

Essex Powerlines Corporation
EB-2014-0072 Price Cap IR Application & EB-2014-0301 Smart Meter Application
Board staff Interrogatories

Price Cap IR Application

Interrogatory #1

Tab 5 – 2014 Continuity Schedule

Board staff notes that Essex Powerlines has not entered the Group 1 Deferral and Variance Account amounts, both principal and interest, approved for disposition in its 2012 IRM proceeding (EB-2011-0166) into the Rate Generator Model. Board staff notes that the amounts should be input into columns AA and IA, respectively.

Board staff also notes that Essex Powerlines has not input projected interest from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014 and projected interest from January 1, 2015 to April 30, 2015 (columns BC and BD, respectively) for Account 1551 – Smart Metering Entity Charge Variance.

(A) If Essex Powerlines agrees, please confirm and provide the correct figures, and Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the model.

RESPONSE:

Essex has re-entered the figures required for tab 5. 2014 Continuity Schedule.
The following are the changes which were made:

1. Essex has changed the beginning balances from the December 31, 2012 Trial Balance figures to the December 31, 2011 Trial Balance figures and entered these in column R.
2. Essex then entered the transactions which occurred in 2012 in column Z.
3. Essex entered the Board Approved Deferral Variance disposition from the 2012 IRM proceedings (EB-2011-0166) in column AA and AI.
4. Essex has left the 2014 IRM Board Approved Deferral Variance disposition figures in columns AY and AZ

Essex does agree that it erroneously did not input projected interest from January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2014 (\$687.03) and projected interest from January 1, 2014 to April 30, 2015 (\$229.01).

Essex has included a revised copy of Tab 5. 2014 Continuity Schedule and requests that Board Staff make the necessary correction to the model per the provided back up

(B) If Essex Powerlines does not agree with one or both points made above, please provide an explanation for the discrepancy.

RESPONSE:

See response to a).

Interrogatory #2

Tab 5 – 2014 Continuity Schedule

Board staff is unable to reconcile the figures entered in the column “Interest Disposition during 2014 – instructed by Board” (i.e. column AZ).

Board staff notes that the figures entered should be those found in Essex Powerlines’ 2014 IRM Decision and Rate Order, issued March 13, 2014, page 6 (EB-2013-0128).

(A) Please confirm if Essex Powerlines agrees, and Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the Rate Generator Model.

RESPONSE:

Essex agrees with Board staff that incorrect figures were entered in to the column AZ, Interest Disposition during 2014 – instructed by Board and the figures in the Essex Powerlines 2014 IRM Decision and Rate order issued March 13, 2014, page 6 (EB-2013-0128) should be used.

Essex confirms that it wants Board staff to make the necessary corrections to the Rate Generator Model.

(B) If the answer to (A) is no, please provide an explanation for the discrepancy.

RESPONSE:

See response to a).

Interrogatory #3

Tab 6 – Billing Det. for Def-Var

Rate Class	Unit	Metered kWh	Metered kW
RESIDENTIAL	\$/kWh	251,655,122	
GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW	\$/kWh	65,841,765	
GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 2,999 KW	\$/kW	170,033,148	445,345
GENERAL SERVICE 3,000 TO 4,999 KW	\$/kW		
UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD	\$/kWh	1,581,327	
SENTINEL LIGHTING	\$/kW	323,368	903
STREET LIGHTING	\$/kW	6,259,173	18,995
microFIT			
Total		495,693,903	465,243

Board staff is unable to reconcile the figures entered above for the General Service Less than 50 kW and General Service 50 to 2,999 kW rate classes to Essex Powerlines' RRR data or previous cost of service Board-approved load forecast (EB-2009-0143).

(A) Please provide an explanation for the figures entered.

RESPONSE:

Essex did not use the Board-approved load forecast (EB-2009-0143). Essex felt there was a material difference between the Board approved load forecast and the most recent 12-month actual data (as per the chart below) and therefore used the most recent 12-month data instead.

Essex removed the GS>50kW data due to two factors. This class has only 2 customers. One is the embedded distributor Hydro One, who does not get charged this rate rider and the other is Heinz who ceased to exist as of June 2014. Therefore, in order ensure proper recovery of the outstanding deferral variances this class' data was removed. Otherwise, a recovery would be approved for which there are no customers. This would ultimately lead to an additional variance that would have to be recovered or refunded to the other classes at a later rate filing application.

