

500 Consumers Road North York, Ontario M2J 1P8 PO Box 650 Scarborough ON M1K 5E3 Andrew Mandyam Director, Regulatory Affairs and Financial Performance phone: 416-495-6350 fax: (416) 495-6072 Email: andrew.mandyam@enbridge.com

August 19, 2014

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street Suite 2700 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli:

Re: Draft Rate Order, EB-2012-0459 Enbridge Gas Distribution Customized IR Application Reply Submission

On July 17, 2014, the Board issued its EB-2012-0459 Decision and Order (the "Decision") in respect of the Customized Incentive Regulation application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge"). In the Decision, the Board gave directions regarding the Draft Rate Order and Draft Accounting Order (collectively, the "DRO") arising out of the Decision. Among other things, the Decision provided for submissions by parties on the DRO to be filed by August 14, 2014 and a reply by Enbridge to be filed on August 19, 2014.

In the context of its directions regarding the DRO, the Board indicated that Enbridge should file a DRO that would "comprehensively reflect the Board's findings in this decision and allow the decision to be implemented as soon as possible". The Board also indicated that the material filed by Enbridge should allow the Board and parties to verify that "the Board's findings are properly addressed" and the Board stated its expectation that the Final Rate Order and Accounting Order would be available for an October 1, 2014 implementation timeframe.¹

Two points emerge very clearly from these directions given by the Board in the Decision: first, the intent of the Board's directions is to ensure that the DRO reflects the findings made by the Board in the decision and, second, the Board's expectation is that the Decision will be implemented as soon as possible and, specifically, within an October 1, 2014 implementation timeframe.

¹ Decision, page 84.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 2 of 10

Enbridge has received submissions or comments with respect to the DRO from the following:

- (1) Board Staff;
- (2) Association of Power Producers of Ontario ("APPrO");
- (3) Building Owners and Managers Association Toronto ("BOMA");
- (4) Canadian Manufacturers & Exporters ("CME");
- (5) Consumers Council of Canada ("CCC");
- (6) Energy Probe Research Foundation ("Energy Probe");
- (7) Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO");
- (8) School Energy Coalition ("SEC"); and
- (9) Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC").

In reply to submissions and comments from Board Staff and intervenors, Enbridge will address three main issues. These issues relate to the determination of the cost of debt during each year of the term of the Customized IR plan; the determination of Rider E amounts and the manner and timing of clearance of Rider E; and the annual amounts to be refunded in order to give effect to the Board's findings regarding Site Restoration Costs ("SRC"). Enbridge will address each of these issues under the headings that follow.

A. Cost of Debt

Board Staff and certain parties² have made submissions about the determination of the cost of debt during each year of the IR term. They submit that the forecast weighted average cost of debt should be determined each year by taking into consideration not only forecast cost rates for forecast debt issuances, but also by taking into account the actual cost rates and the influence of actual levels and timing of debt issuances that have occurred in years prior to the rate application year.

Enbridge submits that the position put forward by these parties is not a realistic reflection of how the Decision can appropriately be implemented. Enbridge must fix the forecast levels and timing of debt issuances at this time in order to match the fixing of forecast rate base levels for five years in accordance with the Decision. Any attempt to mix actual levels of debt and timing of debt issuances (which correlate to actual rate base) with a capital structure that is matched to a forecast level of rate base would result in an unmatched weighted average cost of capital. While, under the Customized IR plan as approved in the Decision, Enbridge will be carrying out an annual, prospective update of Return on Equity ("ROE"), Enbridge will not be determining a forecast ROE that is influenced by actual returns during the previous years of the IR term. The same proposition holds true for the weighted average cost of debt.

² Energy Probe submission page 4, SEC submission page 5, BOMA submission page 2, CME submission page 2, APPrO submission page 4, Board Staff submission page 7, CCC submission page 1.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 3 of 10

The impact of variances in levels of actual compared to forecast rate base, and actual compared to forecast ROE, along with variances in most other revenues and costs³ will be handled through the Earnings Sharing Mechanism approved by the Board and will not be trued up prospectively for ratemaking on an annual basis. The impact of variances in the levels of debt issued, and associated cost rates, should be treated no differently in order for the Decision to be applied consistently.

B. SRC Refund

In its evidence with respect to SRC, Enbridge proposed a refund to customers of \$259.8 million and it proposed the annual amount that would be refunded each year from 2014 to 2018. Enbridge's evidence made clear that the annual amounts to be refunded had been determined with a view to reducing the potential impact of a jump in customer bills after the end of the refund period.

In the Decision, the Board required that the SRC refund be increased from \$259.8 million to \$379.8 million.⁴ However, the Board did not require any change to Enbridge's proposal that the annual refund amounts be determined with a view to reducing potential bill impact at the end of the refund period, nor did the Board make any finding whatsoever in the Decision suggesting that such a change should be made. Accordingly, in the DRO materials prepared by Enbridge to give effect to the findings in the Decision, "the updated refund amount to be returned each year is based on the same refund profile as the originally filed amount".⁵

In its submission, Board Staff confirms these points and expresses its support for the SRC refund "pattern" put forward by Enbridge. Specifically, Board Staff states that,

In the DRO, Enbridge presented updated SRC amounts in response to the Board's SRC findings. Board staff notes that the 5-year refund pattern is in direct proportion to the profile proposed in its original evidence, as explained in TCU-RO 1.1. Enbridge provided its rationale for the refund profile in its evidence at D1/T5/S1 paragraphs 34 through 37. In essence, the pattern is designed to mitigate rate shock issues at the end of the plan term. Board staff also notes that the Board in its Decision with Reasons did not raise any concerns with Enbridge's original proposed refund pattern.

As such, Board staff has no concerns with Enbridge's proposal. 6

³ The reference here to "most other revenues and costs" means revenues and costs that are not covered by a deferral or variance account.

⁴ Decision, page 62.

⁵ Response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.1, page 1.

⁶ Board Staff submission, page 6.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 4 of 10

Some parties have proposed that the SRC refund profile should be changed to produce what they perceive to be smoother customer bills over the term of the Customized IR plan. SEC, for example, proposes adjustments that are based on an assumption that "the SRC refund is used in a back-loaded way to smooth the bill increases for customers".⁷ SEC's "Comparison of Refund Patterns – Proposed vs. Smoothed" is attached to its submissions.

Underlying SEC's proposal for a change to the SRC refund profile is a notion that the approach proposed by Enbridge will result in a sharp bill decrease for 2014, followed by sharp bill increases in each of the next four years.⁸ In fact, SEC's Comparison of Refund Patterns shows a percentage change in annual Allowed Revenues, not bill impacts. This is confirmed in SEC's submissions, which state that the refund pattern referred to by SEC is "directionally similar to the figures provided by Enbridge", but is "based on changes to revenue requirement, rather than rates".⁹

In order to gain some insight into bill impacts, one can look to Enbridge's response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.8. This evidence reveals that, after the reduction in 2014 to typical customer estimated bill amounts, with SRC credits included, the majority of rate classes do not return to approximate 2013 bill impact levels until 2017.¹⁰

Energy Probe does not agree with SEC's smoothing conclusions, at least insofar as residential customers are concerned. Energy Probe provides analysis in support of its view that a residential customer will enjoy a reduction from current (2013) rates through rates in 2014, 2015 and 2016 and then, even in 2017, a residential customer will be paying only a small amount more than in 2013.¹¹ Further, Energy Probe points out that "changing the SRC refund profile would change a number of factors that determine the revenue requirement" and it expresses its concern about a "significant increase in 2019 following the current customized IR plan of deviating from the SRC refund profile".¹²

It appears that CCC and VECC support the submissions made by SEC with regard to the SRC refund. However, Energy Probe provides analysis to back up its conclusion that it is "better for residential customers"¹³ not to change the SRC refund profile, while CCC and VECC offer no similar analysis, nor even any comment on Energy Probe's analysis.¹⁴

Enbridge submits that suggestions about changing the profile of the SRC refund should be rejected for a number of reasons. These reasons are set out under the subheadings that follow.

