|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Exh. J** | **Union Gas Limited****EB-2015-0029****Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.****EB-2015-0049****Technical Conference****DATE****July 6, 2015****Undertakings** | **Date Filed** |
|  |  |  |
| **JT1.1** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO LIEN TECHNICAL CONFERENCE QUESTIONS 2, 3, AND 4 UNDER THE ENBRIDGE HEADING** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.2****JT1.3** | **ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE WHAT PERCENTAGE OF LOW INCOME MULTI-RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN ENBRIDGE SERVICE TERRITORY HAVE THE AIR DUCT SYSTEM VERSUS THE WATER HEATING SYSTEM****ENBRIDGE TO RESEARCH ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS AND ADVISE WHY LIC RESIDENTIAL NEW CONSTRUCTION PROJECT SUBMISSION WAS UNSUCCESSFUL IN SECURING FUNDING SUPPORT FROM NRCAN** | **JULY 9, 2015****JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.4** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE FORECAST FOR THE 2016-2020 PERIOD, WITH OVERTIME AS A PERCENTAGE OF THE OVERHEAD BUDGET AND WHAT THE FORECAST IS IN ACTUAL NUMBERS FOR OVERTIME** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.5** | **ENBRIDGE TO SUMMARIZE THE OFFERINGS THAT DO NOT COUNT DIRECT AND MEASURABLE CCM AS THE TARGET METRIC, RE EXHIBIT I.T3.EGDI.CME.3 PART (B)** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.6** | **ENBRIDGE TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION IN RESPONSE TO EXHIBIT I.3.EGDI.VECC 9 (A) AND (B); ENBRIDGE TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE THE NUMBERS FOR THE CCM PROGRAM BUDGET** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.7** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS A RESPONSE TO TOPIC 13, GEC 1, PDF PAGES 811, QUESTION 1(E)** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.8****JT1.9****JT1.10****JT1.11****JT1.12****JT1.13****JT1.14****JT1.15****JT1.16** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS A RESPONSE TO TOPIC 13, GEC 1, PDF PAGES 811, QUESTION 1(G)****WITH REFERENCE TO TOPIC 3, GEC QUESTION 12, PAGE 293 OF THE PDF, ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ON A BEST EFFORTS BASIS, IN THE RESOURCE ACQUISITION, THE HISTORIC BREAKOUTS****ENBRIDGE TO CLARIFY THE ANSWER TO GEC 15, PART (A), SUB (IV)****ENBRIDGE TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE FURTHER INFORMATION ON THE MARKET SIZE AS STATED IN THE ANSWER TO GEC 15, PART (C), SUB (IV) AND (V)****TO LOOK AT SOURCE DOCUMENTS TO SEE IF THE ANSWER IN PART (E) IN THE TABLE CAN BE DISAGGREGATED BY THE NUMBER OF PROJECTS AND AVERAGE SQUARE FEET, PERHAPS, FOR THOSE PROJECTS THAT MEET THE CRITERIA OF 50,000 SQUARE FEET OR MORE****TO SPEAK WITH NAVIGANT TO SEE IF THEY COULD PROVIDE ANYTHING FURTHER ON THE SIZE OF THE MARKET AND THE BASE LINE MARKET SHARE****WITH REFERENCE TO TAB 5, GEC QUESTION 24, PART (B), TO ELABORATE ON WHAT THE CHALLENGES ARE AND WHY THEY COULDN'T BE OVERCOME****ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE MORE CLARITY AS TO WHAT IS GOING INTO THE AVOIDED GAS COSTS FOR THE NUMBERS SHOWN ON B-2-5, PAGE 3****TO CONNECT WITH NAVIGANT AND RESPOND ACCORDINGLY RE: AVOIDED COSTS REVIEWED IN EBRO 492 AND 495, AND TO BREAK DOWN BY LOAD TYPE THE TRANSMISSION COSTS, AND TO IDENTIFY WHAT PORTION OF THOSE AVOIDED ENERGY COSTS ARE IN FACT COMMODITY VERSUS WHAT PORTION ARE AVOIDED TRANSMISSION, CAPITAL INVESTMENTS** | **JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015****JULY 