

HORIZON UTILITIES CORPORATION
EB-2014-0002
VECC CROSS EXAMINATION COMPENDIUM

| | |
|--------|---|
| TAB 1 | Exhibit 7/Tab 1/Schedule 1, pages 2-4 |
| TAB 2 | 7.0-VECC-49 |
| TAB 3 | 7.0-VECC-51 |
| TAB 4 | City of Hamilton #7 – Attachment 1, pages 3-4 |
| TAB 5 | 8.0-Staff-33 |
| TAB 6 | 7.0-VECC-56 |
| TAB 7 | 7.0-VECC-89TC |
| TAB 8 | 7.0-Energy Probe-48 |
| TAB 9 | Settlement Proposal – Appendix J |
| TAB 10 | Settlement Proposal – Appendix F – Cost Allocation O1 and O2 Sheets: 2015-2019 |
| TAB 11 | 7.0-VECC-84TC |
| TAB 12 | Settlement Agreement – Appendix H |
| TAB 13 | Exhibit 8/Tab 1/Schedule 2, pages 1-7 |
| TAB 14 | Settlement Proposal – Appendix K |

TAB 1

1 This review identified two significant areas of concern with respect to allocating costs consistent
2 with the principle of cost causality. First, it was determined that the largest customers in
3 Horizon Utilities' Large Use customer class are served exclusively with dedicated facilities, and
4 maintaining these customers in the current Large Use class results in them being allocated
5 costs for pooled distribution facilities that they do not use. Second, it was determined that
6 certain accounts defined as "primary assets" in the 2011 Horizon Model included both
7 secondary and primary assets when examined on a sub-account basis. Consequently, Horizon
8 Utilities is proposing the introduction of a new customer class and changes to the allocation of
9 sub-accounts to customer classes, to conform more consistently to the principle of cost
10 causality. The proposed changes are further described below.

11 **New Customer Class**

12 In order to appropriately address cost causation, and the uniqueness of some of its customers,
13 Horizon Utilities is proposing a new Large Use 2 ("LU (2)") customer class, for customers with
14 demand over 15 MW, who also are served by dedicated assets.

15 Presently, Horizon Utilities serves 4 customers that meet the criteria of the proposed LU (2)
16 class. These customers are served by dedicated feeders, and do not participate in the use of
17 the pooled assets, because of their size. Customers who meet the criteria of the proposed LU
18 (2) class are presently allocated costs for distribution facilities that they do not use, and that are
19 used by the other customers in the Large Use class and customers in other rate classes.

20 Horizon Utilities has identified the costs associated with the customers who meet the criteria of
21 the LU (2) class. Consistent with the configuration of Horizon Utilities' distribution system, the
22 feeders used by the LU (2) rate class are allocated by means of direct allocation in the OEB's
23 Cost Allocation Model (Worksheet I9 – Direct Allocation), and correspondingly this rate class
24 does not attract allocation of the shared primary or secondary asset pools.

25 Table 7-1 compares the Test Year revenues proposed to be collected from the LU (2) class to
26 the revenues that would be collected from this group of customers if the new rate class were not
27 created. The introduction of the LU (2) customer class and the removal of costs related to
28 assets that these customers do not use reduces the costs allocated to these customers by

nearly \$4 Million per year. Appendix 2P further illustrates the difference between maintaining these customers in the Large Use class and moving them to the proposed LU (2) class.

Table 7-1: LU (2) Revenues at Proposed Rates vs. LU (2) Revenues at LU (1) Rates

| | Revenue Requirement as LU (1) Customers A | Revenue Requirement as LU (2) Customers B | Revenue Difference as LU (1) Customers A-B |
|------|---|---|--|
| 2015 | \$4,085,475 | \$480,086 | \$3,605,389 |
| 2016 | \$4,332,153 | \$580,573 | \$3,751,579 |
| 2017 | \$4,496,349 | \$782,837 | \$3,713,512 |
| 2018 | \$4,616,370 | \$804,863 | \$3,811,507 |
| 2019 | \$4,808,926 | \$838,452 | \$3,970,474 |

The introduction of this class results in a rate structure that better addresses the cost causality of each customer class. In addition, there is concern that, absent the proposed rate class, some of these customers may choose to make related investments to directly connect to Hydro One, leaving Horizon Utilities with stranded assets, and significantly less volume throughput. Retention of these customers will reduce the risk of a larger burden of costs on the remaining customer classes.

Presently, all customers that qualify for the LU (2) rate class have demands over 20 MW, while the largest of the remaining Large Use customers' demands is less than 10 MW. It is expected that customers under the threshold are unlikely to cross over, and those above are unlikely to cross under. Unlike the members of the proposed LU (2) customer class, pooled assets are used to distribute power to the remaining Large Use customer class ("LU (1)").

Allocation of Sub-Accounts

Elenchus determined that certain accounts that are treated as primary assets included sub-accounts that, when examined on a disaggregated basis, were in fact secondary assets. Consequently, it was determined that the allocation of costs to Horizon Utilities' customer classes would be of greater adherence with the principle of cost causality if the sub-accounts that comprised primary and secondary costs were separated as inputs in the cost allocation model and allocated appropriately to Horizon Utilities' customer classes. Horizon Utilities agrees with the recommendation made by Elenchus and has refiled these changes in the 2015 – 2019 CA Models.

Updates to Horizon Utilities' Cost Allocation Model

Elenchus determined updated demand allocators for each year, providing advice on the methodology for preparing a cost allocation study, and performing a final review of the completed models. In addressing these matters, Elenchus and Horizon Utilities were guided by the Filing Requirements and the Cost Allocation Review which set out the Board's policies in relation to specific cost allocation matters for electricity distributors.¹

Load and Customer Information

The Horizon Utilities 2015-2019 CA Models have been prepared using the following load and load profile information:

Annual Loads (kW and kWh, as appropriate) and customer counts: The 2015-2019 load forecast and customer counts by class provided in Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 1 were also used for the 2015-2019 CA Models.

Street Lighting Connections: The 2015-2019 connections (unmetered) for the Street Lighting class are calculated using a ratio of 1.3141 Devices : 1 Connection. This ratio is based on the results of a 2013 audit of the number of daisy chained devices in the City of Hamilton. The scope of this audit included a physical count of the number of daisy chained devices in the City of Hamilton. In addition, a review of GIS records in the City of St. Catharines was completed to inform the calculation of this ratio. Table 7-2 provides the derivation of ratio of 1.3141 Devices : 1 Connection.

¹ Ontario Energy Board, *Report of the Board, Application of Cost Allocation for Electricity Distributors* (EB-2007-0667), November 28, 2007, page 1.

TAB 2

7.0-VECC-49

Reference: E7/T1/S1, pg. 2 and pg. 6

a) For each of the customers in the proposed LU(2) class please describe the supply arrangements (i.e. how supply is obtained from Hydro One and the Horizon facilities used to deliver the power to the customer), including those circumstance where there is a unplanned or maintenance outage on their main supply facilities. In each case, please indicate whether any of the facilities used also provide (or can provide in the case other equipment outages) power to customers not in the LU(2) class.

b) Page 6 states that 100% of the customers in this rate class (LU(2)) are served “almost exclusively by dedicated conduit”. Please indicate what the exceptions are. If some assets are “shared” with other classes, what are they and how is this treated in the cost allocation?

c) Do the LU(2) customers also have dedicated back-up “conduit” to ensure supply in cases of either an unplanned or maintenance outage of their main supply facilities?

- If yes, is this also directly allocated?
- If not, how are they supplied during such outages? If supply is made from non-dedicated facilities, how is this addressed in the cost allocation?

Response:

- 1 a) Horizon Utilities supplies all of the customers in the proposed LU (2) class via three
- 2 Transformer Stations (“TSs”) owned by Hydro One Networks Inc. (“Hydro One”), including
- 3 breakers also owned by Hydro One. Horizon Utilities owns the Low Voltage (“LV”) cables to the
- 4 Hydro One breaker; the cable demarcation varies by customer. There are multiple feeders and
- 5 breakers per customer within this customer class providing each customer with multiple
- 6 redundancies. The available redundancies provide the ability to withstand unplanned outages
- 7 due to a single cable fault, since these customers are served by multiple cables. These feeders
- 8 are dedicated to the customers within the LU (2) class and cannot provide power to customers
- 9 that are not in the LU(2) class.
- 10 b) Horizon Utilities wishes to clarify that several conduits make up a ductbank. Ductbanks pass
- 11 through utility chambers and vaults, all of which would be classified as civil assets. The
- 12 reference on page 6 should read “almost exclusively by civil assets”. The electrical assets are
- 13 dedicated to this customer class as well as the conduit in which the electrical assets reside. In
- 14 certain circumstances, the remaining civil assets such as the utility chambers, vaults and any

15 unutilized conduits are considered shared assets. Horizon Utilities has identified that the shared
16 civil assets are fully depreciated and not material to the cost allocation process.

17 c) Horizon Utilities confirms that as provided in response to a) and b), these customers have
18 multiple feeders servicing the site and the feeders are in conduits. Both the conduits and
19 feeders are dedicated to the LU(2) class. This provides multiple redundancies to protect against
20 an unplanned outage or maintenance.

TAB 3

7.0-VECC-51

Reference: E7/T1/S1/pg.6

a) With respect to Footnote 2, please confirm that for the new allocators described with the suffix “SU” the suffix should be LU2. If not please reconcile this footnote with page 9 of the Elenchus Report on Cost Allocation.

b) Why are there no wholesale meter costs (Acct. 1820-3) assigned/allocated to the LU(2) class (i.e. use of CENexLU2)?

Response:

- 1 a) Horizon Utilities confirms that the new allocators described with the suffix “SU” should
2 be “LU (2)”.
- 3
- 4 b) The engineering review of assets used to serve the customers in the LU (2) class
5 determined that the feeders used to provide service to 2 of the customers are wholesale
6 registered; these customers have paid for their own meters. Of the two remaining
7 customers, one customer is supplied by wholesale meters at a Hydro One transformer
8 station (“TS”). This entire TS along with the metering is at end of life and is being replaced
9 over the term of this Application as discussed in 8-Staff-33. The cost for this project
10 including the metering has been allocated to the LU2 class. The remaining LU (2) customer
11 has older retail interval metering equipment and its net book value is approximately
12 zero. This equipment is planned for replacement within the next two years; those costs will
13 be allocated to the LU (2) customer class.

TAB 4

EB-2014-0002
Horizon Utilities Corporation
Responses to City of
Hamilton Interrogatories
Delivered: August 1st, 2014
C of H 7_Attch 1_City of Hamilton Streetlight Audit Report

C of H 7_Attch 1_City of Hamilton Streetlight Audit Report



Horizon Utilities

City of Hamilton Street Light Audit Report

Prepared by: Utility Solutions
Corporation

November 6, 2013

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
| PROJECT BACKGROUND | 3 |
| PROJECT APPROACH..... | 3 |
| AUDIT FINDINGS..... | 4 |
| PROJECT METHODOLOGY | 4 |
| DATA ORGANIZATION AND ROUTE MAPPING | 4 |
| FIELD DATA COLLECTION | 5 |
| <i>Attribution Rules</i> | <i>5</i> |
| <i>OH Wire Ownership and Demarcation.....</i> | <i>5</i> |
| <i>D1 Demarcation – Lights Supplied From House Lighting Bus.....</i> | <i>6</i> |
| <i>D2 Demarcation – Lights Supplied From Designated Street Lighting Bus.....</i> | <i>6</i> |
| <i>Hazardous Field Conditions.....</i> | <i>9</i> |
| POST PROCESSING AND DATA ASSEMBLY..... | 10 |
| <i>Field Technician Submission QC</i> | <i>10</i> |
| <i>Process for Determining Non-D1 Entries.....</i> | <i>10</i> |
| <i>Pre-Submission QC and Deliveries.....</i> | <i>11</i> |
| AUDIT FINDINGS | 13 |
| AUDIT FINDINGS – CONNECTION DEMARCATION DESIGNATIONS..... | 13 |
| <i>Audit Count – D1s and D2s.....</i> | <i>13</i> |
| AUDIT FINDINGS – ATTRIBUTION DATA..... | 13 |
| <i>Attribute – Pole No.....</i> | <i>13</i> |
| <i>Attribute – UG/OH Supply.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| <i>Attribute – Pole Owner.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| <i>Attribute – Pole Attachments.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| <i>Attribute – Wattage.....</i> | <i>14</i> |
| <i>Attribute – Primary Conductors</i> | <i>15</i> |
| <i>Attribute – Shared Use (Telecom/Cable).....</i> | <i>15</i> |
| <i>“New “and Poles Not Found.....</i> | <i>15</i> |
| APPENDIX A - ATTRIBUTION RULE SUMMARY DOCUMENT..... | 16 |
| APPENDIX B - OH WIRE OWNERSHIP AND DEMARCATION SKETCHES | 17 |

Executive Summary

Project Background

The Street Lighting System in the City of Hamilton that is energized from Horizon Utilities' distribution system is either connected to Horizon's distribution house lighting bus or dedicated street lighting lines owned and operated by the City of Hamilton. The division of street lights connected to Horizon's bus vs. those connected to dedicated street lighting lines has been approximated over the years. Since the operational cost for each of these two connection types is different, both the City and Horizon agreed to have a street lighting audit performed to much more precisely determine the division between connection types. Utility Solutions Corporation, under its current resource contract with Horizon Utilities, was requested to perform the street lighting audit and report its findings.

Project Approach

Utility Solutions was requested to perform a field audit of all street streetlights within Horizon Utilities' service territory. This number was estimated to be 40,000. The street lighting types can be broadly categorized as follows:

- Overhead residential
- Overhead arterial/commercial
- Overhead rural
- Underground subdivision
- Underground decorative
- Alleyway (small quantity)

The field audit involved deploying trained technicians to each accessible street light location. With the exception of underground subdivisions and high-speed roadways, technicians performed the audit on an individual basis by foot patrol. Underground supplied subdivisions and high-speed roadways were performed by two person crews using a vehicle.

Technician staff gathered and/or confirmed the following data at each street light location:

- Pole number verification
- Overhead or underground supply type
- Pole ownership
- Connection type for overhead lines (house lighting or dedicated street lighting bus)
- Lamp wattage (if shown)
- Presence of primary lines (Y/N)
- Presence of shared use (i.e., telecommunication/cable)

All field data was submitted to office technician staff for further processing. In the case of underground supplied street lights, office staff identified the connection type using Horizon's GIS based record system, Legend. Office staff also performed quality control and assembled the data for delivery to the client.

