



Fogler, Rubinoff LLP  
Lawyers

77 King Street West  
Suite 3000, PO Box 95  
TD Centre North Tower  
Toronto, ON M5K 1G8  
t: 416.864.9700 | f: 416.941.8852  
foglers.com

April 17, 2014

Reply To: Thomas Brett  
Direct Dial: 416.941.8861  
E-mail: tbrett@foglers.com  
Our File No. 134380

**VIA RESS, EMAIL AND COURIER**

Ontario Energy Board  
2300 Yonge Street  
Suite 2700  
Toronto Ontario  
M4P 1E4

Attention: Kirsten Walli,  
Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: Suncor's reply to letter from Mr. Giorno on behalf of Concerned Seniors Lambton County and We're Against Industrial Turbines Plympton-Wyoming, proposing supplemental interrogatories dated April 16, 2014  
Board File No. EB-2014-0022**

---

Suncor received "Supplemental Interrogatory Questions" from Mr. Santo Giorno, on behalf of Concerned Seniors Lambton County and We're Against Industrial Turbines Plympton-Wyoming. The deadline the Board set for submitting interrogatories in this case was April 3, 2014, so these proposed supplemental interrogatories are two weeks late (out of time).

Mr. Giorno states that by allowing supplemental interrogatories, the Board will:

"...remedy a misunderstanding regarding the subtle but critical difference between the status of Intervenor and Commenter and ensure that all questions regarding this Application receive a response from the Applicant."

He does not state what the misunderstanding is, for whom, and why this misunderstanding should lead the Board to permit the intervenor to ask more interrogatories at this time.

Moreover, the intervenor's proposed questions are either:

- (i) not questions at all, but rather, submissions, which they can make in argument at the appropriate time. This category includes the request for a stay on page 1 and IR 2(iv) at pages 3 and 4;
- (ii) questions that should be directed to either HONI or IESO (IR 2(i) and (ii)), or which deal with a matter which would have been addressed by the IESO in its SIA, were it deemed to be relevant to this application (IR2(iii));
- (iii) a question (IR 1) that Suncor has addressed in its response to County of Lambton's IR 2(4), which is being filed today. That response deals with the issue raised in the question, which is Suncor's placement of its poles on private lands, including the location of the poles in relation to the lot boundaries.

For the reasons stated above, Suncor respectfully suggests that it not be required to answer the supplemental interrogatories filed by Mr. Giorno, on behalf of Concerned Seniors Lambton County and We're Against Industrial Turbines Plympton-Wyoming.

Yours sincerely,

**FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP**

Tom Brett per: 

Thomas Brett

TB/dd

Encls.

cc: All Parties