|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Exh.J** | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 19, 2015**  **Undertakings** | **Updated:**  **Sept 15 /15** |
| **J1.1** | **UNION TO TAKE THE VALUES IN THE 1997 ICF STUDY FOR AVOIDANCE OF WHAT WE'LL CALL LOCAL TRANSMISSION, EXPRESS IT IN 2015 DOLLARS, AND EXPRESS THOSE VALUES AS A PERCENT OF UNION'S COMMODITY AVOIDED COSTS** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J1.2** | **UNION TO ESTIMATE THE AVERAGE COST PER CUBIC METRE ASSOCIATED WITH THAT 15 PERCENT UPLIFT BUILT INTO UNION'S TRC CALCULATIONS** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J1.3** | **UNION TO PROVIDE A CONVERSION OF THE CARBON PRICES IN THE TABLE ON PAGE 20 OF THE GEC COMPENDIUM INTO EQUIVALENT PER CUBIC METRE COSTS** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J1.4** | **UNION TO INFORM HOW ACCURATE THE SENDOUT MODEL WOULD HAVE BEEN IF INFLATION AND COMMODITY TYPE ADJUSTMENTS HAD BEEN APPLIED** | **Aug 27/15** |
| **J1.5** | **UNION USE HISTORICAL PROGRAM LEVELS IN PLACE OF 2 PERCENT ADDER AND CALCULATE TRC TO 2016 AND ALL 5 YEARS** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J1.6** | **TO EXPLAIN THE BALANCING MECHANIC AND/OR INFORM AS TO WHERE IT IS** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J1.7** | **UNION TO CONFIRM WHETHER IT HAS DATA ON DIRECT INSTALLS VERSUS SELF OR OTHERS LIKE IT** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J1.8** | **(A) UNION TO ESTIMATE TRC OR PAC OR TOTAL RESIDENTIAL RATE IMPACT -- IN OTHER WORDS, COST EFFECTIVENESS OF INSTALLING FAUCET AERATORS; (B) UNION TO CONFIRM WHEN IT DOES ITS COST EFFECTIVENESS TEST WHETHER, IN UNION'S VIEW, IT IS COST EFFECTIVE AS PART OF THAT ANALYSIS** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J1.9** | **TO PROVIDE NUMBERS WITH CAVEATS AROUND HOW THOSE NUMBERS WERE ARRIVED AT** | **Aug 27/15** |
| **J1.10** | **TO CONFIRM THE PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE BUILDING STOCK THAT WOULD BE ELIGIBLE FOR A NOVATHERM PANEL** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J1.11** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE PROACTIVE STEPS THAT MAY BE TAKEN IN THIS AREA, WHAT ASSUMPTIONS HAVE BEEN MADE, POSSIBLY TESTING THOSE ASSUMPTIONS IN OR OUTSIDE OF THIS PROCEEDING, MOVING FORWARD** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J1.12** | **UNION TO ADVISE THE OVERALL TRC IF ESKS WERE REMOVED FROM THE RESIDENTIAL PROGRAM OFFERING** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 20, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J2.1** | **UNION TO REVIEW SCHEDULE A1 OF THE SYNAPSE RECOMMENDATIONS AND IDENTIFY WHICH RECOMMENDATIONS, IF ANY, UNION AGREES WITH; TO INCLUDE A SENTENCE OR TWO AS TO WHY UNION DISAGREES WITH ANY RECOMMENDATIONS** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J2.2** | **TO MAKE BEST EFFORTS TO TRACK DOWN DATA FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS RE: HOW MANY COMMERCIAL NEW ATTACHMENTS IN A YEAR** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J2.3** | **WITH REFERENCE TO EXHIBIT B, T3, UNION.CCC.9, TO PROVIDE AN UPDATE OF THE YEAR-TO-DATE SPENDING** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J2.4** | **TO PROVIDE THE ELEMENT OF THE COMMERCIAL BUDGET THAT'S BEING FUNDED THROUGH RATE 1 AND M1 AND THAT RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS ARE ALSO PAYING FOR** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J2.5** | **TO EXPLAIN HOW THE COST EFFICIENCY INCENTIVE WOULD WORK THROUGH THE DSM VA** | **Aug. 21, 2015** |
|  |  |  |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 21, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J3.1** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE CALCULATION TABLE THAT SHOWS THE TARGET CALCULATION BASED ON INPUT ASSUMPTIONS** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J3.2** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF LOW-INCOME PROJECTS IN 2013** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J3.3** | **UNION TO ADVISE WHETHER RE: THE AVERAGE PAYBACK FOR CUSTOM PROJECTS ON THIS LIST ON PAGE 30 IF IT IS TRUE THAT THEY BROUGHT IT DOWN WITH THEIR INCENTIVES ON AVERAGE TO FOUR YEARS, JUST OVER FOUR YEARS** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J3.4** | **WITH