¹⁴ Energy Probe's submissions were filed on August 14, 2014, in accordance with the timeline established by the Board. CCC's submissions and VECC's comments were filed on August 18, 2014.

⁷ SEC submissions, page 3

⁸ SEC submissions, page 3.

⁹ Ibid.

¹⁰ Response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.8, page 2.

¹¹ Energy Probe submissions, page 7.

¹² Energy Probe submissions, pages 7 to 8.

¹³ Energy Probe submissions, page 7.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 5 of 10

(i) DRO Process

As made clear by the Board in the Decision, the intent of the DRO Process is to verify that the Board's findings are properly addressed. In the Decision, the Board made a finding that required an increase in the SRC refund amount. The Board did not make any finding about a change to the proposed profile for the SRC refund. Enbridge submits that proposals to change the SRC refund profile do not fall within the scope of the DRO process and should be rejected by the Board on that ground alone.

More generally, Enbridge submits that the process for review of a draft rate order is not intended to be an opportunity for a second hearing of issues that were before the Board when a final decision was rendered and neither is the process intended to be a forum for parties to introduce new proposals and ideas that were not addressed in the Board's decision. There can be little doubt that other parties would object if, during a draft order review process, an applicant were to attempt to modify or adjust the proposal that it asked the Board to approve. In this case, for example, it was not open to Enbridge to propose, during the DRO process, a different approach to the SRC refund profile after Enbridge had made a proposal to the Board in that regard and the Decision provided no basis whatsoever for changing that proposal. In short, the DRO review process should give effect to the applicant's evidence and proposals, except where the Board's final decision provides a basis to change or refine what the applicant has put forward.

(ii) The Decision

Enbridge's proposal for the pattern of the SRC refund was clearly and distinctly based on an effort to avoid a large impact at the end of the refund period and there is nothing in the Decision to suggest that the Board determined or directed that there should be any departure from Enbridge's approach. Enbridge submits that the Decision is effectively an implied approval of Enbridge's approach to the SRC refund pattern. Obviously, a Board decision does not explicitly approve every aspect of the application before the Board: often in matters before the Board, many of the details of an application do not receive explicit mention in a decision. To the extent that a Board decision does not give any basis for changing particular aspects of the applicant's proposals, it is the applicant's evidence to which one must look in order to find the aspects of the applicant's case that have been implicitly approved by the Board. As stated above, the updated refund amounts in the DRO materials are based on the same refund profile as proposed in Enbridge's evidence.

(iii) Allowed Revenues

As pointed out by Energy Probe, changing the SRC refund profile changes a number of factors that determine "revenue requirement". The response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.1 indicates that,

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 6 of 10

> ...the annual Rider D refund amounts, which represent bill impacts only, do also have an impact and a direct link within the derivation of the allowed revenues and sufficiency/deficiency amounts presented in Appendix A of the Draft Rate Order. If the annual refund/profile amounts were to be altered, it would directly impact the corresponding annual tax deductions, rate base calculations (requiring monthly inputs), and very likely planned debt issuances, all of which would impact forecast capital structures, allowed revenues and sufficiency and deficiency amounts.¹⁵

Enbridge submits that the Allowed Revenues that Enbridge asked the Board to approve in the Customized IR application can and should be changed only to the extent that any such change flows from the Decision. The DRO process cannot and should not result in a change to Allowed Revenues that was not contemplated by the Decision and that does not flow from the Decision.

(iv) Timing

The evidence given in the response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.1 is that changing the proposed profile for the SRC refund would require updating or recalculation of the financial results in Appendix A of the Draft Rate Order, the Rate Handbook in Appendix B, the Rider amounts in Appendix C, the rate approximations in Appendix D and the planned debt issuances in Appendix H. This evidence goes on to state that performing an update of this magnitude would take significant time and effort and would require the involvement of external resources and that, if a revised refund profile were to be determined, the time required to perform the calculations and produce validated results is estimated to be a minimum of a few weeks.¹⁶ SEC commented that stakeholders asked Enbridge to provide a table using the assumptions eventually forwarded in SEC's submission. Enbridge declined to provide a quickly thrown together scenario as it would not take into account all of the re-forecasting of required debt issuances through the term and would not properly re-determine Allowed Revenues, which as indicated above would take a much greater time than required within the Decision and would not permit rates to be implemented by October 1, 2014 as further process would likely have to be ordered by the Board in reviewing all of the newly calculated results and forecasts of debt issues, and rate schedule re-works.

Enbridge is mindful of the Board's directions that the DRO should "allow the decision to be implemented as soon as possible" and the Board's expectation that the Final Rate Order and Accounting Order will be available for an October 1, 2014 implementation timeframe. Enbridge submits that, particularly given the Board's expectations regarding implementation of the Decision, it is not appropriate to embark on changes to the SRC refund profile that will entail weeks of work in order to produce validated results, and as such, delay the implementation of new rates beyond October 1, 2014

¹⁵ Response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.1, pages 1 to 2.

¹⁶ Response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.1, page 2

C. Rider E

(i) Forecast or Actual Volumes Data for January 1 to September 30, 2014

The 2014 revenue sufficiency resulting from implementation of the Decision is \$62.1 million. This revenue sufficiency represents the difference between revenues at base rates and revenues at 2014 final rates applied to the 2014 Board-approved volume forecast. Given that 2014 final rates are effective January 1, 2014 and will be implemented as of October 1, 2014, Enbridge determined the revenue sufficiency for the period from January 1 to September 30, 2014, again based on the 2014 Board-approved volume forecast. The revenue sufficiency for this period is \$43.9 million.

Board Staff and Energy Probe agree with Enbridge's determination of the revenue sufficiency for the period from January 1 to September 30, 2014. Some parties, though, have put forward the notion of using actual volumes to determine the sufficiency for this period.

As stated by Board Staff, "the use of actual volumes, which are not weather normalized, would be counter to the forward test year approach where the revenue requirement and the load forecast is based on a weather normalized forecast."¹⁷ Further, Board Staff correctly points out that "the approach of calculating adjustments on the basis of a test year forecast is consistent with previous Board decisions in both the natural gas and electricity sector."¹⁸

Similarly, Energy Probe notes that "the 2014 rates are based entirely on forecasted volumes" and that "[t]he difference between the approved rates and the interim rates in place over the first months of 2014 should be applied to volumes on the same basis as the new rates were derived".¹⁹

In the response to TCU-RO 1.5 the Company highlighted that: "...there is no appropriate basis to introduce any actuals into the draft rate order. The volumes could have been lower than the forecast, yet the Rider E would still be developed based on forecast information to align it with the Ontario Energy Board (the "Board") approved rate making principles for utilities (i.e., revenue requirement and rates developed based on a forward looking test year.)"²⁰

SEC submits that actual volumes should be used to determine the level of sufficiency for the January 1 to September 30 period because Enbridge "failed to complete the process for getting new rates in a timely manner."²¹ Enbridge disagrees. As the Board said in the Decision, Enbridge's application for a "Custom IR plan" was the first of its

¹⁷ Board Staff submission, page 4.

¹⁸ Ibid.

¹⁹ Energy Probe submissions, page 4.

²⁰ Response to Undertaking TCU-RO 1.5, page 2.

²¹ SEC submissions, page 2.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 8 of 10

kind since the Board issued the Renewed Regulatory Framework for Electricity report.²² It was important that the evidence and process for consideration of Enbridge's application be sufficient to allow thorough examination of the issues in the first "Custom IR plan". This is not, by any stretch of the imagination, a reason to depart from established rate-making principles that are based on a prospective test year.

Enbridge submits that the revenue sufficiency of \$43.9 million for the period January 1 to September 30, 2014, which was derived based on the 2014 Board-approved volume forecast, is the appropriate amount to be cleared to customers through Rider E. This approach is aligned with forward test year ratemaking principles for utilities.

