

From: BoardSec
Sent: April 23, 2014 10:20 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: EB-2013-0442 FW: ontario energy board comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

From: marion [REDACTED]
Sent: April-22-14 9:29 PM
To: BoardSec
Cc: 'Heather Stauble'
Subject: FW: ontario energy board comments

To: boardsec@ontarioenergyboard.ca
Attention Board Secretary
April 20, 2014

Re: File Number EB-2013-0442 FOR DETERMINATION OF LOCATION OF DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES WITHIN ROAD ALLOWANCES OWNED BY THE MUNICIPALITY OF KAWARTHA LAKES
Dear Members of the Board:

Further to my correspondence of February 26th I now find it necessary to provide further comments after learning that not all parties as directed by the board had received proper notice of the application.

This submission is in support of the intervenors and a request that application EB 2013-0442 for determination of the location of distribution facilities within the road allowances owned by the Municipality of the City of Kawartha Lakes be set aside.

In May 2013 long before the project was approved a news release was issued by wpd stating that refusal by the City of Kawartha Lakes to discuss placement of the collector lines could force the company to ask the OEB to intervene.

Wind energy company threatens to take its case to Ontario Energy Board to move Sumac Ridge Wind Farm forward

(KAWARTHA LAKES) A wind energy company says a refusal by the City of Kawartha Lakes to discuss the placement of collector lines for a controversial wind turbine project in Manvers Township could force the company to ask the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) to intervene - and the City may have to pay for the hearing... <http://www.mykawartha.com/news-story/3846800-wind-energy-company-threatens-to-take-its-case-to-ontario-energy-board-to-move-sumac-ridge-wind-farm/>

As mentioned in my previous correspondence there appears to be a discrepancy in the application for the approval before the Board as it is an application for an above ground transmission line located on the north side of Gray Road to be constructed in a significant woodland and wetland area, rather than underground lines. Therefore it would seem that studies conducted on behalf of the company are based on the lines being underground rather than above ground. Gray Road is an unmaintained trail with virtually no year round access to the transmission line for repairs or possible emergency situations.

The Sumac Ridge project is under appeal at the Environmental Review Tribunal and the REA is to be amended since Turbine #1 has been identified as being too close to a receptor. This could result in a reconfiguration of the project.

There are many parties voicing concerns and objections to the Sumac Ridge project some of

which include:

- The Buddhist Community who see their \$100 million 20 year investment in jeopardy.
- Seneca College Flying School having recently moved to Peterborough Airport who could see their substantial financial investment at risk due to issues with the flight path over the Sumac Ridge Project.
- The Peterborough Airport who could see their recently completed multi-million dollar expansion in jeopardy.
- Both the Municipalities of City of Kawartha Lakes and Township of Cavan Monaghan who see the economic benefits for their citizens as a result of all of the above mentioned being at risk.
- thousands of residents farmers and business owners who will lose out on these much needed economic benefits (some 5000 who have signed petitions against the project along with some 2874 comments opposing the project posted to the EBR).
- Williams Treaties First Nations comprised of seven First Nations including the Chippewas of Beausoleil First Nation, Georgina Island First Nation, Rama First Nation, Mississaugas of Alderville First Nation, Hiawatha First Nation, Scugog First Nation and Curve Lake First Nation who have also voiced concerns and opposition to the project.

The intervenors in this case EB-2013-0442 speak for the multitudes that the transmission lines along Gray Road and distribution line that is to cross Wild Turkey Road (another unassumed road) located on the Oak Ridges Moraine is not in the best interest of the public. It is therefore requested that the Ontario Energy Board set aside this application.

This submission also supports a Request for Costs under cost eligibility set out in section 3.03 of the Board's Practice Direction on Costs Award. The individuals have an "interest in land that is affected by the process", as per section 3.03 of The Practice Direction on Costs Awards.

Respectfully Submitted by
Marion Thompson

[Redacted signature block]

Spam
Not spam
Forget previous vote