

79 Wellington St. W., 30th Floor Box 270, TD South Tower Toronto, Ontario M5K 1N2 Canada P. 416.865.0040 | F. 416.865.7380

www.torys.com

Jonathan Myers jmyers@torys.com P. 416.865.7532

July 23, 2015

RESS, EMAIL & COURIER

Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Attention: Ms. K. Walli, Board Secretary

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Windlectric Inc. - Application for Leave to Construct Transmission Facilities (EB-2014-0300)

We are counsel to Windlectric Inc. ("Windlectric") in respect of its application for leave to construct transmission facilities (EB-2014-0300).

We write further to the July 23, 2015 letter filed on behalf of the Association to Protect Amherst Island ("APAI"). In its letter, APAI references Windlectric's Modification Report #4, as filed in May 2015 in connection with its Renewable Energy Approval process. APAI comments that, based on Page 2 of Appendix "B" of the report, it appears that there may be two different transmission routes for Windlectric's proposed transmission facilities.

Windlectric understands the relevant reference to be Appendix "B", Figure 2 (4 of 4). The legend refers to the Submarine Cable Path, Island Transmission Line, Mainland Option 1 and Mainland Option 2. The location shown for the Island Transmission Line is consistent with the leave to construct application. The location for the Submarine Cable Path shows three variations, one of which (the middle route) is consistent with the leave to construct application. The transmission line routing on the mainland also shows three variations (based on the three submarine cable landing points), one of which (the route running from the middle cable landing point directly up to the switching station) is consistent with the leave to construct application.

Notwithstanding that the referenced map depicts more than one variation for the transmission line route for the mainland portion of the project and more than one variation for the Submarine Cable Path, it continues to be Windlectric's intention to only pursue the one route option that is consistent with that which has been proposed in the application for leave to construct that is before the Ontario Energy Board.

Windlectric has continued to include the alternative routing in its Renewable Energy Approval application for purposes of consistency (the alternatives have long been included in the Renewable Energy Approval application) and out of an abundance of caution so that it would not have to amend its Renewable Energy Approval if it needs to pursue one of the alternatives.

Windlectric does not anticipate having to pursue one of the alternatives. However, if for unforeseen circumstances Windlectric does wish to pursue one of the alternative transmission line routes on the mainland portion of its project, and the corresponding variation of the submarine cable path, Windlectric acknowledges that prior to being granted leave to construct it would need to amend its application to the Board and, subsequent to being granted leave to construct it would need to advise the Board and potentially obtain prior approval from the Board if the Board determines such change to be material.

Yours truly, Jonathan Myers Tel 416.865.7532

jmyers@torys.com

CC:

Mr. A. Tsopelas, Windlectric Inc. Intervenors