

Aiken & Associates

578 McNaughton Ave. West
Chatham, Ontario, N7L 4J6

Phone: (519) 351-8624

E-mail: randy.aiken@sympatico.ca

November 28, 2014

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli,

RE: EB-2014-0261 - Interrogatories of London Property Management Association

Please find attached the interrogatories of the London Property Management Association in the above noted application.

Sincerely,

Randy Aiken

Randy Aiken
Aiken & Associates

Encl.

cc: Karen Hockin, Union Gas Limited (e-mail)

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF The Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B, and in particular, S. 36 thereof;

AND IN THE MATTER OF The Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B, and in particular, S.90.(1) thereof;

AND IN THE MATTER OF The Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c.15, Schedule B, and in particular, S.91 thereof;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Union Gas Limited for an Order or Orders for approval of recovery of the cost consequences of all facilities associated with the development of the proposed Lobo C Compressor/Hamilton-Milton Pipeline project;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Union Gas Limited for an Order or Orders granting leave to construct natural gas pipelines and ancillary facilities in the City of Hamilton, City of Burlington and Town of Milton, and leave to construct a compressor and ancillary facilities in the Municipality of Middlesex Centre.

**INTERROGATORIES
OF
LONDON PROPERTY MANAGEMENT ASSOCIATION**

Interrogatory #1

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 3, page 5

At line 10 the evidence states that the Union North in-franchise rates classes will have a rate increase, while at line 16 the evidences says that a residential customer in Union North would have a bill impact that is a decrease of \$1.69 per year. Please reconcile.

Interrogatory #2

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 7, page 7

The evidence states that Enbridge will provide Union notice on or before December 15, 2014 of the amount of the 70,000 GJ/d to commence November 1, 2016. Please update the evidence to reflect the amount agreed to by Enbridge.

Interrogatory #3

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 8, Schedule 1

Please provide a similar diagram including design day demands for winter design day for the Dawn-Parkway system for the winter of 2014/15.

Interrogatory #4

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 8, Schedules 1 & 2

a) How has the impact of DSM programs been taken into account in forecasting the design day demands for Union South and Union North demands?

b) Please provide the reduction in design day demands for each of Union South and Union North based on DSM programs for each of the 2015/16 and 2016/17 winters as compared to the previous winter.

Interrogatory #5

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 10, Schedule 1

Please show the calculation of the average investment and depreciation expense based on the monthly in-service additions assumed.

Interrogatory #6

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 10, Schedule 5

a) Please provide a version of the M1 cost impacts for each of a small M2 customer and an average sized M2 customer.

b) Please provide a version of the M1 cost impacts for a small M4 customer.

Interrogatory #7

Ref: Exhibit A, Tab 7, pages 5-6

a) What is the impact on the Union projects and/or the proposed timelines if the new TransCanada facilities to be built for November 2016 in-service are delayed by 1 month, 2 months, or 1 year?

b) A number of projects are noted in the evidence as being needed to be completed before the new Union projects could proceed. For each of those projects listed, please indicate the impact on the Union projects and/or proposed timelines if those projects were delayed by 3 months, or by 1 year.