

# By RESS & Courier

July 24, 2014

Pascale Duguay Manager, Natural Gas Applications Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Duguay:

Re: Union Gas Limited

Panhandle Replacement Project Board File # EB-2012-0432

Pursuant to Condition 1.5 of the Board's Conditions of Approval for the above-noted project, please find attached Union's Post Financial Report.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed By)

Shelley Bechard Administrative Analyst, Regulatory Projects Encl.

cc: Z. Crnojacki (Chair, OPCC) Patrick Sullivan

Regulatory Library

# TOTAL ESTIMATED PIPELINE CAPITAL COSTS

# PANHANDLE NPS 16 - OJIBWAY PARK REPLACEMENT

	<b>Estimated Costs</b>		<b>Actual Costs</b>	
Pipeline and Equipment NPS 16 Steel Pipe, Coated 600 metres	\$185,000		\$85,859	
Fittings & Miscellaneous Material	\$50,000		\$22,339	
Cub Total	\$225 000		<b>#100.100</b>	
Sub-Total	\$235,000		\$108,198	
Stores Overhead – Fittings and Misc. Material	\$5,000		\$12,583	
Total Pipeline and Equipment		\$240,000		\$120,781
Construction and Labour				
Drill 400 metres of NPS 16 Steel Pipe	\$1,340,000		\$926,341	
Lay 200 metres of NPS 16 Steel Pipe				
Drilling, Testing, Dewatering, Park Trail Reconstruction,				
Grouting & Miscellaneous Contract Labour				
Company Labour, X-Ray, Construction Survey, Legal,	\$523,000		\$162,045	
Environmental Fees, Mill Inspection and Consultants				
Easements, Lands & Damages	\$50,000		\$138,128	
Total Construction and Labour		\$1,913,000	_	\$1,226,514
Total Pipeline and Equipment and Construction and Labour		\$2,153,000		\$1,347,295
Contingencies		\$215,000		
	_		_	
<b>Total Estimated Pipeline Capital Costs – 2013 Construction</b>	_	\$2,368,000	=	\$1,347,295

Includes the Estimated Environmental Costs Identified in Schedule 12

#### Panhandle NPS 16 - Ojibway Park Replacement Variance Explanations

# **Pipeline and Equipment**

Pipe costs less than anticipated. The spot pipe of small quantities of pipe is difficult to estimate and conservative estimates were used in the original budget calculations. Due to final pipeline design fewer fittings were required.

# **Construction and Labour**

The original plan had been to construct the pipe over 2 seasons with a break in the middle when the area is used by a number of wildlife species. Union was able to construct the entire pipeline during winter conditions. This reduced costs and environmental impacts as it was not necessary to return and finish construction in the second season. Inclement weather was not encountered and minimized the days when construction could not be completed. Construction in frozen conditions eliminated the need to dewater the area prior to construction.

#### **Easements, Lands & Damages**

Temporary land costs higher than anticipated. More temporary lands was required then first anticipated, and it was used for a longer period of time.