Audit Findings

Using both Horizon Utilities and the City of Hamilton data sources, Utility Solutions identified a total of 39,340 street lights as being in scope for this project. These street light locations were inspected over a 2 ½ month period. The table below summarizes the audit results. More detailed findings can be found in the body of this report.

| Audit Item | Count | Comments |
|-------------------------|--------|--|
| Total Light Locations | 39,340 | From client databases |
| Total Lights in Service | 37,934 | Identified in Field |
| Total D1s | 21,796 | D1 = Supplied from House Lighting Bus |
| Total D2s | 12,109 | D2 = Supplied from dedicated street light bus connection |
| Undefined Connections | 3,802 | Primarily UG supplied lights not shown in Legend |
| No Access | 227 | No Access to Pole/Light |

Project Methodology

Utility Solutions implemented a three stage approach to complete this project as outlined below:

1. Data Organization and Route Mapping
2. Field Data Collection
3. Post Processing and Data Assembly

Data Organization and Route Mapping

This stage of the project involved reviewing all of the street lighting data supplied by Horizon and the City of Hamilton to enable USC to assemble a full project scope map in terms of volume of street lights and geography. Utility Solutions assembled this data and created a personal geo-database in Shapefile format. The combined number of streetlights identified amongst the various sources was 39,340. All individual streetlights were subsequently mapped and provided to both Horizon and the City for scope verification.

The composite street lighting GIS file created by USC was used extensively throughout the project. From this file, USC created approximately 240 smaller route maps to facilitate the field collection work flow. Each route map contained street centreline information along with street light pole locations. In addition to the route map, a data collection form was created in Excel format. The collection form was used by USC field technicians to enter field data for all lights identified on the route map.

Where possible, maps were categorized to allow USC to adopt various field approaches for data collection. These categories included:

- Underground subdivision
- Overhead residential
- Arterial/Commercial
- High-speed roadways
- Rural
- Alleyways

TAB 5

8-Staff-33 Bill Impacts

Reference:

1. Exhibit 8 Tab 4 Schedule 1
2. Exhibit 2 Appendix 2-4 Appendix A Innovative Customer Consultation Report
3. Report of the Board Renewed Regulatory Framework for Electricity Distributors: A Performance-Based Approach October 18, 2012

Preamble:

Board staff has reviewed the rate impacts for 2015 – 2019 found in Reference 1. For prescribed Residential and General Service <50 KW the impacts are as indicated in the following table developed by Board staff.

| | Rate Impacts | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|------|------|
| | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Distribution Only (Subtotal A) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential @ 800 kWh | 1.08 | 3.8 | 1.38 | 4.7 | 0.60 | 2.0 | 0.41 | 1.3 | 0.91 | 3.8 |
| GS<50 kW @ 2,000 kWh | 10.96 | 20.1 | 0.83 | 1.3 | 1.62 | 2.5 | 1.03 | 1.5 | 1.89 | 20.1 |

Board staff also developed the following table for the two new Large User Classes in Reference 1.

| | Rate Impacts | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
| | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % | \$ | % |
| Distribution Only | | | | | | | | | | |
| LU(1) @12.5 MW | - 9,817 | -24.2% | 1,707 | 5.6% | 672 | 2.1% | 473 | 1.4% | 963 | 2.9% |
| LU(2) @ 15 MW | - 38,407 | -87.4% | 1,237 | 22.3% | 2,236 | 33.0% | 135 | 1.5% | 262 | 2.9% |
| LU(2) @ 20 MW | - 44,422 | -87.4% | 1,455 | 22.8% | 2,585 | 33.0% | 156 | 1.5% | 303 | 2.9% |

In Reference 2, some of Horizon's key account customers (3 of the 9 surveyed) preferred no rate increases and believed the rate change is unreasonable and opposed it.

In Reference 3, the Board wants distributors to appropriately pace its investments.

- a. Given the impacts for the remaining years why is Horizon not proposing mitigation measures for GS<50 20.1% in 2015?
- b. After large decreases in 2015, the Large User class has significant increases. Why has Horizon not proposed rate mitigation, particularly in light of some of its Key Account customer comments?

c. What capital investments or OM&A programs could be deferred or reduced or spread out that would assist in keeping the increases in the early years down?

Response:

1 a. When evaluating the need for rate mitigation strategies, Horizon Utilities has considered
2 the rate increases on a total bill basis, consistent with the Board's Chapter 2 Filing
3 Requirements section 2.11.12.1. For the GS < 50 kW class specifically, as shown in
4 Table 8-43 of Exhibit 8, Tab 4, Schedule 1, the total bill increase in 2015 is 5.88% which
5 is well below levels that would warrant rate mitigation according to Board policies and
6 practices. Horizon Utilities did not propose rate mitigation, as a result.

7 b. The increases in 2016 and 2017 for the Large Use class are the result of capital work to
8 be completed on a transformer station that is a dedicated asset that is directly allocated
9 to the LU (2) class. The LU (2) customers are aware that because they are served using
10 dedicated assets that are directly allocated to them, all costs associated with those
11 dedicated assets (such as the work stated above), will be fully incorporated into their
12 distribution rates.

13 Furthermore, while the proposed increases to LU (2) rates in 2016 and 2017 are large as
14 compared to the prior year's rates as filed, compared to the existing 2014 rates this class
15 still experiences a rate decrease. Table 1 below provides the distribution bill impacts for
16 the LU (2) class comparing each subsequent rate year to the 2014 existing Large Use
17 rates.

Table 1: LU (2) Distribution Rate Bill Impacts Compared to 2014 Existing Rates

| | LU (2) @ 20 MW | LU (2) @ 15 MW |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| 2015 DX Revenues at Existing 2014 Rates | \$ 50,960 | \$ 44,064 |
| 2015 DX Revenues at Proposed 2015 Rates | \$ 6,574 | \$ 5,684 |
| 2015 DX Bill increase (%) | -87.10% | -87.10% |
| 2016 DX Revenues at Existing 2014 Rates | \$ 50,960 | \$ 44,064 |
| 2016 DX Revenues at Proposed 2016 Rates | \$ 7,845 | \$ 6,783 |
| 2016 DX Bill increase (%) | -84.61% | -84.61% |
| 2017 DX Revenues at Existing 2014 Rates | \$ 50,960 | \$ 44,064 |
| 2017 DX Revenues at Proposed 2017 Rates | \$ 10,431 | \$ 9,154 |
| 2017 DX Bill increase (%) | -79.53% | -79.23% |
| 2018 DX Revenues at Existing 2014 Rates | \$ 50,960 | \$ 44,064 |
| 2018 DX Revenues at Proposed 2018 Rates | \$ 10,586 | \$ 9,154 |
| 2018 DX Bill increase (%) | -79.23% | -79.23% |
| 2019 DX Revenues at Existing 2014 Rates | \$ 50,960 | \$ 44,064 |
| 2019 DX Revenues at Proposed 2019 Rates | \$ 10,890 | \$ 9,416 |
| 2019 DX Bill increase (%) | -78.63% | -78.63% |

- c. Horizon Utilities has submitted a comprehensive capital plan that outlines the needs of the business to best safely and reliably serve its customer base. As summarized in Exhibit 1, Tab 2, Schedule 6, Page 9:

The major drivers of Horizon Utilities' Distribution System Plan are the necessary renewal investments in: the distribution system; buildings and related underlying systems and processes; and the Smart Meter implementation. A significant portion of Horizon Utilities' asset infrastructure is now largely due for renewal. Horizon Utilities has been able to extend the life of this equipment through careful management and prudent investments focused on the long term stewardship of these assets. However, the health of a significant portion of these assets is degrading and must be replaced along a carefully managed timeframe in a manner that balances distribution system risks and customer rate impacts. Building infrastructure systems are at or nearing end of life, resulting in: poor equipment performance; increased risk of system failure; poor work environments for employees; and increased health and safety risks.

Horizon Utilities has paced its capital program, as identified in Exhibit 2 Appendix 2-4 Section 3.1 Summary of Capital Expenditure Plan, compared to the recommendation provided by Kinectrics in its ACA.

1 Regarding OM&A expenditures, in Exhibit 1, Tab 2, Schedule 6, page 27, Horizon
2 Utilities notes:

3 *There is urgency to step up OM&A in 2014 and 2015 to address the non-controllable,*
4 *regulatory, and managed cost drivers that will affect Horizon Utilities in those years and*
5 *thereafter. The rationale and justification for such managed growth is articulated in the*
6 *Application and corresponds to themes such as: support for urgent and rising distribution*
7 *renewal investment and ongoing medium-term growth in maintenance programs;*
8 *delivery of customer value through information technology investments to enhance*
9 *distribution system management and monitoring and timely customer access and*
10 *response; and to provide functional and sustainable office and operating centre work*
11 *environments.*

12 Overall, the Application already incorporates pacing of capital expenditures and OM&A
13 to best serve the immediate and long-term needs of its customers. Additionally, the
14 Application articulates a sequencing of expenditure with respect to certain programs.
15 Consequently, Horizon Utilities does not recommend any further deferral or reduction of
16 expenditures as rate mitigation.

TAB 6

7.0-VECC-56

**Reference: E7/T1/Appendix 7-1
Cost Allocation Model, Tabs I9 and O5**

- a) With respect to Appendix 7-1, page 7, how many years of smart meter data does Horizon currently have and how many years' data are needed in order for the information to be used to establish load profiles for cost allocation?
- b) With respect to Tabs I9 and O5, please confirm that the LU(2) class has been directly assigned assets in accounts 1840 and 1845 but has not been assigned or allocated any O&M costs associated with these assets.
- c) If part (b) is confirmed, please revise the allocators for the O&M costs to include directly assigned assets and provide a revised Cost Allocation.
- d) Tab I9 does not appear to attribute any depreciation to the assets directly assigned to the LU(2) class. Please indicate if this is done elsewhere in the cost allocation model and, if so where and what is the depreciation cost associated with these assets?
- e) If not, please indicate what the associated depreciation cost would be and re-do the cost allocation with this cost also directly assigned to the LU(2) class.

Response:

- 1 a) Horizon Utilities believes that a minimum of four years of Smart Meter data after Smart
2 Meters have been fully deployed is necessary in order to determine weather-sensitivity
3 of load with weather normalization based on 30 years of historic weather data. As of
4 June 2014, Horizon Utilities has 3 years of hourly Smart Meter data (beginning May
5 2011).
- 6 b) Horizon Utilities confirms that no O&M costs have been directly allocated to the LU(2)
7 class. The LU (2) class is served with dedicated assets and essentially no O&M is
8 required to maintain these dedicated assets (estimated at \$7,000 every 3 years).
9 Horizon Utilities plans to replace some of the dedicated assets and the capital costs
10 associated with that project are directly allocated to the LU(2) class.
- 11 c) Per the answer in part b), no O&M costs are to be allocated to these assets.
- 12 d) Depreciation on the directly allocated assets is computed directly within cells J36 and
13 J37 for each year's respective Cost Allocation model.

- 1 e) As stated in response to part d), the net fixed asset amounts are provided in the direct
- 2 allocation tab and therefore include the impact of depreciation.

TAB 7

7.0 –VECC -89TC

Reference: 7-VECC-56 d) & e)

- a) Please confirm that the value reported in the referenced cells J36 and J37 are Gross Book values and not depreciation.**
- b) Please confirm that the \$47,118 in depreciation allocated to LU(2) in 2015 (per Sheet O1) consists of:**
 - i. \$11,893 for Buildings**
 - ii. \$18,530 for Meters**
 - iii. \$16,694 for General Plant**

Note: This can be seen from Sheet O7.

- c) If part (b) is confirmed, please provide a response to VECC-56, part (e).**

Response:

- 1 a) The values reported in J36 and J37 are the Net Book value, not depreciation.
- 2 b) Horizon Utilities confirms these amounts.
- 3 c) Horizon Utilities has reviewed the Cost Allocation model again and has directly allocated
- 4 the following depreciation amounts to the LU (2) class for 2015 through 2019 by year:
- 5 2015: \$10,111, 2016: \$70,024, 2017-2019: \$129,937 (per year).

TAB 8

7-Energy Probe-48

Ref: Exhibit 7, Tab 1, Schedule 1

a) For each year shown in Table 7-1 please show the re-allocation of the revenue difference to the other rate classes. For example, in 2015, the proposed LU (2) class reduces the allocation of costs to these customers by \$3,605,389, so these costs are re-allocated to other rate classes. Please show this re-allocation.

b) Did Horizon consider phasing in the reduction to the Large Use (2) revenue to cost ratio over a number of years, given the significant increase in cost allocated to other rate classes? If not, why not?

c) Are there other customers that are served directly off of the primary system, but are included in rate classes that are also allocated secondary system costs? If yes, please explain why Horizon is not proposing a separate rate class for those customers.

Response:

a) Horizon Utilities provides the reallocation of the revenue impact of the introduction of the LU (2) class in Tables 1 – 5 below. Column C in each of the tables below provides the reallocation of costs as a result of the introduction of the LU (2) class.