14, 2015****JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.17** | **TO PROVIDE A BREAKDOWN OF HOW THE VARIOUS PROJECTS WERE DEALT WITH, IN TERMS OF HOW MUCH OF EACH ACTUAL AND PROJECTED PROJECT THE COMPANY DECIDED WAS ATTRIBUTABLE TO LOAD GROWTH** | **JULY 14, 2015** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **JT1.18** | **ENBRIDGE TO VERIFY WHETHER OR NOT RATE 125 VOLUMES WERE INCLUDED WITH REFERENCE TO TABLE 2 OF EXHIBIT B, TAB 2, SCHEDULE 4, AT PAGE 3** | **JULY 10, 2015** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **JT1.19** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE PRESCRIPTIVE C&I PROGRAMS, MEASURE-BY-MEASURE REBATE LEVELS THAT ARE PROPOSED, THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE BUDGETS** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.20** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE SOME CLARIFICATION AS TO WHY TRANSPORTATION COSTS OR SOME OF THE LOAD SHAPES FALL SO DRAMATICALLY BY THE STORAGE COSTS FOR SPACE AND WATER HEATING. ALSO TO PROVIDE THE INPUTS THAT WENT INTO THE SUPPLY TRANSPORTATION AND STORAGE COLUMNS IN THIS COST.** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.21** | **ENBRIDGE TO AMEND THE RESPONSE TO PROVIDE MONTHLY PRICES RATHER THAN ANNUAL PRICES** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.22** | **ENBRIDGE TO EXPLAIN BETTER WHY THE STOCK TURNOVER WOULD LEAD TO SHRINKING NUMBERS OF UNITS TURNING OVER EACH YEAR** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.23** | **TO PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS OF RELEVANCE BETWEEN THE COMPANY AND NAVIGANT** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.23** | **ENBRIDGE TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE BREAKDOWN BETWEEN TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.24** | **PERCENT ALLOCATION MADE BETWEEN THE MERCHANT TRANSMISSION AND DISTRIBUTION** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.25** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO GEC IR 53 AND 54** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.26** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO GEC IR 55, THE CAPITAL BUDGETS FOR 2008 TO 2012** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.27** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO GEC IR 56** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.28** | **ENBRIDGE TO CLARIFY THE RESPONSE TO PART (C), INDICATING THAT THERE WAS AN ERROR IN THE DATA GIVEN TO NAVIGANT, IN TERMS OF THE REINFORCEMENT EXPENDITURES FILED 07/10** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.29** | **TO PROVIDE THE BREAKDOWN AND BASIS FOR THE REINFORCEMENT PROJECTS IN OTTAWA AND THE GTA.** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.30** | **TO PROVIDE THE DATE ON THE NYMEX FORECAST THAT WAS USED** | **JULY 17, 2015** |
| **JT1.31** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE DATE OF THE ANALYSIS, AND IF THE DATE OF THE ANALYSIS IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH ITS CURRENT OUTLOOK, TO EITHER IDENTIFY IF THERE WILL BE A MATERIAL DIFFERENCE OR RERUN IT WITH CURRENT PRICE FORECASTS IN PLACE** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.32** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A HYPOTHETICAL FORECAST OF PERCENTAGE REDUCTION, PLUS OR MINUS 10 PERCENT, IT WILL BE ABLE TO PROVIDE IF IT WERE NOT ABLE TO PROVIDE PART 3 LOW INCOME TO THE PRIVATE SECTOR BECAUSE THE CUSTOMERS AREN'T PAYING THEIR BILL DIRECTLY** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.33** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS POSTED BY ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE ON JULY 2ND, 2015** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.34** | **ENBRIDGE TO RESPOND TO QUESTIONS ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENCE WILL PROVIDE IN WRITING SHORTLY** | **JULY 17, 2015** |