REFERENCE TO PROJECTS LISTED ON PAGE 32 OF EXHIBIT K2.4, UNION TO ADVISE THE AMOUNT THE 2013 INCENTIVE WOULD HAVE BEEN REDUCED IN DOLLARS IF THE LARGE VOLUME PROJECTS WITH LESS THAN ONE YEAR PAYBACK HAD BEEN EXCLUDED** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J3.5** | **UNION TO ADVISE WHETHER THE RANGE OF INCENTIVE LEVEL FOR INFRARED HEATING UNITS IS INCREASED TO 300 TO 400 BECAUSE NEW QUALIFIED UNITS ARE BEING ADDED OR BECAUSE MORE WILL BE PAID FOR SIMILAR UNITS** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J3.6** | **(A) UNION TO PROVIDE A CHART OF COMPARABLE INCENTIVES FOR VARIOUS FACTORS THROUGHOUT NORTH AMERICA; AND (B) TO ADVISE THE UPLIFT IN M-CUBEDS DUE TO THE INCREASE IN INCENTIVES** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J3.7** | **UNION TO CONFIRM THE HOME RENO REBATE PROGRAM TARGET FOR 2015 IS 1,245 PARTICIPANTS** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J3.8** | **UNION TO PROVIDE A TECHNICAL RESPONSE RE: AIR SEALING** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J3.9** | **UNION TO PROVIDE A CHART OF WHAT MEASURES ARE BEING IMPLEMENTED IN THE HOME RENO REBATE PROGRAM AND WHAT ARE FORECAST TO BE IMPLEMENTED GOING FORWARD** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J3.10** | **UNION TO ADVISE HOW MANY HIT THE 2,500 CAP IN 2014** | **Aug. 24, 2015** |
| **J3.11** | **UNION TO PROVIDE THE REFERENCE IN THE RUNSMART PROGRAM WHERE "NON-PARTICIPATION" IS DEFINED AS 24 MONTHS OF NON-PARTICIPATION** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J3.12** | **UNION TO UPDATE THE SPREADSHEET ON PAGE 73 OF THE ENERGY TOOLS STUDY WITH 2014 ACTUAL DATA** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J3.13** | **UNION TO PROVIDE ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS A SIMILAR TABLE FOR EACH OF THE 2016 THROUGH 2020 YEARS, BASED ON CURRENT FORECAST** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J3.14** | **UNION TO CALCULATE ON A BEST-EFFORTS BASIS WEATHER-ADJUSTED FIGURES FOR 2014 AVERAGE RESIDENTIAL CONSUMPTION** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J3.15** | **UNION TO PROVIDE ITS ANALYSIS OF THE COST EFFECTIVENESS OF A HOLISTIC LOW-INCOME PROGRAM, WITH ESTIMATES OF POTENTIAL GAS SAVINGS** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J3.16** | **UNION TO ADVISE WHETHER THE FULL 450,000 AMOUNT OR A PORTION OF IT IS REQUIRED TO INTEGRATE CONTENT INTO ITS MY ACCOUNT PORTAL** | **Aug 27/15** |
| **J3.17** | **UNION TO TRANSLATE THE AMOUNT OF THE 2.6 BILLION TO RATE MAKING EFFECTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED TO CUSTOMERS SINCE 1997** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J3.18** | **UNION TO ADDRESS MEMBER FRANK'S QUERIES** | **Aug 28/15** |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 24, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J4.1** | **TO PROVIDE WHAT PERCENTAGE OF BUSINESS IS FROM LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMERS ON A VOLUMETRIC BASIS, OR TO PROVIDE WHERE THAT APPEARS IN THE EVIDENCE** |  |
| **J4.2** | **TO ADVISE IF UNION'S CONCERNS ABOUT THE NAVIGANT REPORT ARE DOCUMENTED ANYWHERE OTHER THAN IN UNION'S ARGUMENT AND TO PROVIDE THAT DOCUMENTATION** | **Aug. 27/15** |
| **J4.3** | **UNION TO PRODUCE ANY DATA ON WHAT PERCENTAGE ON AVERAGE OF THE BUDGET FOR AN EFFICIENCY PROJECT IS DEFRAYED FOR LARGE INDUSTRIAL CUSTOMERS IN THE T1 RATE CLASS BY DSM PROGRAMMING** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J4.4** | **UNION TO GIVE ITS EXPERT VIEW ON THE OPINIONS QUOTED AT PAGE 42, AT PAGE 55, AND THE TWO-PARAGRAPH SECTION ON PAGE 23 OF THE IGUA COMPENDIUM** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J4.5** | **UNION TO ADVISE HOW MANY OF THE GAS-FIRED GENERATOR CUSTOMERS ARE IN RATE CLASS T2 AND 100 AND HOW MANY ARE CO-GENERATORS** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J4.6** | **UNION TO IDENTIFY THE NUMBER OF POWER PRODUCERS THAT ARE CONTAINED IN THE SURVEY IN ATTACHMENT A** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
