

March 23, 2015

Ms. Pascale Duguay Manager, Natural Gas Applications Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street, 27<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Duguay:

Re: Union Gas Limited – EB-2013-0191

Dawn Parkway NPS 26 Replacement Project

**Post Construction Financial Report** 

Pursuant to Condition 1.5 of the Board's Conditions of Approval for the above-noted project, please find enclosed four copies of the Post Construction Financial Report.

Sincerely,

[Original signed by]

Shelley Bechard Administrative Analyst Regulatory Projects

Encl.

c.c.: Z. Crnojacki (Chair, OPCC)

G. Collins

## TOTAL ESTIMATED PIPELINE CAPITAL COSTS

## DAWN PARKWAY NPS26 REPLACEMENT PROJECT

	Estimated		Actual
Pipeline and Equipment	<b>**</b> **********************************		
NPS 26 Steel Pipe, Coated 240 metres	\$210,000		\$192,522
Fittings & Miscellaneous Material	\$55,000		\$84,303
Sub-Total	\$265,000		\$276,825
Stores Overhead – Fittings and Misc. Material	\$5,000		\$8,055
Total Pipeline and Equipment	_	\$270,000	\$284,880
Construction and Labour			
Trenchless Install of 240 metres of NPS 26 Steel Pipe	\$700,000		\$1,069,161
Clearing, Stripping topsoil, Dewatering construction area			
Testing, Dewatering, Drying Pipe			
Grouting & Miscellaneous Contract Labour			
Company Labour, X-Ray, Construction Survey, Legal,	\$290,000		\$193,506
Environmental Fees, Mill Inspection and Consultants	. ,		. ,
Easements, Lands & Damages	\$70,000	<u> </u>	\$142,607
Total Construction and Labour		\$1,060,000	\$1,405,274
Total Pipeline and Equipment and Construction and Labour		\$1,330,000	\$1,690,153
Contingencies		\$190,000	0
<b>Total Estimated Pipeline Capital Costs – 2013 Construction</b>		\$1,520,000	\$1,690,153
•	<u>—</u>	· / /	. , , -

Includes the Estimated Environmental Costs Identified in Schedule 13.

## Explanations

Union was able to transfer pipe to other projects. Suppliers require minimum order to have pipe produced

Additional fittings were required due to a change in installation techniques

Additional overhead due to increased fitting cost

Crossing methodology changed from HDD to bore as a result of geotechnical engineering recommendation.

Permitting delays with CN Rail delayed construction into late fall/winter.

Dewatering was not required. Change in crossing methodology resulted in less X-Ray inspection being required.

Additional temporary land was needed during construction as a result of the bored crossing methodology.