Hydro One Networks Inc.

7th Floor, South Tower 483 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5 www.HydroOne.com Tel: (416) 345-5700 Fax: (416) 345-5870 Cell: (416) 258-9383 Susan.E.Frank@HydroOne.com

Susan Frank

Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer Regulatory Affairs



BY COURIER

May 9, 2014

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, Suite 2700 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

EB-2013-0416 – Hydro One Networks' 2015-2019 Distribution Custom Rate Application – Hydro One Networks' Draft Issues List

On May 8, 2014 the Ontario Energy Board requested that Hydro One Networks' provide the Draft Issues List for the 2015-2019 Distribution Custom Rate Application.

I am attaching two (2) paper copies of Hydro One Networks' Draft Issues List.

An electronic copy of this letter including the attached document has been filed using the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY ALLAN COWAN FOR SUSAN FRANK

Susan Frank

Attach

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 5

DRAFT ISSUES LIST

2	
2	

1

1.0 CUSTOM APPLICATION

4 5

6

7

1.1 Does Hydro One Distribution's Custom Application adequately consider customer feedback and preferences? Have customer feedback and preferences been adequately reflected in the OM&A and capital spending plans?

8

Does Hydro One Distribution's Custom Application promote acceptable outcomes for existing and future customers (including, for example, cost control, system reliability, service quality)?

12

1.3 Does the Custom Application adequately incorporate and reflect the four outcomes identified in the RRFE Report: customer focus, operational effectiveness, public policy responsiveness and financial performance?

16

1.4 Is the performance monitoring proposed by Hydro One Distribution adequate to demonstrate whether the planned outcomes are achieved?

19

20 1.5 Are Hydro One Distribution's proposed off-ramps, annual adjustments and annual adjustments outside the normal course of business appropriate?

22

23 1.6 Are any modifications to the Custom Application required to make it compatible 24 with the rate-making methods described in the RRFE Report, including ratepayer 25 protection measures?

26

27

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 5

Has Hydro One Distribution responded appropriately to all relevant Board 1 directions from previous proceedings, including commitments from prior settlement 2 agreements? 3 4 What actions should the Board require Hydro One Distribution take at or near the 5 end of the 5-year rate term (e.g. rebasing, plan assessment, measurement of 6 customer satisfaction)? 7 8 2.0 PROGRAM AND PROJECT EXPENDITURES 9 10 Are the levels of planned operation, maintenance and administration expenditures 2.1 11 for 2015-2019 appropriate, and the rationale for the planning choices adequately 12 explained? 13 14 Is the level of planned capital expenditures appropriate for the period 2015-2019 15 and the rationale for the planning and pacing choices adequately explained? 16 17 2.3 Has Hydro One Networks proposed sufficient, sustainable productivity 18 improvements for the 2015-2019 period? 19 20 3.0 COMMON COSTS AND PROCESSES SHARED BY HYDRO ONE 21 NETWORKS' TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION BUSINESSES 22 23 Are the business planning processes, assumptions, and policies used by Hydro One 3.1 24 Networks to develop its distribution and transmission revenue requirement 25

27

26

appropriate?

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 5

- 3.2 Is the proposed level of 2015-2019 common corporate costs spending appropriate with an adequate demonstration of efficiencies over the 5-year period?
- Are the methodologies used to allocate common corporate costs to the distribution and transmission businesses and to determine the overhead capitalization rate for 2015-2019 appropriate?

3.4 Is the compensation strategy for 2015-2019 appropriate and does it result in reasonable compensation costs?

4.0 DEFERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNTS

3

7

10

11

12

16

21

22

25

26

- 4.1 Are the proposed amounts, disposition, discontinuance and continuance of Hydro
 One Distribution's existing deferral and variance accounts, as set out in the Custom
 Application, appropriate?
- Is it appropriate to include in rate base, effective January 1, 2015, the following inservice assets which are presently recorded as regulatory assets:
- a) smart meter assets as of December 31, 2013, the costs for which are recorded in variance accounts 1555 and 1556;
 - b) smart grid assets as of December 31, 2013, the costs for which are recorded in account 1536; and
- c) assets to facilitate distributed generation as of December 31, 2013, the costs for which are recorded in account 1533.

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 5

5.0 REVENUE REQUIREMENT

2

4

1

- 5.1 Is the determination of the components of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the Custom Application appropriate, including:
- a) Rate base;
- b) Cost of Capital;
- 7 c) Depreciation;
- 8 d) Taxes/PILS; and
- 9 e) OM&A

10 11

5.2 Is the load forecast a reasonable reflection of the energy and demand requirements of the applicant? Is the forecast of other rates and charges appropriate?

13 14

12

6.0 RATE DESIGN

15

- 16 6.1 Are the following rate-related adjustments proposed by Hydro One Distribution reasonable:
- a) reclassification of customers to reflect findings of the company's review of existing customer rate classifications;
- b) changes to the definition of "seasonal" customer class;
- c) addition of a new "Unmetered Scattered Load" rate class;
- d) an increase to the fixed charges revenue;
- e) moving revenue-to-cost ratios for all rate classes to within 98% to 102% over the 2015-2019 period;
- 25 f) adjustments to reflect the Board-directed line loss study; and
- g) proposed rate mitigation plans for some customers moving between rate classes in accordance with the results of the rate class review?

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 5

1

2 6.2 Are the proposed charges for miscellaneous services over the 2015-2019 period

3 reasonable?