



PUBLIC INTEREST ADVOCACY CENTRE
LE CENTRE POUR LA DÉFENSE DE L'INTÉRÊT PUBLIC

June 8, 2015

VIA E-MAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli
Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
P.O. Box 2319
2300 Yonge St.
Toronto, ON
M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: EB-2015-0010 – Union Gas Limited
Interrogatories of Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC)**

In accordance with Procedural Order No. 1 please find enclosed the interrogatories of VECC in the above-noted proceeding. We have also directed a copy of the same to the Applicant.

Yours truly,

Michael Janigan
Counsel for VECC

Cc: Union - Chris Ripley- CRipley@uniongas.com

1/3

REQUESTOR NAME	VECC
INFORMATION REQUEST ROUND NO:	# 1
TO:	Union Gas Limited
DATE:	June 8, 2015
CASE NO:	EB-2015-0010
APPLICATION NAME	2014 Disposition of Deferral Account Balances

Exhibit A

A – VECC - 1

Reference: A/T1/pg. 17

- a) What has been the year-end balance of account 179-103 Unbundled Services Unauthorized Storage Overrun in the last 5 years.
- b) If it has been zero (or nominal amount) please comment as to the possibility of eliminating this account in the future.

A – VECC - 2

Reference: A/T1/pg.20

- a) What has been the year-end balance of account 179-117 Carbon Dioxide Offset Credits in the last 5 years?
- b) If it has been zero (or nominal amount) please comment on as to the possibility of eliminating this account in the future.

A – VECC - 3

Reference: A/T2/pg.2

May be answered in conjunction with Board Starr IR #5

- a) Has the M12 contract cancellation fee been included in the calculation of the transportation revenue?

A – VECC – 4

Reference: A/T1/pg.33/Table 10

- a) Please describe in more detail the \$19.906 million in station infrastructure costs which were put into service in 2014.
- b) Please explain in what year these assets were originally forecast to be put in service. Specifically explain, why these assets were not originally proposed for inclusion in 2014.
- c) Of the original 2014 Board approved Parkway West Expenditures two items which were forecast to be included in 2014 were not completed: (2) Station Infrastructure (explained as an administration building); and (4) Dawn –Parkway Valve Nest (explained as delay due to timing of connection of the NPS 48 pipeline). Please explain how, if these precedent assets expected to be in-service in 2014 were in fact delayed, the related assets of \$19.906 were put into service in advanced of their original schedule.
- d) A part of the explanation for the advancement of \$19.906 in assets is that the Dawn-Parkway valve nest is in-service. However, Union also explains that the valve nest was delayed due to pipeline connections. This appears to be a contradiction. Please clarify.

A – VECC - 5

Reference: A/T2/Appendix B/Table

- a) Please why the “Net Short-Term Storage Revenue and Net Optimization Activity” (lines 23&24) are done on an after tax basis.

END OF DOCUMENT