

700 University Avenue, Toronto, ON M5G 1X6

Tel: 416-592-3326 Fax: 416-592-8519
colin.anderson@opg.com

March 31, 2014

RESS, EMAIL AND COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Written Submission of the Applicant, Ontario Power Generation Inc.
re: Priority Issues**

Further to Procedural Order No. 4, OPG is providing below two clarifications with respect to its views about the Priority Issues in this proceeding.

As discussed on page 6 of that procedural order, OPG proposed a list of priority issues in its Application at Exhibit A1-10-1, originally filed in September 2013.

In that exhibit, OPG identified an issue related to the proposed test period in-service amounts for regulated hydroelectric projects as a priority issue. It did so, because the issue as framed at that time, included all of the test period in-service capital, including the Niagara Tunnel Project.

When the Board finalized the Issues List for the proceeding, issued in Procedural Order No. 3, the original proposed issue was sub-divided into two distinct issues: 4.3 which deals with the proposed test period in-service additions for regulated hydroelectric projects excluding the Niagara Tunnel Project, and 4.5 which relates to the test period in-service additions for the Niagara Tunnel Project.

OPG submits that issue 4.5 is appropriately a priority issue given that it encompasses the Niagara Tunnel Project. However, OPG does not believe that issue 4.3 is a priority issue as there are no other large, or out of the ordinary, hydroelectric projects coming into service during the test period.

Similarly, in Exhibit A1-10-1, OPG had proposed that the test period in-service amounts for nuclear, including the Darlington Refurbishment Project, be considered a priority issue. Again, when the Issues List was finalized, this issue was subdivided into two issues: 4.8 which deals with the test period in-service additions excluding the

Darlington Refurbishment Project; and 4.9 which deals with the test period in-service additions for the Darlington Refurbishment Project.

OPG submits that while issue 4.9 should be a priority issue since it deals with the Darlington Refurbishment Project, issue 4.8 should not be. There are no out of the ordinary nuclear projects coming into service in the test period that would warrant this issue being identified as a priority issue.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

[Original signed by]

Colin Anderson
Director, Ontario Regulatory Affairs
Ontario Power Generation

C. Carlton Mathias, OPG
Charles Keizer, Torys LLP
Intervenors of Record