

From: webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [<mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca>]
Sent: October 8, 2014 2:11 PM
To: BoardSec
Subject: Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2013-0416

LETTER OF COMMENT

Comments:

My comments reflect the issue of low urban delivery rates, which are already excessive, beginning with an excessive delivery fee even if no electricity is used, even with the current subsidy. Low urban customers pay double the flat fee of high urban, what is the justification for this? Low urban customers are billed an additional \$5.00 + per kw over the actual rate of electricity. (Adding all the 'additional' charges together lumped into 'delivery charge').

What this equates to for low urban consumers is a doubling of their actual electricity usage. Add another 13ish dollars for regulatory & debt retirement.

The majority of low urban customers are farmers, not to mention we are required to suffer with wind turbines littered all across this area to support clean energy, it would seem since the turbines are located in low urban areas that what goes into the grid from low urban must be cost effective for the hydro company, so why does it cost so much more to send it back out?

The hydro lines are here, they've been here a very long time, pretty sure they are long ago paid for. To what end is this delivery charge for? So far I haven't been given an explanation as to why this charge exists at this rate for an established low urban area.

I not only do not support an increase in delivery charge, its my belief it should be lowered accordingly for the low urban consumer base.

Sincerely
Anne Campbell.

Name: Anne Campbell

