

From: [BoardSec](#)
To: [Shelly-Anne Connell](#)
Subject: FW: EB-2014-0020 Application for Electricity Generation Licence SunE Welland Ridge LP
Date: February -25-14 8:51:23 AM

-----Original Message-----

From: Herb and Carol [REDACTED]
Sent: February-24-14 11:14 PM
To: BoardSec
Subject: EB-2014-0020 Application for Electricity Generation Licence SunE Welland Ridge LP

February 24, 2014.

Attention: Board Secretary
Filings: Re SunE Welland Ridge LP
Application for Electricity Generation Licence.
Board File Number EB-2014-0020

Dear Kirsten Walli/Board Secretary:

Since the Board goes by the final OPA document of Notice to Proceed as an indicator, we would hope that the financial viability of this applicant is up-to-date as of 2014 and not the original Notice to Proceed of 2013. We wonder if there are any liens against the applicant? I hope that solid evidence is provided as to financial viability.

The applicant's technical capability to reliable and safe operations has been questioned by the people around the site. What kind of future harm will result from the materials used on this site in regards to the large aquifer adjacent to this site and to the shallow aquifer area that is the site? No amount of technical graphs and fancy pictures by engineers can guarantee the safe operation of this site. We have no evidence other than the applicant's mantra of safety. Speaking from personal experience this applicant has stood up at the public meetings and has promised all kinds of guarantees that now they do not know anything about. Convenient forgetfulness is the flavour of the day for this applicant. No cement would be used except on the ten inverter stations, while according to Mr. Kokkas, cementing in the supports is the common practise. He doesn't know why we were told differently. Since when can the applicant guarantee safety before the fact. We were always told water and electricity do not mix, it is unsafe. How about crossing a major gas pipeline, as this project will do. It is not safe according to anyone with some sense, but once again the engineering on this project thinks it is safe. Will these same engineers be there when it blows up and destroys the neighbours? No word yet on what kind of panels there will be, but from all evidence so far, this project will be installed in the cheapest way possible. Will that include cheap toxic panels from China and cheap wiring and other materials from China as well?

As to the applicant and its key individual's past business history and conduct, as far as we know this is a new endeavour for them in this province, so past experience is not there. As to the applicant carrying on business in accordance with the law, integrity and honesty, judging by the public meetings the Stakeholders have had to endure, we received all kinds of feel good guarantees. However, if the promises are not in writing from SunE then they don't mean a thing. The example of what one goes through to get a copy of the Electricity Generation Application from this applicant proves to me that this applicant cannot follow the Letter of Direction. After several phone calls, all long distance, from Friday morning, February 21, 2014, to Monday morning February 24, 2014, I finally received a copy of the application around 5:30 P.M. This application is supposed to be at the Welland office site, well it looks like there is no SunE Welland office site because a SunE representative had to come down from where, we don't know. I was told by SunE's project manager, not to come on the site again due to safety reasons.

Therefore, the application copy was delivered to my mail box at 5:30 P.M.

Will this applicant carry on business in accordance with the law, integrity and honesty? Honesty

was never SunE's claim to fame according to the Stakeholders. Examples are direction of water flow directly opposite to what it is, no water tests in an aquifer area, destruction of prime agricultural land and having SunEdison say that their project will improve the land, the land will be better than before, no regard for the trees, wildlife and endangered species. The best part is the total destruction of the areas in which they were given points to do a three year frog study. Goodbye, frogs, salamanders, snakes, snapping turtles and muskrats. Denying the depreciation of property values when depreciation does exist, directing the flow of water onto the neighbours land through the creation of large ditches that never existed before and saying drainage will be better, yes for them it will be, creating a mountain of dirt from all the topsoil and subsoil removals creating erosion. But the best part is totally changing the site plan that was submitted and not letting the public know about it or let the public have a copy of it after numerous requests. Also, changing the direction of the panels and telling us that they will be rotating and now the direction is different and the panels are stationary. All these discrepancies, are they considered honest?

Other issues exist such as the long term health to the neighbours, the water safety issue, pollution of soil, water and air, harm to the wildlife and last but not least the cost of this unstable source of electricity at twenty-two times the cost of water hydro.

Yours truly,

L. Haeberle

A large black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of L. Haeberle.