

August 27, 2015

#### BY COURIER & RESS

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board Suite 2700, 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

#### RE: EB-2014-0182 – Union Gas Limited ("Union") – Burlington Oakville Project – Reply Evidence Interrogatory Responses

Dear Ms. Walli,

Please find attached Union's responses to the interrogatories received on its Reply evidence (dated July 31, 2015) in the above noted case. These responses will be filed in RESS and copies will be sent to the Board. Union notes that the School Energy Coalition ("SEC") numbered its interrogatories beginning at question 6, and Union has maintained this numbering sequence.

The attached includes responses to all interrogatories with the exception of questions 6 and 12 as submitted by the Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO"). These responses require system planning modeling that could not be completed in time to meet the August 27, 2015 deadline. Union will file these responses by September 1, 2015.

In Union's view this minor delay should have no impact on the remaining procedural dates highlighted in the Board's Procedural Order No.5 dated August 13, 2015. As directed, Union will file its Argument-in-Chief September 10, 2015.

If you have any questions with respect to this submission please contact me at 519-436-5334.

Yours truly,

[original signed by]

Vanessa Innis Manager, Regulatory Initiatives

Encl.

cc: Zora Crnojacki, Board staff Mark Kitchen, Union Gas Charles Keizer, Torys All Intervenors (EB-2014-0182)

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.1 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

## Answer to Interrogatory from <u>Board Staff</u>

#### Reference: Union's Reply Evidence, Exhibit C /page 2/ lines 14-18

In the event that the Burlington-Oakville project is not approved, what would be the implications, financial or otherwise of Union's proposed plan to contract for capacity on the NEXUS pipeline?

#### **Response**:

The need for the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline <u>is independent</u> of Union's plan to contract for capacity on the NEXUS pipeline. As stated at Exhibit C, page 2, lines 11-12, "...supply is not the issue that Union is addressing through the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline." The proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is simply a reinforcement of the high pressure distribution system that is currently being served in part through contracted third party services and that serves a rapidly growing market.

As noted at Exhibit A, Tab 7, page 16, the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline provides the required capacity to meet the long term design day demands of the Burlington Oakville System at the lowest cost to Union's ratepayers.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.2 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

## Answer to Interrogatory from <u>Board Staff</u>

Reference: Union's Reply Evidence, Exhibit C/ page 3/ lines 10-11 and Exhibit C/ page 16 / lines 8-9

- <u>Preamble</u>: Union stated that the Alternative Proposal does not align with Union's Gas planning principles it would move up to 77% of Union's upstream transportation and supply portfolio away from Dawn. Union indicated that it purchases approximately 360 TJ/d of gas supply for its Union South sales service portfolio (based on average day).
- a) In calculating the 77% number, did Union take the peak day requirement for Burlington-Oakville of 276 TJ/d and divided it by 360 TJ/d?
- b) If yes, please re-calculate the percentage by using the average day requirement for Burlington-Oakville of 94 TJ/d and dividing it by the 360 TJ/d or take the 276 TJ/d of peak day demand for Burlington-Oakville and dividing it by the corresponding peak day demand for Union South's sales service.

#### **Response**:

- a) Yes. Paragraph 14 of the evidence of Ms. Aggie Cheung (dated June 29, 2015) states that Union could serve 100% of the Burlington Oakville System demand (276 TJ/d) through the TransCanada system from Niagara and paragraph 21 states that Union could purchase up to a total of 276 TJ/d from Niagara to the Burlington Oakville area by 2035.
- b) Sourcing a Burlington Oakville System average day requirement of 94 TJ/d from Niagara would represent approximately 26% of the Union South gas supply portfolio of 360 TJ/d.

The Burlington Oakville System peak day demand expressed as a percentage of the Union South sales service peak day demand is not relevant since Union does not structure its gas supply portfolio to purchase based on peak day demand (Union uses average day demand) or to purchase for individual market areas (Union South supply is purchased based on aggregate average Union South demand).

As stated in Exhibit D.Staff.1, the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is simply a high pressure distribution reinforcement that supports growing local markets attached to the Burlington Oakville System and will replace contracted third party services. Where to source natural gas supply for all of Union South (not just the local markets attached to the Burlington Oakville System) is an independent decision.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.3 Page 1 of 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

## Answer to Interrogatory from <u>Board Staff</u>

<u>Reference</u>: Union's Reply Evidence, Exhibit C/ page 4/ line 6-7

<u>Preamble</u>: Union indicated that the Alternative Proposal, including up to 77 % of the upstream supply portfolio at a single point, would require the reinstatement of vertical slice.

Would Union, if successful in contracting for NEXUS pipeline capacity, need to reinstate the vertical slice. Please explain.

#### **Response**:

No. Union holds a diverse upstream pipeline portfolio for Union South sales service customers, with no individual pipeline or supply basin dominant in the portfolio. As a result, Union was able to suspend the Vertical Slice<sup>1</sup> program in Union South. Union is proposing a NEXUS commitment that represents approximately 30% of the Union South supply portfolio (pages 31-32 of EB-2015-0166). Therefore the NEXUS commitment is about the same level as supply received from Chicago today (31%) and would not be dominant in the gas supply portfolio.

With respect to Niagara, the Alternative Proposal is suggesting that 77% of the gas supply portfolio would be concentrated at one supply point with corresponding long term transportation commitments. The result of the Alternative Proposal would be insufficient flexibility in Union's gas supply portfolio to facilitate service switching between sales service and bundled direct purchase unless customers were allocated a portion of the underlying transportation portfolio. Also, as discussed at Exhibit C, page 4, lines 8-10, Union does not believe it is equitable to require only sales service customers to purchase supplies and hold Niagara to Union CDA transportation capacity to support the distribution needs for all customers (sales service, unbundled, bundled direct purchase, and T-service) for the foreseeable future. This inequity arises because sales service customers receive the benefit of purchasing supplies at a liquid point (Niagara) while direct purchase customers receive the benefit of purchasing supplies at a liquid point (Dawn) and at the same time avoiding the costs of meeting peak day demands in the Burlington Oakville area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Union received approval to implement the Vertical Slice methodology effective November 1, 2001 (RP-1999-0017). The methodology was used to allocate Union's upstream transportation contracts to facilitate new incremental direct purchase for its Southern Operations area. The Vertical Slice was based on the assets in Union's upstream transportation portfolio as projected for November 1 of each year. It applied a proportionate allocation, based on the customer's Daily Contract Quantity ("DCQ"), of the transportation, exchanges and other transport used to service existing system customers moving to direct purchase. In EB-2014-0145, the Board approved Union's request to suspend the use of the Vertical Slice methodology.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.3 Page 2 of 2

Further, Union does not believe long term distribution system reinforcement requirements should be managed through gas supply portfolio solutions. In order to address the inequity described above, a Vertical Slice and/or a change in delivery obligation would be appropriate to ensure an equitable distribution of costs and obligations to all customers and that Union would have sufficient flexibility to manage the portfolio in a manner consistent with the Gas Supply Planning Principles.

In addition, the Alternative Proposal is completely inconsistent with the Board-approved Parkway Delivery Obligation agreement which allows customers currently obligated to deliver gas at an illiquid point (Parkway) to deliver their supply to the liquid Dawn Hub.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.4 Page 1 of 2

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Answer to Interrogatory from <u>Board Staff</u>

<u>Reference</u>: Union's Reply Evidence, Exhibit C/page 16/ lines 3-6

<u>Preamble</u>: Union states that the Alternative Proposal will result in a drastic decrease in the diversity of contract terms (will require 15 year contracts).

Is it Union's view that contacting for any new pipeline capacity that requires a 15 year contract term would drastically decrease its diversity of the contract terms?

#### **Response**:

No. This statement is specific to a 15-year commitment for transportation from Niagara.

The Alternative Proposal would require 77% of the Union South sales service portfolio (276 TJ/d) to be contracted on a long term basis at a trading point far less liquid than the Dawn hub. As discussed at Exhibit C, pages 9-13, Niagara is not a liquid point and is not expected to develop into a liquid trading point. Niagara is a trans-shipment point between pipeline systems.

This dramatic shift in supply portfolio is problematic and does not align with Union's Gas Supply Planning Principles. Compared to Dawn which has access to multiple pipelines, multiple supply basins and significant storage, moving 77% of the Union South sales service gas supply to Niagara would dramatically reduce diversity and flexibility. This would also limit (or perhaps eliminate) Union's ability to support new infrastructure projects that would encourage new supply to Ontario from growing neighbouring production basins for the next 15 years.