	2013 Non-Loss Adjusted Data		Per Rate Filing		# Variance		% Variance	
	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW	kWh	kW
Residential	251,655,122		271,379,498	0	(19,724,376)	-	-7.84%	
GS < 50 KW	65,841,765		72,012,960	0	(6,171,195)	-	-9.37%	
GS > 50 KW	170,033,148	445,345	196,386,718	467,092	(26,353,570)	(21,747)	15.50%	-4.88%
Intermediate	25,499,355	-	36,977,053	19,537	(11,477,698)	(19,537)	45.01%	
Scattered Load	1,581,327		1,605,371	-	(24,044)	-	-1.52%	
Street Lights	6,259,173	18,995	5,929,910	18,024	329,263	971	5.26%	5.11%
Sentinel Lights	323,368	903	390,941	1,076	(67,573)	(173)	20.90%	19.16%

(B) If changes are required, please confirm the correct figures and Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the model.

RESPONSE:

Essex does not feel there are any changes required

Interrogatory #4

Tab 9 – STS - Billing Det & Rates

Rate Class	Units	Re-based Billed Customers or Connections	Re-based Billed kWh	Re-based Billed kW (if applicable)	2010 Base Monthly Service Charge	2010 Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh	2010 Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kW
RESIDENTIAL	\$/kWh	25,902	271,379,498		12.55	0.0158	0.0000
GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW	\$/kWh	1,852	72,012,960		20.59	0.0080	0.0000
GENERAL SERVICE 50 TO 2,999 KW	\$/kW	222	196,386,718	467,092	300.00	0.0000	3.2000
GENERAL SERVICE 3,000 TO 4,999 KW	\$/kW				1993.42	0.0000	2.2579
UNMETERED SCATTERED LOAD	\$/kWh	151	1,605,371		8.91	0.0288	0.0000
SENTINEL LIGHTING	\$/kW	168	390,941	1,076	2.05	0.0000	6.1499
STREET LIGHTING	\$/kW	2,643	5,929,910	18,024	1.75	0.0000	5.0224

Board staff notes that the figures entered in columns “2010 Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kWh” and “2010 Base Distribution Volumetric Rate kW” do not reconcile to the rates as found in Essex Powerlines’ previous cost of service Rate Order (EB-2009-0143).

(A) Please provide an explanation for the discrepancies.

RESPONSE:

Essex included low voltage rates with the distribution rates.

(B) If changes are required, please provide the correct figures, and Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the model.

RESPONSE:

The following chart shows the correct values to be used for the Billing Determinants and Rates.

VOLUMETRIC (VARIABLE) CHARGE

Customer Class Name	Distribution	per
Residential	\$0.0148	kWh
General Service Less Than 50 kW	\$0.0070	kWh
General Service 50 to 2,999 kW	\$2.8494	kW
General Service 3,000 to 4,999 kW	\$1.8485	kW
Unmetered Scattered Load	\$0.0278	kWh
Sentinel Lighting	\$5.8683	kW
Street Lighting	\$4.7426	kW

Interrogatory #5

Tab 11 – STS - Tax Change

Board staff is unable to reconcile the tax impact amount entered on tab 9 of the model (column L, row 34) to Essex Powerlines' previous Revenue Requirement Work Form. Board staff notes that the figure entered in the 2015 Rate Generator Model is \$387,023. Board staff notes that the figure should be \$382,636.

(A) Please confirm if Essex Powerlines agrees with Board staff, and staff will make the necessary corrections to the model.

RESPONSE:

Essex agrees with Board Staff that the correct figure to use in the 2015 Rate Generator Model is \$382,636 and request that Board Staff make the necessary corrections to the model.

(B) If the answer to (A) is no, please provide an explanation for the discrepancy.

RESPONSE:

See response to a).

Interrogatory #6

Tab 15 – RTSR – UTRs & Sub-Tx

Board staff notes that the Hydro One Sub-Transmission Rate Rider 9A expires on December 31, 2014 and therefore the rates should be removed. Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the model on Essex Powerlines' behalf.

Please confirm that Essex Powerlines agrees to this change.

