Hydro One Networks Inc.

7th Floor, South Tower 483 Bay Street Toronto, Ontario M5G 2P5 www.HydroOne.com Tel: (416) 345-5700 Fax: (416) 345-5870 Cell: (416) 258-9383 Susan.E.Frank@HydroOne.com

Susan Frank Vice President and Chief Regulatory Officer Regulatory Affairs



BY EMAIL

August 1, 2014

Ms. Kirsten Walli Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street P.O. Box 2319 Toronto, ON. M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

EB-2013-0416 Hydro One Networks' 2015 - 2019 Distribution Custom Rate Application – Amended Interrogatory Responses 3.3-SEC-31 and 4.2-SIA-50

Please find enclosed two amended responses to interrogatories that were originally filed on July 4, 2014.

- 1. Exhibit I, Tab 3.3, Schedule 9 SEC 31
 - Attachment 1 KPMG Report Ministry of Energy Assessment of Benchmarking Reports from Hydro One
 - Attachment 2 KPMG Report Ministry of Energy Assessment of Organizational and Structural Opportunities at Hydro One
 - Attachment 3 KPMG Report Hydro One Rate Payer Impact Analysis
- 2. Exhibit I, Tab 4.2, Schedule 2 SIA 50

If you have any questions regarding these exhibits, please address them to Regulatory@HydroOne.com

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY SUSAN FRANK

Susan Frank

cc. Intervenors

Encls.

Updated: 2014-08-01 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit I Tab 3.03 Schedule 9 SEC 31 Page 1 of 1

| 1 | School Energy Coalition (SEC) INTERROGATORY #31 |
|------------------|--|
| 2 3 4 5 | Issue 3.3 Has Hydro One proposed sufficient, sustainable productivity improvements for the 2015-2019 period, and have those proposals been adequately supported, for example, by benchmarking? |
| 6 7 | <u>Interrogatory</u> |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Reference: EB-2013-0321, Interrogatory Response 6.8-SEC-116 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | KMPG's Assessment of Organizational and Structural Opportunities at OPG at p.2] |
| 12 | Does the Applicant have in its possession a copy of a report by KPMG engaged on behalf |
| 13 | of the Ministry of Energy in 2012, assessing Hydro One's existing benchmarking studies |
| 14 | and to identify organizational and structural opportunities for cost savings. If so, please |
| 15 | provide a copy of the report. |
| 16 17 | <u>Response</u> |
| 18 | |
| 19 | Please see the following attachments to this response: |
| 20 | |
| 21 | • Attachment 1 – "Ministry of Energy – Assessment of Benchmarking Reports from |
| 22 | Hydro One" (December 7, 2012) by KPMG; |
| 23 | • Attachment 2 – "Ministry of Energy – Assessment of Organizational and Structural |
| 24 | Opportunities at Hydro One" (February 28, 2013) by KPMG; and |
| 25 | • Attachment 3 – "Hydro One Rate Payer Impact Analysis" (February 28, 2013) by |

26 KPMG.

Updated: 2014-08-01 EB-2013-0416 Exhibit I Tab 4.02 Schedule 2 SIA 50 Page 1 of 1

| 1 | <u>Sustainal</u> | ble Infrastructure Alliance of Ontario (SIA) INTERROGATORY #50 | | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Issue 4.2 | Is the proposed level of 2015-2019 common corporate costs spending | | | | | |
| 4 | | appropriate with an adequate demonstration of efficiencies over the 5- | | | | | |
| 5 | | year period? | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Interrogatory | | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Reference: E | xhibit C1/Tab 2/Schedule 8 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Please provide a breakdown of the Regulatory Affairs Budget from 2015 through 2019 | | | | | | |
| 12 | into its major | subcategories. | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | <u>Response</u> | | | | | | |

| Regulatory Affairs Budget Breakdown¹ (\$ Millions) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Description | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | | | | |
| Pricing | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | | | | |
| Compliance | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | | | | |
| Major Application | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | | | | |
| Major Projects | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | | | | |
| Strategy & Regulatory Admin | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | | | | |
| OEB/NEB Costs | 13.5 | 14.5 | 14.0 | 15.6 | 15.0 | | | | |
| Total | 21.5 | 22.4 | 21.5 | 23.3 | 22.9 | | | | |

Note 1 – The amounts shown in this table represent the total for Hydro One Networks, including both Hydro One Distribution and Transmission. The Distribution allocated

amount was then determined using the Common Corporate Costs, Cost Allocation Methodology discussed in Exhibit C1, Tab 5, Schedule 1.

Note 2 – The majority of the OEB/NEB costs are for the fixed cost assessment provided

annually by the respective boards.