Long term transportation contracting in Union's gas supply portfolio is not problematic if it meets Union's Gas Supply Planning Principles. Union is comfortable holding transportation contracts with a range of durations but typically long term contracts are reserved for supporting new infrastructure builds. Once the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is built, supply will be delivered as part of the aggregated Union South portfolio. The Union South gas supply portfolio does evolve over time. Every time Union makes a gas supply purchasing decision it evaluates all possible sources of supply, including Niagara and Dawn. Buying additional supply at Niagara for the Union South gas supply portfolio will be evaluated in the future, however, that purchase decision is independent of building the proposed reinforcement pipeline into the Burlington Oakville System. The gas supply costs for sales service customers on the Burlington Oakville System reflect the average of the aggregate Union South gas supply portfolio and are not tied to a single purchase point – at Dawn or Niagara.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.4 <u>Page 2 of 2</u>

In addition, the Alternative Proposal is completely inconsistent with the Board-approved Parkway Delivery Obligation agreement which allows customers currently obligated to deliver gas at an illiquid point (Parkway) to deliver their supply to the liquid Dawn Hub.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.5 Page 1 of 2

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

## Answer to Interrogatory from <u>Board Staff</u>

<u>Reference</u>: Union's Reply Evidence, Exhibit C/page 21/ lines14-19

- <u>Preamble</u>: Union states that despite the assumption that Union would continue to satisfy the requirements as it has in the past if the Burlington-Oakville Pipeline is not approved, and consistent with the changes required by TransCanada in 2011 at Parkway, it is very likely that in the future TransCanada will require Union to contract for transportation capacity from Kirkwall to facilitate deliveries to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton #3 Gate Station. As a result, it is not appropriate to exclude this aspect from the comparative analysis.
- a) Has Union discussed the option/possibility with TransCanada of being required to contract for transportation capacity from Kirkwall to the Amended CDA (in the event that the Burlington-Oakville project was not approved)?
- b) Has Union confirmed with TransCanada that TransCanada will likely require Union to contract for transportation capacity from Kirkwall to the Amended CDA if the Burlington-Oakville project is not approved?

#### **Response**:

a) No, Union has not recently discussed the Kirkwall to Amended Union CDA transportation contract with TransCanada.

The supply situation at Kirkwall is very similar to the situation that existed at Parkway prior to 2011 before Union was required to contract for firm transportation service from Parkway (for gas originating from the Dawn Parkway System) to Union's gate stations within TransCanada's Union CDA delivery area.

Prior to 2011, Union provided supply from the Dawn Parkway System to TransCanada at Parkway to meet in-franchise delivery requirements within TransCanada's Union CDA delivery area, (which included markets served through the Bronte Gate Station and the Burlington Gate Station). At that time, it was assumed that delivering gas to Parkway was the same as delivering gas to the Union CDA. Union did not contract for a firm Parkway to Union CDA transportation service to transport gas on the TransCanada system from Parkway to its gate stations within TransCanada's Union CDA delivery area.

In early 2011, TransCanada indicated that Union was required to contract for capacity <u>and</u> <u>pay</u> to transport volumes from Parkway to the Union CDA gate stations. Subsequently,

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.Staff.5 Page 2 of 2

Union contracted for firm transportation capacity on the TransCanada system from Parkway to the Union CDA to meet in-franchise delivery requirements. This is described in detail in Exhibit A, Tab 5, p.2 and in Exhibit C, p.21.

The current situation at Kirkwall is very similar to the situation that existed at Parkway prior to 2011. At Kirkwall, Union provides an amount of supply from the Dawn Parkway System to TransCanada equivalent to the in-franchise delivery requirements at the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton Gate #3 Station. Union does not currently contract for firm capacity on the TransCanada system from Kirkwall to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton Gate #3 Station. This is essentially completed through an operational exchange.

Independent of the Mainline Settlement Agreement discussions, Union has not discussed paying for firm transportation capacity from Kirkwall to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton Gate #3 Station with TransCanada. TransCanada is aware that Union does not currently contract for firm transportation from Kirkwall to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and the Hamilton Gate #3 Station today. This was specifically addressed during the Mainline Settlement Agreement negotiations in the summer of 2013. It was assumed in the Mainline Settlement Agreement (at Section 8.1(d)) that the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline would proceed and Union would also contract for and pay for firm transportation capacity from Kirkwall to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate #3 Station. There is no operational linkage between serving the Burlington Oakville System with the proposed pipeline and the incremental capacity that Union would purchase from TransCanada to serve the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and the Hamilton Gate #3 Station – the only linkage is through the commitments contained in the Mainline Settlement Agreement.

The Mainline Settlement Agreement does not address the alternative in which Union does not receive approval for the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline. In the event that the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is not approved, Union would continue to deliver gas at Kirkwall and supply the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and the Hamilton Gate #3 Station as it does today. Nothing restricts TransCanada from requiring Union to contract and pay for firm transportation capacity from Kirkwall to supply the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and the Hamilton Gate #3 Station. Union would expect TransCanada to initiate discussions regarding contracting for capacity and paying for firm transportation services from Kirkwall to those two gate stations.

b) Please refer to response (a) above.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.BOMA.1 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Building Owners and Managers Association ("BOMA")

At line 12, Union states:

"Today, Union delivers the same amount of natural gas to TransCanada at Kirkwall that it requires for deliveries to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton #3 Gate Station. Despite the assumption that Union would continue to satisfy the requirements as it has in the past if the Burlington Oakville Pipeline is not approved, and consistent with the changes required by TransCanada in 2011 at Parkway, it is very likely that in the future TransCanada will require Union to contract for transportation capacity from Kirkwall to facilitate deliveries to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gat Station and Hamilton #3 Gate Station. As a result, it is not appropriate to exclude this aspect from the comparative analysis".

BOMA notes that this is a drastic change of position from the view Mr. Isherwood expressed at the Technical Conference.

What evidence does Union have that TCPL would require Union to contract for a separate service from Kirkwall to the two gate stations, particularly in light of the improved relationship between the eastern LDCs and TCPL, the Settlement Agreement which was approved by the NEB in RH-001-2014, and the increasing east to west flow on the TCPL mainline from Niagara to Kirkwall.

#### **Response**:

Union does not agree with BOMA's assertion that this is a drastic change of position from the view expressed at the Technical Conference. Please see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.5.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.BOMA.2 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Building Owners and Managers Association ("BOMA")

Section 8.1(d) of the TCPL Mainline Settlement Agreement states:

- (d) Subject to Union receiving approval to construct its Burlington Oakville pipeline with an anticipated in-service date of November 1, 2016, referred to in subsection 8.1(a)(iii):
- (i) TransCanada will amend the existing Union CDA to remove the Burlington, Bronte and Parkway-Union meter stations as Delivery Points;
- (ii) TransCanada will seek Regulatory Approval to designate the Parkway–Union meter as a stand-alone Delivery Point ("Union Parkway Belt Delivery Point") and to designate the Burlington and Bronte meter stations as Delivery Points in the Union ECDA; and
- (iii) Union shall bid into an existing or new capacity open season and enter into an FT Contract for a minimum term of 16 years for a volume of 135 TJ/day for gas transportation service between TransCanada's Kirkwall Receipt Point and the Amended Union CDA.

Mainline Shippers who hold Firm Service Contracts to the existing Union CDA shall have a one-time option to amend the Delivery Point to the Union Parkway Belt Delivery Point or the Union ECDA."

Why should the Board not infer from Section 8.1(d)(iii) of the Settlement Agreement that in the event Union does not build the Burlington Oakville line, TCPL will not ask for a new FT toll from Kirkwall to the two city gates referred to in question #1?

#### **Response**:

Please see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.5.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.BOMA.3 <u>Page 1 of 2</u>

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Building Owners and Managers Association ("BOMA")

At this link: <u>http://investor.nationalfuelgas.com/files/doc\_presentations/2015/20150806\_NFG-IR-Presentation\_FINAL.pdf</u> is a copy of a recent (August 15<sup>th</sup>) presentation from National Fuel Gas Company, a corporation with both transmission facilities in Western New York and connection to Ontario at both Chippewa and Niagara and very large reserves and production in the Marcellus shale throughout Pennsylvania. Given the commitments to move gas to Niagara and into Canada, set out at pages 23, 24 and 25 of the presentation, can Union comment on the increasing importance of Niagara as an entry point/contracting point from Marcellus supply?

#### **Response**:

With the development of the Marcellus, TransCanada, Union and the U.S. upstream pipelines that connect to Niagara (Tennessee Gas Pipeline, Dominion Transmission and National Fuel Gas) and Chippawa (Empire State Pipeline) have held various open seasons from 2009 through to 2011 that would provide transportation from the Marcellus producing area to the New York/ Ontario border and into Ontario and other eastern markets. These open seasons resulted in commitments that supported the modification and expansion of infrastructure in Canada and the United States which reversed the traditional direction of flow at Niagara from Ontario to New York. The reversal of flow on this path has also supported the development of new services (such as Union's M12-X transportation service). Effective November 2012, the facilities at Niagara were able to physically import and deliver about 0.4 PJ/d from Niagara to Kirkwall.

