

March 11, 2014

**BY COURIER (2 COPIES) AND EMAIL**

**Ms. Kirsten Walli**

Board Secretary

Ontario Energy Board

P.O. Box 2319

2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700

Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

BoardSec@ontarioenergyboard.ca

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Environmental Defence Correspondence  
EB-2013-0321 – Ontario Power Generation Inc. (“OPG”)  
2014-2015 Payment Amounts Application**


I am writing on behalf of Environmental Defence to request that the Board consider this brief response to OPG’s letter dated March 10, 2014 regarding confidentiality.

OPG argues that the figures in its Darlington Business Case Summary could provide an advantage to suppliers because they are “not high level” and “are provided on a project-by-project basis.”<sup>1</sup> However, the redactions at issue relate to the *overall* costs and risks of the Darlington refurbishment – not to the individual component projects. See the evidence excerpts attached to Environmental Defence’s submissions of March 3, 2014 as well as the description of the key redactions at page 2 of those submissions.

OPG has not explained how the disclosure of these overall figures could put it at a disadvantage in its negotiations with suppliers.

Please advise if anything further is required or would be of assistance.

Yours truly,



Kent Elson

Encl.

cc: Applicant and Intervenors

---

<sup>1</sup> Letter from OPG, March 10, 2014, p. 4.