| **JT1.35** | **WITH REFERENCE TO CCC IR T3.EGD.CCC.5, TO FURTHER BREAK DOWN THE CATEGORIES** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.36** | **TO PROVIDE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE EIGHT QUESTIONS FROM ENERGY PROBE** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.37** | **ENBRIDGE TO SUPPLY DOCUMENTS PREPARED FOR THE DEMAND SUPPLY WORKING GROUP** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.38** | **TO TAKE THE TABLES ON PAGES 2 AND 3 AND JUST ADD THE BUDGET LINE FOR EACH YEAR** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.39** | **TO EXPLAIN WHY EACH ONE OF THESE FIGURES WAS INCREASED THE AMOUNT THAT IT WAS AND WHAT THE MARKET BARRIER WAS THAT WAS IDENTIFIED THAT REQUIRED INCREASING IT** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.40** | **TO PROVIDE FIGURES FOR PRIOR YEARS, TEC PLUS CONSULTATIVE** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.41** | **ENBRIDGE TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO PROVIDE THE E-TOOL USED TO CALCULATE THE 325 MILLION CUBIC METRES OF SAVINGS** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.42** | **TO PROVIDE THE NUMBERS FOR THE BOILERS AND FILL IN ANY BLANKS THERE ARE UNDER THE UNITS COLUMN** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT1.43** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDCE THE MONEY SPENT ON RUN IT RIGHT AND CEM** | **JULY 14, 2015** |
| **JT1.44** | **TO PROVIDE THE 2013 AUDIT** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.45** | **ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE WHAT OTHER CONSIDERATIONS BESIDES PAYBACK WERE CONSIDERED IN THE DESIGN OF THE ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS TO MINIMIZE FREE RIDERSHIP** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT1.46** | **TO PROVIDE THE EXCERPT FROM THE AUDITOR REPORT RE: JURISDICTIONS** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
|  |  |  |
| **EXH. J** | **UNION GAS LIMITED****EB-2015-0029****ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.****EB-2015-0049****TECHNICAL CONFERENCE****DATE****JULY 7, 2015****UNDERTAKINGS** | **DATE FILED** |
| **JT2.1** | **TO FILE A COPY OF THE 2008 CUSTOM PROJECTS ATTRIBUTION STUDY** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.2** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE GROSS TRC NUMBER** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.3** | **TO PROVIDE SPECIFICS ON WHERE THE 30 NEW SINGLE-FAMILY OFFERINGS WILL BE LOCATED** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.4** | **TO PROVIDE THE COSTS OF LIABILITY INSURANCE THE DELIVERY AGENTS WOULD INCUR** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.5** | **UNION TO PROVIDE A COPY OF A EEA STUDY BY MR. SLOAN AND DR. LERNER** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.6** | **TO CLARIFY THE UNITS, WHETHER THEY ARE NOMINAL OR REAL; TO CLARIFY WHETHER THE COSTS ARE AVOIDED T&D OR JUST D** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.7** | **UNION TO DISAGGREGATE THE FIRST COLUMN OF COMMODITY ON PAGE 5, 6, AND 7 ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.8** | **ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS, UNION