As part of these open seasons, Union contracted for 21,101 GJ/d of Niagara to Kirkwall transportation capacity on the TransCanada system that would support the purchase of gas at Niagara. At Kirkwall, Union can then flow gas to Union's in-franchise markets or to Dawn for injection into storage. Union was the first end-user to contract with TransCanada at Niagara (other contracts were supported by producers/marketers).

Subsequently, additional open seasons were held that will ultimately lead to expansions into Niagara and Chippawa of a further 1 PJ/d (for a total of approximately 1.4 PJ/d) in the 2015/2016 time period. Open seasons have also been held for the TransCanada and Union systems that will move gas from Niagara and Chippawa to Dawn and other eastern markets in that same time period.

For any shippers, including direct purchase customers, Niagara or Kirkwall supply can be accessed through long term, transportation services. Where there is no existing pipeline capacity available, then shippers will be required to support expansion of facilities.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.BOMA.3 Page 2 of 2

As a result of Union's open seasons, Union has contracted 396 TJ/d of firm, long-term M12-X transportation capacity, 421 TJ/d of firm long-term M12 Kirkwall to Parkway transportation capacity and 488 TJ/d of firm C1 Kirkwall to Dawn transportation capacity; all of which can access Niagara or Kirkwall supply.

As discussed at Exhibit C, pages 9-13, Niagara is not a liquid point and is not expected to develop into a liquid trading point. Niagara is a trans-shipment point between pipeline systems.

Please also see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.1.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.BOMA.4 <u>Page 1 of 1</u>

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Building Owners and Managers Association ("BOMA")

What step is Union taking to facilitate the purchase of supplies at Niagara or Kirkwall by itself and its direct purchase customers? Would such step not increase the transacting at Niagara, given the price advantage over Dawn?

#### **Response**:

Please see the response at Exhibit D.BOMA.3.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.1 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

Reference: page 4, lines 2 to 11

- a) Notwithstanding a shift of direct purchase customers to Dawn and the application to shift the reference price, please confirm that Union designs its Dawn to Parkway system based upon getting gas to Parkway on a peak day.
- b) Please provide the average winter basis differential between Dawn and Parkway for the years:
  - (1) 2004/05
     (2) 2009/10
     (3) 2014/15
     (4) Forecast 2019/20

- a) Please see Exhibit A, Tab 6 for a description of how Union designs its Dawn Parkway System.
- b) Below are the average winter (November-March) Dawn-Parkway basis spreads based on onemonth forward winter pricing. For the 2019/2020 data, the forward pricing was from August 3-7, 2015 forecasts.

Average Winter Dawn-Parkway Basis						
	USD/MMBtu CAD/					
2004/2005	\$0.40	\$0.45				
2009/2010	\$0.21	\$0.24				
2014/2015	\$0.10	\$0.11				
2019/2020	\$0.08	\$0.09				
Source NGX						
FX Assumption $-$ \$1.20 CAD $=$ \$1 US						

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.2 Page 1 of 4

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

- <u>Reference</u>: page 6, lines 12 to 18; page 16, lines 8 and 9, page 17, Figure 3-2.
- <u>Preamble</u>: Union describes the design day and average day requirements of the Union South system.
- a) Please provide the Union South Winter 2015/16 Design Day Demand and Resources balance and the Union South 2015/16 Average Day Demand and Resources balance in a format similar to Figure 7 included in Attachment 3 to Ms. Cheung's Evidence.
- b) Please confirm that Union meets its aggregate Union South demand with its aggregate supply. If not confirmed, please reproduce the demand and resources balances in (a) showing how the supplies are allocated to meet the demands.
- c) Please provide the numerical data in TJ/d that generated Figure 3-2 on page 17.
- d) Please explain and reconcile the data in (c) against those in (a).

#### **Response**:

a) Please see the tables below.

#### Winter 2015/2016 Design Day Union South Design Day Demand and Resources (TJ/day)

Demand	
Union South*	2,900
Supply	
Storage at Dawn	1,483
Non-obligated (e.g. Power Plants)	210
TCPL Empress to Union CDA	67
Trunkline	21
Panhandle	39
Market Based Transportation	21
TCPL Niagara	21
Ontario Parkway	334
Vector	111
MichCon	74
Ontario Dawn	467
Customer Supplied Fuel	52
Total Supply	2,900
* 'a 1 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	T

\* includes Sales Service, Bundled Direct Purchase, T-service, Unbundled

#### 2015/2016 Average Day Union South Average Day Demand and Resources (TJ/d) <u>for the period November 1, 2015 to October 31, 2016</u>

Demand	
Union South (1)	366
Supply	
TCPL Empress to Union CDA	50
Trunkline	21
Panhandle	39
Market Based Transportation	21
TCPL Niagara	21
Alliance/Vector (2)	6
Vector	111
MichCon (3)	69
Uncommitted (Dawn Spot)	28
Total Supply	366

#### Notes:

(1) Includes Sales Service only.

(2) Includes November 2015 supply only due to contract expiry.

(3) Includes 10.5 TJ/d contract for 12 months and 63.3 TJ/d contract for 11 months.

b) Confirmed.

#### January 2015 South Portfolio (TJ/d)

Basin - Pipe	System Capacity	
Chicago - Vector	112	31%
WCSB - Alliance/Vector	74	21%
WCSB - TCPL	52	15%
Mid-Continent - PEPL	39	11%
Niagara - TCPL	21	6%
Gulf of Mexico - TGC/PEPL	21	6%
Local Production	2	1%
Michigan - Michcon	11	3%
Dawn/Other	27	8%
Total	359	100%

d)

#### Comparison Table (TJ/d)

	Part C	Part A		
	January 2015	Average Day Supply		
Basin - Pipe	System Capacity	Nov 15-Oct 16	Variance	<u>e</u>
Chicago - Vector	112	111	1	
WCSB - Alliance/Vector	74	6	68	(1)
WCSB - TCPL	52	50	2	
Mid-Continent - PEPL	39	39		
Niagara - TCPL	21	21		
Gulf of Mexico - TGC/PEPL	21	21		
Local Production	2	1		
Michigan - Michcon	11	69	(58)	(2)
Dawn/Other	27	27	1	
Market Based Transportation	0	21	(21)	(3)
	359	366	(8)	_

#### Notes:

(1) Alliance Contract expires November 30, 2015.

(2) Includes new DTE MichCon contract for 63.3 TJ/d effective December 1, 2015.

(3) Includes new Market Based Transportation contract for 21.1 TJ/d effective November 1, 2015.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.3 Page 1 of 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 7, lines 11 to 13.

- <u>Preamble</u>: FRPO would like to explore Union's understanding of the Alternative Proposal and its impact on gas supply.
- a) Please confirm that the Alternative Proposal does not force Union to contract for the entire 276 TJ/d at Niagara.
- b) Please confirm that Union need only contract for the incremental amount needed at the outset to meet peak day needs.
- c) Please provide the incremental capacity needed in 2017 for the Burlington Oakville system over and above what is currently fed from the existing NPS 8 and NPS 12 lines and existing TCPL Dawn to CDA contract.
- d) Has Union provided notice of non-renewal to TCPL on the Dawn to CDA contract?
- e) Please confirm that under the Alternative Proposal of using transportation contracting, Union can decide how best to phase in new supplies from Niagara based on its supply and demand.

#### **Response**:

a) The Alternative Proposal does not force Union to contract for the entire 276 TJ/d at Niagara. However, Union believes the intent of the evidence of Ms. Aggie Cheung is for Union to contract for the entire 276 TJ/d at Niagara. For instance, at paragraph 14 Ms. Cheung's evidence states that "Union could serve 100% of the demand (of the Burlington Oakville System) through the TransCanada system." At paragraph 21, Ms. Cheung's evidence states "Under the Alternative (Proposal) described above, Union would source up to a total of 276 TJ/d from Niagara to the Burlington Oakville area by 2035."

Further, at paragraphs 24 and 27, Ms. Cheung's evidence suggests that Union could contract for an initial 200 TJ/d of transportation capacity from Niagara on TransCanada (which would meet the current market demands) and increase transportation capacity over time as growth in the Burlington Oakville System market occurs or as gas supply displacement opportunities arise.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.3 Page 2 of 2

Finally, it appears that there is no consideration for use of Union's existing facilities serving the Burlington Oakville System from the Dawn Parkway System to meet design day demand as Ms. Cheung's evidence states at paragraph 17 that "In addition, the existing 8" and 12" lines provide additional system integrity during upset conditions." If those existing pipelines were intended to meet Burlington Oakville System design day demands in the Alternative Proposal then they would not provide "additional" system integrity but would already be in use to their full capacity of 54 TJ/d.

b) Gas supply requirements are purchased on an average day basis and not a peak day basis for Union South. Union uses its Dawn storage assets to balance average loads versus summer lows by injecting into storage and versus winter peaks by withdrawing from storage. In addition, Union structures its gas supply portfolio for Union South to meet aggregate Union South demand. As discussed extensively in Exhibit C, the Alternative Proposal, when operationalized to use Dawn storage assets (and, as a result, Dawn Parkway System assets), is less economic than the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline.

As discussed in part a) above, Ms. Cheung's evidence states that "Union could serve 100% of the demand (of the Burlington Oakville System) through the TransCanada system." This would indicate that the Alternative Proposal not only contemplates contracting for the incremental amount needed at the outset to meet peak day needs but the amount needed to meet <u>all</u> peak day needs at the outset.

The Alternative Proposal would also not allow Union to realize any of the benefits that the proposed Burlington Oakville project provides.

Capacity	TJ/d
2017/2018 Design Day Demand	210
Existing NPS 8 and NPS 12	54
Existing TransCanada Dawn to Union CDA Contracts	68
2017/2018 Incremental Capacity Required	88

d) Please see the response at Exhibit B.APPrO.1.

e) Please see part a) above.

c)

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.4 Page 1 of 1

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 9, lines 16 and 17.

- <u>Preamble</u>: FRPO would like to understand how the Alternative Proposal would decrease the diversity and security of Union's upstream transportation and supply portfolio.
- a) Please confirm that the current Union South supply portfolio includes (i) 21 TJ/d from TransCanada at Niagara and (ii) 67 TJ/d from TransCanada at Empress.
- b) Please confirm that with the construction of the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline, the Union South supply portfolio will include (i) 21 TJ/d from TransCanada at Niagara and (ii) 0 TJ/d from TransCanada at Empress. If not confirmed, please provide the correct figures.
- c) Please confirm that the Alternative Proposal envisages increased amounts from TransCanada at Niagara.

- a) Confirmed. Union currently contracts for 21 TJ/d of TransCanada Niagara to Kirkwall transportation capacity for Union South sales service customers, which provides access to supply available at Niagara. Union also currently contracts for 67 TJ/d of TransCanada transportation capacity from Empress of which approximately 50 TJ/d is for Union South sales service customers and 17 TJ/d is for supply to bundled direct purchase customers.
- b) Following the construction of the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline, the Union South portfolio is expected to include 21 TJ/d of TransCanada transportation capacity from Niagara to Kirkwall and 11 TJ/d of TransCanada transportation capacity from Empress to the Union ECDA.
- c) Confirmed. Please see the response at Exhibit D.FRPO.3 part a).

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.5 Page 1 of 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 10, lines 5 to 9.

- <u>Preamble</u>: Since 2012, flow has primarily reversed from the United States to bring Marcellus production through Niagara into Canada. Despite its proximity to the Marcellus region, <u>Niagara is not a liquid</u> <u>point</u>. Liquidity at Niagara is low due to its limited pipeline connectivity, distance from storage, limited number of counterparties who buy and sell at that point and limited price discovery. Even with TransCanada transportation contracts expected to exceed 1 PJ/d from Niagara to points in Ontario and Quebec, Niagara remains a trans-shipment point and is not expected to develop into a liquid trading point
- a) Please provide a full listing of firm and interruptible contracts Union has on pipelines upstream of Dawn to transport gas into the Dawn hub.
- b) Please explain why Union will not consider contracting on pipelines upstream of Niagara and/or Douglastown.
- c) Please provide the number of pipelines that converge at Kensington, Ohio, the receipt point for Union's proposed Nexus contract.
- d) Please provide the proximity of Kensington to Dawn relative to Niagara to Dawn.

- a) Union provides a summary of upstream transportation contracts in its annual Gas Supply Plan Memorandum. Please see Attachment 1 for Appendix C and Appendix D from the Gas Supply Plan Memorandum filed in EB-2015-0010.
- b) The information requested is not relevant to EB-2014-0182. Contracting natural gas supply upstream of Niagara or Chippawa (Douglastown) is not relevant to meeting the design day demands of the Burlington Oakville System and is not even relevant to the Alternative Proposal which is focused on sourcing supply at Niagara. Union has provided its evaluation of the Alternative Proposal (including sourcing supply at Niagara) in Exhibit C.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.5 Page 2 of 2

- c) The information requested is not relevant to EB-2014-0182. The proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline <u>is independent</u> of Union's proposed NEXUS contract. The number of pipelines that converge at Kensington, Ohio and the proximity of Kensington to Dawn relative to the proximity of Niagara to Dawn are not relevant to meeting the design day demands of the Burlington Oakville System over the long term and are not relevant to any commercial alternatives evaluated by Union.
- d) The information requested is not relevant to EB-2014-0182. Please see the response to part c) above.

2014/15 Gas Supply Plan Memorandum Appendix C								Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.5 Attachment 1	
			North	hern and East	ern Operat	ions Areas			Page 1 of 2
Line		Primary Receipt	Primary Delivery	Contract	Contract	Contract_	Unitized Demand Charge	Commodity Charge	100% LF Toll
<u>No.</u>	Upstream Pipeline	Point	Point	Quantity	Units	Termination Date	(\$Cdn/GJ)	(\$Cdn/GJ)	(\$Cdn/GJ)
		(a)	(b)	( c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h=f+g)
	TransCanada Pipeline			( )					( 3)
1	Empress to Union NCDA FT	Empress	Union NCDA	10,756	GJ	31-Oct-2017	1.495		1.495
2	Empress to Union EDA FT	Empress	Union EDA	59,101	GJ	31-Oct-2017	1.650		1.650
3	Empress to Union NDA FT	Empress	Union NDA	76,015	GJ	31-Oct-2017	1.317		1.317
4	Empress to Union WDA FT	Empress	Union WDA	39,880	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.856		0.856
5	Empress to Union SSMDA FT	Empress	Union SSMDA	8,843	GJ	31-Oct-2017	1.194		1.194
6	Empress to Union MDA FT	Empress	Union MDA	4,522	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.598		0.598
7	Parkway to Union EDA FT	Parkway	Union EDA	35,000	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.250		0.250
8	Parkway to Union CDA FT	Parkway	Union CDA	16,000	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.101		0.101
9	Dawn to Union CDA FT	Dawn	Union CDA	8,000	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.204		0.204
10	TCPL FT - Total			258,117	GJ				
	Other								
11	Parkway to CDA - Exchange	Parkway	Union CDA	60,000	GJ	31-Mar-2015	0.960		0.960
12	Total - Other			60,000	GJ				
	TransCanada Storage Transporta	tion Service Firm	Withdrawal						
13	NCDA	Parkway	Union NCDA	13,704	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
14	WDA	Parkway	Union WDA	31,420	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
15	SSMDA	Dawn	Union SSMDA	35,022	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
16	NDA	Parkway	Union NDA	48,375	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
17	EDA	Parkway	Union EDA	68,520	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.250		0.250
18	TCPL Firm STS Withdrawal - Total			197,041	GJ				
	TransCanada Storage Transporta	tion Service Firm	Injection						
19	NCDA	Union NCDA	Parkway	0	GJ	31-Oct-2017			0.000
20	WDA	Union WDA	Parkway	3,150	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.840		0.840
21	SSMDA	Union SSMDA	Parkway	0	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
22	EDA	Union EDA	Parkway	47,571	GJ	31-Oct-2017			
23	NDA	Union NDA	Parkway	49,100	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.358		0.358
24	TCPL Firm STS Injection - Total			99,821	GJ				
	Centra Transmission Holdings In								
25	Centra Transmission Holdings Inc.	Spruce	Union MDA	149.6	10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup>	31-Oct-2015	0.221		0.221
26	Centra Pipelines Minnesota Inc.	Sprague	Baudette	5,281	MCF	31-Oct-2015	0.061		0.061
27	CTHI FT - Total			5,695	GJ		0.283		0.283

