

June 19, 2015

BY COURIER & RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

RE: EB-2014-0182 – Union Gas Limited ("Union") – Burlington Oakville Pipeline Project – Responses to CME and OGVG Questions

Dear Ms. Walli,

In accordance with Procedural Order No. 4, attached are the responses to the questions posed by CME and OGVG, together with a confirmation by TransCanada as to the correctness of the responses.

Yours truly,

[original signed by]

Vanessa Innis Manager, Regulatory Initiatives

Encl.

cc: Zora Crnojacki, Board staff
Mark Kitchen, Union Gas
Charles Keizer, Torys
All Intervenors (EB-2014-0182)

Questions for TCPL:

- 1) If Union seeks a new FT contract from Niagara to ECDA totalling 276 TJ/day:
 - a) Please confirm that TCPL will not need to build any new pipeline or compression facilities between Niagara and ECDA to provide the new FT service

Response: Confirmed. The Settlement Agreement recognized that 200 TJ/d of transportation capacity would be offered to the market on the path from Niagara to Enbridge Parkway CDA using TransCanada's Domestic Line. Enbridge successfully bid on this capacity in TransCanada's 2015 new capacity open season. The facilities required to provide this service were the subject of the recently approved Greater Golden Horseshoe Project and included modifications to facilities between Niagara/Chippawa and Parkway on TransCanada's Domestic Line. No further capacity is available on this path from TransCanada using the Domestic Line without facility expansion. Any additional capacity required on this path would flow from Niagara to Kirkwall to Parkway to Union ECDA.

b) Please provide a high level cost estimate for modifications required to provide the new FT service.

Response: With the work that is currently being done by Union and TCPL at the new Parkway West site, no additional cost would be incurred to flow 276 Tj/day on the Niagara to Kirkwall to Parkway to Union ECDA path.

c) If the estimated costs are significant, how much of the 276 TJ/day could be provided without any significant costs?

Response: There is no expected capital cost (see b) to flow additional volumes on the Niagara to Kirkwall to Parkway to Union ECDA path. TransCanada's toll is the same from Niagara/Chippawa to Union ECDA whether using: i) the TransCanada Domestic Line from Niagara/Chippawa to Union ECDA; or ii) using the path from Niagara/Chippawa to Kirkwall on TransCanada, Kirkwall to Parkway on Union's Dawn Parkway System and then Parkway to Union ECDA on TransCanada.

2) Will TCPL be able to provide the new FT contract beginning November 1, 2016?

Response: Provided Union can provide the incremental Kirkwall to Parkway capacity, TCPL can provide the service effective Nov 1, 2016 on the Niagara/Kirkwall/ Parkway/ECDA path. Union will be using the Kirkwall to Parkway path (as part of the Dawn to Parkway path) as described in Union's application. To the extent that Union does not build the Burlington to Oakville pipeline, capacity would be available on Nov 1, 2016 for others.

3) If TCPL is unable to provide the new FT contract beginning November 1, 2016:

a) Please provide the earliest date the new FT contract can begin

Response: See response to 2) above.

b) Please confirm that a temporary bridging mechanism can be discussed between TCPL and Union for the period between November 1, 2016 and the earliest date the new FT contract can begin.

Response: See response to 2) above.

4) Please provide the MAOP of the Domestic Line between MLV 209 and MLV 207.

Response: The MOP of the Domestic Line between MLV 209 and MLV 207 is 4480 kPag (650 psig).



June 18, 2015

TransCanada Corporation 450 – 1st Street SW Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2P 5H1

tel 403.920.5574 fax 403.920.2384 email <u>dave schultz@transcanada.com</u> web <u>www.transcanada.com</u>

Dave SchultzVice-President, Commercial East
Canadian Natural Gas Pipelines

Mark Isherwood VP Business Development and Storage Transportation Union Gas Limited PO Box 2001 50 Kell Drive North Chatham, ON, N7M 5M1

Dear Mark,

TransCanada has reviewed the Union responses to the questions identified in the June 17th 2015, Ontario Energy Board Procedural Order No. 4 (EB-2014-0182) and can confirm that the responses provided are factually correct.

Yours Truly,

Dave Schultz Vice-President, Commercial East Canadian Natural Gas Pipelines

TransCanada Corporation