(ii) Clearance of Rider E to Customers

In their submissions parties raise concerns about the manner of, and period for, Rider E clearance.²³ Specifically, a concern has been expressed that Enbridge's proposal for Rider E clearance could result in "winners and losers".²⁴ In its submissions, Energy Probe states that clearing the \$43.9 million as "[a] one-time rebate to customers based on their actual consumption over the January 2014 through September 2014 period" is "the most appropriate approach." and that such an approach "would be more reflective of individual consumption over the relevant period than basing the rebate on one or three months of future consumption".²⁵

Enbridge agrees with the concern that, if the Rider E amount of \$43.9 million is cleared to customers in the manner originally proposed by Enbridge, the variance between actual volumes and forecast volumes could create "winners and losers". In light of the relatively large amount of the refund and the unpredictability of fall weather, Enbridge agrees that the one month prospective clearance proposed by Enbridge is not the most appropriate approach in these specific circumstances.

In order to address the concerns raised by parties, Enbridge submits that either one of two approaches can be taken to the clearance of Rider E. Consequently, Enbridge supports and is able to implement either of the two following solutions to the issue raised about Rider E clearance. However, Enbridge's preferred approach is (a) One-Time Disposition Based on Actual Volumes.

(a) One-Time Disposition Based on Actual Volumes

Under this approach, Enbridge would implement a one-time disposition of the \$43.9 million Rider E amount, based on each customer's actual volumes for the January 1 to September 30, 2014 period. Enbridge would be able to implement this one-time disposition on January 2015 bills.

²² Decision, page 5.

²³ APPrO submissions, page 5; BOMA submissions, page 2; CCC submissions, page 1; and Energy Probe submissions, page 5.

²⁴ Energy Probe submissions, page 5.

²⁵ Energy Probe submissions, pages 5 to 6.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 9 of 10

The January 1, 2015 disposition would coincide with the rate change implementation for the January 2015 QRAM. Consequently, the one-time disposition would be brought to the attention of customers in the rate change notice in the same month when the disposition is effective on customer bills. This one-time credit back to customers on their January 2015 bills would also offset the level of their January bills which are typically the highest of the winter season.

Should the Board decide to approve the one-time disposition based on actual volumes of individual customers, Enbridge asks the Board to approve a 2014 Rider E Deferral Account ("2014 REDA"). A description of the accounting treatment for a 2014 REDA is attached as Appendix A to these reply submissions. The Company would prepare the derivation of the Rider E unit rates (based on \$43.9 million plus interest and based on actual January to September 2014 volumes) once September actual volumes become available and seek approval prior to its January 1, 2015 QRAM application.

As noted in some intervenor submissions, the one-time disposition based on actual volumes (which are static) does not create volumetric differences. As well the \$43.9 million would be refunded to customers based on their individual consumption profiles. Another possible approach to the one-time disposition would be to make it part of the clearance of 2014 deferral and variance account balances. The added benefit of this approach is that the \$43.9 million refund would offset debit balances in the 2014 UDCDA and 2014 DDCTDA (the combined balance of which is forecast to be approximately \$30 million at year end). However, implementation of this approach would mean a delay in refunding the Rider E amount to customers until the spring or summer of 2015.

(b) Prospective Disposition from October to December 2014

Under this approach, the \$43.9 million Rider E disposition would be implemented over three months, October to December of 2014, and a Rider E variance account would be established to capture any over-refund or under-refund to customers. As Energy Probe has observed, "the addition of the variance account ensures that neither Enbridge nor ratepayers win or lose. It also creates an approach that mirrors the disposition of deferral account balances."²⁶

Disposition of Rider E over the three months from October to December, with a variance account, would completely align the approach to disposition for both Riders D and E. The Rider D amount for the January to September, 2014 period will also be credited to customers over the three months from October to December and there is a variance account to capture any over-refund or under-refund in respect of Rider D. Customers would receive a credit amount for Rider E and Rider D on their October, November and December, 2014 bills.

To facilitate implementation of this approach, Enbridge has attached at Appendix B the derivation of Rider E unit rates reflecting an October to December disposition period

²⁶ Energy Probe submissions, page 6.

August 19, 2014 Ms. Kirsten Walli Page 10 of 10

and at Appendix C a description of the accounting treatment for a 2014 Rider E Variance Account ("2014 REVA"). The 2014 REVA amount would be cleared in conjunction with the Company's 2014 Deferral and Variance accounts in the spring or summer of 2015.

D. Conclusion

For all of the reasons set out above, Enbridge submits that no change should be made to the DRO in relation to: (1) the determination of the cost of debt during each year of the term of the Customized IR plan; (2) the pattern of the SRC refund; or (3) the determination of the January 1 to September 30 sufficiency to be cleared through Rider E. Enbridge agrees that the DRO should give effect to a one-time disposition of Rider E, by way of a credit on January 2015 bills, based on actual volumes of individual customers during the period from January 1 to September 30, 2014, with a 2014 REDA to record the Rider E amount to be returned to customers, as set out in the proposed accounting treatment at Appendix A to this reply submission.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

Yours truly,

(Original Signed)

Andrew Mandyam Director, Regulatory Affairs and Financial Performance

Attachments

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT FOR A RIDER E DEFERRAL ACCOUNT ("2014 REDA")

For the 2014 Fiscal Year January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014)

The purpose of the Rider E Deferral Account (REDA) is to record the 2014 Board approved Rider E amount to be refunded to customers. For 2014, Rider E represents the revenue variance between interim rates, which were in place from January 1st to September 30th 2014, and the final Board approved 2014 rates, which were implemented on October 1st 2014, but effective January 1st 2014. The approved Rider E refund was calculated to be \$43.9 million.

Simple interest is to be calculated on the opening monthly balance of this account using the Board approved EB-2006-0117 interest rate methodology. The balance of this account, together with carrying charges, will be disposed of in a manner designated by the Board in a future rate hearing.

Accounting Entries

1. To record the approved 2014 Rider E refund amount:

Debit:	Operating revenue	(Account 300.	000)
Credit:	2014 REDA	(Account 179.)

To record the approved 2014 Rider E amount, representing the January through September 2014 revenue variance between interim rates and final approved rates.

2. Interest accrual:

Debit:	Interest Expense	(Account 323. 000)
Credit:	Interest on 2014 REDA	(Account 179)

To record simple interest on the opening monthly balance of the 2014 REDA using the Board approved EB-2006-0117 interest rate methodology.

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 1 of 8

Revenue Adjustment Rider (Rider E) Summary Period: October 1st to December 31st, 2014

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4
			Western	<u>Ontario</u>
			Transportation	Transportation
Item No.	Description	Sales Service	Service	Service
		(cent/m ³)	(cent/m ³)	(cent/m ³)
Bundled S	Services			
1.	Rate 1	(2.5080)	(2.4836)	(2.5022)
2.	Rate 6	(1.3530)	(1.2914)	(1.3099)
3.	Rate 9	(1.2271)	(1.2608)	(1.2743)
4.	Rate 100	-	-	-
5.	Rate 110	(0.3328)	(0.3728)	(0.3910)
6.	Rate 115	(0.0665)	(0.1053)	(0.1247)
7.	Rate 135	(0.0742)	(0.0496)	(0.0596)
8.	Rate 145	(0.1066)	(0.2539)	(0.2707)
9.	Rate 170	(0.0833)	(0.1133)	(0.1285)
10.	Rate 200	(0.6410)	(0.6777)	(0.6955)

Delivery Service

(cent/m³ per CD)

Unbundle	ed Services		,		,
11.	Rate 125	n/a		(3.	0120)
12.	Rate 300	n/a		(21.	0190)

 Notes:
 Sales Service Rider includes Distribution, Gas Supply Load Balancing, Transportation and Commodity unit rates shown on Page 2.

 Western Transportation includes Distribution, Gas Supply Load Balancing, Transportation.

 unit rates shown on Page 2.

 Ontario Transportation includes Distribution and Gas Supply Load Balancing.

 unit rates shown on Page 2.