Exchange Rate 1 US =	1.1271
Conversion Factor	1.055056
Heat Content	38.07

CAD

Bank of Canada USD Close Oct. 31, 2014

### Filed: 2015-08-27 )182 PO.5

2014/15 Gas Supply Plan Memorandum Appendix D <u>UNION GAS LIMITED</u> Summary of Upstream Transportation Contracts - as at November 1, 2014 Southern Operations Areas							Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.5 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 2		
Line		Primary Receipt Point	Primary Delivery Point	Contract	Contract	Contract	Unitized Demand Charge	Commodity Charge	100% LF Toll
No.	Upstream Pipeline		, <u> </u>	Quantity	Units	Termination Date	(\$Cdn/GJ)	(\$Cdn/GJ)	(\$Cdn/GJ)
		(a)	(b)	( c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h=f+g)
	TransCanada Pipeline								( 0,
1	Dawn to Union CDA FT	Dawn	Union CDA	60,000	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.204		0.204
2	Empress to Union CDA FT	Empress	Union CDA	46,682	GJ	31-Oct-2017	1.606		1.606
3	Empress to Union CDA FT	Empress	Union CDA	20,645	GJ	31-Dec-2017	1.606		1.606
4	Niagara to Kirkwall	Niagara	Kirkwall	21,101	GJ	31-Oct-2022	0.142		0.142
5	TCPL FT - Total			148,428	GJ				
	Alliance Pipelines/Vector Pipelines								
6	Alliance	Northern Alberta	Cdn/US Interconnect	2,266.2	103M3	30-Nov-2015	0.893		0.893
7	Alliance (L.P.)	Cdn/US Interconnect	Vector	80,000	MCF	30-Nov-2015	0.614		0.614
8	Vector (L.P.) FT1	Chicago	Cdn/US Interconnect	80,000	DTH	30-Nov-2017	0.246	0.001	0.247
9	Vector Canada FT1	Cdn/US Interconnect	Dawn (Union)	84,405	GJ	30-Nov-2017	0.019		0.019
10	Alliance/Vector - Total			84,405	GJ		1.772	0.001	1.774
	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Field Zone								
11	PEPL FT	Panhandle Field Zone	Ojibway (Union)	25,000	DTH	31-Oct-2017	0.454	0.046	0.501
12	PEPL FT	Panhandle Field Zone	Ojibway (Union)	2,000	DTH	31-Oct-2017	0.342	0.046	0.388
13	PEPL FT	Panhandle Field Zone	Ojibway (Union)	10,000	DTH	31-Oct-2015	0.454	0.046	0.501
14	PEPL - Total			39,307	GJ				
	Trunkline Gas Company/Panhandle Easte	rn Dino Lino							
15	Trunkline FT	East Louisiana	Bourbon	20,467	DTH	31-Oct-2017	0.123	0.015	0.138
16	PEPL EFT	Bourbon	Ojibway (Union)	20,000	DTH	31-Oct-2017	0.080	0.013	0.092
17	TGC/PEPL FT - Total	Douison		21,101	GJ	01 00(2011	0.203	0.028	0.231
40	Vector Pipelines	Ohissas		04 000	DTU	00 Nov 0045			
18	Vector (L.P.) FT1 Vector Canada FT1	Chicago Cdn/US Interconnect	Cdn/US Interconnect	81,000	DTH	30-Nov-2015 30-Nov-2015			
19 20	Vector - Total		Dawn (Union)	<u>85,460</u> 85,460	GJ GJ	30-1100-2015	0.267	0.001	0.268
20				05,400	65		0.207	0.001	0.200
21	Vector (L.P.) FT1	Chicago	Cdn/US Interconnect	25,000	DTH	31-Oct-2017	0.193		
22	Vector Canada FT1	Cdn/US Interconnect	Dawn (Union)	26,376	GJ	31-Oct-2017	0.010		
23	Vector - Total			26,376	GJ		0.203	0.001	0.204
	Michigan Consolidated Cas Company (Mi	abCan)							
24	Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Mic MichCon	MichCon Generic	St. Clair (Union)	10,000	DTH	31-Oct-2015	0.004		0.004
25	MichCon - Total	Michoon Generic		10,551	GJ	51-001-2015	0.004		0.004
20				10,001	00				
	Other:								
26	St.Clair Pipelines L.P. (St.Clair Pipeline)	St. Clair/Intl Border	St. Clair/Intl Border	214,000	GJ	31-Oct-2023			
27	St.Clair Pipelines L.P. (Bluewater Pipeline)	Bluewater/Intl Border	Bluewater/Intl Border	127,000	GJ	31-Oct-2023			
21				121,000	00	51-001-2023			
				_					
	Exchange Rate 1 US =	1.1271		Bank of Ca	nada USD C	lose Oct. 31, 2014			
	Conversion Factor	1.055056							
	Heat Content	38.07							

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.7 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: pages 19 to 21.

- <u>Preamble</u>: FRPO requires clarification of the TransCanada Kirkwall to Union CDA contract.
- a) Please confirm that Mr. Isherwood's responses to Mr. Quinn during the Technical Conference on transcript pages 124 and 125, reproduced below, are correct.
  - (1)"MR. QUINN: If there was a -- okay, now I'll ask the question, Mr. Isherwood -- or whoever on the panel, if Union were to get an integrated service from TransCanada and avoid building this facility, TransCanada would get additional revenues through its tolls; correct?
  - (2)MR. ISHERWOOD: They would not.
  - (3)MR. QUINN: How would they not get...
  - (4)MR. ISHERWOOD: We have a choice of paying them \$8 million on Burlington Oakville or paying \$8 million a year on the Kirkwall to the amended CDA. They won't get both. They have \$8 million one of two ways: we build, they get 8 million; they don't build, they get 8 million."
- b) If (a) is not confirmed, please explain what has changed since the Technical Conference.
- c) If (a) is not confirmed, is Union now agreeing with Mr. Quinn that TransCanada would get additional revenues by provided an integrated service from TransCanada?
- d) Please seek written confirmation from TCPL on its position in this matter filing both the question from Union to TCPL and TCPL's response.

- a) through c) Please see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.5.
- d) Union has not sought written confirmation from TransCanada with respect to this issue. Please see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.5.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.8 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 24, Figure 5-2.

Preamble: FRPO requires clarification.

- a) Assuming Union contracts for 276 TJ/d from Niagara to CDA, please confirm that Union can transport the difference between the contract quantity and average day demand from Kirkwall to Dawn without constructing new facilities. If not confirmed, please provide a cost estimate for the additional facilities required.
- b) Assuming Union contracts for 276 TJ/d from Niagara to CDA, please confirm that Union can transport the difference between the contract quantity and minimum summer demand from Kirkwall to Dawn without construction new facilities. If not confirmed, please provide a cost estimate for the additional facilities required.
- c) Did Union include those costs in its evaluation of alternatives?

- a) The difference between the 276 TJ/d and the average day demand of 94 TJ/d identified by Union in Exhibit C at page 24, is 182 TJ/d. Union currently has 182 TJ/d of existing Kirkwall to Dawn capacity without the need for constructing new facilities.
- b) Union would require Kirkwall to Dawn capacity equivalent to the difference between 276 TJ/d and the minimum summer demand of 28 TJ/d, or 248 TJ/d. Union currently has 248 TJ/d of existing Kirkwall to Dawn capacity without the need for constructing new facilities.
- c) The costs that Union included in its evaluation of the Alternative Proposal are shown in Exhibit C, Figure 5-3, p. 26. Please see the response at Exhibit D.FRPO.10.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.9 Page 1 of 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

Reference: page 26, Figure 5-3.

Preamble: FRPO requires clarification.

- a) Instead of contracting twice on TransCanada (from Niagara to Kirkwall and from Parkway to Union CDA) and once on Union (from Kirkwall to Parkway), is Union aware that it can contract for a Niagara to CDA service on TransCanada?
- b) Is Union aware that the Niagara to CDA toll is less than the Niagara to Kirkwall toll on TransCanada?
- c) Please confirm that TransCanada's Niagara to CDA toll at 100% load factor is \$0.2166/GJ and the Niagara to Kirkwall toll at 100% load factor is \$0.2214/GJ.
- d) Please confirm that the cost for 128 TJ/d from Dawn to Parkway is \$4.0 million. If not confirmed, please provide the correct cost.
- e) Please confirm the costs (\$ million) in the following table.

i) 94 TJ/d Niagara to CDA (TCPL)	7.5
ii) 66 TJ/d Kirkwall to Dawn (Union)	0.9
iii)182 TJ/d Dawn to Parkway (Union)	5.7
iv)128 TJ/d Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	7.3
v) Total	21.4

- a) Union is aware that TransCanada offers Niagara to Union CDA transportation services. This was the subject of the Alternative Proposal in Ms. Aggie Cheung's evidence dated June 29, 2015, which was addressed by Union in Exhibit C.
- b) Yes. The Niagara to Union CDA transportation rate is \$0.2231/GJ/d and the Niagara to Kirkwall transportation rate is \$0.2282/GJ/d. These transportation rates include the FT demand charge and abandonment surcharge.
- c) Not confirmed. The correct tolls are noted in the response to part b) above. The tolls referenced in the question do not include the abandonment surcharge.