| **J4.7** | **UNION TO ADVISE, OF THE LARGE VOLUME CUSTOMER BUDGET THAT IS NOT SELF-DIRECTABLE, HOW MUCH IS FOR THE LOW-INCOME VERSUS ADMIN COSTS** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J4.8** | **UNION TO ADVISE THE SUM OF THE FIRST FULL YEAR ANNUAL SAVINGS FROM THE LAST TEN YEARS OF YOUR DSM PROGRAMS IN CUBIC METRES AS COMPARED TO 2014 THROUGHPUT** | **Aug. 26, 2015** |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 25, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J5.1** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A TRC NET BENEFIT NUMBER FOR 2015** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J5.2** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ANY UPDATED INFORMATION/DOCUMENTATION THAT IT HAS REGARDING REGULATIONS FOR GAS DISTRIBUTORS TO OBTAIN ALLOCATIONS FOR THE CARBON ASSOCIATED WITH THE BALANCE OF GAS THEY DISTRIBUTE, ANY MECHANISMS FOR EARLY ACTION, AND ONTARIO LINKING WITH QUEBEC AND CALIFORNIA'S CARBON REGULATIONS** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J5.3** | **ENBRIDGE TO DO A COMPARABLE UNDERTAKING AS UNION HAS ACCEPTED, TO REVIEW THE SYNAPSE RECOMMENDATIONS AND GIVE A QUICK SYNOPSIS OF WHAT YOU AGREE WITH AND WHAT YOU DON'T AGREE WITH AND WHY** | **Sept 1/15**  **Corr. Sept. 4/15** |
| **J5.4** | **ENBRIDGE PROVIDE A ONE-PARAGRAPH SUMMARY AS TO WHAT IS BEING UNDERTAKEN AND WHAT THE BENEFITS WOULD BE AND WHAT WOULD BE CONTEMPLATED MOVING FORWARD ON AN ANNUALIZED BASIS, TO PRESENT A SUCCINCT SAMPLE REPORT OF WHAT WOULD BE REPORTED ON AND WHAT WOULD BE AVAILABLE, AND WHAT THE BENEFITS WOULD BE** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J5.5A** | **TO PROVIDE THE LATEST UPDATE TO THE 2015 YEAR-TO-DATE NUMBERS** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J5.5B** | **TO LOOK AT THE IMPACT OF THE CHANGE IN HEC PARTICIPATION FROM '15 TO '16 AND WHAT THAT GENERATES IN TERMS OF A VALUE FOR CCM** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J5.6** | **ENBRIDGE TO ILLUSTRATE HOW THE DSM CEIDA ACCOUNT WOULD OPERATE IN 2015/2016, USING ED SCENARIOS** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J5.7** | **ENBRIDGE TO IDENTIFY WHERE IN THE APPLICATION RING-FENCING OF THE INNOVATION AND COLLABORATION FUND IS DISCUSSED; IF NOT IN THE APPLICATION, TO CONFIRM THAT THE RING-FENCING IS AN AMENDMENT TO THE APPLICATION** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J5.8** | **ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE WHAT POLICY OR DECISION THE BOARD COULD PROVIDE THAT WOULD FACILITATE COLLABORATION WITH LDCS** | **Sept 3/15** |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 26, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J6.1** | **ENBBRIDGE TO ADVISE OF THE AMOUNTS TO PAY IN THE CURRENT PERIOD, THE 2016 TO 2020 PERIOD, FROM PAST COMMITMENTS, HOW MUCH OF THAT WAS BUDGETED BUT NOT SPENT IN PRIOR YEARS** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J6.2** | **ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE IF THERE IS ANYTHING THAT ISN'T WITHIN SCOPE of the midterm review THAT SHOULD BE APPROVED NOW FOR THE SIX-YEAR PERIOD** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J6.3** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE WHAT IT IS SEEKING APPROVAL FOR THAT WOULD BE ALLOCATED TO RATE 1, ASSUMING THE 150 PERCENT ACHIEVEMENT -- THE MAXIMUM DSM INCENTIVE AND THE 15 PERCENT, THE MAXIMUM SCENARIO** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J6.4** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ITS CURRENT OUTLOOK FOR COST AND RATE ALLOCATION** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J6.5** | **ENBRIDGE TO RESPOND TO MR. NEME'S RECOMMENDATIONS** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J6.6** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE, WITH RESPECT TO THE FIVE PROGRAMS, A ROUGH NOTION OF WHAT PERCENTAGE OF THE BUDGET THEY CONSTITUTE** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J6.7** | **ENBRIDGE TO THINK OF OTHER WAYS TO SPREAD OUT THE COST OF DSM SPENDINGIN TIME TO MORE CLOSELY MATCH THE BENEFITS THAT ACCRUE TO CUSTOMERS, AND TO MORE CLOSELY MATCH THE TREATMENT OF SUPPLY SIDE INVESTMENTS** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J6.8** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ANY COMMUNICATIONS RELATED TO ON-BILL FINANCING FROM THE MINISTRY OF ENERGY** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J6.9** | **ENBRIDGE TO ADVISE WHEN WOULD BE THE EARLIEST TIME THAT IT COULD HAVE A PROPOSAL FOR ON-BILL FINANCING TO THE BOARD, AND WHEN THE EARLIEST WOULD BE THAT THIS PROGRAM COULD BE IMPLEMENTED** | **Aug 28/15** |