Table 1: 2015 Reallocation of Distribution Revenue

| | Distribution Revenue (Per Application) | Distribution Revenue (No LU (2) Class) | Impact of LU (2) Rate Class on Distribution Revenues |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | A | B | C = A-B |
| Residential | \$ 69,461,355 | \$ 69,459,466 | \$ 1,889 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 15,412,682 | \$ 13,613,607 | \$ 1,799,075 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 21,400,734 | \$ 19,049,999 | \$ 2,350,735 |
| Standby | \$ 739,292 | \$ 667,851 | \$ 71,441 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ 2,157,451 | \$ 3,104,537 | \$ (947,085) |
| Large Use (2) | \$ 480,086 | \$ 4,085,475 | \$ (3,605,389) |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 46,725 | \$ 41,271 | \$ 5,454 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,740,679 | \$ 2,420,768 | \$ 319,911 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 517,021 | \$ 513,052 | \$ 3,969 |
| Total | \$ 112,956,026 | \$ 112,956,026 | \$ 0 |

Table 2: 2016 Reallocation of Distribution Revenue

| | Distribution Revenue (Per Application) | Distribution Revenue (No LU (2) Class) | Impact of LU (2) Rate Class on Distribution Revenues |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | A | B | C = A-B |
| Residential | \$ 72,903,466 | \$ 72,909,930 | \$ (6,464) |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 16,160,545 | \$ 14,301,876 | \$ 1,858,669 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 22,482,464 | \$ 20,006,611 | \$ 2,475,853 |
| Standby | \$ 794,058 | \$ 716,552 | \$ 77,507 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ 2,269,990 | \$ 3,267,203.70 | \$ (997,214) |
| Large Use (2) | \$ 580,573 | \$ 4,332,153 | \$ (3,751,579) |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 47,588 | \$ 42,024 | \$ 5,565 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,867,294 | \$ 2,532,025 | \$ 335,269 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 522,521 | \$ 520,127 | \$ 2,394 |
| Total | \$ 118,628,501 | \$ 118,628,501 | \$ (0) |

Table 3: 2017 Reallocation of Distribution Revenue

| | Distribution Revenue (Per Application) | Distribution Revenue (No LU (2) Class) | Impact of LU (2) Rate Class on Distribution Revenues |
|-------------------------|---|---|--|
| | A | B | C = A-B |
| Residential | \$ 74,595,365 | \$ 74,793,631 | \$ (198,266) |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 16,549,987 | \$ 14,620,477 | \$ 1,929,510 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 23,137,026 | \$ 20,555,299 | \$ 2,581,728 |
| Standby | \$ 836,832 | \$ 753,560 | \$ 83,272 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ 2,331,533 | \$ 3,364,652 | \$ (1,033,118) |
| Large Use (2) | \$ 782,837 | \$ 4,496,349 | \$ (3,713,512) |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 47,446 | \$ 41,892 | \$ 5,554 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,933,368 | \$ 2,590,010 | \$ 343,359 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 529,049 | \$ 527,574 | \$ 1,474 |
| Total | \$ 121,743,444 | \$ 121,743,444 | \$ 0 |

Table 4: 2018 Reallocation of Distribution Revenue

| | Distribution Revenue (Per Application) | | Distribution Revenue (No LU (2) Class) | | Impact of LU (2) Rate Class on Distribution Revenues |
|-------------------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | A | | B | | C = A-B |
| Residential | \$ | 75,944,135 | \$ | 76,171,481 | \$ (227,346) |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ | 16,829,093 | \$ | 14,821,535 | \$ 2,007,558 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ | 23,538,584 | \$ | 20,902,040 | \$ 2,636,544 |
| Standby | \$ | 872,552 | \$ | 785,169 | \$ 87,383 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ | 2,378,306 | \$ | 3,429,970 | \$ (1,051,663) |
| Large Use (2) | \$ | 804,863 | \$ | 4,616,370 | \$ (3,811,507) |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ | 46,828 | \$ | 41,293 | \$ 5,535 |
| Street Lighting | \$ | 2,975,756 | \$ | 2,624,069 | \$ 351,687 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ | 530,200 | \$ | 528,389 | \$ 1,810 |
| Total | \$ | 123,920,317 | \$ | 123,920,317 | \$ (0) |

Table 5: 2019 Reallocation of Distribution Revenue

| | Distribution Revenue (Per Application) | | Distribution Revenue (No LU (2) Class) | | Impact of LU (2) Rate Class on Distribution Revenues |
|-------------------------|---|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| | A | | B | | C = A-B |
| Residential | \$ | 78,365,794 | \$ | 78,606,271 | \$ (240,476) |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ | 17,351,714 | \$ | 15,240,423 | \$ 2,111,291 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ | 24,297,713 | \$ | 21,572,114 | \$ 2,725,599 |
| Standby | \$ | 920,444 | \$ | 828,051 | \$ 92,393 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ | 2,460,571 | \$ | 3,548,214 | \$ (1,087,643) |
| Large Use (2) | \$ | 838,452 | \$ | 4,808,926 | \$ (3,970,474) |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ | 46,806 | \$ | 41,269 | \$ 5,537 |
| Street Lighting | \$ | 3,059,543 | \$ | 2,697,671 | \$ 361,872 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ | 540,863 | \$ | 538,962 | \$ 1,901 |
| Total | \$ | 127,881,899 | \$ | 127,881,899 | \$ 0 |

- b) When evaluating the need for rate mitigation strategies, Horizon Utilities has considered the rate increases on a total bill basis, consistent with the Chapter 2 Filing Requirements section 2.11.12.2. Horizon Utilities has been mindful of customer bill impacts and has applied for total bill impacts for each customer class that are below the 10% threshold set out by the Board in the Chapter 2 Filing requirements.
- c) All customers in both the existing Large Use class (i.e., all LU(1) and LU(2) customers) are served directly off of the primary distribution system. Therefore they are not allocated a portion of the costs associated with secondary distribution assets.

1 There are also some General Service > 50 kW customers that are served directly off of the
2 primary distribution system. Those customers own their own transformation and are
3 provided a transformation allowance based on their monthly kW billed demand as
4 compensation for the reduced cost of service. Nevertheless, since some customers in the
5 General Service > 50 kW class are served off of the secondary system, the class is
6 allocated a share of the secondary system costs.

TAB 9

Appendix J: Revenue to Cost Ratios

Appendix 2-P

Please complete the following four tables.

A) Allocated Costs

| Classes | Costs Allocated from Previous Study | % | Costs Allocated in Test Year Study (Column 7A) | % |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| Residential | \$ 57,738,673 | 56.41% | \$ 68,263,922 | 59.65% |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 11,823,974 | 11.55% | \$ 15,617,872 | 13.65% |
| GS > 50 kW | \$ 19,773,789 | 19.32% | \$ 22,962,722 | 20.07% |
| Large Use (1) | \$ 2,257,890 | 2.21% | \$ 1,919,882 | 1.68% |
| Large Use (2) | \$ 6,577,075 | 6.43% | \$ 440,080 | 0.38% |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,963,902 | 2.90% | \$ 3,342,981 | 2.92% |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$ 57,144 | 0.06% | \$ 44,722 | 0.04% |
| Unmetered Scattered Load (USL) | \$ 533,639 | 0.52% | \$ 393,301 | 0.34% |
| Standby | \$ 620,650 | 0.61% | \$ 1,452,849 | 1.27% |
| Total | \$ 102,346,736 | 100.00% | \$ 114,438,330 | 100.00% |

Notes

- Customer Classification - If proposed rate classes differ from those in place in the previous Cost Allocation study, modify the rate classes to match the current application as closely as possible.
- Host Distributors - Provide information on embedded distributor(s) as a separate class, if applicable. If embedded distributor(s) are billed as customers in a General Service class, include the allocated cost and revenue of the embedded distributor(s) in the applicable class. Also complete Appendix 2-Q.
- Class Revenue Requirements - If using the Board-issued model, in column 7A enter the results from Worksheet O-1, Revenue Requirement (row 40 in the 2013 model). This excludes costs in deferral and variance accounts. Note to Embedded Distributor(s), it also does not include Account 4750 - Low Voltage (LV) Costs.

B) Calculated Class Revenues

| Classes (same as previous table) | Column 7B | Column 7C | Column 7D | Column 7E |
|----------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | Load Forecast (LF) X current approved rates | L.F. X current approved rates X (1 + d) | LF X proposed rates | Miscellaneous Revenue |
| Residential | \$ 63,449,250 | \$ 66,931,078 | \$ 66,927,936 | \$ 3,422,663 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 12,412,754 | \$ 13,093,913 | \$ 14,825,036 | \$ 730,378 |
| GS > 50 kW | \$ 17,197,714 | \$ 18,141,452 | \$ 20,614,214 | \$ 1,118,691 |
| Large Use (1) | \$ 2,827,619 | \$ 2,982,787 | \$ 2,067,358 | \$ 140,506 |
| Large Use (2) | \$ 3,721,203 | \$ 3,925,407 | \$ 487,871 | \$ 18,221 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,202,026 | \$ 2,322,864 | \$ 2,629,966 | \$ 140,036 |
| Sentinel Lighting | \$ 37,542 | \$ 39,602 | \$ 44,838 | \$ 2,100 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load (USL) | \$ 509,223 | \$ 537,167 | \$ 448,163 | \$ 23,798 |
| Standby | \$ 745,248 | \$ 786,144 | \$ 715,033 | \$ 81,522 |
| Total | \$ 103,102,579 | \$ 108,760,414 | \$ 108,760,414 | \$ 5,677,916 |

Notes:

- Columns 7B to 7D - LF means Load Forecast of Annual Billing Quantities (i.e. customers or connections X 12, (kWh or kW, as applicable). Revenue Quantities should be net of Transformer Ownership Allowance. Exclude revenue from rate adders and rate riders.
- Columns 7C and 7D - Column total in each column should equal the Base Revenue Requirement
- Columns 7C - The Board cost allocation model calculates "1+d" in worksheet O-1, cell C21. "d" is defined as Revenue Deficiency/Revenue at Current Rates.
- Columns 7E - If using the Board-issued Cost Allocation model, enter Miscellaneous Revenue as it appears in Worksheet O-1, row 19.

C) Rebalancing Revenue-to-Cost (R/C) Ratios

| Class | Previously Approved Ratios | Status Quo Ratios | Proposed Ratios | Policy Range |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | Most Recent Year: 2011 | (7C + 7E) / (7A) | (7D + 7E) / (7A) | |
| | % | % | % | % |
| Residential | 111.76% | 103.06 | 103.06 | 85 - 115 |
| GS < 50 kW | 104.52% | 88.52 | 99.60 | 80 - 120 |
| GS > 50 kW | 85.35% | 83.88 | 94.64 | 80 - 120 |
| Large Use (1) | 93.73% | 162.68 | 115.00 | 85 - 115 |
| Large Use (2) | 45.74% | 896.12 | 115.00 | 85 - 115 |
| Street Lighting | 75.01% | 73.67 | 82.86 | 70 - 120 |
| Sentinel Lighting | 61.98% | 93.25 | 104.96 | 80 - 120 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load (USL) | 131.61% | 142.63 | 120.00 | 80 - 120 |
| Standby | 79.83% | 59.72 | 54.83 | Undefined |
| 0 | | | | |

Notes

- Previously Approved Revenue-to-Cost Ratios - For most applicants, Most Recent Year would be the third year of the IRM 3 period, e.g. if the applicant rebased in 2009 with further adjustments over 2 years, the Most recent year is 2011. For applicants whose most recent rebasing year is 2006, the applicant should enter the ratios from their Informational Filing.
- Status Quo Ratios - The Board's updated Cost Allocation Model yields the Status Quo Ratios in Worksheet O-1. Status Quo means "Before Rebalancing".

D) Proposed Revenue-to-Cost Ratios

| Class | Proposed Revenue-to-Cost Ratios | | | | | Policy Range |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Residential | 103.06 | 103.59 | 103.16 | 104.09 | 102.93 | 85 - 115 |
| GS < 50 kW | 99.60 | 99.37 | 99.66 | 101.37 | 99.09 | 80 - 120 |
| GS > 50 kW | 94.64 | 94.30 | 95.48 | 91.76 | 96.29 | 80 - 120 |
| Large Use (1) | 115.00 | 112.73 | 112.21 | 111.35 | 110.37 | 85 - 115 |
| Large Use (2) | 115.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 90.67 | 95.43 | 85 - 115 |
| Street Lighting | 82.86 | 82.08 | 83.08 | 83.18 | 83.00 | 70 - 120 |
| Sentinel Lighting | 104.96 | 105.17 | 103.11 | 101.85 | 100.24 | 80 - 120 |
| Unmetered Scattered Load (USL) | 120.00 | 119.80 | 119.43 | 120.00 | 119.69 | 80 - 120 |
| Standby | 54.83 | 54.47 | 54.02 | 54.22 | 54.15 | Undefined |
| 0 | | | | | | |

Note

- The applicant should complete Table D if it is applying for approval of a revenue to cost ratio in 2013 that is outside the Board's policy range for any customer class. Table (d) will show the information that the distributor would likely enter in the IRM model) in 2013. In 2014 Table (d), enter the planned ratios for the classes that will be 'Change' and 'No Change' in 2014 (in the current Revenue Cost Ratio Adjustment Workform, Worksheet C1.1 'Decision – Cost Revenue Adjustment', column d), and enter TBD for class(es) that will be entered as 'Rebalance'.

TAB 10

Appendix F
2015 - 2019 Cost Allocation Model

2015 Cost Allocation Model



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - 2015 Cost Allocation

Instructions:

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

| Rate Base Assets | | 12345678911 | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Total | Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back-up/Standby Power |
| crev mi | Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates | \$103,102,579 | \$63,449,250 | \$12,412,754 | \$17,197,714 | \$2,827,619 | \$3,721,203 | \$2,202,026 | \$37,542 | \$509,223 | \$745,248 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,677,916 | \$3,422,663 | \$730,378 | \$1,118,691 | \$140,506 | \$18,221 | \$140,036 | \$2,100 | \$23,798 | \$81,522 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Revenue at Existing Rates | \$108,780,495 | \$66,871,913 | \$13,143,131 | \$18,316,404 | \$2,968,125 | \$3,739,425 | \$2,342,062 | \$39,642 | \$533,021 | \$826,770 |
| | Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D) | 1.0549 | | | | | | | | | |
| di cu ad dep INPUT INT | Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$108,760,414 | \$66,931,078 | \$13,093,913 | \$18,141,452 | \$2,982,787 | \$3,925,407 | \$2,322,864 | \$39,602 | \$537,167 | \$786,144 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,677,916 | \$3,422,663 | \$730,378 | \$1,118,691 | \$140,506 | \$18,221 | \$140,036 | \$2,100 | \$23,798 | \$81,522 |
| | Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$114,438,330 | \$70,353,741 | \$13,824,291 | \$19,260,143 | \$3,123,293 | \$3,943,629 | \$2,462,900 | \$41,702 | \$560,965 | \$867,666 |
| | Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Distribution Costs (di) | \$26,228,649 | \$13,678,366 | \$3,850,728 | \$6,494,434 | \$588,467 | \$164,554 | \$892,300 | \$9,021 | \$85,914 | \$464,865 |
| | Customer Related Costs (cu) | \$15,622,045 | \$12,466,303 | \$1,578,733 | \$1,254,515 | \$80,387 | \$67,115 | \$89,798 | \$8,660 | \$64,929 | \$11,605 |
| | General and Administration (ad) | \$18,102,558 | \$11,279,151 | \$2,354,374 | \$3,368,670 | \$290,903 | \$98,249 | \$430,396 | \$7,621 | \$65,113 | \$208,080 |
| | Depreciation and Amortization (dep) | \$23,941,184 | \$13,800,425 | \$3,477,563 | \$5,050,834 | \$364,174 | \$41,645 | \$835,513 | \$8,404 | \$76,695 | \$285,931 |
| | PLs (INPUT) | \$3,367,502 | \$1,881,342 | \$480,996 | \$750,152 | \$65,799 | \$2,732 | \$120,895 | \$1,216 | \$11,113 | \$53,258 |
| | Interest | \$9,534,110 | \$5,326,476 | \$1,361,801 | \$2,123,838 | \$186,290 | \$7,735 | \$342,281 | \$3,443 | \$31,462 | \$150,784 |
| Total Expenses | \$96,796,048 | \$58,432,061 | \$13,104,195 | \$19,042,442 | \$1,576,019 | \$382,030 | \$2,711,184 | \$38,366 | \$335,226 | \$1,174,524 | |
| NI | Direct Allocation | \$43,772 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$43,772 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Allocated Net Income (NI) | \$17,598,510 | \$9,831,860 | \$2,513,677 | \$3,920,280 | \$343,863 | \$14,278 | \$631,798 | \$6,356 | \$58,074 | \$278,325 |
| | Revenue Requirement (includes NI) | \$114,438,330 | \$68,263,922 | \$15,617,872 | \$22,962,722 | \$1,919,882 | \$440,080 | \$3,342,981 | \$44,722 | \$393,301 | \$1,452,849 |
| | Revenue Requirement Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate Base Calculation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| dp gp accum dep co | Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Distribution Plant - Gross | \$427,142,075 | \$240,547,763 | \$61,252,147 | \$93,897,268 | \$7,895,958 | \$410,672 | \$15,234,987 | \$153,254 | \$1,399,421 | \$6,350,604 |
| | General Plant - Gross | \$72,899,540 | \$40,634,515 | \$10,408,041 | \$16,248,940 | \$1,423,142 | \$135,870 | \$2,628,251 | \$26,439 | \$241,520 | \$1,152,822 |
| | Accumulated Depreciation | (\$85,200,284) | (\$49,864,127) | (\$12,356,305) | (\$17,513,885) | (\$1,212,023) | (\$176,880) | (\$2,852,946) | (\$28,697) | (\$261,780) | (\$933,640) |
| | Capital Contribution | (\$14,506,035) | (\$7,714,744) | (\$2,124,791) | (\$3,447,151) | (\$285,540) | \$0 | (\$631,504) | (\$6,352) | (\$57,511) | (\$238,442) |
| | Total Net Plant | \$400,335,296 | \$223,603,407 | \$57,179,092 | \$89,185,172 | \$7,821,538 | \$369,662 | \$14,378,788 | \$144,643 | \$1,321,649 | \$6,331,344 |
| COP | Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets | \$394,345 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$394,345 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Cost of Power (COP) | \$522,003,461 | \$180,882,066 | \$64,929,757 | \$204,328,180 | \$29,663,772 | \$36,195,741 | \$4,676,075 | \$51,225 | \$1,276,643 | \$0 |
| | OM&A Expenses | \$59,953,252 | \$37,423,819 | \$7,783,835 | \$11,117,619 | \$959,757 | \$329,918 | \$1,412,495 | \$25,302 | \$215,956 | \$684,550 |
| | Directly Allocated Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Subtotal | \$581,956,713 | \$218,305,886 | \$72,713,592 | \$215,445,798 | \$30,623,529 | \$36,525,659 | \$6,088,570 | \$76,528 | \$1,492,600 | \$684,550 |
| | Working Capital | \$69,834,806 | \$26,196,706 | \$8,725,631 | \$25,853,496 | \$3,674,824 | \$4,383,079 | \$730,628 | \$9,183 | \$179,112 | \$82,146 |
| | Total Rate Base | \$470,564,447 | \$249,800,114 | \$65,904,723 | \$115,038,668 | \$11,496,362 | \$5,147,086 | \$15,109,416 | \$153,826 | \$1,500,761 | \$6,413,490 |
| | Rate Base Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Equity Component of Rate Base | \$188,225,779 | \$99,920,045 | \$26,361,889 | \$46,015,467 | \$4,598,545 | \$2,058,835 | \$6,043,767 | \$61,530 | \$600,304 | \$2,565,396 |
| | Net Income on Allocated Assets | \$17,598,510 | \$11,921,680 | \$720,096 | \$217,701 | \$1,547,274 | \$3,517,826 | (\$248,284) | \$3,336 | \$225,739 | (\$306,858) |
| Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets | \$19,422 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$19,422 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Net Income | \$17,617,933 | \$11,921,680 | \$720,096 | \$217,701 | \$1,547,274 | \$3,537,249 | (\$248,284) | \$3,336 | \$225,739 | (\$306,858) | |
| RATIOS ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO% | | 100.00% | 103.06% | 88.52% | 83.88% | 162.68% | 896.12% | 73.67% | 93.25% | 142.63% | 59.72% |
| EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | | (\$5,657,836) | (\$1,392,009) | (\$2,474,740) | (\$4,646,317) | \$1,048,243 | \$3,299,345 | (\$1,000,920) | (\$5,079) | \$139,721 | (\$626,079) |
| Deficiency Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | | \$0 | \$2,089,819 | (\$1,793,580) | (\$3,702,579) | \$1,203,411 | \$3,503,549 | (\$880,082) | (\$3,019) | \$167,665 | (\$585,183) |
| RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE | | 9.36% | 11.93% | 2.73% | 0.47% | 33.65% | 171.81% | -4.11% | 5.42% | 37.60% | -11.96% |



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - 2015 Cost Allocation

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for
Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost

Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related

Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System
with PLCC Adjustment

Existing Approved Fixed Charge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back- up/Standby Power |
| \$2.49 | \$5.25 | \$38.04 | \$307.18 | \$804.01 | \$0.14 | \$0.12 | -\$0.05 | 0 |
| \$3.46 | \$7.29 | \$53.54 | \$598.39 | \$1,115.46 | \$0.22 | \$0.21 | \$0.04 | 0 |
| \$13.21 | \$18.29 | \$81.90 | \$1,093.47 | \$2,181.43 | \$6.83 | \$9.02 | \$6.15 | 0 |
| \$14.92 | \$33.21 | \$302.77 | \$23,376.17 | \$23,376.17 | \$2.39 | \$4.57 | \$9.40 | \$0.00 |

2016 Cost Allocation Model



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - 2016 Cost Allocation

Instructions:

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

| Rate Base Assets | Total | 1 Residential | 2 GS <50 | 3 GS>50-Regular | 5 Large Use (1) | 6 Large Use (2) | 7 Street Light | 8 Sentinel | 9 Unmetered Scattered Load | 11 Back-up/Standby Power |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| crev Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates | \$109,399,701 | \$67,364,042 | \$14,897,347 | \$20,710,505 | \$2,079,858 | \$494,457 | \$2,628,585 | \$43,626 | \$443,923 | \$737,558 |
| mi Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,716,509 | \$3,736,039 | \$671,596 | \$959,714 | \$126,426 | \$17,801 | \$106,520 | \$2,788 | \$28,218 | \$67,406 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenue at Existing Rates | \$115,116,210 | \$71,100,081 | \$15,568,944 | \$21,670,219 | \$2,206,084 | \$512,258 | \$2,735,105 | \$46,414 | \$472,141 | \$804,964 |
| Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D) | 1.0373 | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$113,484,693 | \$69,879,420 | \$15,453,615 | \$21,483,837 | \$2,157,313 | \$512,920 | \$2,726,736 | \$45,255 | \$460,499 | \$765,098 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,716,509 | \$3,736,039 | \$671,596 | \$959,714 | \$126,426 | \$17,801 | \$106,520 | \$2,788 | \$28,218 | \$67,406 |
| Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$119,201,202 | \$73,615,459 | \$16,125,212 | \$22,443,551 | \$2,283,739 | \$530,721 | \$2,833,256 | \$48,043 | \$488,717 | \$832,505 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| di Distribution Costs (di) | \$26,082,588 | \$13,584,228 | \$3,834,201 | \$6,456,373 | \$598,389 | \$169,405 | \$870,226 | \$8,678 | \$83,118 | \$477,970 |
| cu Customer Related Costs (cu) | \$16,053,226 | \$12,813,775 | \$1,618,903 | \$1,302,471 | \$82,245 | \$68,582 | \$92,326 | \$8,807 | \$66,116 | \$0 |
| ad General and Administration (ad) | \$18,698,937 | \$11,683,596 | \$2,425,899 | \$3,460,151 | \$303,703 | \$104,468 | \$433,028 | \$7,732 | \$66,096 | \$214,263 |
| dep Depreciation and Amortization (dep) | \$25,353,876 | \$14,586,946 | \$3,679,524 | \$5,326,781 | \$389,443 | \$93,641 | \$885,346 | \$8,780 | \$80,421 | \$302,993 |
| INPUT PILs (INPUT) | \$4,731,379 | \$2,645,686 | \$675,653 | \$1,049,926 | \$93,884 | \$3,478 | \$169,417 | \$1,680 | \$15,412 | \$76,242 |
| INT Interest | \$9,826,327 | \$5,494,673 | \$1,403,225 | \$2,180,531 | \$194,983 | \$7,223 | \$351,852 | \$3,490 | \$32,008 | \$158,344 |
| Total Expenses | \$100,746,333 | \$60,808,935 | \$13,637,405 | \$19,776,234 | \$1,662,648 | \$446,797 | \$2,802,195 | \$39,168 | \$343,170 | \$1,229,812 |
| Direct Allocation | \$316,970 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$316,970 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| NI Allocated Net Income (NI) | \$18,137,899 | \$10,142,326 | \$2,590,138 | \$4,024,927 | \$359,909 | \$13,332 | \$649,465 | \$6,441 | \$59,081 | \$292,278 |
| Revenue Requirement (includes NI) | \$119,201,202 | \$70,951,231 | \$16,227,543 | \$23,801,161 | \$2,022,557 | \$777,099 | \$3,451,660 | \$45,610 | \$402,252 | \$1,522,090 |
| | Revenue Requirement Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate Base Calculation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| dp Distribution Plant - Gross | \$452,296,836 | \$255,034,729 | \$64,905,988 | \$98,999,488 | \$8,430,904 | \$408,580 | \$16,113,450 | \$159,808 | \$1,464,944 | \$6,778,944 |
| gp General Plant - Gross | \$80,263,958 | \$44,510,911 | \$11,384,862 | \$17,705,410 | \$1,581,034 | \$642,122 | \$2,865,555 | \$28,420 | \$260,620 | \$1,285,025 |
| accum dep Accumulated Depreciation | (\$105,446,451) | (\$61,287,119) | (\$15,281,577) | (\$21,771,217) | (\$1,543,081) | (\$444,785) | (\$3,581,275) | (\$35,516) | (\$325,374) | (\$1,176,507) |
| co Capital Contribution | (\$14,506,035) | (\$7,729,287) | (\$2,127,736) | (\$3,427,864) | (\$287,536) | \$0 | (\$627,827) | (\$6,227) | (\$56,613) | (\$242,945) |
| Total Net Plant | \$412,608,308 | \$230,529,234 | \$58,881,537 | \$91,505,817 | \$8,181,320 | \$605,917 | \$14,769,903 | \$146,485 | \$1,343,578 | \$6,644,517 |
| Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets | \$2,780,762 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,780,762 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| COP Cost of Power (COP) | \$545,184,981 | \$188,961,782 | \$67,805,926 | \$212,389,663 | \$31,453,412 | \$38,379,460 | \$4,842,278 | \$51,021 | \$1,301,437 | \$0 |
| OM&A Expenses | \$60,834,751 | \$38,081,600 | \$7,879,003 | \$11,218,996 | \$984,337 | \$342,456 | \$1,395,579 | \$25,218 | \$215,330 | \$692,233 |
| Directly Allocated Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal | \$606,019,731 | \$227,043,382 | \$75,684,929 | \$223,608,659 | \$32,437,750 | \$38,721,916 | \$6,237,857 | \$76,239 | \$1,516,767 | \$692,233 |
| Working Capital | \$72,722,368 | \$27,245,206 | \$9,082,192 | \$26,833,039 | \$3,892,530 | \$4,646,630 | \$748,543 | \$9,149 | \$182,012 | \$83,068 |
| Total Rate Base | \$488,111,438 | \$257,774,440 | \$86,963,728 | \$118,338,856 | \$12,073,850 | \$8,033,308 | \$15,518,446 | \$155,634 | \$1,525,590 | \$6,727,585 |
| | Rate Base Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity Component of Rate Base | \$195,244,575 | \$103,109,776 | \$27,185,491 | \$47,335,543 | \$4,829,540 | \$3,213,323 | \$6,207,378 | \$62,254 | \$610,236 | \$2,691,034 |
| Net Income on Allocated Assets | \$18,137,899 | \$12,806,554 | \$2,487,807 | \$2,667,317 | \$621,091 | (\$233,045) | \$31,062 | \$8,874 | \$145,546 | (\$397,307) |
| Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets | \$136,993 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$136,993 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Income | \$18,274,892 | \$12,806,554 | \$2,487,807 | \$2,667,317 | \$621,091 | (\$96,052) | \$31,062 | \$8,874 | \$145,546 | (\$397,307) |
| RATIOS ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | |
| REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO% | 100.00% | 103.76% | 99.37% | 94.30% | 112.91% | 68.30% | 82.08% | 105.33% | 121.50% | 54.69% |
| EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | (\$4,084,992) | \$148,850 | (\$658,599) | (\$2,130,942) | \$183,527 | (\$264,841) | (\$716,555) | \$804 | \$69,889 | (\$717,126) |
| | Deficiency Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | \$0 | \$2,664,228 | (\$102,331) | (\$1,357,610) | \$261,182 | (\$246,378) | (\$618,403) | \$2,433 | \$86,465 | (\$689,585) |
| RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE | 9.36% | 12.42% | 9.15% | 5.63% | 12.86% | -2.99% | 0.50% | 14.26% | 23.85% | -14.76% |



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - 2016 Cost Allocation

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for
Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost

Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related

Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System
with PLCC Adjustment

Existing Approved Fixed Charge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back- up/Standby Power |
| \$2.55 | \$5.38 | \$39.11 | \$328.78 | \$743.05 | \$0.14 | \$0.12 | -\$0.04 | 0 |
| \$3.54 | \$7.45 | \$54.88 | \$625.09 | \$1,055.47 | \$0.23 | \$0.22 | \$0.06 | 0 |
| \$13.94 | \$19.68 | \$94.24 | \$1,410.93 | \$2,320.00 | \$7.14 | \$9.47 | \$6.45 | 0 |
| \$15.74 | \$39.66 | \$362.92 | \$17,091.03 | \$3,064.75 | \$2.85 | \$5.46 | \$8.27 | \$0.00 |

2017 Cost Allocation Model



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - 2017 Cost Allocation

Instructions:

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 | |
|--|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Rate Base Assets | | Total | Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back-up/Standby Power |
| crev mi | Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates | \$114,149,591 | \$70,231,194 | \$15,499,886 | \$21,595,163 | \$2,167,126 | \$651,788 | \$2,725,290 | \$44,005 | \$450,138 | \$785,001 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,755,938 | \$3,762,476 | \$674,927 | \$963,972 | \$128,735 | \$18,104 | \$106,296 | \$2,770 | \$28,103 | \$70,554 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Revenue at Existing Rates | \$119,905,529 | \$73,993,670 | \$16,174,813 | \$22,559,136 | \$2,295,861 | \$669,891 | \$2,831,585 | \$46,776 | \$478,242 | \$855,555 |
| | Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D) | 1.0124 | | | | | | | | | |
| di cu ad dep INPUT INT | Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$115,560,239 | \$71,099,104 | \$15,691,432 | \$21,862,034 | \$2,193,907 | \$659,842 | \$2,758,969 | \$44,549 | \$455,701 | \$794,702 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,755,938 | \$3,762,476 | \$674,927 | \$963,972 | \$128,735 | \$18,104 | \$106,296 | \$2,770 | \$28,103 | \$70,554 |
| | Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$121,316,177 | \$74,861,580 | \$16,366,359 | \$22,826,006 | \$2,322,642 | \$677,946 | \$2,865,264 | \$47,319 | \$483,804 | \$865,256 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| di cu ad dep INPUT INT | Distribution Costs (di) | \$25,806,607 | \$13,453,633 | \$3,796,833 | \$6,359,389 | \$602,199 | \$174,945 | \$845,795 | \$8,320 | \$80,130 | \$485,362 |
| | Customer Related Costs (cu) | \$16,767,576 | \$13,385,568 | \$1,677,823 | \$1,362,065 | \$85,130 | \$71,255 | \$94,936 | \$9,238 | \$69,428 | \$12,132 |
| | General and Administration (ad) | \$19,154,311 | \$12,041,496 | \$2,469,275 | \$3,491,588 | \$311,058 | \$110,308 | \$429,430 | \$7,870 | \$67,144 | \$226,143 |
| | Depreciation and Amortization (dep) | \$25,142,215 | \$14,497,385 | \$3,647,121 | \$5,223,876 | \$381,094 | \$127,293 | \$871,109 | \$8,516 | \$78,363 | \$307,458 |
| | PIUs (INPUT) | \$4,901,076 | \$2,744,256 | \$699,053 | \$1,081,118 | \$98,974 | \$3,214 | \$174,729 | \$1,708 | \$15,738 | \$82,285 |
| | Interest | \$10,179,369 | \$5,699,728 | \$1,451,910 | \$2,245,446 | \$205,565 | \$6,675 | \$362,906 | \$3,548 | \$32,688 | \$170,903 |
| | Total Expenses | \$101,951,155 | \$61,822,065 | \$13,742,016 | \$19,763,483 | \$1,684,018 | \$493,691 | \$2,778,905 | \$39,200 | \$343,493 | \$1,284,283 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Direct Allocation | \$575,461 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$575,461 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | NI | Allocated Net Income (NI) | \$18,789,561 | \$10,520,826 | \$2,680,005 | \$4,144,751 | \$379,441 | \$12,322 | \$669,869 | \$6,549 | \$60,337 |
| Revenue Requirement (includes NI) | | \$121,316,177 | \$72,342,892 | \$16,422,021 | \$23,908,234 | \$2,063,460 | \$1,081,474 | \$3,448,774 | \$45,749 | \$403,830 | \$1,599,744 |
| Revenue Requirement Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate Base Calculation | | | | | | | | | | | |
| dp gp accum dep co | Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Distribution Plant - Gross | \$484,548,814 | \$273,890,218 | \$69,495,393 | \$105,258,533 | \$9,114,484 | \$414,121 | \$17,145,249 | \$167,610 | \$1,543,534 | \$7,519,671 |
| | General Plant - Gross | \$85,710,943 | \$47,350,059 | \$12,076,980 | \$18,688,832 | \$1,708,867 | \$1,135,122 | \$3,027,489 | \$29,597 | \$272,652 | \$1,421,346 |
| | Accumulated Depreciation | (\$127,827,157) | (\$74,184,809) | (\$18,473,565) | (\$26,241,017) | (\$1,900,681) | (\$775,616) | (\$4,305,771) | (\$42,091) | (\$387,477) | (\$1,516,132) |
| | Capital Contribution | (\$14,506,035) | (\$7,740,198) | (\$2,130,229) | (\$3,410,502) | (\$291,064) | \$0 | (\$623,788) | (\$6,098) | (\$55,723) | (\$248,433) |
| | Total Net Plant | \$427,926,564 | \$239,315,270 | \$60,968,580 | \$94,295,845 | \$8,631,606 | \$773,627 | \$15,243,179 | \$149,018 | \$1,372,987 | \$7,176,452 |
| COP | Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets | \$5,047,352 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,047,352 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cost of Power (COP) | \$567,316,799 | \$196,576,389 | \$70,482,576 | \$219,826,551 | \$33,343,920 | \$40,686,258 | \$5,025,527 | \$50,666 | \$1,324,912 | \$0 |
| | OM&A Expenses | \$61,728,494 | \$38,880,696 | \$7,943,932 | \$11,213,042 | \$998,386 | \$356,508 | \$1,370,161 | \$25,428 | \$216,703 | \$723,637 |
| | Directly Allocated Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Subtotal | \$629,045,293 | \$235,457,085 | \$78,426,508 | \$231,039,593 | \$34,342,306 | \$41,042,766 | \$6,395,688 | \$76,094 | \$1,541,615 | \$723,637 |
| | Working Capital | \$75,485,435 | \$28,254,850 | \$9,411,181 | \$27,724,751 | \$4,121,077 | \$4,925,132 | \$767,483 | \$9,131 | \$184,994 | \$86,836 |
| | Total Rate Base | \$508,459,352 | \$267,570,121 | \$70,379,761 | \$122,020,597 | \$12,752,683 | \$10,746,111 | \$16,010,661 | \$158,149 | \$1,557,981 | \$7,263,288 |
| | Rate Base Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Equity Component of Rate Base | \$203,383,741 | \$107,028,048 | \$28,151,904 | \$48,808,239 | \$5,101,073 | \$4,298,445 | \$6,404,265 | \$63,260 | \$623,192 | \$2,905,315 |
| Net Income on Allocated Assets | \$18,789,561 | \$13,039,514 | \$2,624,343 | \$3,062,524 | \$638,624 | (\$391,206) | \$86,359 | \$8,119 | \$140,312 | (\$419,027) | |
| Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets | \$247,157 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$247,157 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| Net Income | \$19,036,718 | \$13,039,514 | \$2,624,343 | \$3,062,524 | \$638,624 | (\$144,049) | \$86,359 | \$8,119 | \$140,312 | (\$419,027) | |
| RATIOS ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO% | | 100.00% | 103.48% | 99.66% | 95.47% | 112.56% | 62.69% | 83.08% | 103.43% | 119.80% | 54.09% |
| EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | | (\$1,410,648) | \$1,650,778 | (\$247,208) | (\$1,349,098) | \$232,402 | (\$411,582) | (\$617,189) | \$1,027 | \$74,411 | (\$744,189) |
| Deficiency Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | | (\$0) | \$2,518,688 | (\$55,662) | (\$1,082,227) | \$259,183 | (\$403,528) | (\$583,510) | \$1,571 | \$79,974 | (\$734,488) |
| RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE | | 9.36% | 12.18% | 9.32% | 6.27% | 12.52% | -3.35% | 1.35% | 12.84% | 22.51% | -14.42% |



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - 2017 Cost Allocation

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for
Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost

Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related

Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System
with PLCC Adjustment

Existing Approved Fixed Charge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back- up/Standby Power |
| \$2.55 | \$5.37 | \$39.17 | \$325.19 | \$740.17 | \$0.15 | \$0.13 | -\$0.04 | 0 |
| \$3.52 | \$7.39 | \$54.46 | \$612.52 | \$1,047.29 | \$0.24 | \$0.23 | \$0.07 | 0 |
| \$14.22 | \$20.03 | \$95.74 | \$1,441.59 | \$2,388.59 | \$7.13 | \$9.64 | \$6.55 | 0 |
| \$16.30 | \$41.14 | \$376.48 | \$17,699.54 | \$3,983.80 | \$2.96 | \$5.65 | \$8.46 | \$0.00 |

2018 Cost Allocation Model



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - 2018 Cost Allocation

Instructions:

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

| Rate Base Assets | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Total | Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back-up/Standby Power |
| crev | Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates | \$116,147,017 | \$71,284,661 | \$15,722,872 | \$21,959,444 | \$2,199,161 | \$912,695 | \$2,757,592 | \$43,178 | \$817,201 |
| mi | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,866,199 | \$3,819,992 | \$685,057 | \$996,453 | \$132,323 | \$18,658 | \$108,478 | \$2,768 | \$74,335 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Revenue at Existing Rates | \$122,013,216 | \$75,104,653 | \$16,407,928 | \$22,955,897 | \$2,331,484 | \$931,353 | \$2,866,070 | \$45,946 | \$891,536 |
| | Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D) | 0.9999 | | | | | | | | |
| | Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$116,130,744 | \$71,274,673 | \$15,720,669 | \$21,956,367 | \$2,198,853 | \$912,567 | \$2,757,206 | \$43,172 | \$817,087 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,866,199 | \$3,819,992 | \$685,057 | \$996,453 | \$132,323 | \$18,658 | \$108,478 | \$2,768 | \$74,335 |
| | Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$121,996,943 | \$75,094,665 | \$16,405,725 | \$22,952,820 | \$2,331,176 | \$931,225 | \$2,865,684 | \$45,940 | \$891,422 |
| | Expenses | | | | | | | | | |
| di | Distribution Costs (di) | \$26,070,243 | \$13,438,954 | \$3,760,066 | \$6,643,798 | \$616,041 | \$180,078 | \$842,468 | \$8,169 | \$78,673 |
| cu | Customer Related Costs (cu) | \$16,897,132 | \$13,481,355 | \$1,688,548 | \$1,383,172 | \$85,221 | \$71,075 | \$97,601 | \$9,124 | \$68,667 |
| ad | General and Administration (ad) | \$19,669,082 | \$12,288,626 | \$2,500,053 | \$3,693,372 | \$322,945 | \$114,292 | \$436,668 | \$7,888 | \$67,317 |
| dep | Depreciation and Amortization (dep) | \$24,537,520 | \$13,920,916 | \$3,441,131 | \$5,481,117 | \$365,568 | \$97,616 | \$849,377 | \$8,183 | \$75,063 |
| INPUT | PIUs (INPUT) | \$3,440,386 | \$1,908,008 | \$481,198 | \$784,625 | \$70,644 | \$1,987 | \$122,329 | \$1,179 | \$10,866 |
| INT | Interest | \$11,149,143 | \$6,183,218 | \$1,559,403 | \$2,542,708 | \$228,933 | \$6,439 | \$396,427 | \$3,819 | \$35,212 |
| | Total Expenses | \$101,763,506 | \$61,221,077 | \$13,430,400 | \$20,528,791 | \$1,689,352 | \$471,488 | \$2,744,870 | \$38,361 | \$1,303,369 |
| | Direct Allocation | \$544,233 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$544,233 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| NI | Allocated Net Income (NI) | \$19,689,203 | \$10,919,460 | \$2,753,881 | \$4,490,380 | \$404,292 | \$11,372 | \$700,084 | \$6,745 | \$62,183 |
| | Revenue Requirement (includes NI) | \$121,996,943 | \$72,140,537 | \$16,184,280 | \$25,019,171 | \$2,093,644 | \$1,027,094 | \$3,444,954 | \$45,106 | \$397,981 |
| | Revenue Requirement Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rate Base Calculation | | | | | | | | | |
| | Net Assets | | | | | | | | | |
| dp | Distribution Plant - Gross | \$522,776,419 | \$292,193,515 | \$73,202,084 | \$118,535,002 | \$9,921,945 | \$419,166 | \$18,403,029 | \$177,294 | \$1,632,265 |
| gp | General Plant - Gross | \$90,829,843 | \$49,754,306 | \$12,559,316 | \$20,494,094 | \$1,843,059 | \$1,110,273 | \$3,199,710 | \$30,827 | \$284,170 |
| accum dep | Accumulated Depreciation | (\$150,106,770) | (\$85,573,254) | (\$20,948,193) | (\$33,136,127) | (\$836,750) | (\$5,030,919) | (\$48,465) | (\$444,979) | (\$1,827,845) |
| co | Capital Contribution | (\$14,506,035) | (\$7,620,354) | (\$2,072,927) | (\$3,584,620) | (\$294,291) | \$0 | (\$619,327) | (\$5,967) | (\$54,531) |
| | Total Net Plant | \$448,993,458 | \$248,754,212 | \$62,740,279 | \$102,308,349 | \$9,210,477 | \$692,689 | \$15,952,492 | \$153,689 | \$1,416,924 |
| | Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets | \$4,917,414 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,917,414 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| COP | Cost of Power (COP) | \$590,129,284 | \$204,533,941 | \$73,273,386 | \$227,550,079 | \$35,235,787 | \$42,994,715 | \$5,149,058 | \$49,650 | \$1,342,667 |
| | OM&A Expenses | \$62,636,457 | \$39,208,934 | \$7,948,667 | \$11,720,341 | \$1,024,207 | \$365,446 | \$1,376,737 | \$25,181 | \$214,658 |
| | Directly Allocated Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Subtotal | \$652,765,741 | \$243,742,876 | \$81,222,053 | \$239,270,421 | \$36,259,994 | \$43,360,160 | \$6,525,795 | \$74,830 | \$1,557,325 |
| | Working Capital | \$78,331,889 | \$29,249,145 | \$9,746,646 | \$28,712,450 | \$4,351,199 | \$5,203,219 | \$783,095 | \$8,980 | \$186,879 |
| | Total Rate Base | \$532,242,761 | \$278,003,357 | \$131,020,799 | \$13,561,677 | \$10,813,322 | \$16,735,588 | \$162,669 | \$1,603,803 | \$7,854,621 |
| | Rate Base Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| | Equity Component of Rate Base | \$212,897,104 | \$111,201,343 | \$28,994,770 | \$52,408,320 | \$5,424,671 | \$4,325,329 | \$6,694,235 | \$65,067 | \$641,521 |
| | Net Income on Allocated Assets | \$19,689,203 | \$13,873,589 | \$2,975,325 | \$2,424,029 | \$641,824 | (\$84,497) | \$120,814 | \$7,579 | \$142,487 |
| | Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets | \$237,966 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$237,966 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| | Net Income | \$19,927,169 | \$13,873,589 | \$2,975,325 | \$2,424,029 | \$641,824 | \$153,469 | \$120,814 | \$7,579 | \$142,487 |
| | RATIOS ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | |
| | REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO% | 100.00% | 104.09% | 101.37% | 91.74% | 111.35% | 90.67% | 83.18% | 101.85% | 54.22% |
| | EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | \$16,273 | \$2,964,116 | \$223,648 | (\$2,063,275) | \$237,840 | (\$95,741) | (\$578,884) | \$840 | \$80,367 |
| | Deficiency Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| | STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | (\$0) | \$2,954,128 | \$221,445 | (\$2,066,351) | \$237,532 | (\$95,869) | (\$579,270) | \$834 | \$80,304 |
| | RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE | 9.36% | 12.48% | 10.26% | 4.63% | 11.83% | 3.55% | 1.80% | 11.65% | 22.21% |