RESPONSE:

Essex confirms that it agrees with Board Staff that the Hydro One Sub-Transmission Rate Ride should be removed from the model and requests that Board Staff make the necessary corrections to the model.

Interrogatory #7

Tab 16 – RTSR – Historical Wholesale

Board staff Interrogatories

Hydro One	Network			Line Connection			Transformation Connection			Total Line
Month	Units Billed	Rate	Amount	Units Billed	Rate	Amount	Units Billed	Rate	Amount	Amount
January	83,107	\$3.18	\$ 264,280	83,107	\$1.63	\$ 135,464	41,445	\$0.70	\$ 29,012	\$ 164,476
February	74,498	\$3.18	\$ 236,904	75,598	\$1.63	\$ 123,225	36,508	\$0.70	\$ 25,556	\$ 148,780
March	69,219	\$3.18	\$ 220,116	71,965	\$1.63	\$ 117,303	34,516	\$0.70	\$ 24,161	\$ 141,464
April	64,548	\$3.18	\$ 205,263	71,791	\$1.63	\$ 117,019	31,283	\$0.70	\$ 21,898	\$ 138,917
May	95,102	\$3.18	\$ 302,424	95,101	\$1.63	\$ 155,015	44,945	\$0.70	\$ 31,461	\$ 186,476
June	111,472	\$3.18	\$ 354,482	113,175	\$1.63	\$ 184,476	53,200	\$0.70	\$ 37,240	\$ 221,716
July	99,526	\$3.18	\$ 316,493	100,107	\$1.63	\$ 163,174	32,061	\$0.70	\$ 22,443	\$ 185,617
August	103,566	\$3.18	\$ 329,340	104,824	\$1.63	\$ 170,863	32,191	\$0.70	\$ 22,533	\$ 193,396
September	126,843	\$3.18	\$ 403,360	127,323	\$1.63	\$ 207,537	58,896	\$0.70	\$ 41,227	\$ 248,765
October	78,028	\$3.18	\$ 248,129	79,580	\$1.63	\$ 129,715	35,176	\$0.70	\$ 24,623	\$ 154,338
November	83,399	\$3.18	\$ 265,209	83,478	\$1.63	\$ 136,070	43,807	\$0.70	\$ 30,665	\$ 166,735
December	91,399	\$3.18	\$ 291,077	91,877	\$1.63	\$ 149,673	47,951	\$0.70	\$ 33,342	\$ 183,015
Total	1,080,707	\$ 3.18	\$ 3,437,076	1,097,926	\$ 1.63	\$ 1,789,534	491,979	\$ 0.70	\$ 344,162	\$ 2,133,696

Board staff notes that Essex Powerlines has reversed the Hydro One Sub-Transmission rates applicable for line connection and transformation connection. The applicable line connection rate is \$0.70 and the transformation connection rate is \$1.63.

Please confirm that Essex Powerlines agrees, and Board staff will make the necessary corrections to the model.

RESPONSE:

Essex confirms that the Sub transmission rates applicable for line connection and transformation connection and the corresponding kW's have been reversed and request that Board Staff make the necessary corrections to the model.

Interrogatory #8

Chapter 3 of the Filing Requirements note that distributors must establish separate rate riders to recover the balances in the RSVAs from Wholesale Market Participants ("WMPs") who must not be allocated the RSVA account balances related to charges for which the WMPs settle directly with the IESO (e.g. wholesale energy, wholesale market services).

Chapter 3 of the Filing Requirements also note that "distributors who serve Class A customers per O.Reg 429/04 (i.e. customers greater than 5 MW) must propose an appropriate allocation for the recovery of the global adjustment variance balance based on their settlement process with the IESO.

(A) Please confirm that Essex Powerlines does not serve any WMPs or Class A customers.

RESPONSE:

Essex confirms that they do not serve any WMPs or Class A customers.

- (B) If Essex Powerlines serves class A customers, please provide the allocation of GA costs for class A and class B customers and a calculation the associated rate riders to dispose of balances in account 1589.
- (C) If Essex Powerlines serves WMPs, please provide a calculation of Deferral and Variance account rate riders that will not allocate balances in the RSVAs to WMPs.

