December 4, 2014

BY EMAIL & COURIER

Ms Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge St, Suite 2701
Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms Walli:

Board File No. EB-2014-0277 – Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
DSM Variance Account Disposition
Energy Probe – Interrogatories to Applicant

Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 1, issued on November 19, 2014, attached please find the Interrogatories of Energy Probe Research Foundation (Energy Probe) to Enbridge Gas Distribution in the EB-2014-0277.

Energy Probe apologies for missing the December 3, 2014 deadline set by the Board for the filing of interrogatories due to obligations falling due in several proceedings.

Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

David S. MacIntosh
Case Manager

cc: Andrew Mandyam, Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (By email)
        Dennis O'Leary, Aird & Berlis LLP (By email)
        Roger Higgin, Consultant to Energy Probe (By email)
        Interested parties (By email)

Ontario Energy Board

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998,* S.O. 1998, c. 15, Schedule. B, as amended;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. for an order or orders approving the balances and the clearance of certain Demand Side Management Variance Accounts into rates, within the next available QRAM following the Board's approval.

Interrogatories
Energy Probe Research Foundation

December 4, 2014

Interrogatories of Energy Probe Research Foundation

Energy Probe has accepted the Audit Committee's Recommendation regarding the 2013 Audits.

These questions are to explore the basis of the over/under achievement of Certain Programs and the Cost-effectiveness of the Programs and the relationship to the proposed DSMIDA clearance to rate classes

Interrogatory Energy Probe 1

Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 1, Tables 3 & 4, Scorecard Results

   a)   Please provide the full cost of the 2013 RA programs for Residential and Low Income (separately). Breakout incentives, program administration, overheads.

   b)   Please provide the Unit cost-effectiveness CCM/$ for the two programs.

   c)   Please compare to the historic CCM/$.

   d)   Please explain the material differences in achievement for each program and the impact on DSMIDA and Rate 1/6 customers from the over-achievement and under achievement for the respective programs.

   e)   What in EGDs view are the limits and implications if any, on significant over-achievement?

Interrogatory Energy Probe 2

Ref: Exhibit B, Tab 4, Schedule 1, DSMIDA Allocations

Please provide the calculation of the DSMIDA amounts for Rate1 $2,094,687 gross and Rate 62,007,512 gross:

   i)   As filed (339% of Target);
   ii)   With 100% target achievement; and,
   iii)   With 125%.

Interrogatory Energy Probe 3

Ref: Exhibit B2, Tab 1, Schedule1 and Exhibit B4, Schedule 1

Preamble: Overall in terms of CCM Savings (table 4) the 2013 DSM portfolio fell short of the Combined CCM savings target.

    a) Please provide a breakout/comparison of the Target and Actual CCM savings for the Commercial and Industrial programs.

    b) Please provide explanations for under-achievement.

    c) Please provide for each sector the CCM/m3 (all costs included).

    d) Breakout the Unit cost-effectiveness CCM/$ for the two programs Compare to Target/and or historic values. Please comment on result.