefois, votre numéro de téléphone, votre adresse et votre adresse électronique ne seront pas rendus publics. Si vous représentez une entreprise, tous les renseignements de l'entreprise demeureront accessibles au public. Si vous participez à titre d'intervenant, tous vos renseignements personnels seront rendus publics.

Cette audience sur les tarifs sera tenue en vertu de l'article 78,1 de la Loi de 1998 sur la Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario, L.O 1998, chap. 15 (annexe B).



APPENDIX C

ONTARIO POWER GENERATION INC. 2014-2015 PAYMENT AMOUNTS

EB-2013-0321

DRAFT ISSUES LIST

Ontario Power Generation Inc. 2014-2015 Payment Amounts for Prescribed Generating Facilities EB-2013-0321

DRAFT ISSUES LIST

1. GENERAL

- 1.1 Has OPG responded appropriately to all relevant Board directions from previous proceedings?
- 1.2 Are OPG's economic and business planning assumptions for 2014-2015 appropriate?
- 1.3 Has OPG appropriately applied USGAAP accounting requirements, including identification of all accounting treatment differences from its last payment order proceeding?

2. RATE BASE

2.1 Are the amounts proposed for rate base appropriate?¹

3. CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF CAPITAL

- 3.1 What is the appropriate capital structure and rate of return on equity for the currently regulated facilities and newly regulated facilities?
- 3.2 Is OPG's proposal for return on equity appropriate for the currently regulated facilities and for the newly regulated facilities?
- 3.3 Are OPG's proposed costs for its long-term and short-term debt components of its capital structure appropriate?

4. CAPITAL PROJECTS

Regulated Hydroelectric

4.1 Are the costs associated with the regulated hydroelectric projects subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 (including the Niagara Tunnel Project) within the project budgets approved by the OPG Board of Directors before the making of the Board's first order establishing payment amounts for the regulated facilities? If not, were the excess costs prudent?

¹ Issues 2.1 and 4.1 are proposed as primary issues by OPG.

- 4.2 Are the proposed regulated hydroelectric capital expenditures and/or financial commitments appropriate?
- 4.3 Are the proposed test period in-service additions for regulated hydroelectric projects appropriate?²

Nuclear

- 4.4 Are the costs associated with the nuclear projects subject to section 6(2)4 of O. Reg. 53/05 within the project budgets approved by the OPG Board of Directors before the making of the Board's first order establishing payment amounts for the regulated facilities? If not, were the excess costs prudent?
- 4.5 Are the proposed nuclear capital expenditures and/or financial commitments appropriate?
- 4.6 Are the proposed test period in-service additions for nuclear projects (excluding those for the Darlington Refurbishment Project) appropriate?
- 4.7 Are the proposed test period in-service additions for the Darlington Refurbishment Project appropriate?
- 4.8 Are the proposed test period capital expenditures associated with the Darlington Refurbishment Project reasonable?
- 4.9 Are the commercial and contracting strategies used in the Darlington Refurbishment Project reasonable?
- 4.10 Does OPG's nuclear refurbishment process align appropriately with the principles stated in the Government of Ontario's Long Term Energy Plan issued on December 2, 2013?

5. PRODUCTION FORECASTS

Regulated Hydroelectric

- 5.1 Is the proposed regulated hydroelectric production forecast appropriate?
- 5.2 Is the estimate of surplus baseload generation appropriate? What economic and supply conditions are forecast to generate the surplus baseload generation outlook?
- 5.3 Has the incentive mechanism encouraged appropriate use of the regulated hydroelectric facilities to supply energy in response to market prices?
- 5.4 Is the proposed new incentive mechanism appropriate? Does the proposed new incentive mechanism increase benefits to consumers while maintaining operational incentives for OPG?

² Issues 4.3, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9 are proposed as primary issues by OPG.

Nuclear

- 5.5 Is the proposed nuclear production forecast appropriate?³
- 5.6 Are the estimates of forced loss rates for the individual nuclear plants reasonable?

6. OPERATING COSTS

Regulated Hydroelectric

- 6.1 Is the test period Operations, Maintenance and Administration budget for the regulated hydroelectric facilities appropriate?
- 6.2 Is the benchmarking methodology reasonable? Are the benchmarking results and targets flowing from those results for the regulated hydroelectric facilities reasonable?

Nuclear

- 6.3 Is the test period Operations, Maintenance and Administration budget for the nuclear facilities appropriate?
- 6.4 Is the benchmarking methodology reasonable? Are the benchmarking results and targets flowing from those results for the nuclear facilities reasonable?
- 6.5 Is the forecast of nuclear fuel costs appropriate? Has OPG responded appropriately to the suggestions and recommendations in the Uranium Procurement Program Assessment report?
- 6.6 Are the test period expenditures related to continued operations for Pickering Units 5 to 8 appropriate?
- 6.7 Is the test period Operations, Maintenance and Administration budget for the Darlington Refurbishment Project appropriate?

Corporate Costs

- 6.8 Are the 2014 and 2015 human resource related costs (wages, salaries, benefits, incentive payments, FTEs and pension costs) appropriate?
- 6.9 Are the corporate costs allocated to the regulated hydroelectric and nuclear businesses appropriate?
- 6.10 Are the centrally held costs allocated to the regulated hydroelectric business and nuclear business appropriate?

Depreciation

6.11 Is the proposed test period depreciation expense appropriate?

³ Issue 6.8 is proposed as a primary issue by OPG.

6.12 Are the depreciation studies and associated proposed changes to depreciation expense appropriate?

Income and Property Taxes

6.13 Are the amounts proposed to be included in the test period revenue requirement for income and property taxes appropriate?

Other Costs

- 6.14 Are the asset service fee amounts charged to the regulated hydroelectric and nuclear businesses appropriate?
- 6.15 Are the amounts proposed to be included in the test period revenue requirement for other operating cost items appropriate?

7. OTHER REVENUES

Regulated Hydroelectric

7.1 Are the proposed test period revenues from ancillary services, segregated mode of operation and water transactions appropriate?

Nuclear

7.2 Are the forecasts of nuclear business non-energy revenues appropriate?

Bruce Nuclear Generating Station

7.3 Are the test period costs related to the Bruce Nuclear Generating Station, and costs and revenues related to the Bruce lease appropriate?

8. NUCLEAR WASTE MANAGEMENT AND DECOMMISSIONING LIABILITIES

- 8.1 Is the revenue requirement methodology for recovering nuclear liabilities in relation to nuclear waste management and decommissioning costs appropriate? If not, what alternative methodology should be considered?
- 8.2 Is the revenue requirement impact of the nuclear liabilities appropriately determined?

9. DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNTS

9.1 Is the nature or type of costs recorded in the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?

- 9.2 Are the balances for recovery in each of the deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
- 9.3 Are the proposed disposition amounts appropriate?
- 9.4 Is the disposition methodology appropriate?
- 9.5 Is the proposed continuation of deferral and variance accounts appropriate?
- 9.6 Is OPG's proposal to not clear deferral and variance account balances in this proceeding (other than the four accounts directed for clearance in EB-2012-0002) appropriate?
- 9.7 Is OPG's proposal to create sub-accounts of variance accounts for the newly regulated hydroelectric generation facilities appropriate?
- 9.8 Is the proposal to discontinue the Hydroelectric Incentive Mechanism Variance Account appropriate?

10. REPORTING AND RECORD KEEPING REQUIREMENTS

10.1 What additional reporting and record keeping requirements should be established for OPG?

11. METHODOLOGIES FOR SETTING PAYMENT AMOUNTS

11.1 Has OPG responded appropriately to Board direction from the previous proceeding regarding benchmarking of generation performance with an intention to establishing incentive regulation?

12. IMPLEMENTATION

12.1 Are the effective dates for new payment amounts and riders appropriate?