Interrogatory #9

Manager's Summary, Exhibit 1, Tab 2

Essex Powerlines notes that "due to the loss of one of the two customers in EPLCs intermediate rate class in 2014, EPLC analyzed the current usage compared to the latest Board approved volumetric forecast. While all other classes have not changed significantly, the intermediate class has decreased by 100%...Due to these facts EPLC has changed the volumetric data used for the intermediate class to ensure the allocation of the tax sharing, deferral and variance and RTSR rate riders are more accurately applied."

Board staff notes that section 3.4 of Chapter 3 of the *Filing Requirements for Electricity Distribution Rate Applications* lists loss of customer load as a specific exclusion from the IRM rate application process.

- (A) Please explain the nature of the adjustment to Essex Powerlines' load forecast.

RESPONSE:

Essex removed the kWhrs/kWs associated with the intermediate rate class only for calculation of the rate adders for Deferral and Variance accounts, tax savings and RTSR. Essex did not reallocate any other costs in the 2014 IRM. Essex believes this is appropriate as it is not making these changes to the base rates; it is only using them for the rate riders which will be used to collect these additional amounts for one year only. Essex feels that including the kWhrs/kWs for the Intermediate class will result in expected recoveries that will not happen.

- (B) Please confirm if Essex Powerlines has reallocated the amounts to other rate classes that were accrued by its Intermediate rate class with respect to deferral and variance accounts, tax-savings, RTSR etc. If yes, please explain why Essex Powerlines believes this is appropriate.

RESPONSE:

See answer to a)

Smart Meter Application

Interrogatory #10

Application, pages 1, 5, 11 – Smart Meters

On page 1, under its Overview, Essex Powerlines states, for para. 2:

Essex Powerlines is filing this Application for cost recovery of costs incurred by it as part of the Smart Meter Initiative. The cost recovery is based on actual audited costs incurred to December 31, 2011 and forecasted OM&A costs to December 31, 2011.

(A) Why are the actual costs stated as being “audited” to December 31, 2011 while reference is made to “forecasted OM&A costs to December 31, 2011”? Why wouldn’t all such costs be audited actuals by now?

RESPONSE:

The statement “forecasted OM&A costs to December 31,2011” should not have been included.

(B) In Tables 2, 3 and 4 of the Application, shown on page 5, Essex Powerlines also shows that it is not seeking recovery of smart meter costs for the period 2012-2014 inclusive. Further, in para. 33 on page 11, Essex Powerlines states that it has not included capital and OM&A costs post-2011 related to growth. Why is Essex Powerlines not seeking recovery of smart meter costs from January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014 in this Application?

RESPONSE:

After consulting with Board staff, we have included these costs in the revised version 5 model as outlined in the response to IR#16.

(C) How is Essex Powerlines proposing that 2012-2014 smart meter costs be treated in its application for 2016 rates, particularly for OM&A costs that will be “out of period” for the 2016 test year?

RESPONSE:

See response for B) above.

(D) How does Essex Powerlines propose that costs for the 2015 rate year related to capital-related and OM&A cost recovery will be recovered in rates through its bifurcated proposal?

RESPONSE:

See response for B) above.

(E) If Essex Powerlines is proposing that the smart meter recovery in the Application is not “final”, as expected by the Board in *Guideline G-2011-0001: Smart Meter Funding and Cost Recovery – Final Disposition*, issued December 15, 2011, please explain Essex Powerlines’ rationale for its proposal in this Application.

RESPONSE:

See response to B) and IR #16.

Interrogatory #11

Application, page 5 – Stranded Meters

In para. 9, Essex Powerlines states that it is not seeking recovery of the residual NBV of conventional analog meters stranded by smart meter deployment in the Application, but will seek recovery in its 2016 Cost of Service Application expected to be filed early in 2015. Essex Powerlines states that it maintains these stranded meters in its rate base until it rebases its rates for 2016.

(A) Please confirm that Essex Powerlines continues to depreciate its stranded conventional meters, as these remain in rate base and Essex Powerlines’ approved rates continue to recover the return of capital (i.e., depreciation expense) and return on capital. In the alternative, please explain Essex Powerlines’ treatment.

RESPONSE:

Essex Powerlines continues to depreciate the stranded conventional meters.

