

John A.D. Vellone

T (416) 367-6730

F (416) 361-2758

jvellone@blg.com

James K. Little

T (416) 367-6299

F (416) 361-7332

jlittle@blg.com

Borden Ladner Gervais LLP

Scotia Plaza, 40 King St W

Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 3Y4

T 416.367.6000

F 416.367.6749

blg.com



Borden Ladner Gervais

July 10, 2015

DELIVERED BY COURIER & EMAIL

Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street
Suite 2701
Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

**Re: File No. EB-2015-0122
Interrogatories of Association of Power Producers of Ontario (“APPrO”)**

Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 1, please find enclosed the interrogatories of APPrO in regards to the above noted matter.

Thank you.

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Per:

Original signed by James Little

James Little

cc: David Butters, APPrO
John Wolnik, Elenchus
EGDI - Andrew Mandyam - EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com
EGDI – Counsel – Lorraine Chiasson - EGDRegulatoryProceedings@enbridge.com
EGDI – Counsel – David Stevens – dstevens@airdberlis.com
Intervenors

TOR01: 5988898: v1

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998, S.O. 1998, c. 15 (Sched. B), as amended;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. for an Order or Orders approving the clearance or disposition of amounts recorded in certain deferral or variance accounts.

EB-2015-0122

Interrogatories From

The Association of Power Producers of Ontario (APPrO)

July 10, 2015

Question: 1

Reference: i) Exhibit B Tab 3 Schedule 3 line 3.4

Preamble: The actual revenue collected during 2014 for Rate 125 was \$11.0 million compared to the Board approved amount of \$9.7 million (increase of approximately 13%). APPrO would like to understand the reasons for this variance.

- a) Please provide a variance analysis that fully explains the reasons for the 13% increase in revenues.
- b) Please indicate whether or not it is possible that Enbridge may be collecting similarly sourced amounts in the future, and if so does Enbridge intend to incorporate such amounts in its revenue forecasts in any future rate setting applications? If no, please explain why not.