 Ontario Transportation includes Distribution and Gas Supply Load Balancing.

 unit rates shown on Page 2.

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 2 of 8

	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 13
tem No.	Description	Distribution Adjustment (\$000) ⁽¹⁾	Delivery Volumes (1000 m ³)	Unit Rate (¢/m³)	Gas Supply Load Balancing Adjustment (\$000) ⁽¹⁾	Delivery Volumes (1000 m ³)	Unit Rate (¢/m³)	Gas Supply Transportation Adjustment (\$000) ⁽¹⁾	Transport- ation Volumes (1000 m ³)	Unit Rate (¢/m³)	Gas Supply Commodity Adjustment (\$000) ⁽¹⁾	Sales Volumes only (1000 m ³)	Unit Rate (¢/m³)
Bundled S	ervices	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9
÷	Rate 1	(18,004)	1,083,679	(1.6614)	(9,112)	1,083,679	(0.8409)	189	1,016,414	0.0186	(239)	982,255	(0.0244)
2.	Rate 6	(7,048)	1,089,720	(0.6468)	(7,226)	1,089,720	(0.6631)	153	831,122	0.0184	(431)	699,767	(0.0616)
ė	Rate 9	(2)	158	(1.3143)	0.1	158	0.0400	0	134	0.0135	0	134	0.0337
4.	Rate 100			0.0000			0.0000			0.0000			0.0000
5.	Rate 110	(258)	153,852	(0.1675)	(344)	153,852	(0.2235)	7	38,409	0.0181	8	21,085	0.0401
9.	Rate 115	(108)	116,102	(0.0933)	(36)	116,102	(0.0314)	-	3,356	0.0195	0	211	0.0387
7.	Rate 135	(12)	20,235	(0.0601)	0.1	20,235	0.0005	-	8,732	0.0100	0)	400	(0.0246)
8	Rate 145	(23)	42,807	(0.1710)	(43)	42,807	(2660.0)	2	10,612	0.0168	6	5,872	0.1473
6.	Rate 170	(81)	117,216	(0.0692)	(69)	117,216	(0.0593)	2	14,662	0.0152	e	10,602	0.0299
10.	Rate 200	(55)	41,033	(0.1333)	(231)	41,033	(0.5622)	5	30,190	0.0178	11	30,190	0.0367
11.	Total	(25,641)	2,671,720		(17,061)	2,671,720		360	1,953,631		(639)	1,750,517	
ttem No.	Description	Delivery Adjustment (\$000) ⁽¹⁾	CD Volumes (1000 m ³)	Unit Rate (¢/m³ per CD)									
		(22.4)	(
Unbundled	d Services												

(3.0120)	(21.0190)	
29,806	47	
(897.8)	(8.8)	
Rate 125	Rate 300	
~i	m.	

(1) Distribution, Load Balancing, Transportation and Commodity Adjustment is the sum of January to September Notes:

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 3 of 8

				2014 SAI	-ES, TRANSF		AND DEL	עבאיז עטבו	JME SUMM	АКҮ				
No.	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 12
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
1.1	IOIAL SALES VOLUME (Rate 1 Rate 6 Rate 9	10° m ³) - DV Kate 730,914 507,516 45	733,723 522,333 45	605,672 454,705 45	437,977 314,568 45	237,783 180,995 45	120,765 76,665 45	88,545 53,648 45	93,284 58,178 45	100,205 76,299 45	162,096 111,720 45	308,737 217,260 45	511,422 370,788 45	4,131,122 2,944,674 534
5 4.	TOTAL GS SYS + B/S	1,238,474	1,256,100	1,060,422	752,589	418,823	197,475	142,238	151,506	176,549	273,860	526,041	882,254	7,076,330
1.5	Rate 100 Rate 110	- 9,934	- 9,936	9,949	- 8,012	7,032	- 6,709	- 6,089	- 6,780	6,554	- 6,781	- 7,201	7,104	- 92,081
1.8	Rate 115 Rate 135	0 0	8/ 0	001	133	133	133 12	50 133 70	49 133	133 133	133	133	133	1,200
1.10 1.11	kate 145 Rate 170 Rate 200	3,523 5,742 21,095	3,002 5,240 21,262	3,099 4,637 17,446	2,147 2,890 11,863	1,403 2,327 7,514	6339 1,766 4,049	700 1,424 3,386	000 1,365 3,283	1,290 3,324	1,128 2,629 5,154	2,022 3,595 9,475	2,722 4,378 15,561	22,012 37,283 123,412
1.13	TOTAL LV SYS + B/S	40,396	39,519	35,232	25,197	18,486	13,518	11,783	12,277	12,118	15,898	22,491	29,973	276,888
1.14	TOTAL SYS + B/S	1,278,870	1,295,619	1,095,654	777,786	437,309	210,993	154,021	163,783	188,666	289,758 5 200 450	548,533	912,227	7,353,218
-	CUMULATIVE	1,278,870	2,574,489	3,670,143	4,447,929	4,885,238	5,096,231	5,250,251	5,414,035	5,602,701	5,892,459	6,440,991	7,353,218	
	TOTAL DELIVERY VOLUM	1E SUMMARIES (10)³ m³) - by Rate											
2.1 2.2 2.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	824,191 762,218 52	826,440 796,632 53	681,421 700,690 52	491,289 484,238 53	266,729 294,279 53	133,928 129,722 53	98,741 93,296 53	103,888 99,299 53	110,973 120,078 52	179,245 184,008 53	340,263 344,689 53	564,171 561,022 53	4,621,279 4,570,174 630
2.4	TOTAL GS VOL.	1,586,462	1,623,124	1,382,164	975,580	561,061	263,703	192,090	203,239	231,104	363,306	685,004	1,125,246	9,192,083
2.5	Total Rate 100													1
2.6	Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115	62,795 47.078	59,141 44.131	62,786 42.899	54,311 36.835	49,215 37.497	44,952 36.986	42,326 36.113	43,161 36.853	45,098 36.496	48,760 39.188	50,866 37.647	54,226 39.267	617,636 470.990
2.8	Total Rate 135	182	153	177	1,064	5,082	6,225	7,288	8,220	7,874	8,230	8,238	3,767	56,500
2.10	Total Rate 170	65,246	57,133	48,447	39,971	30,624	26,837	25,597	25,909	7,724 25,924	30,756	40,331	46,129	462,904
2.12	lotal Rate 200 Total Rate 300 Int	3,210	2,796	21,809 3,235	2,306	2,306	6,8/1 2,306	2,306	2,306	2,306	8,3/4 2,306	2,306	19,080	30,000
2.13	TOTAL LV VOL.	227,232	209,582	200,288	165,475	146,764	132,027	126,789	129,356	131,249	147,815	166,293	184,056	1,966,927
2.14	TOTAL VOLUME	1,813,694	1,832,707	1,582,451	1,141,056	707,825	395,730	318,879	332,595	362,353	511,120	851,298	1,309,302	11,159,010
7	CUMULATIVE	1,813,694	3,646,401	5,228,852	6,369,907	7,077,732	7,473,462	7,792,341	8,124,937	8,487,290	8,998,410	9,849,708	11,159,010	
	TOTAL TRANSPORTATIO	N VOLUME SUMMA	\RIES (10 ³ m ³) -	by Rate										
3.1 3.2 3.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	762,422 593,543 45	765,079 615,100 45	631,247 537,785 45	455,962 371,799 45	247,533 219,172 45	125,246 94,733 45	92,004 67,095 45	96,886 72,147 45	103,850 91,119 45	167,847 135,962 45	319,354 260,191 45	529,213 434,968 45	4,296,645 3,493,615 534
3.4	TOTAL GS VOL.	1,356,010	1,380,224	1,169,076	827,806	466,750	220,024	159,144	169,078	195,014	303,854	579,590	964,225	7,790,794
35	Total Rate 100													
3.6	Total Rate 115	16,589 1.314	16,374 1 458	16,239 1 543	13,808 1.528	12,439 1 066	11,576 1 039	10,880 1 123	11,548 1 122	11,479 1 152	12,075 1 179	12,872 981	13,462 1 196	159,341 14 700
3.8	Total Rate 135	45	40	-	388	1,864	2,681	3,035	3,572	3,558	3,662	3,285	1,785	23,916
3.10	Total Rate 145 Total Rate 170	6,368 8,295	5,843 7,737	5,874 7,137	4,125 4,595	2,679 3,288	1,703 2,293	1,448 1,871	1,464 1,815	1,531 1,757	2,145 3,318	3,535 4,921	4,933 6,423	41,647 53,449
3.11 3.12	Total Rate 180 Total Rate 200	- 21,095	- 21,262	- 17,446	- 11,863	- 7,514	- 4,049	3,386	3,283	- 3,324	- 5,154	9,475	- 15,561	- 123,412
3.13	TOTAL LV VOL.	53,706	52,713	48,239	36,308	28,850	23,341	21,742	22,803	22,801	27,532	35,069	43,361	416,465
3.14 3	TOTAL VOLUME CUMULATIVE	1,409,715 1,409,715	1,432,937 2.842.653	1,217,315 4,059,968	864,114 4,924,081	495,600 5,419,682	243,365 5.663.047	180,886 5.843,933	191,880 6,035,813	217,815 6,253,628	331,386 6,585,014	614,658 7,199,673	1,007,586 8,207,259	8,207,259