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - 2018 Cost Allocation

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for
Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost

Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related

Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System
with PLCC Adjustment

Existing Approved Fixed Charge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back- up/Standby Power |
| \$2.54 | \$5.32 | \$38.57 | \$312.66 | \$746.86 | \$0.16 | \$0.13 | -\$0.03 | 0 |
| \$3.47 | \$7.28 | \$53.37 | \$590.68 | \$1,047.06 | \$0.25 | \$0.24 | \$0.08 | 0 |
| \$14.27 | \$20.03 | \$94.40 | \$1,413.26 | \$2,373.93 | \$7.13 | \$9.65 | \$6.56 | 0 |
| \$16.45 | \$41.65 | \$381.16 | \$17,859.65 | \$5,507.93 | \$3.00 | \$5.70 | \$8.53 | \$0.00 |

2019 Cost Allocation Model



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 01 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - 2019 Cost Allocation

Instructions:

Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

| Rate Base Assets | Total | 1 Residential | 2 GS <50 | 3 GS>50-Regular | 5 Large Use (1) | 6 Large Use (2) | 7 Street Light | 8 Sentinel | 9 Unmetered Scattered Load | 11 Back-up/Standby Power |
|--|---|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| crev Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates | \$116,786,630 | \$71,734,356 | \$15,768,828 | \$22,063,488 | \$2,211,427 | \$924,293 | \$2,755,753 | \$41,947 | \$445,893 | \$840,645 |
| mi Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,953,899 | \$3,879,931 | \$698,907 | \$1,000,837 | \$135,877 | \$18,987 | \$110,255 | \$2,766 | \$28,180 | \$78,159 |
| | Miscellaneous Revenue Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Revenue at Existing Rates | \$122,740,528 | \$75,614,287 | \$16,467,735 | \$23,064,325 | \$2,347,304 | \$943,280 | \$2,866,008 | \$44,713 | \$474,073 | \$918,804 |
| Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D) | 1.0229 | | | | | | | | | |
| Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$119,465,632 | \$73,379,891 | \$16,130,554 | \$22,569,609 | \$2,262,155 | \$945,496 | \$2,818,968 | \$42,909 | \$456,122 | \$859,929 |
| Miscellaneous Revenue (mi) | \$5,953,899 | \$3,879,931 | \$698,907 | \$1,000,837 | \$135,877 | \$18,987 | \$110,255 | \$2,766 | \$28,180 | \$78,159 |
| Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates | \$125,419,531 | \$77,259,822 | \$16,829,460 | \$23,570,446 | \$2,398,033 | \$964,483 | \$2,929,223 | \$45,675 | \$484,301 | \$938,088 |
| Expenses | | | | | | | | | | |
| di Distribution Costs (di) | \$26,163,980 | \$13,687,036 | \$3,854,252 | \$6,375,461 | \$626,234 | \$185,259 | \$834,860 | \$7,976 | \$77,449 | \$515,452 |
| cu Customer Related Costs (cu) | \$17,194,604 | \$13,717,562 | \$1,712,206 | \$1,415,846 | \$86,078 | \$71,661 | \$100,252 | \$9,191 | \$69,271 | \$12,537 |
| ad General and Administration (ad) | \$20,198,810 | \$12,732,025 | \$2,599,581 | \$3,647,539 | \$333,902 | \$118,793 | \$442,166 | \$7,969 | \$68,225 | \$248,610 |
| dep Depreciation and Amortization (dep) | \$25,148,495 | \$14,621,006 | \$3,664,703 | \$5,146,295 | \$371,463 | \$84,837 | \$868,431 | \$8,243 | \$76,493 | \$307,023 |
| INPUT PILs (INPUT) | \$3,438,584 | \$1,929,707 | \$489,728 | \$750,472 | \$71,752 | \$1,750 | \$121,986 | \$1,158 | \$10,758 | \$61,273 |
| INT Interest | \$12,093,607 | \$6,786,839 | \$1,722,388 | \$2,639,433 | \$252,354 | \$6,155 | \$429,030 | \$4,072 | \$37,838 | \$215,497 |
| Total Expenses | \$104,238,079 | \$63,474,175 | \$14,042,859 | \$19,975,046 | \$1,741,783 | \$468,455 | \$2,796,725 | \$38,610 | \$340,034 | \$1,360,392 |
| Direct Allocation | \$531,656 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$531,656 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| NI Allocated Net Income (NI) | \$20,649,796 | \$11,588,506 | \$2,940,973 | \$4,506,825 | \$430,893 | \$10,510 | \$732,567 | \$6,954 | \$64,608 | \$367,961 |
| Revenue Requirement (includes NI) | \$125,419,531 | \$75,062,681 | \$16,983,831 | \$24,481,871 | \$2,172,676 | \$1,010,620 | \$3,529,292 | \$45,564 | \$404,642 | \$1,728,353 |
| | Revenue Requirement Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Rate Base Calculation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Assets | | | | | | | | | | |
| dp Distribution Plant - Gross | \$562,982,534 | \$319,636,082 | \$80,705,201 | \$120,664,568 | \$10,779,017 | \$424,111 | \$19,728,461 | \$187,261 | \$1,739,336 | \$9,118,495 |
| gp General Plant - Gross | \$95,103,243 | \$52,777,317 | \$13,402,142 | \$20,542,898 | \$1,962,835 | \$1,072,579 | \$3,342,536 | \$31,728 | \$294,773 | \$1,676,435 |
| accum dep Accumulated Depreciation | (\$171,650,632) | (\$100,025,653) | (\$24,814,731) | (\$34,918,003) | (\$2,605,062) | (\$869,416) | (\$5,725,624) | (\$54,344) | (\$504,741) | (\$2,133,058) |
| co Capital Contribution | (\$14,506,035) | (\$7,769,232) | (\$2,133,704) | (\$3,371,443) | (\$297,368) | \$0 | (\$615,177) | (\$5,840) | (\$53,869) | (\$259,402) |
| Total Net Plant | \$471,929,110 | \$264,618,513 | \$67,158,909 | \$102,918,020 | \$9,839,423 | \$627,274 | \$16,730,197 | \$158,805 | \$1,475,499 | \$8,402,470 |
| Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets | \$4,787,476 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,787,476 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| COP Cost of Power (COP) | \$611,032,162 | \$211,091,719 | \$75,928,374 | \$235,077,153 | \$37,153,230 | \$45,334,378 | \$5,059,196 | \$46,457 | \$1,341,655 | \$0 |
| OM&A Expenses | \$63,557,394 | \$40,136,624 | \$8,166,039 | \$11,438,846 | \$1,046,214 | \$375,713 | \$1,377,278 | \$25,137 | \$214,945 | \$776,599 |
| Directly Allocated Expenses | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal | \$674,589,556 | \$251,228,342 | \$84,094,414 | \$246,515,998 | \$38,199,444 | \$45,710,091 | \$6,436,474 | \$71,594 | \$1,556,600 | \$776,599 |
| Working Capital | \$80,950,747 | \$30,147,401 | \$10,091,330 | \$29,581,920 | \$4,583,933 | \$5,485,211 | \$772,377 | \$8,591 | \$186,792 | \$93,192 |
| Total Rate Base | \$557,667,333 | \$294,765,915 | \$77,250,238 | \$132,499,939 | \$14,423,356 | \$10,899,962 | \$17,502,573 | \$167,397 | \$1,662,291 | \$8,495,662 |
| | Rate Base Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| Equity Component of Rate Base | \$223,066,933 | \$117,906,366 | \$30,900,095 | \$52,999,976 | \$5,769,342 | \$4,359,985 | \$7,001,029 | \$66,959 | \$664,916 | \$3,398,265 |
| Net Income on Allocated Assets | \$20,649,796 | \$13,785,646 | \$2,786,602 | \$3,595,400 | \$656,250 | (\$35,627) | \$132,498 | \$7,065 | \$144,267 | (\$422,304) |
| Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets | \$229,269 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$229,269 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Income | \$20,879,065 | \$13,785,646 | \$2,786,602 | \$3,595,400 | \$656,250 | \$193,642 | \$132,498 | \$7,065 | \$144,267 | (\$422,304) |
| RATIOS ANALYSIS | | | | | | | | | | |
| REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO% | 100.00% | 102.93% | 99.09% | 96.28% | 110.37% | 95.43% | 83.00% | 100.24% | 119.69% | 54.28% |
| EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | (\$2,679,002) | \$551,806 | (\$516,097) | (\$1,417,545) | \$174,628 | (\$67,340) | (\$663,284) | (\$851) | \$69,430 | (\$809,549) |
| | Deficiency Input equals Output | | | | | | | | | |
| STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS | \$0 | \$2,197,141 | (\$154,371) | (\$911,425) | \$225,357 | (\$46,138) | (\$600,069) | \$111 | \$79,659 | (\$790,265) |
| RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE | 9.36% | 11.69% | 9.02% | 6.78% | 11.37% | 4.44% | 1.89% | 10.55% | 21.70% | -12.43% |



2014 Cost Allocation Model

EB-2014-0002

Sheet 02 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - 2019 Cost Allocation

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for
Monthly Fixed Charge

Summary

Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost

Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related

Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System
with PLCC Adjustment

Existing Approved Fixed Charge

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
|-------------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Residential | GS <50 | GS>50-Regular | Large Use (1) | Large Use (2) | Street Light | Sentinel | Unmetered Scattered Load | Back- up/Standby Power |
| \$2.54 | \$5.33 | \$38.98 | \$311.16 | \$756.97 | \$0.16 | \$0.14 | -\$0.02 | 0 |
| \$3.48 | \$7.28 | \$53.70 | \$587.82 | \$1,057.69 | \$0.26 | \$0.26 | \$0.09 | 0 |
| \$14.45 | \$20.27 | \$95.23 | \$1,421.17 | \$2,397.98 | \$7.31 | \$9.90 | \$6.59 | 0 |
| \$16.45 | \$41.64 | \$381.17 | \$17,857.15 | \$5,507.16 | \$3.00 | \$5.70 | \$8.52 | \$0.00 |

TAB 11

7.0 – VECC –84TC

Reference: 8-Staff-33

- a) Please confirm that a major reason for the decrease in bills for the LU(2) class in 2015 is the proposed reduction in the class' revenue to cost ratio from 949.12% to 115%.**
- b) Please confirm that status quo ratio for the LU(2) class falls to 74.86% in 2016, primarily due to capital work in that year on the transformer dedicated to the serving this class.**
- c) Please confirm that increasing the LU(2) class' 2016 revenue to cost to 85% is one of the main reasons for the rate impacts reported for the class in 2016.**
- d) What revenue to cost ratio for 2015 would lead to a 2016 status quo LU(2) revenue to cost ratio of 85%?**
- e) What revenue to cost ratio for 2015 would lead to a 2016 status quo LU(2) revenue to cost ratio of 115%?**
- f) Assuming the rates for 2016 were set based on a revenue to cost ratio of 115% - what would be the resulting 2017 status quo LU(2) class revenue to cost ratio?**

Response:

- 1 a) Yes – reducing the LU(2) ratio to within the Board Approved Range does decrease the
- 2 bill impacts for the LU (2) class.
- 3 b) Yes – the revenue to cost ratio decreases in 2016 for the LU (2) class due directly
- 4 allocated capital work.
- 5 c) Yes – increasing the Revenue to cost ratio for the LU (2) class to 85% is one of the main
- 6 reasons for the rate impacts reported for the LU (2) class.
- 7 d) A revenue to cost ratio of 130.61% in 2015 leads to a status quo revenue to cost ratio of
- 8 85% in 2016 for the LU (2) class.
- 9 e) A revenue to cost ratio of 176.90% in 2015 leads to a status quo revenue to cost ratio of
- 10 115% in 2016 for the LU (2) class.

- 1 f) Assuming the rates for 2016 were based on a revenue to cost ratio of 115%, with no
2 change to the 2015 rates, the resulting status quo 2017 ratio would be 88.96%.
3 Assuming the rates for 2016 were based on a revenue to cost ratio of 115%, and
4 assuming that 2015 revenues were set using the criteria stipulated in part e, the resulting
5 status quo 2017 ratio would be 65.85%.

TAB 12

Appendix H – Bill Impacts

Distribution Bill Impacts \$\$\$

| Rate Class | kWh | kW | Distribution \$ (2015 vs 2014) | Distribution \$ (2016 vs 2015) | Distribution \$ (2017 vs 2016) | Distribution \$ (2018 vs 2017) | Distribution \$ (2019 vs 2018) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Residential (on TOU) | 100 | | \$0.90 | \$0.62 | \$0.16 | \$0.00 | \$0.42 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 200 | | \$0.98 | \$0.68 | \$0.17 | \$0.00 | \$0.46 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 500 | | \$1.22 | \$0.86 | \$0.20 | \$0.00 | \$0.58 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 800 | | \$1.46 | \$1.04 | \$0.23 | \$0.00 | \$0.70 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,000 | | \$1.62 | \$1.16 | \$0.25 | \$0.00 | \$0.78 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,500 | | \$2.02 | \$1.46 | \$0.30 | \$0.00 | \$0.98 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 2,000 | | \$2.42 | \$1.76 | \$0.35 | \$0.00 | \$1.18 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 1,000 | | \$8.15 | \$1.88 | \$0.61 | (\$0.01) | \$1.16 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 2,000 | | \$9.85 | \$2.28 | \$0.71 | (\$0.01) | \$1.36 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 5,000 | | \$14.95 | \$3.48 | \$1.01 | (\$0.01) | \$1.96 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 10,000 | | \$23.45 | \$5.48 | \$1.51 | (\$0.01) | \$2.96 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 15,000 | | \$31.95 | \$7.48 | \$2.01 | (\$0.01) | \$3.96 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 44,000 | 100 | \$95.92 | \$21.62 | \$7.46 | \$0.02 | \$14.00 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 110,000 | 250 | \$149.58 | \$33.71 | \$11.63 | \$0.04 | \$21.83 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 154,000 | 350 | \$185.35 | \$41.77 | \$14.41 | \$0.05 | \$27.05 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 880,000 | 2,000 | \$775.55 | \$174.76 | \$60.28 | \$0.21 | \$113.18 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 1,760,000 | 4,000 | \$1,490.95 | \$335.96 | \$115.88 | \$0.41 | \$217.58 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,321,500 | 6,500 | (\$8,695.34) | \$841.86 | \$221.21 | (\$3.15) | \$566.93 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,832,500 | 7,500 | (\$9,066.14) | \$877.76 | \$230.61 | (\$3.25) | \$591.13 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 5,110,000 | 10,000 | (\$9,993.14) | \$967.51 | \$254.11 | (\$3.50) | \$651.63 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 6,387,500 | 12,500 | (\$10,920.14) | \$1,057.26 | \$277.61 | (\$3.75) | \$712.13 |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 7,665,000 | 15,000 | (\$38,287.42) | \$1,732.05 | \$2,872.63 | (\$0.77) | \$238.83 |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | (\$44,279.42) | \$2,003.05 | \$3,322.13 | (\$0.77) | \$276.33 |
| USL (On RPP) | 250 | | (\$1.58) | \$0.26 | \$0.10 | (\$0.01) | \$0.27 |
| USL (On RPP) | 500 | | (\$2.03) | \$0.33 | \$0.13 | (\$0.01) | \$0.35 |
| Sentinel (721 Connections) | 97,008 | 216 | \$1,166.43 | \$255.22 | \$67.13 | (\$1.05) | \$171.81 |
| Street Lighting (36,000 Devices) | 2,400,000 | 6,800 | \$25,126.80 | \$5,766.08 | \$1,984.88 | (\$21.88) | \$3,721.20 |