TO CONFIRM THE STORAGE COST OF 19 CENTS PER GIGAJOULE; TO INDICATE HOW THOSE DIFFERENT COMPONENTS OF STORAGE CHARGES WERE UTILIZED IN THE CALCULATION** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.9** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE SPREADSHEET THAT EXPLAINS THE CALCULATION ON PAGE 4 OF GEC.21** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.10** | **TO EXPLAIN HOW INTRA-MONTH VARIATION IS ACCOUNTED FOR IN THE SENDOUT MODEL** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.11** | **UNION TO PROVIDE SENDOUT MODEL OUTPUTS ON A BEST EFFORTS BASIS**  | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.12** | **TO CONFIRM WHETHER, WITHOUT THE 15 PERCENT NON-ENERGY BENEFIT ADDER, THE HOME RENO REBATE PROGRAM IS NOT TRC POSITIVE** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.13** | **UNION TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO CONFIRM THAT THE TABLE REFERRED TO CAPTURES ALL VOLUMES THAT ARE UTILIZED IN ONTARIO, EXCLUSIVE OF ENBRIDGE, AND DOES NOT CAPTURE VOLUMES WHICH WOULD LEAVE THE PROVINCE** | **JULY 9, 2015** |
| **JT2.14** | **UNION TO PROVIDE DOCUMENTATION SUPPORTING TARGETS IN AREAS OF PER-UNIT REBATES, TOTAL REBATES PER-MEASURE, AND NON-REBATE COSTS PER PROGRAM, UNLESS THAT HAS ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED ELSEWHERE** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.15** | **UNION TO USE BEST EFFORTS TO OBTAIN ANY MARKET SIZE DATA THAT MARBEK DEVELOPED OR RELIED ON IN PROVIDING THEIR EARLIER STUDY FOR UNION** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.16****JT2.16** | **UNION TO PROVIDE DATA IN A SINGLE EXCEL FILE FORMAT****(SUPPLEMENTAL): TO ADVISE THE ACTUAL AVERAGE PAID FOR 2012 TO 2014, AND BUDGETED AVERAGE TO BE PAID IN 2016 TO 2020** | **JULY 10, 2015****JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.17** | **UNION TO REPLICATE TABLE 1 BUT SPLIT OUT WHAT PORTION IS O&M REPAIR AND WHAT PORTION IS O&M REPLACEMENT** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.18** | **TO ADVISE WHERE THE PRINCIPLE CAME FROM** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.19** | **TO PROVIDE THE SPECIFIC PROGRAM AREAS BEING FOCUSED ON AT THE DISCUSSIONS** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.20** | **TO CONFIRM NUMBER OF SCHOOLS IN SCHOOL BOARDS** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.21** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE PROPOSAL FOR THE SPECIFIC MECHANICS OF THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS CARRYOVER** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.22** | **TO PROVIDE ANSWERS TO THE QUESTIONS IN MR. SHEPHERD'S LETTER** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
| **JT2.23** | **UNION TO ADVISE HOW THEY SCREEN OUR FREE RIDERS FROM THE RPROGRAMS** | **JULY 10, 2015** |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **EXH. J** | **UNION GAS LIMITED****EB-2015-0029****ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.****EB-2015-0049****TECHNICAL CONFERENCE****DATE****AUGUST 17, 2015****UNDERTAKINGS** | **DATE FILED** |