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 4 of 8

						Total Reven	ue Variance							
Iten No.	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 12
2		NAL	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
	2014 Decision Rates (EB-2013-040 TOTAL REVENUE SUMMARIES (\$	6) <u>* 000) - by Rate</u>												
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	216,931 136,408 15	217,598 140,621 15	186,484 124,471 15	145,664 90,350 15	96,874 58,175 15	67,928 31,693 15	59,997 25,531 15	61,134 26,461 15	62,889 30,758 15	78,252 40,544 15	114,033 67,113 15	163,164 103,746 15	1,470,947 875,871 178
4.1	TOTAL GS REV.	353,354	358,234	310,970	236,029	155,064	99,636	85,542	87,610	93,661	118,811	181,160	266,925	2,346,997
1.5	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	3,113	3,085	3,097	2,702	- 2,491	2,388	2,265	2,384	2,364	2,440	2,542	2,576	0.0 31,447
1.1	Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135 Total Bodo 445	535 (102) 012	535 (104)	541 (104) 817	538 (65) 555	508 169 591	499 219	506 247 280	506 282 282	509 278 407	515 287 510	503 269 774	516 305 023	6,211 1,682 7,444
) Total Rate 170	2 4 5 0	2000	(108)	(474)	753	619 619	550 550	542 542	530	01 c	1,023	1,213	5,607
1.1.	Total Rate 200 ? Total Rate 300	3,918 15	4,167 14	3,460 15	2,414 12	1,604 12	957 12	831 12	809 12	817 12	1,167 12	1,971 12	3,107 12	25,223 154
	TOTAL LV REV.	8,536	8,525	7,717	5,693	6,118	5,121	4,799	4,921	4,917	5,721	7,044	8,653	77,765
1.1	S Rate 125 CD	362 697	367 566	319.494	942 528	807 161 088	807 105 564	807 91 148	807 03 338	807 99 385	807 125 339	807 189.011	807 276 384	9,680
		362,697	730,263	319,494 1,049,756	242,320 1,292,285	1,454,273	1,559,837	91,140 1,650,985	93,330 1,744,323	99,300 1,843,708	1,969,047	2,158,058	2,434,442	2,404,442
		NAL	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NON	DEC	TOTAL
	2013 October Rates (EB-2013-0295 TOTAL REVENUE SUMMARIES (\$') '000) - by Rate												
2.2	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	223,186 139,554 15	223,870 143,897 15	191,677 127,360 15	149,442 92,387 15	98,963 59,436 15	68,996 32,267 15	60,788 25,941 15	61,965 26,894 15	63,777 31,284 15	79,672 41,347 15	116,680 68,592 15	167,487 106,098 15	1,506,505 895,057 181
2.4	TOTAL GS REV.	362,755	367,783	319,052	241,844	158,414	101,278	86,744	88,874	95,076	121,034	185,287	273,601	2,401,743
2.5	Total Rate 100													0.0
2.7	Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115	3,192 554	3,160 553 2,000	3,177 558	2,770 553 201	2,553 523	2,445 514	2,318 520	2,438 521	2,422 523	2,502 531	2,606 518	2,645 532	32,228 6,402
2.10 2.10	Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145 Total Rate 170	(102) 933 171	(104) 817 51	(104) 835 (88)	(00) 579 (457)	171 591 766	434 630	295 395 560	285 392 553	280 414 541	289 519 802	2/2 736 1,040	306 939 1,232	1,700 7,584 5,801
2.1	Total Rate 200 ? Total Rate 300	3,974 16	4,223 15	3,507 17	2,447 13	1,627 13	972 13	845 13	822 13	830 13	1,186 13	1,999 13	3,150 13	25,581 166
2.15	TOTAL LV REV.	8,739 906	8,715 906	7,901 906	5,841 906	6,244 906	5,230 906	4,901 906	5,024 906	5,023 906	5,842 906	7,185 906	8,817 906	79,463 10.877
2.1	TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	372,400 372,400	377,405 749,805	327,860 1,077,665	248,592 1,326,256	165,565 1,491,821	107,414 1,599,235	92,552 1,691,787	94,805 1,786,592	101,005 1,887,597	127,783 2,015,380	193,378 2,208,758	283,325 2,492,082	2,492,082
		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NON	DEC	TOTAL
	VARIANCE- TOTAL REVENUE (\$"	000) - by Rate												
3.1 3.2 3.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	(6,255) (3,146) (0)	(6,272) (3,276) (0)	(5,194) (2,889) (0)	(3,779) (2,036) (0)	(2,090) (1,261) (0)	(1,068) (574) (0)	(791) (411) (0)	(831) (433) (0)	(887) (526) (0)	(1,420) (803) (0)	(2,648) (1,479) (0)	(4,324) (2,352) (0)	(35,558) (19,185) (3)
3.4	TOTAL GS REV.	(9,401)	(9,548)	(8,083)	(5,815)	(3,351)	(1,642)	(1,202)	(1,264)	(1,414)	(2,223)	(4,127)	(6,676)	(54,746)
3.5 3.6	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	-	- (75)	-	-	- (62)	- (57)	- (54)	- (55)	- (57)	- (62)	- (64)	-	0.0 (781)
3.7 3.8	Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135	(0)	(0)	(17)	(15)	(15) (2)	(15)	(15) (2)	(15)	(15)	(16) (3)	(15)	(16) (1)	(191) (18)
3.9 3.10	Total Rate 145) Total Rate 170	(20) (27)	(18) (24) (55)	(18) (20)	(14) (17) (23)	(10) (13)	(11) (11)	(6) (11) (13)	(9) (11) (13)	(1 (1 (1 (1 (1)))	(9) (13)	(12) (17) (17)	(16) (19)	(143) (194) (250)
3.1	Total Rate 200	(nc) (1)	(nc) (1)	(1)	(cc) (L)	(57) (1)	(E) (1)	(c) (E)	(E) (E)	(E) (E)	(e) (1)	(07) (1)	(1) (1)	(13)
3.10	TOTAL LV REV.	(202)	(191)	(183)	(149)	(126)	(108)	(102)	(103)	(106)	(122)	(140)	(165)	(1,698)
3.14		(9,704)	(6,839)	(8,366)	(6,063)	(3,577)	(1,850)	(1,404)	(1,467)	(1,620)	(2,444)	(4,367)	(6,940)	(57,640)
e	CUMULATIVE	(9,704)	(19,542)	(27,908)	(33,972)	(37,548)	(39,399)	(40,802)	(42,269)	(43,889)	(46,333)	(20,700)	(57,640)	

