

UNION GAS LIMITED BURLINGTON OAKVILLE PIPELINE

EB-2014-0182

BOARD STAFF INTERROGATORIES ON EVIDENCE FILED BY ONTARIO

GREENHOUSE VEGETABLE GROWERS (OGVG) AND

CANADIAN MANUFACTURERS AND EXPORTERS (CME)

Interrogatory #1

Ref: OGVG/CME Evidence, pages 3-8

Please list all the assumptions under which the alternative (No-Build) proposal in the evidence has been made.

Interrogatory #2

Ref: OGVG/CME Evidence, pages 1-8

Please confirm that the evidence implies that the completion of the Burlington Oakville pipeline and TransCanada's Golden Horseshoe project would lead to excess capacity and duplication of infrastructure in Ontario.

Interrogatory #3

Ref: OGVG/CME Evidence, pages 1-8

- a) Please list all the risks associated with the alternative (No-Build) proposal suggested in the evidence.

- b) Please confirm if OGVG/CME has contacted TransCanada to confirm that it can provide the required capacity to Union using the pathways suggested in the evidence.

Interrogatory #4

Ref: OGVG/CME Evidence, pages 1-8

Please explain how the alternate proposal is a more suitable alternative to Union's proposal in terms of ensuring capacity adequacy over the long term and system integration with other transportation assets of Union.

Interrogatory #5

Ref: OGVG/CME Evidence, pages 7, para. 25

The evidence notes that the high level economic analysis is not purported to be a comprehensive economic evaluation, rather it demonstrates that further investigation is merited.

- a) Please explain what type of further investigation is required and confirm if OGVG/CME are proposing that the OEB extend the proceeding to allow for further investigation in this proceeding. How does OGVG/CME propose that the Ontario Energy Board proceed with the application?
- b) If further investigation is required, how long does OGVG/CME estimate that this would take?
- c) Should further investigation include an independent study to assess the need for the proposed infrastructure and propose alternatives?