| **JT3.1** | **GEC TO PROVIDE (A) THE AVERAGE DSM ANNUAL SAVINGS FOR 2015-2020 TO BE THE ANNUAL CUBIC METRE M3 AMOUNT; (B) THE COST/CREDIT BASED ON A REMAINING LIFETIME IN 2020 OF 14 YEARS (THAT ALSO MEANS NO ASSUMPTION ABOUT HIGHER SAVINGS DSM PROGRAMS POST 2020); (C) THE SYNAPSE LOW PRICE FORECAST FROM TABLE 4 ON PAGE 31 OF THEIR MARCH 2015 REPORT**  | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.2** | **GEC TO PROVIDE ANY AND ALL ADDITIONAL SOURCES THAT MR. CHERNICK LOOKED AT TO SUBSTANTIATE THE 53.1 KILOGRAMS PER MMBTU VALUE THAT HE CITES THE EIA REPORT FOR** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.3** | **GEC TO PROVIDE THE ACTUAL CONVERSION FACTOR USED FROM THE SYNAPSE SHORT TONS TO METRIC TONNES** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.4** | **GEC TO PROVIDE THE STANDARD DEVIATION FOR THE COEFFICIENT FOR 0.1502 ON FIGURE 2** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.5** | **GEC TO RE-ESTIMATE THE EQUATION ON FIGURE 2 USING THE DSM-RELATED CASES** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.6** | **GEC TO CONFIRM 850-BILLION M-CUBED FOR NORTH AMERICAN GAS CONSUMPTION** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.7** | **GEC TO CALCULATE THE ELASTICITY COMPARED TO THE AVERAGE CONSUMPTION AND AVERAGE PRICE IN ALL OF THOSE CASES, SO CONVERT THE .1502 VALUE TO INVERSE ELASTICITY** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.8** | **GEC TO CALCULATE THE IMPACT USING THE NORTH AMERICAN MARKET** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.9** | **GEC TO PROVIDE THE NUMBER FROM QUAD TO 10 TO THE NINE CUBIC METRES; ALSO, TO CONFIRM THE EXCHANGE RATE OR THE CONVERSION RATE TO CANADIAN DOLLARS, INCLUDING THE YEAR'S INFLATION** | **AUG. , 2015** |
| **JT3.10** | **OSEA TO REPRODUCE THE QUESTION AS ASKED IN ITS ENTIRETY, WITH THE FULLNESS OF THE CHARTS THAT WERE ASKED FOR SPECIFICALLY** | **AUG. 25, 2015** |
| **JT3.11** | **OSEA TO PROVIDE PINPOINT REFERENCES TO THOSE REPORTS THAT ARE BEING RELIED UPON; AND TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION IN THREE FINAL COLUMNS** | **AUG. 25, 2015** |
| **JT3.12** | **OSEA TO PROVIDE AN EQUIPMENT LIST** | **AUG. 25, 2015** |
| **JT3.13** | **OSEA TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION FOR A COST OF $12 BILLION TO CONVER 8,000 MEGAWATTS OF POWER TO CHP USING THE EXISTING NATURAL GAS DEMAND AND PRODUCING ELECTRICITY WITH THAT, BASED ON DATA FROM THE CHP HANDBOOK** | **AUG. 25 , 2015** |

**UNION GAS LIMITED**

**EB-2015-0029**

**ENBRIDGE GAS DISTRIBUTION INC.**

**EB-2015-0049**

**TECHNICAL CONFERENCE**

**DATE**

**AUGUST 18, 2015**
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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **JT4.1** | **TO DETERMINE WHETHER ANY OF THE SYNAPSE TEAM REVIEWED ORDER IN COUNCIL 467/2014 IN DEVELOPING ITS RECOMMENDATIONS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.2** | **TO ADVISE WHY, GIVEN THAT SYNAPSE HAS NOT REVIEWED FINANCING OPTIONS IN DETAIL IN ONTARIO AND GIVEN THAT UNION HAS DONE A STUDY AS PART OF THEIR EVIDENCE THAT SUGGESTS THAT THEIR CUSTOMERS, AT LEAST, DO NOT THINK THAT FINANCING IS A HIGH PRIORITY, WHY HAS SYNAPSE COME FORWARD WITH A PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A WORKING GROUP ON UTILITY FINANCING OF RETROFITS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.3** | **TO REVIEW AND COMMENT ON M.GEC.1.EP.5** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.4** | **TO EXAMINE FURTHER ENERGY PROBE'S REQUESTS FOR ANALYSIS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.5** | **TO ADVISE WHAT LITERATURE OR MATERIALS DID SYNAPSE REVIEW, WHAT RESEARCH DID SYNAPSE UNDERTAKE, ABOUT THE HISTORY OF LOW-INCOME DSM PROGRAMMING OFFERED BY UNION AND ENBRIDGE IN ONTARIO OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.6** | **TO ADVISE SYNAPSE'S AWARENESS OF THE LOW-INCOME WORKING GROUP AND THE DISCUSSIONS THAT WERE HAD WITHIN THAT GROUP