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 5 of 8

					Total	Distributio	n Revenue \	/ariance						
Iten	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 12
2		JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTAL
	2014 Decision Rates (EB-2013-0 TOTAL DISTRIBUTION REVENU	406) E SUMMARIES ((\$'000) - by Rate											
1.1 1.2 1.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	86,281 42,164 7	86,465 43,364 7	78,255 39,659 7	67,434 31,691 7	54,403 24,095 7	46,390 17,142 7	44,193 15,297 7	44,488 15,402 7	45,022 16,472 7	49,358 19,464 7	59,022 26,385 7	72,027 34,808 7	733,338 325,943 88
1.4	TOTAL GS REV.	128,453	129,836	117,922	99,132	78,505	63,539	59,498	59,898	61,501	68,829	85,415	106,842	1,059,368
1.5	Total Rate 100					,								0.0
1.6	Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115	1,074 443	1,059 441	1,073 440	1,034 435	1,011 435	991 434	978 433	981 434	991 434	1,009 436	1,020 435	1,036 436	12,258 5,236
1.9	Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145	15 363	14 343	15 346	20 302	66 265	78 232	90 223	100 224	96 230	100 255	100 288	206 328	899 3,400
1.1) Total Rate 170 Total Rate 200	395 480	373 480	347 432	320 353	290 295	274 247	267 237	269 233	269 234	287 266	320 323	339 406	3,749 3,986
111	Total Rate 300	15	14	15	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	154
	TOTAL LV REV.	2,786	2,723	2,667	2,476	2,374	2,269	2,241	2,253	2,266	2,365	2,498	2,763	29,681
1.1	Rate 125 CD	807 132 046	807	807 424 20F	807	807	807 66 61 1	807 67 646	807 £2.0E0	807 e 4 e 7 A	70 807	807	110.412	9,680
-	CUMULATIVE	132,045	265,411	386,806	489,221	570,906	637,521	700,067	763,024	827,598	899,599	988,318	1,098,730	000'1
		NAL	FEB	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
	2013 October Rates (EB-2013-02 TOTAL DISTRIBUTION REVENU	295) E SUMMARIES	(\$'000) - by Rate											
2.1 2.3 2.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	90,401 43,665 8	90,597 44,921 8	81,684 41,037 8	69,940 32,683 8	55,801 24,723 8	47,111 17,437 8	44,729 15,508 8	45,050 15,622 8	45,622 16,739 8	50,314 19,871 8	60,789 27,122 8	74,889 35,949 8	756,926 335,276 90
2.4	TOTAL GS REV.	134,074	135,525	122,728	102,630	80,532	64,556	60,244	60,679	62,369	70,192	87,918	110,845	1,092,292
2.5	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110 Total Bass 415	- 1,109 468	- 1,092	- 1,107	- 1,064	- 1,039	- 1,016 445	1,002	- 1,005	- 1,016	- 1,036	- 1,048	- 1,066	0.0 12,601
2.8	Total Rate 135	15	41	15	50	89	80	92	100	66	103	103	207	918
2.1(Total Rate 145	377 411	355 386 386	358	311 330	212	23/ 280	273	275	235 275	294	330	339 350	3,500
2.12	Total Rate 200	491 16	492 15	442	360 13	300 13	13	240 13	136	13/	13	328 13	414 13	4,058 166
	TOTAL LV REV.	2,877	2,808	2,750	2,544	2,434	2,322	2,292	2,305	2,319	2,425	2,565	2,838	30,481
2.1	Rate 125 CD	906	906	906	906	906	906	906	906	906 Cr ror	306	906	906	10,877
2 2		137,857	139,239	403,481	100,061 509,562	593,434	661,219	724,661	788,552	854,146	927,670	91,389 1,019,059	1,133,649	1,133,049
	VARIANCE- TOTAL DISTRIBUTI	JAN ON REVENUE (\$	FEB \$'000) - by Rate	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
3.1 3.2 3.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	(4,120) (1,501) (0)	(4,132) (1,557) (0)	(3,429) (1,378) (0)	(2,506) (992) (0)	(1,399) (628) (0)	(721) (295) (0)	(535) (210) (0)	(562) (219) (0)	(600) (268) (0)	(956) (407) (0)	(1,766) (737) (0)	(2,862) (1,141) (0)	(23,588) (9,333) (3)
3.4	TOTAL GS REV.	(5,621)	(5,689)	(4,807)	(3,498)	(2,027)	(1,017)	(746)	(781)	(868)	(1,363)	(2,503)	(4,003)	(32,923)
3.5 3.6	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	(35)	(33)	(35)	(30)	- (27)	(25)	- (24)	- (24)	- (25)	- (27)	- (28)	- (30)	0.0 (343)
3.8	Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135	(14)	(13)	(13)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11)	(11) (12)	(11)	(12)	(11)	(12)	(144)
3.9	Total Rate 145	(14)	(12)	(13)	6	66	(2) (2)	(4)	(4)	e (2)	96	8	(11)	(39)
0.1.6	Total Rate 200	££	££5	(<u>6</u>	86S	3 (2)	00E	0@E	00E	0@E	545	6@E	(6)	(73)
5		(1) (1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(11)	(i.)	(1) (1)	() ()	(1)	(.) 	(1)	
3.10	I UI AL LV KEV.	(100)	(00)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(100)	(6/)	(199)
3.14	TOTAL REVENUE	(5,812)	(5,874)	(4,989)	(3,666)	(2,187)	(1,170)	(897)	(833)	(1,021)	(1,523)	(2,670)	(4,178)	(34,919)
e	CUMULATIVE	(5,812)	(11,686)	(16,675)	(20,341)	(22,528)	(23,698)	(24,595)	(25,528)	(26,549)	(28,071)	(30,741)	(34,919)	