Distribution Bill Impacts %

| Rate Class | kWh | kW | Distribution % (2015 vs 2014) | Distribution % (2016 vs 2015) | Distribution % (2017 vs 2016) | Distribution % (2018 vs 2017) | Distribution % (2019 vs 2018) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Residential (on TOU) | 100 | | 5.49% | 3.59% | 0.89% | 0.00% | 2.32% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 200 | | 5.49% | 3.61% | 0.87% | 0.00% | 2.34% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 500 | | 5.48% | 3.66% | 0.82% | 0.00% | 2.36% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 800 | | 5.47% | 3.70% | 0.79% | 0.00% | 2.38% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,000 | | 5.47% | 3.71% | 0.77% | 0.00% | 2.39% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,500 | | 5.46% | 3.74% | 0.74% | 0.00% | 2.40% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 2,000 | | 5.46% | 3.77% | 0.72% | 0.00% | 2.42% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 1,000 | | 19.49% | 3.76% | 1.18% | -0.02% | 2.21% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 2,000 | | 19.54% | 3.78% | 1.14% | -0.02% | 2.15% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 5,000 | | 19.62% | 3.82% | 1.07% | -0.01% | 2.05% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 10,000 | | 19.67% | 3.84% | 1.02% | -0.01% | 1.98% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 15,000 | | 19.70% | 3.85% | 1.00% | 0.00% | 1.94% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 44,000 | 100 | 18.71% | 3.55% | 1.18% | 0.00% | 2.20% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 110,000 | 250 | 18.07% | 3.45% | 1.15% | 0.00% | 2.13% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 154,000 | 350 | 17.86% | 3.41% | 1.14% | 0.00% | 2.11% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 880,000 | 2,000 | 17.22% | 3.31% | 1.11% | 0.00% | 2.05% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 1,760,000 | 4,000 | 17.13% | 3.30% | 1.10% | 0.00% | 2.04% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,321,500 | 6,500 | (26.89%) | 3.56% | 0.90% | -0.01% | 2.29% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,832,500 | 7,500 | (26.89%) | 3.56% | 0.90% | -0.01% | 2.29% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 5,110,000 | 10,000 | (26.89%) | 3.56% | 0.90% | -0.01% | 2.30% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 6,387,500 | 12,500 | (26.89%) | 3.56% | 0.90% | -0.01% | 2.30% |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 7,665,000 | 15,000 | (86.89%) | 29.98% | 38.26% | -0.01% | 2.30% |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | (86.89%) | 29.98% | 38.26% | -0.01% | 2.30% |
| USL (On RPP) | 250 | | (12.09%) | 2.24% | 0.87% | -0.12% | 2.29% |
| USL (On RPP) | 500 | | (12.14%) | 2.26% | 0.84% | -0.10% | 2.28% |
| Sentinel (721 Connections) | 97,008 | 216 | 19.43% | 3.56% | 0.90% | -0.01% | 2.29% |
| Street Lighting (36,000 Devices) | 2,400,000 | 6,800 | 19.43% | 3.73% | 1.24% | -0.01% | 2.29% |

Total Bill Impacts \$\$\$

| Rate Class | kWh | kW | Total Bill \$ (2015 vs 2014) | Total Bill \$ (2016 vs 2015) | Total Bill \$ (2017 vs 2016) | Total Bill \$ (2018 vs 2017) | Total Bill \$ (2019 vs 2018) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Residential (on TOU) | 100 | | \$0.52 | \$0.60 | \$0.20 | (\$0.75) | (\$0.34) |
| Residential (on TOU) | 200 | | \$0.93 | \$0.65 | \$0.25 | (\$0.70) | (\$0.27) |
| Residential (on TOU) | 500 | | \$2.14 | \$0.81 | \$0.41 | (\$0.54) | (\$0.05) |
| Residential (on TOU) | 800 | | \$3.36 | \$0.96 | \$0.56 | (\$0.38) | \$0.16 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,000 | | \$4.16 | \$1.07 | \$0.67 | (\$0.28) | \$0.30 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,500 | | \$6.19 | \$1.32 | \$0.92 | (\$0.02) | \$0.66 |
| Residential (on TOU) | 2,000 | | \$8.21 | \$1.58 | \$1.18 | \$0.24 | \$1.01 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 1,000 | | \$12.07 | (\$0.30) | \$0.92 | (\$2.03) | \$0.68 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 2,000 | | \$16.61 | \$0.21 | \$1.33 | (\$1.62) | \$1.19 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 5,000 | | \$30.24 | \$1.76 | \$2.57 | (\$0.37) | \$2.73 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 10,000 | | \$52.95 | \$4.33 | \$4.62 | \$1.70 | \$5.29 |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 15,000 | | \$75.67 | \$6.91 | \$6.68 | \$3.78 | \$7.84 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 44,000 | 100 | \$234.98 | \$25.67 | \$20.33 | \$9.31 | \$26.87 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 110,000 | 250 | \$500.04 | \$43.83 | \$43.81 | \$28.61 | \$54.00 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 154,000 | 350 | \$676.74 | \$55.93 | \$59.46 | \$41.49 | \$72.09 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 880,000 | 2,000 | \$3,592.35 | \$255.70 | \$317.68 | \$253.84 | \$370.58 |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 1,760,000 | 4,000 | \$7,126.42 | \$497.83 | \$630.68 | \$511.24 | \$732.38 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,321,500 | 6,500 | (\$1,828.64) | \$1,134.40 | \$1,178.01 | \$954.30 | \$1,523.73 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,832,500 | 7,500 | (\$1,143.01) | \$1,215.31 | \$1,334.61 | \$1,101.50 | \$1,695.13 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 5,110,000 | 10,000 | \$571.04 | \$1,417.58 | \$1,726.11 | \$1,469.50 | \$2,123.63 |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 6,387,500 | 12,500 | \$2,285.10 | \$1,619.84 | \$2,117.61 | \$1,837.50 | \$2,552.13 |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 7,665,000 | 15,000 | (\$22,240.13) | \$2,206.15 | \$5,080.63 | \$2,208.73 | \$2,446.83 |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | (\$25,045.02) | \$4,797.18 | \$6,266.13 | \$2,945.23 | \$3,220.33 |
| USL (On RPP) | 250 | | (\$0.86) | \$0.21 | \$0.18 | \$0.09 | \$0.35 |
| USL (On RPP) | 500 | | (\$0.56) | \$0.24 | \$0.28 | \$0.19 | \$0.50 |
| Sentinel (721 Connections) | 97,008 | 216 | \$1,406.16 | \$205.23 | \$89.98 | \$21.82 | \$194.66 |
| Street Lighting (36,000 Devices) | 2,400,000 | 6,800 | \$31,979.51 | \$8,579.79 | \$2,673.04 | \$666.28 | \$4,408.00 |

Total Bill Impacts %

| Rate Class | kWh | kW | Total Bill % (2015 vs 2014) | Total Bill % (2016 vs 2015) | Total Bill % (2017 vs 2016) | Total Bill % (2018 vs 2017) | Total Bill % (2019 vs 2018) |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Residential (on TOU) | 100 | | 1.72% | 1.94% | 0.64% | -2.35% | (1.09%) |
| Residential (on TOU) | 200 | | 2.13% | 1.47% | 0.56% | -1.53% | (0.60%) |
| Residential (on TOU) | 500 | | 2.58% | 0.95% | 0.47% | -0.63% | -0.06% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 800 | | 2.75% | 0.77% | 0.44% | -0.30% | 0.13% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,000 | | 2.81% | 0.70% | 0.43% | -0.18% | 0.20% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 1,500 | | 2.89% | 0.60% | 0.42% | -0.01% | 0.30% |
| Residential (on TOU) | 2,000 | | 2.94% | 0.55% | 0.41% | 0.08% | 0.35% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 1,000 | | 7.49% | -0.18% | 0.53% | -1.17% | 0.40% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 2,000 | | 5.84% | 0.07% | 0.44% | -0.54% | 0.40% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 5,000 | | 4.62% | 0.26% | 0.37% | -0.05% | 0.40% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 10,000 | | 4.17% | 0.33% | 0.35% | 0.13% | 0.40% |
| GS < 50 kW (On TOU) | 15,000 | | 4.01% | 0.35% | 0.34% | 0.19% | 0.40% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 44,000 | 100 | 4.03% | 0.42% | 0.33% | 0.15% | 0.44% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 110,000 | 250 | 3.53% | 0.30% | 0.30% | 0.19% | 0.37% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 154,000 | 350 | 3.44% | 0.27% | 0.29% | 0.20% | 0.35% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 880,000 | 2,000 | 3.23% | 0.22% | 0.28% | 0.22% | 0.32% |
| GS > 50 kW (On RPP) | 1,760,000 | 4,000 | 3.21% | 0.22% | 0.27% | 0.22% | 0.32% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,321,500 | 6,500 | (0.43%) | 0.27% | 0.28% | 0.22% | 0.36% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 3,832,500 | 7,500 | (0.23%) | 0.25% | 0.27% | 0.22% | 0.34% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 5,110,000 | 10,000 | 0.09% | 0.22% | 0.27% | 0.23% | 0.33% |
| Large Use (1) (On RPP) | 6,387,500 | 12,500 | 0.29% | 0.20% | 0.26% | 0.23% | 0.32% |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 7,665,000 | 15,000 | (2.33%) | 0.24% | 0.54% | 0.23% | 0.26% |
| Large Use (2) (On RPP) | 10,220,000 | 20,000 | (1.98%) | 0.39% | 0.50% | 0.24% | 0.26% |
| USL (On RPP) | 250 | | (2.14%) | 0.53% | 0.45% | 0.22% | 0.87% |
| USL (On RPP) | 500 | | (0.79%) | 0.34% | 0.40% | 0.27% | 0.70% |
| Sentinel (721 Connections) | 97,008 | 216 | 7.91% | 1.07% | 0.46% | 0.11% | 1.00% |
| Street Lighting (36,000 Devices) | 2,400,000 | 6,800 | 7.69% | 1.92% | 0.59% | 0.15% | 0.96% |

TAB 13

FIXED/VARIABLE PROPORTION

Current Fixed/Variable Portion

The current fixed/variable split in distribution revenue was approved in Horizon Utilities' CoS Application (EB-2010-0131) and was calculated based on forecasted customer, device¹, and connection counts, consumption, and approved rates.

Horizon Utilities has proposed a new customer class (described in detail in Exhibit 7, Tab 1, Schedule 1) and, as a result, has reviewed and assessed the fixed/variable split in its distribution revenues for each customer class. Horizon Utilities is not proposing a substantial adjustment to the existing fixed/variable split by rate class, except in the case of the LU (1) and LU (2) classes. The proposed fixed/variable split for the LU (1) and LU (2) classes is the direct result of the introduction of the LU (2) class and reflects the existing split of fixed and variable revenue that is collected from the customers assigned to the LU (1) and LU (2) classes.

Currently, the monthly fixed charges are applied to the forecast of customers, connections, and devices to determine the fixed component of total distribution revenue. Next, the volumetric charge, excluding the transformer allowance and LV charge, is applied to the forecasted volumetric billing determinants to determine the variable component of total distribution revenue. Table 8-3 below provides the 2011 Board-approved split between fixed and variable distribution revenue.

¹ Consistent with common practice in the Ontario electricity distribution sector Horizon Utilities has previously used the term connection to refer to the number of devices that the customer is billed on. Within the OEB cost allocation model, the term connection has been used in recent years to refer to either to a single device or as a group of daisy chained devices. In order to provide more transparency, and to align with the terminology currently used in the Board's Cost Allocation model, Horizon Utilities has clarified its language using devices to refer to the number of lights the customer is billed on, and connection to refer to a group of daisy chained lights as well as lights that are connected at a one to one ratio.

1 **Table 8-3 – Current Fixed and Variable Split**

| 2011 Board Approved | | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|
| Customer Class | Fixed % | Variable % |
| Residential | 62.92% | 37.08% |
| GS < 50 kW | 60.40% | 39.60% |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | 50.02% | 49.98% |
| Standby | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| LU (1) | 49.40% | 50.60% |
| LU (2) | N/A | N/A |
| Sentinel Lights | 60.66% | 39.34% |
| Street Lighting | 67.91% | 32.09% |
| Unmetered and Scattered | 66.68% | 33.32% |

3 **Proposed Fixed/Variable Portion**

4 Table 8-4 identifies the proposed 2015 – 2019 Fixed Variable Split.

5 **Table 8-4 - Proposed Fixed and Variable Split**

| Customer Class | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % |
| Residential | 62.41% | 37.59% | 62.68% | 37.32% | 63.03% | 36.97% | 63.34% | 36.66% | 63.65% | 36.35% |
| GS < 50 kW | 59.30% | 40.70% | 59.41% | 40.59% | 59.61% | 40.39% | 59.69% | 40.31% | 59.78% | 40.22% |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | 46.45% | 53.55% | 46.97% | 53.03% | 47.37% | 52.63% | 47.83% | 52.17% | 48.30% | 51.70% |
| Standby | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| LU (1) | 69.44% | 30.56% | 69.03% | 30.97% | 68.61% | 31.39% | 68.22% | 31.78% | 67.83% | 32.17% |
| LU (2) | 30.15% | 69.85% | 29.75% | 70.25% | 29.34% | 70.66% | 28.96% | 71.04% | 28.60% | 71.40% |
| Sentinel Lights | 58.58% | 41.42% | 59.33% | 40.67% | 60.01% | 39.99% | 60.78% | 39.22% | 61.60% | 38.40% |
| Street Lighting | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% |
| Unmetered and Scattered | 67.32% | 32.68% | 67.73% | 32.27% | 68.10% | 31.90% | 68.50% | 31.50% | 68.85% | 31.15% |

7 The 50/50 split embedded in Horizon Utilities' existing Large Use rates considers the aggregate
8 billing for all 11 existing Large Use customers. In implementing the LU (2) class, the 4
9 customers with demand greater than 15MW are isolated into this separate class. While the
10 aggregate revenues and costs for the proposed LU (1) and LU (2) classes result in a similar
11 weighted average fixed/variable split to that embedded within the existing rates, the LU (2) class
12 attracts a lower fixed percentage due to their comparatively higher demand. Conversely, the LU
13 (1) class attracts a higher fixed percentage due to its comparatively lower level of demand.
14 Table 8-5 below breaks out the 2011 Board Approved amounts to the proposed LU (1) and LU

(2) classes, illustrating that the proposed splits in 2015 through 2019 are consistent with what was approved in the 2011 Cost of Service Application.