| **J6.10** | **ENBRIDGE TO ENDEAVOUR TO PREPARE A DOCUMENT SIMILAR TO UNION'S EXHIBIT A, TAB 3, APPENDIX A, SCHEDULE 4** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J6.11** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A RESPONSE TO MEMBER FRANK'S SERIES OF QUESTIONS ON ON-BILL FINANCING** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J6.12** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A COMPLETE LIST OF CALENDARIZATION** | **Sept 3/15** |
|  | **Union Gas Limited**  **EB-2015-0029**  **Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.**  **EB-2015-0049**  **Oral Hearing**  **August 27, 2015**  **Undertakings** |  |
| **J7.1** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE NUBMER OF NETWORKS** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J7.2** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE BASIS DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN DAWN AND HENRY HUB** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J7.3** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE ITS COMMENTS ON THE AVOIDED CARBON COST ANALYSIS PROVIDED IN L.GEC.1, TABLE 3 OF MR. NEME'S EVIDENCE, AND IN DOING SO PUT THAT INTO CONTEXT OF THE SYNAPSE REPORT IN THE FORECAST, AND INTO THE CONTEXT OF ENBRIDGE'S CALCULATION IN ITS EXHIBIT K5.1** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J7.4** | **ENBRIDGE TO COMMENT ON THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE RE: THE DIFFERENTIAL BETWEEN THE AVOIDED COST AND AVERAGE COMMODITY COST FOR THE THREE LOAD SHAPES THAT ARE LISTED** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J7.5** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE A BIBLIOGRAPHY RELATED TO THE PROGRAM** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J7.6** | **ENBRIDGE TO PROVIDE THE PRESENTATION TO SHAREHOLDERS** | **Sept 3/15** |
|  |  |  |
| **J8.1** | **Enbridge To Provide Its Response To Undertaking No. JT4.4** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J8.2** | **To Provide A Breakdown Of Costs For Data Analytics And Printed Mail For The New Web Portal** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J8.3** | **Enbridge To Provide Technical Documentation That Describes What OPower Does And How They Do It, Including Information Related To The Establishment Of Test And Control Groups** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.4** | **Enbridge To Provide Results From The Two-15 Pilot With OPower** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.5** | **Enbridge To Advise Whether There Is A Penalty For Early Termination** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J8.6** | **Enbridge To Advise The Performance Measurement Criteria For The OPower Programs** | **Sept.1/15** |
| **J8.7** | **To Provide The Maximum Shareholder Incentive Available If The 2016 Target For This Program Is Achieved** | **Sept. 1/15** |
| **J8.8** | **To Provide What The Maximum Shareholder Incentive Is For This Program** | **Sept 1/15** |
| **J8.9** | **Enbridge To Provide An Estimate Of Whether The Program Would Perform Any Better On A TRC Plus Test** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.10** | **Enbridge To Confirm Whether Low Income Multi-Res And Home Winter-Proofing Are Or Are Not Cost Effective In The Sense That They Would Pass A TRC Plus Test, But They Are Cost Effective In A Broader Context Of The Level Of Cost Effectiveness That's Required For Low Income, On A Program-By-Program Basis** | **Aug 31/15** |
| **J8.11** | **Enbridge To File The Budget Proposed To Management** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.12** | **With Reference To Exhibit K8.1, Page 31 Of 36, Enbridge To Ask Its Consultant To Add Two More Columns To That, The First Column Being "Marginal Acquisition Cost Per CCM", And The Second Additional Column Being "Marginal TRC Ratio."** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.13** | **Enbridge To Provide The Size Of Its Target Market When It Had These Criteria, And The Size Of The Target Market Now** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.14** | **Enbridge To Advise Whether The Table At Page 36 OF The VECC Compendium Includes Overheads** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.15** | **With Reference To Page 22 Of The VECC Compendium, Enbridge To Provide The Cost Per CCM on The Same Basis That Union Has Provided It** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J8.16** | **Enbridge To Provide A Copy Of The Program Literature** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J8.17** | **To Confirm That The Dollars Per CCM For Its "Home Health Record" Program Is Calculated On The Same Basis As Shown In The Union Response To SEC Interrogatory 31.** | **Sept 3/15** |
