
AIRD & BERLIS LLP

Barristers and Solicitors

Fred D. Cass
Direct: 416-865-7742
E-mail: fcass@airdberlis.com

June 25, 2014

Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
PO Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street
Toronto, Ontario
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2012-0459; Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. 2014-2018
Customized IR Application**

We are writing on behalf of Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (Enbridge) to respond to the letter sent to the Board by counsel for Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters (CME) on June 19, 2014. In that letter, CME's counsel provided a link to a decision of the National Energy Board and indicated that the NEB decision "appears to be relevant" to an issue raised in the EB-2012-0459 proceeding.

Enbridge does not object to CME's suggestion that the NEB decision be brought to the attention of the Board panel that heard the EB-2012-0459 application (if the panel is not already aware of the decision). As to the relevance of the NEB decision to any issues under consideration by the Board in EB-2012-0459, however, no determination that the decision is in any way relevant can be made because the record of the EB-2012-0459 proceeding is lacking a considerable amount of information and explanation about how, if at all, the issues and circumstances of the NEB's proceeding can be related to the issues and circumstances before the Board in EB-2012-0459.

Enbridge believes that it would be inappropriate to apply any aspect of the NEB decision in the EB-2012-0459 case without allowing Enbridge and other parties the

June 25, 2014

Page 2

opportunity to comment on the relevance of the decision to the facts of Enbridge's application.

Yours truly,

AIRD & BERLIS LLP

(Original Signed)

Fred D. Cass

c.c. Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
All EB-2012-0459 Intervenors