Table 8-5: 2011 Board Approved Fixed and Variable Revenues Restated at LU (1) and LU (2)

| 2011 Board Approved | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|------------|
| Customer Class | Fixed \$ | Variable \$ | Fixed % | Variable % |
| Restated at LU (1) | \$ 2,074,840 | \$ 833,208 | 71.35% | 28.65% |
| Restated at LU (2) | \$ 1,185,623 | \$2,506,456 | 32.11% | 67.89% |
| Total (Large Use) | \$ 3,260,462 | \$3,339,664 | 49.40% | 50.60% |

Proposed Fixed Charge

The fixed distribution charge is calculated by dividing the fixed distribution portion of the base revenue requirement by the appropriate year's customers, devices, or connections, as the class charge determinant. Table 8-6 below provides Horizon Utilities' proposed fixed distribution charges for each of the years 2015 through 2019. Tables 8-7 through 8-11 below provide the calculation of the proposed fixed distribution charges.

The supporting discussion on the inputs used to determine the proposed fixed charges below can be found as follows:

- Base Revenue Requirement: Exhibit 6, Tab 1, Schedule 1
- Annualized Customers, Connections, and Devices: Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 1
- Annualized kWh and KW: Exhibit 3, Tab 2, Schedule 1

1 **Table 8-6 Summary of Proposed Fixed Distribution Charges**

| Customer Class | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| | Connection/ Device | Customer | Connection/ Device | Customer | Connection/ Device | Customer | Connection/ Device | Customer | Connection/ Device | Customer |
| Residential | | \$ 16.38 | | \$ 17.13 | | \$ 17.49 | | \$ 17.74 | | \$ 18.25 |
| GS < 50 kW | | \$ 41.33 | | \$ 43.26 | | \$ 44.28 | | \$ 44.91 | | \$ 46.20 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | | \$ 376.90 | | \$ 394.61 | | \$ 404.56 | | \$ 410.35 | | \$ 422.19 |
| Standby | | | | | | | | | | |
| LU (1) | | \$ 17,835.83 | | \$ 18,655.46 | | \$ 19,042.30 | | \$ 19,314.38 | | \$ 19,868.86 |
| LU (2) | | \$ 3,015.85 | | \$ 3,598.73 | | \$ 4,784.55 | | \$ 4,856.33 | | \$ 4,995.75 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 5.69 | | \$ 5.95 | | \$ 6.09 | | \$ 6.19 | | \$ 6.36 | |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2.97 | | \$ 3.11 | | \$ 3.19 | | \$ 3.23 | | \$ 3.33 | |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 9.54 | | \$ 9.73 | | \$ 9.93 | | \$ 10.04 | | \$ 10.32 | |

1 **Table 8-7 2015 Proposed Fixed Distribution Charge Calculation**

| Rate Class | Base Revenue Requirement | Fixed Revenue Proportion | Fixed Revenue Amount | 2015 Annualized Customers/Connections/Devices | Proposed Fixed Distribution Rates |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | A | B | C=A*B | D | E=C/D |
| Residential | \$ 69,461,355 | 62.41% | \$ 43,353,997 | 2,646,774 | \$ 16.38 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 15,412,682 | 59.30% | \$ 9,140,279 | 221,134 | \$ 41.33 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 21,400,734 | 46.45% | \$ 9,940,157 | 26,374 | \$ 376.90 |
| Standby | \$ 739,292 | 0.00% | \$ - | 0 | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 2,157,451 | 69.44% | \$ 1,498,210 | 84 | \$ 17,835.83 |
| LU (2) | \$ 480,086 | 30.15% | \$ 144,761 | 48 | \$ 3,015.85 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 46,725 | 58.58% | \$ 27,370 | 4,812 | \$ 5.69 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,740,679 | 68.23% | \$ 1,869,880 | 628,608 | \$ 2.97 |
| Unmetered and Scattere | \$ 517,021 | 67.32% | \$ 348,067 | 36,470 | \$ 9.54 |
| TOTAL | \$ 112,956,026 | | \$ 66,322,721 | | |

3 **Table 8-8 2016 Proposed Fixed Distribution Charge Calculation**

| Rate Class | Base Revenue Requirement | Fixed Revenue Proportion | Fixed Revenue Amount | 2016 Annualized Customers/Connections/Devices | Proposed Fixed Distribution Rates |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | A | B | C=A*B | D | E=C/D |
| Residential | \$ 72,903,466 | 62.68% | \$ 45,697,495 | 2,667,263 | \$ 17.13 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 16,160,545 | 59.41% | \$ 9,601,068 | 221,925 | \$ 43.26 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 22,482,464 | 46.97% | \$ 10,559,346 | 26,759 | \$ 394.61 |
| Standby | \$ 794,058 | 0.00% | \$ - | 0 | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 2,269,990 | 69.03% | \$ 1,567,059 | 84 | \$ 18,655.46 |
| LU (2) | \$ 580,573 | 29.75% | \$ 172,739 | 48 | \$ 3,598.73 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 47,588 | 59.33% | \$ 28,232 | 4,742 | \$ 5.95 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,867,294 | 68.23% | \$ 1,956,258 | 628,274 | \$ 3.11 |
| Unmetered and Scattere | \$ 522,521 | 67.73% | \$ 353,907 | 36,371 | \$ 9.73 |
| TOTAL | \$ 118,628,501 | | \$ 69,936,103 | | |

1 **Table 8-9 2017 Proposed Fixed Distribution Charge Calculation**

| Rate Class | Base Revenue Requirement | Fixed Revenue Proportion | Fixed Revenue Amount | 2017 Annualized Customers/Connections/Devices | Proposed Fixed Distribution Rates |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | A | B | C=A*B | D | E=C/D |
| Residential | \$ 74,595,365 | 63.03% | \$ 47,018,768 | 2,689,059 | \$ 17.49 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 16,549,987 | 59.61% | \$ 9,864,732 | 222,779 | \$ 44.28 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 23,137,026 | 47.37% | \$ 10,961,011 | 27,093 | \$ 404.56 |
| Standby | \$ 836,832 | 0.00% | \$ - | 0 | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 2,331,533 | 68.61% | \$ 1,599,553 | 84 | \$ 19,042.30 |
| LU (2) | \$ 782,837 | 29.34% | \$ 229,659 | 48 | \$ 4,784.55 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 47,446 | 60.01% | \$ 28,470 | 4,672 | \$ 6.09 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,933,368 | 68.23% | \$ 2,001,335 | 627,940 | \$ 3.19 |
| Unmetered and Scattere | \$ 529,049 | 68.10% | \$ 360,259 | 36,272 | \$ 9.93 |
| TOTAL | \$ 121,743,444 | | \$ 72,063,789 | | |

3 **Table 8-10 2018 Proposed Fixed Distribution Charge Calculation**

| Rate Class | Base Revenue Requirement | Fixed Revenue Proportion | Fixed Revenue Amount | 2018 Annualized Customers/Connections/Devices | Proposed Fixed Distribution Rates |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | A | B | C=A*B | D | E=C/D |
| Residential | \$ 75,944,135 | 63.34% | \$ 48,106,636 | 2,711,776 | \$ 17.74 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 16,829,093 | 59.69% | \$ 10,045,611 | 223,670 | \$ 44.91 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 23,538,584 | 47.83% | \$ 11,258,490 | 27,436 | \$ 410.35 |
| Standby | \$ 872,552 | 0.00% | \$ - | 0 | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 2,378,306 | 68.22% | \$ 1,622,408 | 84 | \$ 19,314.38 |
| LU (2) | \$ 804,863 | 28.96% | \$ 233,104 | 48 | \$ 4,856.33 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 46,828 | 60.78% | \$ 28,463 | 4,601 | \$ 6.19 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2,975,756 | 68.23% | \$ 2,030,250 | 627,606 | \$ 3.23 |
| Unmetered and Scattere | \$ 530,200 | 68.50% | \$ 363,192 | 36,172 | \$ 10.04 |
| TOTAL | \$ 123,920,317 | | \$ 73,688,154 | | |

1 **Table 8-11 2019 Proposed Fixed Distribution Charge Calculation**

| Rate Class | Base Revenue Requirement | Fixed Revenue Proportion | Fixed Revenue Amount | 2019 Annualized Customers/Connections/Devices | Proposed Fixed Distribution Rates |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|
| | A | B | C=A*B | D | E=C/D |
| Residential | \$ 78,365,794 | 63.65% | \$ 49,878,004 | 2,733,149 | \$ 18.25 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 17,351,714 | 59.78% | \$ 10,372,116 | 224,508 | \$ 46.20 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 24,297,713 | 48.30% | \$ 11,735,634 | 27,797 | \$ 422.19 |
| Standby | \$ 920,444 | 0.00% | \$ - | 0 | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 2,460,571 | 67.83% | \$ 1,668,984 | 84 | \$ 19,868.86 |
| LU (2) | \$ 838,452 | 28.60% | \$ 239,796 | 48 | \$ 4,995.75 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 46,806 | 61.60% | \$ 28,834 | 4,531 | \$ 6.36 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 3,059,543 | 68.23% | \$ 2,087,415 | 627,272 | \$ 3.33 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 540,863 | 68.85% | \$ 372,385 | 36,073 | \$ 10.32 |
| 2 TOTAL | <u>\$ 127,881,899</u> | | <u>\$ 76,383,168</u> | | |

3 Table 8-12 below compares the proposed Fixed Charge to the floor and ceiling determined in
4 the Cost Allocation study. The proposed fixed charge for the: Residential, GS < 50 kW, GS >
5 50 kW, LU (1), LU (2), and USL classes are above the ceiling as calculated in the cost allocation
6 study. Horizon Utilities is proposing to proceed with these proposed charges as they are in line
7 with the fixed/variable splits approved in the last Cost of Service Application (EB-2010-0131).
8 Decreasing the monthly fixed charge to this level will increase the variable portion of Horizon
9 Utilities' revenues which would create a large impact on customers with higher
10 consumption/demand levels, as well as alter Horizon Utilities' risk profile resulting from
11 consumption variability.

12 **Table 8-12: Fixed Charge Compared to Floor and Ceiling from Cost Allocation Study**

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2015 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | Existing Rates | Floor per CA | Ceiling per CA | Proposed | Proposed | Proposed | Proposed | Proposed |
| Residential | \$ 14.92 | \$ 2.94 | \$ 13.69 | \$ 16.38 | \$ 17.13 | \$ 17.49 | \$ 17.74 | \$ 18.25 |
| GS < 50 kW | \$ 33.21 | \$ 6.23 | \$ 19.28 | \$ 41.33 | \$ 43.26 | \$ 44.28 | \$ 44.91 | \$ 46.20 |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | \$ 302.77 | \$ 45.60 | \$ 88.24 | \$ 376.90 | \$ 394.61 | \$ 404.56 | \$ 410.35 | \$ 422.19 |
| Standby | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| LU (1) | \$ 23,376.17 | \$ 476.05 | \$ 1,229.24 | \$ 17,835.83 | \$ 18,655.46 | \$ 19,042.30 | \$ 19,314.38 | \$ 19,868.86 |
| LU (2) | \$ 23,376.17 | \$ 946.84 | \$ 2,299.20 | \$ 3,015.85 | \$ 3,598.73 | \$ 4,784.55 | \$ 4,856.33 | \$ 4,995.75 |
| Sentinel Lights | \$ 4.57 | \$ 0.12 | \$ 10.48 | \$ 5.69 | \$ 5.95 | \$ 6.09 | \$ 6.19 | \$ 6.36 |
| Street Lighting | \$ 2.39 | \$ 0.14 | \$ 7.01 | \$ 2.97 | \$ 3.11 | \$ 3.19 | \$ 3.23 | \$ 3.33 |
| Unmetered and Scattered | \$ 9.40 | \$ 9.40 | \$ 9.40 | \$ 9.54 | \$ 9.73 | \$ 9.93 | \$ 10.04 | \$ 10.32 |

13

TAB 14

Appendix K: Fixed Variable Splits

| 2011 Board Approved | | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|
| Customer Class | Fixed % | Variable % |
| Residential | 62.92% | 37.08% |
| GS < 50 kW | 60.40% | 39.60% |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | 50.02% | 49.98% |
| Standby | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| LU (1) | 49.40% | 50.60% |
| LU (2) | N/A | N/A |
| Sentinel Lights | 60.66% | 39.34% |
| Street Lighting | 67.91% | 32.09% |
| Unmetered and Scattered | 66.68% | 33.32% |

| Customer Class | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | | 2019 | |
|-------------------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|
| | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % | Fixed % | Variable % |
| Residential | 62.24% | 37.76% | 62.32% | 37.68% | 62.41% | 37.59% | 62.58% | 37.42% | 62.68% | 37.32% |
| GS < 50 kW | 59.16% | 40.84% | 59.08% | 40.92% | 59.13% | 40.87% | 59.25% | 40.75% | 59.28% | 40.72% |
| GS >50 to 4999 kW | 46.43% | 53.57% | 46.89% | 53.11% | 47.23% | 52.77% | 47.62% | 52.38% | 48.02% | 51.98% |
| Standby | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| LU (1) | 69.44% | 30.56% | 69.03% | 30.97% | 68.61% | 31.39% | 68.22% | 31.78% | 67.83% | 32.17% |
| LU (2) | 30.15% | 69.85% | 29.75% | 70.25% | 29.34% | 70.66% | 28.97% | 71.03% | 28.60% | 71.40% |
| Sentinel Lights | 58.58% | 41.42% | 59.33% | 40.67% | 60.01% | 39.99% | 60.78% | 39.22% | 61.60% | 38.40% |
| Street Lighting | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% | 68.23% | 31.77% |
| Unmetered and Scattered | 67.32% | 32.68% | 67.78% | 32.22% | 68.13% | 31.87% | 68.55% | 31.45% | 68.90% | 31.10% |