|  |  |  |
| **J9.1** | **To Advise Whether Low-Income Participants Were Surveyed In Order To Get An Apples-To-Apples Comparison With Union** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.2** | **Enbridge To Provide Further Information, Further To The Last Undertaking About 2012 Participant Results, About Aerators In The Low-Income Context** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.3** | **Enbridge To Make Best Efforts To Ask Its Delivery Agents Or Agent, The Ones Who Would Be Doing The Installation Of These, Leaving Behind Or Actually Installation If They Were To Do Direct Install, Whether Their Current Liability Insurance Would Cover Direct Installs Of Aerators** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.4** | **Enbridge To Calculate Incremental Costs And Advise Whether The Direct Install Of The Aerators Still Makes The Single Family Offering Cost Effective** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.5** | **Enbridge To Advise Whether It Will Agree To, As A Screening Eligibility Criterion, As Part Of The Screening Process, To Require That There Is At Least One Measure Implemented That Provides These Direct Benefits, Comfort -- Improved Comfort To Low-Income Tenants, In Order For That Building To Be Eligible For The Multi-Res Low-Income Program** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J9.6** | **Enbridge To Provide A Sample Of These Individualized Reports** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.7** | **Enbridge To Provide The Number Of Customers In Each Of Rates 110, 115, And 170 That Would Be In The 10 Million Cubic Metres Per Year, 25 Cubic Metres Per Year, And 50 Million Cubic Metres Per Year And Up Categories** | **Sept 3/15** |
| **J9.8** | **Enbridge To Provide The DSM budgets Versus Spending Caps That Underlied The Rates 110, 115 And 170 DSM Allocations In 2013 And 2014, The Two Years That Were Subject To The Settled Rate Caps For Those Classes; To Assess The Degree To Which The Spending Caps Exceeded The Budgets Which Enbridge Has Said They've Been Able To Operate Within Satisfactorily, At Least To Date** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J9.9** | **Enbridge To Provide The Allocations From Each Of Those Programs To Each Rate Class** | **Sept. 4/15** |
| **J9.10** | **Enbridge To Provide Information On The Incremental Cost Of The Project That Relates To The Energy-Efficiency Measure.** | **Sept 3/15** |
|  |  |  |
| **J10.1** | **GEC To Provide An Opinion On Why Massachussetts Does Not Spend More On C&I Programs Given They Have An "All Cost Effective DSM" Directive** | **Sept. 8/15** |
| **J10.2** | **GEC To Confirm Whether Rhode Island Is Under A Regulatory Regime That Requires The Achievement Of All Cost-Effective DSM** | **Sept. 8/15** |
| **J10.3** | **GEC To Calculate The Percentage Difference Between The Average DSM Savings Of Ontario And Of The Leading North American Jurisdictions** | **Sept. 9/15** |
| **J10.4** | **GEC To Update Table 3 Row 1 Based On The Current Carbon Prices In California And Quebec, And Row 4 Being The Avoided Distribution Costs That The Utilities Have Accepted** | **Sept. 8/15** |
| **J10.5** | **GEC To Provide Amortized Bill Impacts, Both Including And Excluding Carbon Cost** | **Sept. 9/15** |
| **J10.6** | **To Compare The Balance Between The CI And Residential Spend And Targets For Ontario And Massachusetts For Enbridge** | **Sept. 8/15** |
|  |  |  |
| **J12.1** | **To Provide Mr. Brett With The Requested Web Link To The Study Commissioned By Massachusetts Department Of Energy Resources.** | **Sept 4/15** |
| **J12.2** | **OEB Staff Panel To Fill Out The Table That Energy Probe Provided With Its Compendium.** | **Sept 4/15** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |