

**Subject:**

FW: Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2015-0004

**Date:**

June-04-15 1:38:00 PM

---

**From:** webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca [mailto:webmaster@ontarioenergyboard.ca]

**Sent:** June-04-15 12:52 PM

**To:** BoardSec

**Subject:** Letter of Comment Submitted: EB-2015-0004

## LETTER OF COMMENT

### Comments:

I read the article in the Ottawa Citizen today referring to these hearings. I cannot agree more with Mr. Eades' comments regarding the biased nature of the questions.

I, too, participated in the telephone survey and stated many times to the lady who spoke with me that the nature of the questions were such that any answers would support the need for more funds for infrastructure. I was frustrated by such questions and said so to the interviewer.

The OEB should not take the results of that survey as a true reflection of the general population's view of the need for additional funding (read "increased charges") for infrastructure. The OEB should critically review the survey questions to determine if they are, indeed, biased, then base their determine on that outcome.

This is not to say that increased infrastructure is not needed. I only point out that the survey was biased in its intent/results and the board should not consider its results when making their decisions on whether or not an increase in charges is warrented.

**Name:** James Hurd

**Address:**

**Email:**

**Phone:**

**Fax:** 0

**Company:**