Updated: January 8, 2014 EB-2013-0187/0196/0198 Exhibit I Tab 2 Schedule 4 Page 1 of 2

1		Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) INTERROGATORY #4 List2
2		
3	Int	<u>errogatory</u>
4		
5	RE	EFERENCE: i) Supplemental Evidence, page 2, lines 8-15
6		ii) Updated Exhibit A, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 4, lines 14-22
7		iii) Board Decision, EB-2008-0053, page 4
8		
9	a)	How long a transition period does HONI anticipate will be required? What would be
10		the major impediments to establishing a date for the completion of the transition?
11		
12	b)	VECC notes that in other Decisions the Board has set a time frame for the completion
13		of the transaction and required that a new application be made if the transaction was
14		not completed by that date. Does HONI consider that such an approach would be
15		appropriate in this case?
16		
17	c)	If not, why not?
18		
19	d)	If yes, what does HONI consider would be a reasonable timeframe and why?
20		
21	e)	If the Board adopted such an approach and the transaction were not completed, would
22		the 1% rate reduction granted to NPDI remain in effect?
23		-

Response

- a) As noted at page 4, line 1 of the Supplemental Evidence, up to an 18 month transitional period is contemplated. At present, the major impediment to completion of the transition is business system integration. As a result, Hydro One requests that the Board's Conditions of Approval allow the contemplated transactions closing within 18 months of issuance of the Board's order in this proceeding.
- b) to d) HONI suggests that a more appropriate approach, if completion has not occurred by the end of the transitional timeframe, would be for HONI to inform the Board and request an extension. The Board could then decide what process is required to consider the request. In HONI's view, this would be a more flexible and efficient regulatory approach. It is also consistent with the approach the Board uses in its Conditions of Approval for Leave-to-Construct applications, in which the applicant is required to inform the Board of material changes to the project's timing.
- e) Hydro One disagrees with this premise and Hydro One has indicated in part b) above. However, if the transaction was not completed (i.e., did not close) there would be no basis for the 1% rate reduction to continue.