- d) Confirmed, assuming current M12 Dawn Parkway toll of \$0.0856/GJ/d.
- e) The costs in the table appear only to include the FT demand charge for TransCanada transportation services. The table has been reproduced below to include the TransCanada abandonment surcharge. Union notes this table assumes 66 TJ/d moving from Kirkwall to Dawn without a stated means or cost of a transportation contract to get the gas from Niagara to Kirkwall.

Line	Receipt Point	Delivery Point	Service Provider	Toll (/GJ/d)	Capacity (TJ/d)	Annual Cost (\$MM)
1	Niagara Falls	Union CDA	TransCanada	\$0.2231	94	\$7.7
2	Kirkwall	Dawn	Union	\$0.0371	66	\$0.9
3	Dawn	Parkway	Union	\$0.0856	182	\$5.7
4	Union Parkway Belt	Union CDA	TransCanada	\$0.1594	128	\$7.4
		•			Total	\$21.7

As discussed in Exhibit C, pages 19-22, any alternative to the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline must include the 135 TJ/d of Kirkwall to Amended Union CDA transportation capacity. Currently, Union does not contract for this transportation capacity however it receives natural gas at the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton Gate #3 Station through an operational exchange (see response at Exhibit D.Staff.5). The table appears to address another alternative which does not include a means for providing the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton Gate #3 Station deliveries on a design day, so therefore the costs for the 135 TJ/d of Kirkwall to Amended Union CDA transportation capacity must be included. The additional cost is \$8.25 million per year and is not included in the table above. The total annual cost including this amount is \$30.0 million. This alternative proposal also would require Union to divert the Niagara to Union CDA contract to Kirkwall. As noted above and as discussed in Exhibit D.FRPO.14 b), diversions would not be firm on the TransCanada system and would be subject to availability/TransCanada system operating conditions.

The proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline will provide sufficient firm capacity to meet the design day demands of the Burlington Oakville System for the foreseeable future and will replace more expensive third party contracted services. The proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline provides a high pressure distribution connection to the Dawn Parkway System from which the rapid growth of the Oakville, Burlington and southern portion of Milton can be served.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 29, Figure 5-5

- a) Please provide all of the assumptions and costs that went into this depiction of the comparative assessment.
- b) Using the data from the May 2015 Transportation Contracting Analysis (from EB-2015-0166), please provide the respective annual costs for gas supply of 150 TJ/day sourced at Niagara vs. via Nexus
  - i) for the first five years starting in 2017
  - ii) the average annual cost over the 20 years starting in 2017

#### **Response**:

a) Please see Attachments 1, 2 and 3.

 Attachment 1 – Burlington Oakville Pipeline Annual Revenue Requirements
 Attachment 2 – Calculation of Costs (Burlington Oakville Pipeline) – Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations
 Attachment 3 – Comparison of Proposed Project (Build) vs. Alternative Proposal

The TransCanada tolls used are the Settlement tolls effective January 1, 2015. This is the same data used by Ms. Aggie Cheung for the Alternative Proposal.

Noted below are the line numbers of Attachment 3 that the charts were created from.

	Data for Figure 5-4	Data for Figure 5-5
Union Build	Line 4	Line 13
Alternative Proposal	Line 11	Line 17

b) The information requested is not relevant to EB-2014-0182. The proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is independent of Union's proposed NEXUS contract and the May 2015 Transportation Contracting Analysis (EB-2015-0166). The annual costs for gas supply at Niagara versus NEXUS is not relevant to meeting the design day demands of the Burlington Oakville System and are not relevant to any commercial alternatives evaluated by Union.

### Burlington Oakville Pipeline Annual Revenue Requirements

Line	(\$000's CDN except for tolls \$/GJ)		<u>2016</u> 1	<u>2017</u> 2	<u>2018</u> 3	<u>2019</u> 4	<u>2020</u> 5	<u>2021</u> 6	<u>2022</u> 7	<u>2023</u> 8	<u>2024</u> 9	<u>2025</u> 10
	Rate Base Investment											
1	Capital Expenditures		117,710	1,767	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Cummulative Capex		117,710	1,767	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Average Investment		13,584	116,312	114,697	112,290	109,882	107,475	105,067	102,659	100,252	97,844
	Total Cost of Service											
4	Operating Costs:											
5	O&M Expenses		3	16	16	17	17	17	18	18	18	19
6	Depreciation Expense		1,186	2,390	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408
7	Municipal Taxes		20	117	120	122	125	127	130	132	135	138
8	Total Operating Costs		1,208	2,523	2,544	2,546	2,549	2,552	2,555	2,558	2,561	2,564
9	Required Return		819	7,014	6,916	6,771	6,626	6,481	6,336	6,190	6,045	5,900
9 10	Income Taxes		(1,951)	(1,254)	(930)	(676)	(415)	(190)	0,330	175	321	3,900 449
11	Required Return and Taxes		(1,132)	5,760	5,986	6,095	6,211	6,291	6,341	6,365	6,367	6,349
	•											
12	Total Cost of Service		77	8,283	8,530	8,641	8,760	8,843	8,896	8,923	8,927	8,913
	Demands											
14	Demand Level		148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6
15	Add Growth		3.7	7.4	11.1	14.8	18.5	22.9	27.3	31.7	36.1	40.5
16	Demands Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)		54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
17	Total Peak Day Demands		206.3	210.0	213.7	217.4	221.1	225.5	229.9	234.3	238.7	243.1
	Allocated Dawn Parkway Tolls											
13		Toll	0.1667									
18	Dawn to Kirkwall M12 Toll	0.0721	905	5,527	5,625	5,722	5,820	5,935	6,051	6,166	6,282	6,398
19	Kirkwall to Parkway	0.0135	169	1,035	1,053	1,071	1,090	1,111	1,133	1,155	1,176	1,198
20	Total Dawn Parkwy M12 Toll	0.0856	1,074	6,562	6,678	6,794	6,909	7,047	7,184	7,321	7,458	7,596
	Kirkwall CDA 135 TJ											
21	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended) Toll	0.1674										
22	Yr 1 Demand Proration Factor (2/12)		0.1667									
23	Demand Level (TJ)		135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0
24	Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost		1,374.8	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6
			.,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	<b>•</b> , <b>-</b>

#### Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 1 Page 1 of 3

### Burlington Oakville Pipeline Annual Revenue Requirements

Line	(\$000's CDN except for tolls \$/GJ)	<u>2026</u> 11	<u>2027</u> 12	<u>2028</u> 13	<u>2029</u> 14	<u>2030</u> 15	<u>2031</u> 16	<u>2032</u> 17	<u>2033</u> 18	<u>2034</u> 19	<u>2035</u> 20
	Rate Base Investment										
1	Capital Expenditures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Cummulative Capex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Average Investment	95,437	93,029	90,622	88,214	85,807	83,399	80,992	78,584	76,177	73,769
	Total Cost of Service										
4	Operating Costs:										
5	O&M Expenses	19	20	20	20	42	130	22	22	22	23
6	Depreciation Expense	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408
7	Municipal Taxes	140	143	146	149	152	155	158	161	164	168
8	Total Operating Costs	2,567	2,570	2,573	2,577	2,602	2,692	2,587	2,591	2,594	2,598
9	Required Return	5,755	5,610	5,464	5,319	5,174	5,029	4,884	4,739	4,593	4,448
10	Income Taxes	561	657	742	815	879	934	981	1,022	1,056	1,085
11	Required Return and Taxes	6,315	6,267	6,206	6,134	6,053	5,963	5,865	5,760	5,650	5,533
12	Total Cost of Service	8,882	8,837	8,780	8,711	8,655	8,655	8,452	8,351	8,244	8,131
	Demands										
14	Demand Level	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6
15	Add Growth	44.5	48.5	52.5	56.5	60.5	63.2	65.9	68.5	71.2	73.9
16	Demands Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
17	Total Peak Day Demands	247.1	251.1	255.1	259.1	263.1	265.8	268.5	271.2	273.9	276.5
	Allocated Dawn Parkway Tolls										
13	Yr 1 Demand Proration Factor (2 / 12) Toll										
18	Dawn to Kirkwall M12 Toll 0.072	,	6,608	6,714	6,819	6,924	6,995	7,066	7,136	7,207	7,278
19	Kirkwall to Parkway 0.013	,	1,237	1,257	1,277	1,296	1,310	1,323	1,336	1,349	1,363
20	Total Dawn Parkwy M12 Toll 0.0850	6 7,721	7,846	7,971	8,096	8,221	8,305	8,388	8,472	8,556	8,640
21 22	Kirkwall CDA 135 TJ Kirkwall - CDA (Amended) Toll 0.1674 Yr 1 Demand Proration Factor (2/12)	4									
23	Demand Level (TJ)	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0
24	Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6

#### Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 1 Page 2 of 3

### Burlington Oakville Pipeline Annual Revenue Requirements

Line	(\$000's CDN except for tolls \$/GJ)	<u>2036</u> 21	<u>2037</u> 22	<u>2038</u> 23	<u>2039</u> 24	<u>2040</u> 25	<u>2041</u> 26	<u>2042</u> 27	<u>2043</u> 28	<u>2044</u> 29	<u>2045</u> 30
	Rate Base Investment										
1	Capital Expenditures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	Cummulative Capex	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	Average Investment	71,362	68,954	66,547	64,139	61,732	59,324	56,917	54,509	52,102	49,694
	Total Cost of Service										
4	Operating Costs:										
5	O&M Expenses	23	24	24	25	25	26	26	27	27	50
6	Depreciation Expense	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408	2,408
7	Municipal Taxes	171	174	178	181	185	189	193	196	200	204
8	Total Operating Costs	2,602	2,606	2,610	2,614	2,618	2,622	2,626	2,631	2,635	2,662
9	Required Return	4,303	4,158	4,013	3,868	3,722	3,577	3,432	3,287	3,142	2,997
10	Income Taxes	1,109	1,129	1,144	1,156	1,165	1,170	1,173	1,173	1,171	1,167
11	Required Return and Taxes	5,412	5,287	5,157	5,024	4,887	4,748	4,605	4,460	4,313	4,164
12	Total Cost of Service	8,014	7,892	7,767	7,638	7,505	7,370	7,232	7,091	6,948	6,825
	Demands										
14	Demand Level	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6	148.6
15	Add Growth	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9
16	Demands Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
17	Total Peak Day Demands	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
	Allocated Dawn Parkway Tolls										
13	Yr 1 Demand Proration Factor (2/12) Toll										
18	Dawn to Kirkwall M12 Toll 0.072	,	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278	7,278
19	Kirkwall to Parkway 0.013	,	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363
20	Total Dawn Parkwy M12 Toll 0.0856	6 8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640
	Kirkwall CDA 135 TJ										
21	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended) Toll 0.1674	4									
22	Yr 1 Demand Proration Factor (2/12)			_							
23	Demand Level (TJ)	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0
24	Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6	8,248.6

#### Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 1 Page 3 of 3

# CALCULATION OF COSTS Burlington Oakville Project

Alternative Proposa	I Adjusted for	Operations	(Ave Day)
---------------------	----------------	------------	-----------

	Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations ()	Ave Day)	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	Calculations based on Calendar Years		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>
	Alternative Proposal Average Day		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
	Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline (	("BOP")									
	Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = ave	. ,									
	starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL	•									
	Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always										
	direct Kirkwall to Parkway	s snips									
	Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and	opply M12 to									
	Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall		<b>J</b> II								
	to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.	to equate									
	Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day require Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)										
	Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less exist										
	interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll	ing									
1	Growth	ТJ	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.4
2	Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)	TJ	148.6	-	-	-	-				
3	Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	TJ	54.0								
4	Demand Sub Total	TJ	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6
5	Add Growth	TJ	3.7	7.4	11.1	14.8	18.5	22.9	27.3	31.7	36.1
6	Peak Demand	ТJ	206.3	210.0	213.7	217.4	221.1	225.5	229.9	234.3	238.7
7	Factor for Average vs Peak Day	34%									
8	Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)	ТJ	70.2	71.4	72.7	73.9	75.2	76.7	78.2	79.7	81.2
9	Minimum day as % of Peak Demand	10%									
10	Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)	TJ	20.6	21.0	21.4	21.7	22.1	22.6	23.0	23.4	23.9
11	Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn)	TJ	49.5	50.4	51.3	52.2	53.1	54.1	55.2	56.2	57.3
12	Peak Demand (Line 6)	ТJ	206.3	210.0	213.7	217.4	221.1	225.5	229.9	234.3	238.7
13	Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)	TJ	70.2	71.4	72.7	73.9	75.2	76.7	78.2	79.7	81.2
14	Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall	TJ	136.2	138.6	141.1	143.5	146.0	148.9	151.8	154.7	157.5
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
15	Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)	ТJ	206.3	210.0	213.7	217.4	221.1	225.5	229.9	234.3	238.7
16	Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	TJ	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
17	Parkway to CDA Net of Line 3	TJ	152.3	156.0	159.7	163.4	167.1	171.5	175.9	180.3	184.7
18	Kirkwall to CDA Demands	ТJ	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 1 of 6

2025 <u>10</u>	2026 <u>11</u>	2027 <u>12</u>	2028 <u>13</u>	2029 <u>14</u>
4.4	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6
40.5 243.1	44.5 247.1	48.5 251.1	52.5 255.1	56.5 259.1
82.7	84.0	85.4	86.7	88.1
04.0	04.7			25.0
24.3 58.3	24.7 59.3	25.1 60.3	25.5 61.2	25.9 62.2
243.1	247.1	251.1	255.1	259.1
82.7	84.0	85.4	86.7	88.1
160.4	163.1	165.7	168.4	171.0
243.1	247.1	251.1	255.1	259.1
54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
189.1	193.1	197.1	201.1	205.1
135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0

# CALCULATION OF COSTS Burlington Oakville Project

Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations (Ave Day)	
--	--

		2	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	Calculations based on Calendar Years		<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>
	Alternative Proposal Average Day		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOF	P")									
	Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average										
	starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll										
	Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ship	S									
	direct Kirkwall to Parkway										
	Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply	y M12 toll									
	Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to eq	quate									
	to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.										
	Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement	t									
	Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)										
	Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing										
	interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Note: Year 1 costs Prorated to 2 months										
	to align with Calendar Yr Revenue Requirement COS alterna	ative									
19	•	0.1667									
	Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL)										
20	Average Day (Line 8)	TJ	70.2	71.4	72.7	73.9	75.2	76.7	78.2	79.7	81.2
21 22	<b>e</b>	\$/GJ 000's	0.2239 \$956	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239 \$6.267	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239
22	Cost Niagara - Kirkwali (TCPL) CDA Toli \$1	000 \$	<b>4</b> 900	\$5,836	\$5,939	\$6,042	\$6,145	\$6,267	\$6,389	\$6,511	\$6,633
	Kirkwall - Dawn (Union)										
23		TJ	49.5	50.4	51.3	52.2	53.1	54.1	55.2	56.2	57.3
24			0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371
25	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn \$	000's	\$112	\$683	\$695	\$707	\$719	\$733	\$747	\$762	\$776
	Dawn to Kirkwall (Union)										
26		TJ	136.2	138.6	141.1	143.5	146.0	148.9	151.8	154.7	157.5
27	Dawn to Kirkwall (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721
28	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall \$	000's	597	3,648	3,712	3,777	3,841	3,917	3,994	4,070	4,146
	Kirkwall - Parkway (Union)										
29		ТJ	206	210	214	217	221	226	230	234	239
30			0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
31		000's	169	1,035	1,053	1,071	1,090	1,111	1,133	1,155	1,176
32	Parkway to Burlington/Oakville (CDA via TCPL) Parkway to Burl/Oakville Net of Line 17	ТJ	152	156	160	163	167	172	176	180	185
33			0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
34	· · · ·	000's	1,448	8,901	9,113	9,324	9,535	9,786	10,036	10,287	10,538
			,	,	,	,	,	,		,	,
05	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended)	<b>T</b> 1	405	405	405	405	405	405	405	405	405
35	•	TJ	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
36 37		\$/GJ 000's	0.1674 1,375	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249	0.1674 8,249
57		0003	1,070	0,243	0,243	0,243	0,243	0,243	0,243	0,243	0,243
	Summary Alternative Proposal Average Day										
38	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll		956	5,836	5,939	6,042	6,145	6,267	6,389	6,511	6,633
39	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn		112	683	695	707	719	733	747	762	776
40	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall		597	3,648	3,712	3,777	3,841	3,917	3,994	4,070	4,146
41	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway		169	1,035	1,053	1,071	1,090	1,111	1,133	1,155	1,176
42	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)		1,448	8,901	9,113	9,324	9,535	9,786	10,036	10,287	10,538
43	Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost		3,282	20,103	20,512	20,920	21,329	21,814	22,299	22,784	23,269
44	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to CDA		1,375	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
44 45	Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost With Kirk CDA	Cost	4,657	0,249 28,352	8,249 28,760	0,249 29,169	0,249 29,578	8,249 30,063	0,249 30,548	0,249 31,033	0,249 31,517
	Allomative Frepeour Average Day Total Cost With MIK ODA		4,001	20,002	20,100	20,100	20,070	00,000	00,040	01,000	01,017