(B) Please provide Essex Powerlines’ estimate of the remaining net book value of stranded meters as of December 31, 2015, assuming that it will rebase its rates for 2016.

RESPONSE:

The estimated net book value of the stranded meters as of December 31, 2015 will be \$1,567,710.

Interrogatory #12

Application, page 7 – Stranded Meters

In para. 7, Essex Powerlines states that it contracted its existing recycling contractor for disposal of the stranded conventional meters.

Please indicate whether there are any net salvage costs and, if so, how these are being accounted for in the net book value of stranded conventional meters.

RESPONSE:

Unfortunately, the smart meter scrap was included with regular line material scrap and we cannot differentiate the value of the salvage cost just for smart meters so this was not accounted for in the net book value of the stranded conventional meters.

Interrogatory #13

Application, pages 11-12 – Smart Meter Costs for Customer-Provided Equipment

Please provide a brief explanation of the nature of the OM&A and capital costs incurred related to repair or replace customer-provided equipment as documented in para. 36 and the table following that paragraph.

RESPONSE:

Essex contracted out the service to repair or replace broken meter bases to a qualified electrician. The expense of \$13,590 was for electrician's labour and permit fees and the capital cost of \$28,433 was the material cost for the meter base.

Interrogatory #14

Application, pages 10-11 – Beyond Minimum Functionality Costs

In para. 31 a., Essex Powerlines states that \$30,441 of capital costs beyond minimum functionality were reclassified as OM&A expenses beyond minimum functionality. These included costs for web presentment, MDM/R integration, TOU billing.

Para. 35 states that those costs were for "meter set up and consultation costs for integration with the MDMR".

Please provide further explanation of why these costs were reclassified and expensed rather than capitalized as originally reported in Essex Powerlines' RRR filings.

RESPONSE:

From an accounting perspective these costs do not add value as a capital asset and do not have a useful life longer than one year so it was determined that they should be classified as OM&A expense rather than capital.

Interrogatory #15

Ref: Smart Meter Model, Version 4.0 – OM&A Costs related to Minimum Functionality

Please provide further explanation of the Program Management Costs totalling \$84,615 for 2009 and 2010 (Row 90 of Sheet 2 – 1.5.5 Program Management).

RESPONSE:

Program Management costs are for the wages of a contract employee hired to manage the implementation and installation of the smart meters.

Interrogatory #16

Ref: Application, page 13 and Smart Meter Model, Version 4.0 – Tax/PILs Rates

In para. 40, Essex Powerlines states:

The WACC and the tax rates used in the smart meter model match those approved by the Board for Essex Powerlines. The tax rates used are based on the best information available at the time of this filing.

Board staff has prepared the following table documenting the aggregate Federal and Provincial tax/PILs rates from sheet 3 of the Smart Meter Model and those from Essex Powerlines' recent IRM applications. The tax rates in prior year rate applications have been taken from Essex Powerlines' final Revenue Requirement Work Form for its 2010 Cost of Service application EB-2009-0143, and from the final tax sharing spreadsheets in other years when rates were adjusted through the price cap formula.

Year	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Smart Meter Model – Sheet 3, Row 40							
	33.50%	33.00%	31.00%	28.25%	26.25%	26.50%	26.50%
IRM/COS Application	EB-2007-0878 (IRM)	EB-2008-0174 (IRM)	EB-2009-0143 (COS)	EB-2010-0082 (IRM)	EB-2011-0166 (IRM)	EB-2012-0123 (IRM)	EB-2013-0128 (IRM)
	33.50%	33.00%	31.00%	25.34%	23.55%	23.70%	23.70%

- (A) Please confirm or correct the numbers shown in the table above.
- (B) Please explain any discrepancies between the tax/PILs rates input into the Smart Meter model and those approved in Essex Powerlines' previous Cost of Service and IRM rates applications.
- (C) If necessary, and in conjunction with the following Board staff interrogatory, please update the Smart Meter Model with corrected aggregate tax/PILs rates.

RESPONSE:

The model has been updated with the corrected tax tables as provided by Board Staff.