BETWEEN STAKEHOLDERS, INTERVENORS, AND THE COMPANIES OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS WHEN DISCUSSING DSM AND THE PROGRAMMING AND LOW-INCOME CUSTOMER NEEDS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.7** | **TO ADVISE WHETHER SYNAPSE REQUESTED ANY SPECIFIC MATERIALS ABOUT LOW-INCOME DSM BEFORE PREPARING ITS REPORT AND, IF THERE WAS A REQUEST, TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION ABOUT THE DISCUSSION OR THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OR WHATEVER THERE MAY BE** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.8** | **TO CONFIRM IF THERE IS ANYTHING IN ADDITION TO WHAT APPEARS IN ENBRIDGE INTERROGATORY 3, WITH RESPECT TO LOW INCOME MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS AND LOW-INCOME NEW CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.9** | **TO CONFIRM WHETHER UNION'S PROPOSAL TO PILOT OR DEMO THE MARKET RATE PART OF ITS MULTI-RES PROGRAM THIS YEAR AND THEN LAUNCH IT NEXT YEAR MAKES GOOD SENSE AND FITS WITHIN SYNAPSE'S RECOMMENDATIONS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.10** | **TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO LIEN'S INTERROGATORY NO. 1; TO ADVISE WHAT LOCAL CONDITION OR LOW INCOME CUSTOMER-SPECIFIC INFORMATION FOR UNION'S TERRITORY FORMS THE BASIS FOR SYNAPSE'S RECOMMENDATION THAT UNION OFFER A SIMILAR NEW CONSTRUCTION LOW INCOME OFFERING TO THAT THAT ENBRIDGE IS OFFERING AS A PILOT** | **AUG. 28 , 2015** |
| **JT4.11** | **TO REVIEW UNION'S FURNACE END OF LIFE UPGRADE PROGRAM OR OFFERING AND UNION'S HOME WEATHERIZATION OFFERING AND ADVISE WHETHER SYNAPSE CONSIDERS THEM TO BE INCREMENTAL RATHER THAN DUPLICATIVE** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.12** | **SYNAPSE TO PROVIDE ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RELATED TO DIRECT LARGE-VOLUME CUSTOMER ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION MEASURES SYNAPSE HAS DIRECTLY DONE, OR BEEN INVOLVED WITH** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.13** | **TO PROVIDE EXAMPLES OF SOME OF THE LOWER INTEREST RATES IN ON-BILL FINANCING PROGRAMS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.14** | **TO REVIEW THE MANITOBA PROGRAM AND PROVIDE SOME THOUGHTS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.15** | **TO DESCRIBE MECHANISMS IN WHICH THE SHAREHOLDER INCENTIVE COULD BE TWEAKED TO GIVE UTILITIES A FINANCIAL INCENTIVE TO PROPOSE DSM PLANS THAT ARE AS AGGRESSIVE AS POSSIBLE IN TERMS OF GAS SAVINGS** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.16** | **IF CONFIDENTIALITY ALLOWS, SYNAPSE TO FILE A COPY OF A DOCUMENT PREPARED WITH RESPECT TO LARGE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS WHO WERE REQUESTING RELIEF FROM EFFICIENCY SURCHARGES IN NEW JERSEY** | **AUG. 28 , 2015** |
| **JT4.17** | **SYNAPSE TO PROVIDE ITS VIEW ON WHETHER UNION'S TARGETS ARE CREDIBLE** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |
| **JT4.18** | **SYNAPSE TO CONFIRM THAT THEY ARE NOT AWARE OF ANY NATURAL GAS UTILITIES THAT CURRENTLY USE DSM PROGRAMS TO AVOID OR DEFER NATURAL GAS TRANSPORTATION AND DISTRIBUTION INVESTMENTS, AND THAT'S WHY NO LIST WAS DEVELOPED; (B) IN THE EVENT THAT THERE ARE GAS UTILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES THAT HAVE UNDERTAKEN OR USED DSM PROGRAMS TO AVOID OR DEFER NATURAL GAS INFRASTRUCTURE SPENDING, TO DESCRIBE THEIR UNDERSTANDING AS TO ITS APPLICABILITY HERE; (C) TO ADVISE WHY, IF THERE ARE UTILITIES THAT DO SO, WHY IT'S NOT SET OUT IN THE REPORT** | **AUG. 28, 2015** |