



500 Consumers Road
North York, Ontario M2J 1P8
PO Box 650
Scarborough ON M1K 5E3

Lorraine Chiasson
Regulatory Coordinator
Regulatory Affairs
phone: (416) 495-5499
fax: (416) 495-6072
Email: egdregulatoryproceedings@enbridge.com

February 25, 2014

VIA RESS, EMAIL and COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
Suite 2700
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

**Re: EB-2012-0459 - Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge")
2014 – 2018 Rate Application
Undertaking Responses**

Further to Enbridge Gas Distribution's filing of February 21, 2014, enclosed please find undertaking responses and an updated interrogatory response as follows:

Exhibit J1.7;
Exhibit J2.2; and
Exhibit I.B18.EGDI.SEC.83

The changes to Exhibit I.B18.EGDI.SEC.83 are the correction to numbers in Column 15 (to be consistent with Exhibit J1.9) and the removal of Columns 18 and 19, which were inadvertently included in the original response.

This submission was filed through the Board's RESS and is available on the Company's website at www.enbridgegas.com/ratecase.

Yours truly,

(original signed)

Lorraine Chiasson
Regulatory Coordinator

cc: Mr. F. Cass, Aird & Berlis
EB-2012-0459 Intervenors

UNDERTAKING J1.7

UNDERTAKING

TR 124

To advise whether Enbridge's proposed reporting requirements are similar to those in the Union Gas Settlement Agreement, or identify where they are different.

RESPONSE

Within its Settlement Agreement (section 12.1), Union Gas agreed to provide 21 utility information items annually for the most recent historical year. Most of these same items will be provided by Enbridge within annual Earnings Sharing Mechanism applications. Within the chart below, Enbridge indicates which particular items set out in the Union Gas Settlement Agreement will be provided annually by Enbridge under the Customized IR plan.

	Item from Union Gas Reporting Requirements	Enbridge response
1.	Calculation of revenue deficiency / (sufficiency);	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
2.	Statement of utility income;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
3.	Statement of earnings before interest and taxes;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
4.	Summary of cost of capital;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
5.	Total weather normalized throughput volume by service type and rate class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
6.	Total actual (non-weather normalized) throughput volumes by service type and rate class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
7.	Total weather normalized gas sales revenue by service type and rate class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
8.	Total actual (non-weather normalized) gas sales revenue by service type and rate class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
9.	Delivery revenue by service type and rate class and service class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.

Witness: M. Lister

10.	Total customers by service type and rate class;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
11.	Summary revenue from regulated storage and transportation;	N/A
12.	Other revenue;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
13.	Operating and maintenance expense by cost type – actuals only;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
14.	Calculation of utility income taxes;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
15.	Calculation of capital cost allowance;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
16.	Provision for depreciation, amortization and depletion;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
17.	Capital budget analysis by function;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
18.	Statement of utility rate base – actuals only;	Yes, within ESM proceeding.
19.	Unregulated Continuity of Property, Plant and Equipment, & Unregulated Continuity of Accumulated Depreciation;	This is not a requirement that has ever been applied to Enbridge.
20.	Service Quality Indicators per the RRR; and	At the end of the IR term, through the Performance Metrics Benchmarking Report.
21.	Audited financial statements for utility operations.	No, Enbridge does not have separate audited financial statements relating solely to utility operations.

Witness: M. Lister

UNDERTAKING J2.2

UNDERTAKING

TR 80

To explain whether Enbridge intends to alter the Risk Allocation defined in the EB-2011-0277 Decision.

RESPONSE

As discussed at EB-2012-0459 TR Volume 2 pages 78 to 80, it is not Enbridge's intention to alter the risk allocation defined in the EB-2011-0277 Decision.

Witness: M. Lister

SEC INTERROGATORY #83

INTERROGATORY

Issue B18: Is the rate base for each of 2014, 2015 and 2016 appropriate, including:

- a. Opening rate base;
- b. Forecast level of Capital expenditures;
- c. Forecast Customer additions;
- d. Proposed Capital additions;
- e. Allocation of the cost and use of capital assets between utility and nonutility (unregulated) operations;
- f. Working capital allowance; and
- g. All other components of and adjustments to rate base

[A2/1/3, p. 2] Please provide the data behind the chart "EGD Capital Expenditure".

RESPONSE

Please see the table on the following page. See also the response to SEC Interrogatory #8 found at Exhibit I.A1.EGDI.SEC.8.

Witnesses: L. Lawler
J. Sanders

Capital Expenditure Profile 2000-2018
 (\$Millions)

	Col 1 2000	Col 2 2001	Col 3 2002	Col 4 2003	Col 5 2004	Col 6 2005	Col 7 2006	Col 8 2007	Col 9 2008	Col 10 2009	Col 11 2010	Col 12 2011	Col 13 2012 2013B	Col 14 2014F	Col 15 2015F	Col 16 2016F	Col 17 2016F
Customer Related	117	123	116	115	120	137	135	136	120	109	108	136	152	123	122	130	141
System integrity	62	97	106	74	96	125	177	152	157	145	159	161	187	193	243	248	242
Others	36	30	31	36	63	54	53	67	90	96	71	103	90	71	115	94	67
GTA & Ottawa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	63	202	360	-
Total Capital Expenditure	215	250	253	225	278	316	365	355	366	349	338	399	438	450	682	832	450

Witnesses: L. Lawler
 J. Sanders