Interrogatory #17

Ref: Smart Meter Model, Version 4.00

Essex Powerlines' proposed SMDRs and SMIRRs are reproduced in the following table:

	SMDR	SMIRR
Recovery Period	12 months (January 1 to December 31, 2015)	January 1, 2015 until the effective/implemented dates for Essex Powerlines' next cost of service based rates.
Residential	(\$1.15)	\$1.11
GS < 50 kW	\$10.49	\$3.81

Board staff observes that Essex Powerlines has used the Board-issued Smart Meter Model Version 4.0, applicable to 2014 filers, in this Application. This model calculates the deferred revenue requirement, SMDRs and SMIRRs based on a 2014 test year.

The Board made Smart Meter Model Version 5.0 available on its website as part of the Filing Requirement for 2015 rate applications, and these were made available no later than July 18, 2014. The Version 5.0 model adds one extra year and thus would calculate the deferred revenue requirement, 2015 incremental revenue requirement and SMDRs and SMIRRs to recover these amounts based on a 2015 test year.

(A) Please explain why Essex Powerlines has not used Smart Meter Model Version 5.0 in preparing this Application.

(B) As necessary, and taking into account the interrogatories asked by Board staff and other parties, and Essex Powerlines' responses to these interrogatories, please provide a populated version of Smart Meter Model Version 5.0 in working Microsoft Excel format showing the resulting SMDRs and SMIRRs based on a 2015 test year.

RESPONSE:

Essex had contacted Board staff and was advised at the time that it was unknown when the version 5 model would be available. Essex submitted its application unaware that the new model was now available. Essex has completed the Model 5.0 version of the model and has submitted it. In addition to using the up to date model in response to IR#10 and consulting with Board staff, Essex has included the additional capital and OM&A actual costs for 2012 and 2013 and the forecasted costs for 2014 and 2015.

Interrogatory #18

Effective Dates and Recovery Periods for Smart Meter Rate Riders

Essex Powerlines is requesting SMDRs and SMIRRs to be effective January 1, 2015 and recoverable over a period of 12 months, as Essex Powerlines is expected to file a cost of service application in April of 2015 for rates effective January 1, 2016.

[illegible]

Table 3 - Average OM&A Cost per Meter

OM&A Costs	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Smart Meter OM&A Cost, Including Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 7,643	\$ 21,912	\$ 31,839	\$ 29,023	\$ 4,420	\$ 19,132	\$28,680	\$ 22,366	\$ 165,015
Less: OM&A Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 4,160	\$ 8,819	\$ 17,462	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -	\$ 30,441
Smart Meter OM&A Cost, Excluding Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 3,483	\$ 13,093	\$ 14,377	\$ 29,023	\$ 4,420	\$ 19,132	\$28,680	\$ 22,366	\$ 134,574
Number of Smart Meters Installed									28,775
Average OM&A Cost, Excluding Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality									\$ 4.68

Table 4 - Average Total Cost per Meter

Total Costs	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total
Smart Meter Total Cost, Including Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 75,908	\$ 1,458,831	\$ 1,423,282	\$ 395,319	\$9,202	\$ 48,427	\$59,685	\$48,451	\$ 3,519,105
Less: Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 4,160	\$ 8,819	\$ 21,253	\$ -	\$ -	\$ --		\$	\$ 34,232
Smart Meter Total Cost, Excluding Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality	\$ 71,748	\$ 1,450,012	\$ 1,402,029	\$ 395,319	\$ 9,202	\$ 48,427	\$59,685	\$48,451	\$ 3,484,873
Number of Smart Meters Installed									28,775
Average Total Cost, Excluding Costs Exceeding Minimum Functionality									\$ 121.11

The revised model also includes a change in the effective date to May 1, 2015 and an ending date for the rider of April 30, 2016. Essex is due to submit a COS rate application with an effective date for rates of January 1, 2016 but we are suggesting that these rates overlap for this short period. The longer period of one year mitigates the impact to the Residential and GS<50 kW classes.