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 6 of 8

					T otal T	ransportatic	on Revenue	Variance						
ltem	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 13
	2014 Decision Rates (EB-	JAN 2013-0406)	FEB	MAR ***0001 - hv Br	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
<u>-</u>	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 1	35,451 27,598	35,574 28,601	29,351 29,351 25,006	21,201 17,288	11,510 10,191	5,824 4,405	4,278 3,120	4,505 3,355	4,829 4,237	7,804 6,322	14,849 12,098	24,607 20,225	199, 783 162, 444
5. 4. 5. 4.	TOTAL GS REV.	2 63.051	2 64.177	54.359	38.491	21.703	2 10.231	2 7.400	2. 7.862	2 9.068	14.128	26.949 26.949	2 44.834	25 362.252
		0000		000		8	2	-	4001	poolo	24	0	0	101
1. 1.5 1.6	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	- 127	- 761	- 755	- 642	578	538	506	537	534	562	- 599 70	- 626	0.0 7,409
7.1 8.1 9.1	lotal Kate 115 Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145	61 296 296	08 2 272	0 273	192 192	50 87 125	48 125 79	141 67	99 199 88	₹8 ⁵ ≿	170 100	153 164 164	36 83 229	684 1,112 1,937
1.10 1.11	Total Rate 170 Total Rate 180	386	360	332 -	214	153 -	107	87 -	, 84	. 83	154 -	229	299	2,485
1.11 1.13	Total Rate 200 Total Rate 300 Total Rate 305	981 	686	811 -	552 -	349 	188	157 -	153	155	240	441	724 -	5,739
1.15	Total CDS													
1.12	TOTAL LV REV.	2,497	2,451	2,243	1,688	1,342	1,085	1,011	1,060	1,060	1,280	1,631	2,016	19,366
1.13	TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	65,548 65,548	66,628 132,176	56,602 188,779	40,179 228,958	23,044 252,002	11,316 263,318	8,411 271,729	8,922 280,651	10,128 290,779	15,409 306,187	28,580 334,768	46,850 381,618	381,618
	2013 October Rates (EB-2 TOTAL TRANSPORTATIO	JAN 2013-0295) 0N REVENUE S	FEB	MAR (\$'000) - by Ra	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NON	DEC	TOTAL
2.1 2.3 2.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	35,407 27,564 2	35,530 28,565 2	29,315 24,975 2	21,175 17,266 2	11,495 10,178 2	5,816 4,399 2	4,273 3,116 2	4,499 3,350 2	4,823 4,232 2	7,795 6,314 2	14,831 12,083 2	24,577 20,200 2	199,536 162,243 25
2.4	TOTAL GS REV.	62,973	64,097	54,292	38,443	21,676	10,218	7,391	7,852	9'026	14,111	26,916	44,779	361,804
2.5	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	-	-	- 754	- 641	- 578	-	-	- 536	-	- 561	- 598	- 625	0.0 7,400
2.7	Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145	61 2 266	68 271	72 0 273	r 8 6	49 87 124	125 79	52 141 67	52 166 88	58 5 7	55 170	153 153	56 83 230	683 1,111 1 934
5.10	Total Rate 170 Total Rate 180	385	359	331	213	153	106	81	3 Z ,	8,	154	229	298	2,482
2 13 1 2 13 1	l otal Rate 200 Total Rate 300 Total Rate 305			0.0	100	240	100	/GI	101	<u>*</u>	× 24	144	57	- - -
2.15	TOTAL LV REV.	- 2,494	2,448	2,240	- 1,686	- 1,340	- 1,084	- 1,010	- 1,059	- 1,059	- 1,279	- 1,629	- 2,014	- 19,342
2.13	TOTAL REVENUE	65,467 65,467	66,546 132 013	56,532 188 545	40,129 228.674	23,016 251.690	11,302 262 992	8,400 271392	8,911 280303	10,115 290.419	15,390 305.808	28,545 334 353	46,792 381 145	381,145
		NAL	E	MAR	APR	МАҮ	Ň	лГ	AUG	SEP	0CT	NON	DEC	TOTAL
3.2 3.2 3.3	VARIANCE- TOTAL TRAN Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	34 0 0	KEVENUE (3 35 35 0 0	36 36 31 0	0 57 86	4 f 0	o 22 ≺	r0 4 0	ω 4 Ο	000	0 8 0	9 tî 0	30 25 0	247 201 0
3.4	TOTAL GS REV.	78	62	29	48	27	13	0	10	11	17	33	56	449
3.5 3.6	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	0.0
3.7 3.8 3.9	Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145	000		000		000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	0
3.11 3.11 3.11	Total Rate 170 Total Rate 180 Total Rate 200	° -	° -	, , ,	° , -	0 C	° , °	0 C	° , °	° , °	° , °	° , -	° , -	- 3
3.13 3.15 3.15	Total Rate 300 Total Rate 305 Total CDS													
3.12	TOTAL LV REV.	e	3	e	2	2	t	+	£	t	2	2	2	24
3.13 3	TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	81 81	83 164	70 234	50 284	29 312	14 326	10 337	11 348	13 360	19 379	35 415	58 473	473

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 7 of 8

					Total Lo	oad Balancir	ng Revenue	Variance						
Iten Mo	1. Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 12
	2014 Decision Rates (E TOTAL LOAD BALANCING	JAN EB-2013-0406) REVENUE SUMMARI	FEB IES (\$'000) - by	MAR <u>/ Rate</u>	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	5,330 4,116 0	5,345 4,302 0	4,407 3,784 0	3,177 2,615 0	1,725 1,589 0	866 700 0	639 504 0	672 536 0	718 648 0	1,159 994 0	2,200 1,861 0	3,648 3,029 0	29,885 24,678 0
1.4	TOTAL GS REV.	9,446	9,646	8,190	5,792	3,314	1,567	1,142	1,208	1,366	2,153	4,062	6,678	54,563
1.5 1.7 1.8	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115 Total Rate 113	5 51 (119)	- 48 (119)	- 51 (119)	- 44 (119)	, 4 t 0 t	36 14	, 44 14 -	35 14	37 14	- 15 -	' <u>4 </u>	- 15 - 15	0.0 500 181 (476)
1.1.0 1.1.1 1.1.0	Total Rate 145) Total Rate 170 I Total Rate 200	(184) (1,341) (126)	(188) (1,347) 94	(187) (1,355) 79	(195) (1,362) 56	17 26 39	22 25 25	8 7 7	11 22 21	12 22 21	15 26 31	21 34 47	28 38 72	(5,195) (5,195) 381
1.1	2 TOTAL LV REV.	(1,701)	(1,495)	(1,514)	(1,561)	136	110	102	102	105	126	157	197	(5,236)
÷. +	3 TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	7,745 7,745	8,151 15,895	6,676 22,572	4,230 26,802	3,450 30,252	1,676 31,928	1,245 33,173	1,310 34,483	1,471 35,954	2,279 38,233	4,219 42,452	6,875 49,327	49,327
	2013 October Rates (El TOTAL LOAD BALANCING	JAN JAN B-2013-0295) REVENUE SUMMARI	FEB IES (\$'000) - by	MAR L Rate	APR	МАҮ	NNr	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
2.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	7,453 5,698 -	7,473 5,956 -	6,162 5,238 -	4,443 3,620 -	2,412 2,200	1,211 970 -	893 697 -	939 742 -	1,003 898 -	1,621 1,376 -	3,077 2,577	5,102 4,194 -	41,789 34,166
2.4	TOTAL GS REV.	13,151	13,429	11,400	8,063	4,612	2,181	1,590	1,682	1,901	2,996	5,654	9,296	75,955
2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10 2:10	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115 Total Rate 115 Total Rate 145 Total Rate 170 Total Rate 170	- 97 23 (119) (176) (176) (176)	, 22 (119) (180) (1,336)	- 97 21 (119) (119) (1345) (120)	- 84 (119) (190) (1,354) 85	76 21 32 32	70 15 18 38 38	33 59 - 7 - 48 6 33 59 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 -	67 18 13 32 32	70 14 32 32	76 19 32 46	79 18 26 71 71	- 8 8 0 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 1 9 8 9 1 9 8 9 1 9 8 1 9 1 9	0.0 958 958 (476) (570) (5,102) 688
2.12	E TOTAL LV REV.	(1,581)	(1,381)	(1,405)	(1,475)	206	168	156	157	161	192	236	294	(4,272)
2.13	3 TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	11,571 11,571	12,048 23,619	9,995 33,614	6,588 40,201	4,818 45,020	2,349 47,369	1,747 49,115	1,838 50,954	2,062 53,016	3,188 56,204	5,890 62,094	9,590 71,683	71,683
	<u>VARIANCE- TOTAL LOAD E</u>	JAN BALANCING REVENU	FEB JE (\$'000) - by	MAR Rate	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
3.1 3.2 3.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	(2,123) (1,583) 0	(2,129) (1,654) 0	(1,755) (1,455) 0	(1,265) (1,005) 0	(687) (611) 0	(345) (269) 0	(254) (194) 0	(268) (206) 0	(286) (249) 0	(462) (382) 0	(876) (716) 0	(1,453) (1,165) 0	(11,903) (9,489) 0
3.4	TOTAL GS REV.	(3,705)	(3,783)	(3,210)	(2,271)	(1,298)	(614)	(448)	(474)	(535)	(844)	(1,592)	(2,618)	(21,392)
3.5 3.7 3.8 3.9 3.10 3.10 3.11 3.11 3.11 3.11 3.11 3.11	Total Rate 100 Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115 Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145 Total Rate 170 Total Rate 200	, (47) (5) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13) (13	- (5) (7) (11) (48) (48)	, (47 (4) (4) (7) (7) (10) (41)	- (4) (5) (29) (29)	, (36) (36) (4) (6) (20)	, (33) (4) (3) (5) (13)	(31) (4) (3) (3) (11)	(32) (4) (5) (5) (11)	, (4) (5) (11) (11)	- (36) (4) (4) (6) (16)	, (3) (38) (5) (24) (24)	, (4) (4) (7) (9) (9) (7) (9) (7) (9) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7	0.0 (458) (458) (48) (48) (58) (33) (33)
3.12	2 TOTAL LV REV.	(120)	(115)	(109)	(86)	(02)	(58)	(54)	(54)	(56)	(99)	(62)	(97)	(964)
3.15 3	3 TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	(3,826) (3,826)	(3,898) (7,724)	(3,319) (11,042)	(2,357) (13,399)	(1,368) (14,768)	(672) (15,440)	(502) (15,942)	(528) (16,470)	(591) (17,061)	(909) (17,971)	(1,671) (19,641)	(2,715) (22,356)	(22,356)

