

500 Consumers Road
North York, Ontario
M2J 1P8
PO Box 650
Scarborough ON M1K 5E3

Shari Lynn Spratt
Supervisor Regulatory Proceedings
Telephone: (416) 495-6011
Fax: (416) 495-6072
Email: EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com



January 9, 2015

VIA COURIER, EMAIL, RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge Street, 26th Floor
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Re: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. ("Enbridge")
EB-2014-0288 - Chatham D Designated Storage Area Amendment
Interrogatory Responses

The Ontario Energy Board ("Board") issued Procedural Order No. 1 on December 19, 2014 wherein the Board directed Enbridge to file interrogatory responses by January 9, 2015.

Attached please find Enbridge's responses to the interrogatories from Board Staff which have been filed through the Board's Regulatory Electronic Submission System.

For further information about the project, please visit the Enbridge website at:
www.enbridgegas.com/ChathamD

Yours truly,

(ORIGINAL SIGNED)

Shari Lynn Spratt
Supervisor Regulatory Proceedings

cc: Ms. Pascale Duguay, Manager Natural Gas Applications, Ontario Energy Board

Attachment

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY #1

INTERROGATORY

References:

Pre-filed Evidence, Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 5, paragraph 10; and Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 1 paragraph 2; Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 4, Landowner Key Map.

Preamble:

According to the pre-filed evidence, Enbridge is in discussions with the Municipality of Chatham-Kent to obtain a lease for the areas under municipal right-of-way. These municipal areas are located in the existing Designated Storage Area ("DSA") and in the proposed amended area.

- a) Please discuss the status and prospects of discussions with the Municipality of Chatham-Kent regarding the agreement related to the municipal lands within the boundaries of the proposed amended DSA.
- b) Please include the form of easement agreement that Enbridge would offer to the Municipality.
- c) What are the differences, if any, between a form of easement agreement offered to private landowners and a form offered to the Municipality?

RESPONSE

- a) There has been on-going discussion between Enbridge and the Municipality of Chatham-Kent. On August 27, 2014, Enbridge submitted to the Revenue, Corporate & Financial Services department of the Municipality of Chatham-Kent its standard form of Gas Storage Lease Agreement¹ for review. On November 6, 2014, Enbridge was advised that the agreement was being reviewed by the Public Works department, the Technical Advisory Committee and the Legislative Services department. Enbridge has been recently advised that further review will take place on January 9, 2015, by the Revenue, Corporate & Financial Services and the Legislative Services departments.

¹ See EB-2014-0288, Prefiled evidence, Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 6, pages 1 to 6.

Enbridge is not aware of any issues.

- b) It is Enbridge's intention to enter into a Gas Storage Lease Agreement, not an easement agreement with the Municipality. As pointed out in part a) of this response, the Gas Storage Lease Agreement form offered to the Municipality was the standard form as filed in Exhibit C, Tab 1, Schedule 6.

No easement agreement was offered to the Municipality.

- c) As pointed out in part b) of this response, no easement agreement was offered to the Municipality. The Gas Storage Lease Agreement offered to the Municipality was the standard form offered to other landowners.

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORY #2

INTERROGATORY

References:

Pre-filed Evidence, Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 5, paragraph 14; Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 3, "Sproule Report"

Preamble:

According to the pre-filed evidence, the "Sproule Report" was completed as a supporting geological evidence for Enbridge's application. Enbridge also noted that it met with the Ministry of Natural Resources ("MNRF")¹ as part of consultation on the proposed amendment of the DSA boundaries.

- a) Was the "Sproule Report" provided to the MNRF for review? If so, what were the MNRF's comments, if any?
- b) When did the meetings between Enbridge and the MNRF take place and what was the purpose of the meetings?
- c) Did the MNRF issue to Enbridge any formal document (e.g. Record of Technical Data Reviewed for a Proposed Gas Storage Area) in which it confirmed its agreement with the proposed amendment of the DSA boundaries? If so, please explain the process for obtaining such document and file a copy in response to this interrogatory.

RESPONSE

- a) The Sproule Report was not provided to MNRF, as the meeting took place on June 17, 2014 and the Sproule letter report was completed on October 16, 2014. The Sproule Report has since been provided to MNRF via email on December 29, 2014 for review and comment.
- b) The meeting took place on June 17, 2014 in London, Ontario at the MNRF Office. In attendance were Jug Manocha, MNRF Operations Engineer; Terry Carter, MNRF Chief Geologist; and Todd Godsoe, MNRF Petroleum Operations Engineer; Kathy McConnell, Enbridge Senior Project Geologist and Neil Hoey, Consulting Geologist for Enbridge. The purpose of the meeting was to inform MNRF of Enbridge's

proposed boundary expansion at the Chatham D DSA and to present and review 3D Seismic information which was subsequently used by Sproule in their report.

- c) The Sproule report was sent to MNRF (Terry Carter, Jug Manocha, and Todd Godsoe) via email on December 29, 2014 for review. On January 8, 2015 an email response was received from Jug Manocha of MNRF confirming their agreement with the proposed amendment to the Chatham D DSA boundary. A copy of the email is attached.

Kathy McConnell

From: Manocha, Jug (MNRF) <jug.manocha@ontario.ca>
Sent: Thursday, January 08, 2015 3:41 PM
To: Kathy McConnell
Cc: Godsoe, Todd (MNRF); Fortner, Lee (MNRF); Van Overberghe, Joe (MNRF)
Subject: RE: Chatham D OEB Boundary Application

As you have indicated that we met with Neil Hoey and you, in June 2014 to discuss the Chatham D DSA boundary based on new 3D seismic information.

We also received additional information from the Sproule report dated October 16, 2014. This information was reviewed by our geologist and engineering staff. It indicates that the reef reservoir that comprises the natural gas pool extends beyond the current DSA boundary. We agree that the boundary should be revised to include this portion of the reservoir. From PetroGIS the land to be included would be tract 1, lot 1, Concession II in the Gore of Geographic Township of Camden.

If you require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Jug Manocha, P.Eng.
Operations Engineer
Petroleum Operations Section
Ministry of Natural Resources & Forestry
659 Exeter Road, London, ON
N6E 1L3
Telephone 519-873-4637 Fax 519-873-4645
Email: jug.manocha@ontario.ca

From: Kathy McConnell [<mailto:Kathy.McConnell@enbridge.com>]
Sent: December 29, 2014 10:59 AM
To: Carter, Terry (MNRF); Manocha, Jug (MNRF); Godsoe, Todd (MNRF)
Subject: Chatham D OEB Boundary Application

Terry, Jug and Todd

Please find attached the Sproule letter report outlining the geological and engineering considerations for the expansion of the Chatham D DSA boundary. Could you please review and let me know if you have any concerns. If you recall, Neil Hoey and I met with you on June 17, 2014 to discuss the proposed boundary change and to present 3D Seismic evidence. The OEB, in the attached EB-2014-0288 Interrogatories has asked for formal documentation confirming or denying the proposed boundary expansion. I am requesting that this documentation be provided to myself at your earliest convenience.

Kathy McConnell
Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.
3595 Tecumseh Road
Mooretown, Ontario, N0N 1M0

Phone: 519-862-6032

Fax: 519-862-1168