The revised Smart Meter Disposition Rider (SMDR) effective May 1, 2015 will be:

- 1) \$(.04) per Residential customer per month
- 2) \$ 15.53 per General Service <50 kW customer per month

The revised Smart Meter Incremental Revenue Requirement Rate Rider (SMIRR) effective May 1, 2015 will be:

- 1) \$1.07 per Residential customer per month
- 2) \$3.80 per GS<50 kW customer per month

The revised bill impacts are as follows:

Board staff Interrogatories

[illegible]

Rate Class		GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW									
Loss Factor				1.0602							
Consumption		kWh		2,000							
If Billed on a kW basis:											
Demand		kW									
Load Factor											

The overall bill impact for the GS<50 kW class is over 10%. If the Board so desires, we could mitigate this increase by recovering the amount over a longer period such as 18 months instead of 12 and align the removal of this rate rider with rates effective January 1, 2017. The bill impact would be as follows:

Rate Class		GENERAL SERVICE LESS THAN 50 KW									
Loss Factor				1.0602							
Consumption		kWh		2,000							
If Billed on a kW basis:											
Demand		kW									
Load Factor											
				Current Board-Approved			Proposed			Impact	
				Rate	Volume	Charge	Rate	Volume	Charge	\$	
				(\$)		(\$)	(\$)		(\$)	Change	% Change
Monthly Service Charge				\$ 33.87	1	\$ 33.87	\$ 33.87	1	\$ 33.87	\$ -	0.00%
Distribution Volumetric Rate				\$0.0116	800	\$ 9.28	\$0.0116	800	\$ 9.28	\$ -	0.00%
Fixed Rate Riders				\$ -	1	\$ -	\$ 13.12	1	\$ 13.12	\$ 13.12	
Volumetric Rate Riders				\$0.0003	800	\$ 0.24	\$0.0003	800	\$ 0.24	\$ -	0.00%
Sub-Total A (excluding pass through)						\$ 43.39			\$ 56.51	\$ 13.12	30.24%
Line Losses on Cost of Power				\$0.0839	120	\$ 10.10	\$0.0839	120	\$ 10.10	\$ -	0.00%
Total Deferral/Variance Account				\$0.0099	800	\$ 7.92	\$0.0099	800	\$ 7.92	\$ -	0.00%
Rate Riders											
Low Voltage Service Charge				\$0.0010	800	\$ 0.80	\$0.0010	800	\$ 0.80	\$ -	0.00%
Smart Meter Entity Charge				\$0.7900	1	\$ 0.79	\$0.7900	1	\$ 0.79	\$ -	0.00%
Sub-Total B - Distribution (includes Sub-Total A)						\$ 52.90			\$ 66.02	\$ 13.12	24.80%
RTSR - Network				\$0.0068	848	\$ 5.77	\$0.0068	848	\$ 5.77	\$ -	0.00%
RTSR - Connection and/or Line and Transformation Connection				\$0.0035	848	\$ 2.97	\$0.0035	848	\$ 2.97	\$ -	0.00%
Sub-Total C - Delivery (including Sub-Total B)						\$ 61.64			\$ 74.76	\$ 13.12	21.29%
Wholesale Market Service Charge (WMSC)				\$0.0044	2,120	\$ 9.33	\$0.0044	2,120	\$ 9.33	\$ -	0.00%
Rural and Remote Rate Protection (RRRP)				\$0.0013	2,120	\$ 2.76	\$0.0013	2,120	\$ 2.76	\$ -	0.00%
Standard Supply Service Charge				\$0.2500	1	\$ 0.25	\$0.2500	1	\$ 0.25	\$ -	0.00%
Debt Retirement Charge (DRC)				\$0.0070	2,000	\$ 14.00	\$0.0070	2,000	\$ 14.00	\$ -	0.00%
TOU - Off Peak				\$0.0670	512	\$ 34.30	\$0.0670	512	\$ 34.30	\$ -	0.00%
TOU - Mid Peak				\$0.1040	144	\$ 14.98	\$0.1040	144	\$ 14.98	\$ -	0.00%
TOU - On Peak				\$0.1240	144	\$ 17.86	\$0.1240	144	\$ 17.86	\$ -	0.00%
Total Bill on TOU (before Taxes)						\$155.11			\$168.23	\$ 13.12	8.46%
HST				13%		\$ 20.16	13%		\$ 21.87	\$ 1.71	8.46%
Total Bill (including HST)						\$175.27			\$190.10	\$ 14.83	8.46%
Ontario Clean Energy Benefit ¹						-\$ 17.53			-\$ 19.01	-\$ 1.48	8.44%
Total Bill on TOU (including OCEB)						\$157.74			\$171.09	\$ 13.35	8.46%