Filed: 2014-08-19 EB-2012-0459 Draft Rate Order Enbridge Reply Appendix B Page 8 of 8

					Total	Commodity	Revenue Va	ariance						
No.	Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 4	Col. 5	Col. 6	Col. 7	Col. 8	Col. 9	Col. 9	Col. 10	Col. 11	Col. 12	Col. 12
	2014 Decision Rates (EB-2 TOTAL COMMODITY REVENUE	JAN 2013-0406) : SUMMARIES (\$	FEB *000) - by Rate	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NON	DEC	TOTAL
1.2.5	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	89,869 62,530 5	90,215 64,355 5	74,470 56,023 5	53,851 38,757 5	29,237 22,300 5	14,849 9,446 5	10,887 6,610 5	11,470 7,168 5	12,321 9,401 5	19,930 13,765 5	37,961 26,768 5	62,882 45,684 5	507,941 362,807 65
4. 4	TOTAL GSREV.	152,405	154,576	130,499	92,614	51,542	24,300	17,502	18,643	21,727	33,701	64,734	108,571	870,813
1.5 A	Total Rate 100 Total Bate 110	- 1		- 1 210	- 180	- 198	- 822	-	- 8 158	- 108	- 831	- 688	-	0.0
<u> </u>	Total Rate 110 Total Rate 115	13	10	2 C1 C	301 18	- 6 6 4	3.024	9 0 ý	- 9 6	500 7 31	-00 9 4	8 8 8 4	0/0 9 9	110
5 0 0	I otal Kate 135 Total Rate 145	0 437	0 373	385	16 266	16 174	104	87	9 8	₽ 8	140	16 251	338 338	2,731
1.10	Total Rate 170 Total Rate 200	703 2,584	642 2,605	568 2,137	354 1,453	285 920	216 496	174 415	167 402	158 407	322 631	440 1,161	536 1,906	4,567 15,118
1.12	TOTAL LV REV.	4,954	4,846	4,321	3,090	2,267	1,657	1,445	1,505	1,486	1,949	2,758	3,676	33,954
1.13	TOTAL REVENUE	157,359	159,421	134,820	95,704	53,809	25,957	18,947	20,148	23,212	35,650	67,493	112,247	904,767
-	CUMULATIVE	157,359	316,780	451,600	547,304	601,113	627,070	646,017	666,165	689,377	725,027	792,520	904,767	
	2013 October Rates (EB-20 TOTAL COMMODITY REVENUE	JAN 013-0295) SUMMARIES (\$	FEB *000) - by Rate	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
2.1 2.3	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	89,925 62,627 5	90,270 64,456 5	74,516 56,110 5	53,885 38,818 5	29,255 22,335 5	14,858 9,460 5	10,894 6,620 5	11,477 7,179 5	12,328 9,415 5	19,943 13,786 5	37,984 26,810 5	62,921 45,755 5	508,255 363,372 65
2.4	TOTAL GSREV.	152,558	154,732	130,632	92,708	51,595	24,324	17,519	18,661	21,749	33,734	64,799	108,681	871,692
2.5	Total Rate 100													0.0
2.6	Total Rate 110	1,216	1,216	1,218	981	861	821	745	830	802	830	881	869	11,269
5.8	Total Rate 135	20	0	<u>1</u> 0	9 9	16	16	9	9 9	- 9	16	9 9	16	148
2:9	Total Rate 145 Total Rate 170 Total Rate 200	435 703 2 582	371 641 2 602	383 567 2 135	265 354 1452	173 285 920	104 216 496	87 174 414	167 167	158 158	139 322 631	250 440 160	336 536 1 and	2,720 4,563 15,103
2.12	TOTAL LV REV.	4,948	4,840	4,315	3,086	2,264	1,655	1,443	1,503	1,484	1,947	2,755	3,671	33,912
5.13 5.13	TOTAL REVENUE CUMULATIVE	157,505 157,505	159,571 317,077	134,948 452,024	95,794 547,818	53,859 601,677	25,979 627,656	18,962 646,618	20,165 666,783	23,233 690,016	35,681 725,697	67,554 793,252	905,604	905,604
	VARIANCE- TOTAL COMMODIT	JAN LY REVENUE (\$'(FEB 000) - by Rate	MAR	APR	МАҮ	NUL	JUL	AUG	SEP	ост	NON	DEC	TOTAL
3.1 3.2	Total Rate 1 Total Rate 6 Total Rate 9	(56) (97) 0	(56) (100) 0	(46) (87) 0	(33) (60) 0	(18) (35) 0	(9) (15) 0	(1 (1 (1 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	0(1)	(8) (15) 0	(12) (21) 0	(23) (42) 0	(39) (71) 0	(314) (565) 0
3.4	TOTAL GSREV.	(153)	(156)	(133)	(94)	(53)	(24)	(17)	(18)	(22)	(34)	(65)	(110)	(879)
3.5	Total Rate 100 Total Bate 110	,	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	, -	,	, -	, -	0.0
3.7	Total Rate 115	- 0 (- 0 (- 0 9	- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (- 0 (0
3.9	Total Rate 135 Total Rate 145 Total Bote 170	<u>Э</u> м ,	6) n ,	Ð 4 -	j - ¢	j - ¢	000	000	000	000	j- ¢	j- ¢	<u>6</u>	0,61
3.11 3.11	Total Rate 200	- m	- m	- 0	C	C	00	00	00	00	C	ō –	- ~	15
3.12	TOTAL LV REV.	9	9	5	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	9	5	42
3.13		(147)	(150)	(128)	(06)	(50)	(22)	(15)	(17)	(21)	(31)	(62)	(105)	(837)
e	CUMULATIVE	(147)	(297)	(425)	(514)	(564)	(586)	(602)	(618)	(623)	(670)	(132)	(837)	

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT FOR A RIDER E VARIANCE ACCOUNT ("2014 REVA")

For the 2014 Fiscal Year January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014)

The purpose of the Rider E Variance Account (REVA) is to record the difference between the actual Rider E amount refunded to customers, and the approved 2014 Rider E amount to be refunded to customers. For 2014, Rider E was designed to refund the revenue variance between interim rates, which were in place from January 1st to September 30th 2014, and the final Board approved 2014 rates, which were implemented on October 1st 2014, but effective January 1st 2014. The approved Rider E refund was calculated to be \$43.9 million, which was then converted into a volumetric based rider (utilizing forecast October through December 2014 volumes) to be applied to actual customer volumes between October and December 2014. Variances between actual volumes and forecast volumes between October and December 2014 will cause the actual Rider E refund to be either lower or higher than the approved \$43.9 million. The Rider E Variance Account will ensure that the actual amount refunded to customers will be equivalent to the approved amount.

Simple interest is to be calculated on the opening monthly balance of this account using the Board approved EB-2006-0117 interest rate methodology. The balance of this account, together with carrying charges, will be disposed of in a manner designated by the Board in a future rate hearing.

Accounting Entries

1. To record the variance in the Rider E refund credited to ratepayers:

Debit/Credit:	Operating revenue	(Account 300. 000)
Credit/Debit:	2014 REVA	(Account 179)

To record the variance between actual and approved Rider E amounts credited to ratepayers resulting from variances between actual and forecast volumes.

2. Interest accrual:

Debit/Credit:	Interest Expense	(Account 323. 000)
Credit/Debit:	Interest on 2014 REVA	(Account 179)

To record simple interest on the opening monthly balance of the 2014 REVA using the Board approved EB-2006-0117 interest rate methodology.