Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 1 of 6

1		DRAFT ISSUES LIST			
2					
3	1.0	CUSTOM APPLICATION			
4					
5	1.1	Is the Custom Aapplication consistent with the Renewed Regulatory fFramework		Formatted: Highlight	
6		for Electricity? Are any modifications to the Custom Application required to make		Formatted: Highlight	
7		it compatible with the <u>planning requirements and</u> rate- <u>maksett</u> ing methods		Formatted: Highlight	
				Formatted: Highlight	
8		described in the RRFE Report? including ratepayer protection measures?			
9					
10	1.2	Has Hydro One Distribution responded appropriately to all relevant Board			
11		directions from previous proceedings, including commitments from prior settlement			
12		agreements?			
13					
14	<u>1.3</u>	_What actions should the Board require Hydro One Distribution take at or near the	1		
15		end of the 5-year rate term (e.g. rebasing, plan assessment, measurement of	I		
16		customer satisfaction)?			
17	<u>1.4</u>	Is the proposed rate-smoothing mechanismproposal appropriate? Given Hydro			
18		One's rate smoothing proposal, Sshould the application include any other ratepayer			
19		protection measures such as an earnings ahringsharing mechanism-or other			
20		including ratepayer protection measures?			
21		Is the proposed rate smoothing proposal appropriate?			
22	<u>1.3</u> 1	.5			
23			I		
24	2.0	OUTCOMES AND INCENTIVES			
25					
26	2.1	Does Hydro One Distribution's Custom Application adequately consider customer			
27		feedback and preferences? Have customer feedback and preferences been			
28		adequately reflected in the OM&A and capital spending plans?			

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 2 of 6

1			
2	2.2	Does Hydro One Distribution's Custom Application promote and incent acceptable	
3		outcomes for existing and future customers (including, for example, cost control,	
4		system reliability, service quality, bill impacts)?	
5			
6	2.3	Does the Custom Application adequately incorporate and reflect the four outcomes	
7		identified in the RRFE Report: customer focus, operational effectiveness, public	
8		policy responsiveness and financial performance?	
9			
10	2.4	Is the performance monitoring and reporting of performance proposed by Hydro	
11		One Distribution adequate to demonstrate whether the planned outcomes are	
12		achieved?	
13			
14	<u>2.5</u>	_Are Hydro One Distributions' proposed off-ramps, annual adjustments and annual	
15		adjustments outside the normal course of business appropriate?	
16			
17	2.6	H so, Aare the Hydro One's forecasts (revenue, costs, inflation and productivity)	
18		reasonable? HasIs it appropriate to Hydro One appropriately benchmarked Hydro	Formatted: Highlight
19		One's forecasts? its forecasts (revenue, costs, inflation and productivity) to	
20		determine their reasonableness? If so, are the forecasts reasonable?	
21			
22	<u>2.7</u>	Is Hydro One's proposed annual reporting and stakeholder engagement process	
23		appropriate?	
24			
25	<u>2.5</u> 2	8 DoesShould the application provide appropriate incentives for line loss reduction?	
26			
27	3.0	PROGRAM AND PROJECT EXPENDITURES	

		Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 3 of 6		
1	2.1		1	
2	<u>3.1</u>	_Are the levels of planned operation, maintenance and administration expenditures		
3		for 2015-2019 appropriate, and <u>is</u> the rationale for the planning choices <u>appropriate</u>		
4		and adequately explained?		
5		3.1		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.95 cm, No bullets or numbering
6 7	3.2	Is the level of planned capital expenditures appropriate for the period 2015-2019		
8	0.2	and <u>is the rationale for the planning and pacing choices appropriate and adequately</u>	1	
9		explained?	I	
10				
11	3.3	_Has Hydro One Networks proposed sufficient, sustainable productivity		Formatted: Font: Not Bold
12		improvements for the 2015-2019 period, and have those proposalsed improvements		
13		been adequately supported by benchmarking?		Formatted: Highlight
14				Formatted: Indent: Left: 1.27 cm,
15	<u>3.3</u> 3	.4 Is the company's effort to reduce line losses appropriate?		No bullets or numbering
16			1	
17	4.0	COMMON COSTS AND PROCESSES SHARED BY HYDRO ONE		
18		NETWORKS' TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION BUSINESSES		
19				
20	4.1	Are the business planning processes, assumptions, and policies used by Hydro One		
21		Networks to develop, allocate and disclose its distribution and transmission revenue	+	Formatted: Highlight
22		requirement appropriate, including compensation costs and cost of capital?		
23				
24	4.2	Is the proposed level of 2015-2019 common corporate costs spending appropriate		
25		with an adequate demonstration of efficiencies over the 5-year period?		
26				
27	4.3	Are the methodologies used to allocate common corporate costs to the distribution		
28		and transmission businesses and to determine the overhead capitalization rate for		

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 4 of 6

1	201	5-2019 appropriate?
2		
3	4.4 Is the	he compensation strategy for 2015-2019 appropriate and does it result in
4	reas	onable compensation costs?
5		
6	5.0 DEI	FERRAL AND VARIANCE ACCOUNTS
7		
8	5.1 Are	the proposed amounts, disposition, discontinuance and continuance of Hydro
9	One	Distribution's existing deferral and variance accounts, as set out in the Custom
10	App	lication, appropriate?
11		
12	5.2 Is it	appropriate to include in rate base, effective January 1, 2015, the following in-
13	serv	ice assets which are presently recorded as regulatory assets:
14	a) sm	nart meter assets as of December 31, 2013, the costs for which are recorded in
15	va	riance accounts 1555 and 1556;
16	b) sn	nart grid assets as of December 31, 2013, the costs for which are recorded in
17	ac	count 1536; and
18		sets to facilitate distributed generation as of December 31, 2013, the costs for
19	wł	hich are recorded in account 1533.
20		
21	6.0 R	EVENUE REQUIREMENT
22		
23		he rate base component of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the
24		tom Application appropriate ?
25		ne rate base component of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the
26	Cus	tom Application appropriate ?

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 5 of 6

1	6.2 Is the capital straucture and cost of capital component of the revenue requirement
2	for 2015 as set out in the Custom Application appropriate ?
3	6.3 Is the depreciation component of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the
4	Custom Application appropriate ?
5	6.4 Is the taxes / PILs component of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the
6	Custom Application appropriate ?
7	6.26.5 Is the OM&A component of the revenue requirement for 2015 as set out in the
8	Custom Application appropriate ?
9	a) Rate base;
10	b) Cost of Capital;
11	c) Depreciation;
12	d)—Taxes/PILS; and
13	e) OM&A
14	
15	6.3 <u>6.6</u> Is the load forecast a reasonable reflection of the energy and demand requirements
16	of the applicant? Is the forecast of other rates and charges appropriate? Is the
17	forecast of other revenues appropriate?
18	
19	7.0 <u>COST ALLOCATION AND</u> RATE DESIGN
20	
21	7.1 Are the rate classes and their definitions proposed by Hydro One appropriate?
22	7.2 Is the proposed definition of "seasonal" customer class appropriate? Particularly, is
23	residency an appropriate criterion in defining a class? Has this criterion been
24	applied consistently?
25	7.3 Is the reclassification of customers to reflect findings of the company's review of
26	existing customer rate classifications appropriate?
27	7.4 Is moving revenue-to-cost ratios for all rate classes to within 98% to 102% over the
28	2015-2019 period appropriate;?

Filed: 2014-01-31 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit A Tab 24 Schedule 1 Page 6 of 6

- 1 7.5 Is the addition of a new "Unmetered Scattered Load" rate class appropriate?
- 2 7.6 Are Hydro One's proposed charges for street lighting appropriate?
- 3 <u>7.7</u> Is an increase to the fixed charges revenue appropriate?
- 4 <u>7.8 Are the proposed charges for miscellaneous services over the 2015-2019 period</u>
- 5 <u>reasonable?</u>
- 6 7.9 Are the adjustments to reflect the Board-directed line loss study appropriate?
- 7 7.10 Are the proposed rate mitigation plans for some customers moving between rate
 - classes in accordance with the results of the rate class review?
- 8 9