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 2 of 6

2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>

82.7	84.0	85.4	86.7	88.1
0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239
\$6,755	\$6,866	\$6,977	\$7,088	\$7,200
58.3	59.3	60.3	61.2	62.2
0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371
\$790	\$803	\$816	\$829	\$842
160.4	163.1	165.7	168.4	171.0
0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721
4,222	4,292	4,361	4,431	4,500
243	247	251	255	259
0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
1,198	1,218	1,237	1,257	1,277
189	193	197	201	205
0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
10,788	11,017	11,245	11,473	11,701
135	135	135	135	135
0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674
8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
6,755	6,866	6,977	7,088	7,200
790	803	816	829	842
4,222	4,292	4,361	4,431	4,500
1,198	1,218	1,237	1,257	1,277
10,788	11,017	11,245	11,473	11,701
23,754	24,195	24,637	25,079	25,520
8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
32,002	32,444	32,886	33,327	33,769

# CALCULATION OF COSTS Burlington Oakville Project

Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations (A	,,	2020	2021	2022	2022	2024	2025	2026	2027	2038
Calculations based on Calendar Years										<u>2030</u>
		10	<u></u>	<u></u>	10	10	<u> 20</u>	<u></u>		<u> </u>
	,									
	•									
	snips									
	nnly M12 tr									
	oquato									
	nont									
	lent									
	a									
• •	3									
Growth	TJ	4.0	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.7	-	-	-
Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)	ТJ									
Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	ТJ									
Demand Sub Total	TJ	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6
										73.9
		263.1	265.8	268.5	271.2	273.9	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
		89.5	90.4	91.3	92.2	93.1	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
		20.2	20.0	00.0	07.4	07.4	07.7	07.7	07.7	07.7
										27.7 66.4
Ave Day minus minimum (Ship to Dawn)	IJ	03.1	03.0	04.4	03.1	00.7	00.4	00.4	00.4	00.4
Peak Demand (Line 6)	ТJ	263.1	265.8	268.5	271.2	273.9	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)	ТJ	89.5	90.4	91.3	92.2	93.1	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall	TJ	173.7	175.4	177.2	179.0	180.7	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5
Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)	ТJ	263.1	265.8	268.5	271.2	273.9	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	TJ	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
Parkway to CDA Net of Line 3	TJ	209.1	211.8	214.5	217.2	219.9	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5
Kirkwall to CDA Demands	TJ	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0	135.0
	<ul> <li>Calculations based on Calendar Years</li> <li>Alternative Proposal Average Day</li> <li>Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("I Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = avera starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL T</li> <li>Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always se direct Kirkwall to Parkway</li> <li>Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and a Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.</li> <li>Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirend Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway)</li> <li>Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth</li> <li>Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)</li> <li>Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)</li> <li>Demand Sub Total</li> <li>Add Growth</li> <li>Peak Demand</li> <li>Factor for Average vs Peak Day</li> <li>Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)</li> <li>Minimum day as % of Peak Demand</li> <li>Minimum day as % of Peak Demand</li> <li>Minimum day as % of Peak Demand</li> <li>Minimum day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall</li> <li>Line 6)</li> <li>Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)</li> <li>Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall</li> <li>Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)</li> <li>Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)</li> <li>Parkway to CDA Net of Line 3</li> </ul>	Calculations based on Calendar Years         Alternative Proposal Average Day         Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway         Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 to Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.         Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)         Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth       TJ         Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)       TJ         Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)       TJ         Demand Sub Total       TJ         Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)       TJ         Minimum day as % of Peak Demand       10%         Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)       TJ         Average Day = (Kirkwall (Line 8)       TJ         Peak Demand (Line 6)       TJ         Less Average Day @ Kirkwall       TJ         Peak Demand (Line 6)       TJ         Less Average Day @ Kirkwall       TJ         Peak Demand (Line 6)       TJ	Calculations based on Calendar Years2030Alternative Proposal Average Day15Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJGurrent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6Add GrowthTJ60.5Peak DemandTJ203.6Add GrowthTJ89.5Minimum day as % of Peak Demand10% Minimum day s% of Peak Demand10% Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJPeak Demand (Line 6)TJ263.1Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ263.1Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ263.1Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand)TJ263.1Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ263.1Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ263.1Peak Demand (Line 6)TJ263.1Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ77.7Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)TJ263.1Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)TJ54.0<	Calculations based on Calendar Years20302031Alternative Proposal Average Day1516Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")5hip Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJ4.02.7Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ60.563.22Peak DemandTJ263.1265.8Factor for Average vs Peak Day34% Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJ89.590.4Minimum day as % of Peak Demand10% Minimum day as % of Peak DemandTJ263.1265.8Peak Demand (Line 6)TJ263.1265.8265.826.6Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ89.590.4Peak Day ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ17.377.7 <td>Calculations based on Calendar Years203020312032Alternative Proposal Average Day151617Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to ParkwayDrop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJGrowthTJ4.02.72.7Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ60.563.265.9Peak DemandTJ263.1265.8268.5Factor for Average vs Peak Day34% Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand10% Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Laverage Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ89.590.491.391.3Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ89.590.491.3Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ89.590.491.3Peak Dem</td> <td>Calculations based on Calendar Years15161718Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.101718Ship Kirkwall to Parkway Orothcat TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJ4.02.72.72.7Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy) TJTJ202.6202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ263.1265.8268.5271.2271.2271.2271.2Rator for Average va Peak Day Minimum day as % of Peak Day less Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8261.2271.2Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum (Ship to Dawn)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ173.7175.4177.2179.0Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA hot Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8&lt;</td> <td>Calculations based on Calendar Years20302031203220332034Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum, Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 to Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.5222<td>Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         203           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20           Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth, Apply CPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Sub Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         202.6<td>Calculations based on Calendar Years         2030         2031         2032         2033         2034         2035         2036           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20         21           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Nagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCP Toll         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx           Days demand more than average ship Daw to Kirkwall to equate to ful demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 UII.         Ship Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway)         Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton + Pkwy)         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)         TJ         4.0         2.7</td><td>2030         2031         2032         2031         2034         2035         2036         2037           Calculations based on Calendar Years Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagart NetWood 1074% of Peak damand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Daw, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand fuck Navali. Apply M2 toll.         2.7</td></td></td>	Calculations based on Calendar Years203020312032Alternative Proposal Average Day151617Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to ParkwayDrop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJGrowthTJ4.02.72.7Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ60.563.265.9Peak DemandTJ263.1265.8268.5Factor for Average vs Peak Day34% Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand10% Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Laverage Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ89.590.491.391.3Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ89.590.491.3Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8268.5Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ89.590.491.3Peak Dem	Calculations based on Calendar Years15161718Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.101718Ship Kirkwall to Parkway Orothcat TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJ4.02.72.72.7Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy) TJTJ202.6202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ263.1265.8268.5271.2271.2271.2271.2Rator for Average va Peak Day Minimum day as % of Peak Day less Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8261.2271.2Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum (Ship to Dawn)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ263.1265.8266.5271.2Less Average Day @ KirkwallTJ173.7175.4177.2179.0Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA hot Burl/Okville)TJ263.1265.8<	Calculations based on Calendar Years20302031203220332034Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum, Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 to Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.5222 <td>Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         203           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20           Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth, Apply CPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Sub Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         202.6<td>Calculations based on Calendar Years         2030         2031         2032         2033         2034         2035         2036           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20         21           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Nagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCP Toll         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx           Days demand more than average ship Daw to Kirkwall to equate to ful demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 UII.         Ship Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway)         Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton + Pkwy)         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)         TJ         4.0         2.7</td><td>2030         2031         2032         2031         2034         2035         2036         2037           Calculations based on Calendar Years Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagart NetWood 1074% of Peak damand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Daw, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand fuck Navali. Apply M2 toll.         2.7</td></td>	Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         203           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20           Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth, Apply CPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Sub Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth         TJ         202.6 <td>Calculations based on Calendar Years         2030         2031         2032         2033         2034         2035         2036           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20         21           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Nagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCP Toll         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx           Days demand more than average ship Daw to Kirkwall to equate to ful demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 UII.         Ship Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton &amp; Parkway)         Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton + Pkwy)         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)         TJ         4.0         2.7</td> <td>2030         2031         2032         2031         2034         2035         2036         2037           Calculations based on Calendar Years Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagart NetWood 1074% of Peak damand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Daw, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand fuck Navali. Apply M2 toll.         2.7</td>	Calculations based on Calendar Years         2030         2031         2032         2033         2034         2035         2036           Calculations based on Calendar Years         15         16         17         18         19         20         21           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Nagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCP Toll         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx         Immun Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tx           Days demand more than average ship Daw to Kirkwall to equate to ful demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 UII.         Ship Kirkwall to Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton & Parkway)         Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnects (Milton + Pkwy)         TJ         4.0         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7         2.7           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)         TJ         4.0         2.7	2030         2031         2032         2031         2034         2035         2036         2037           Calculations based on Calendar Years Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagart NetWood 1074% of Peak damand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Daw, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand more than average ship Dawn, and apply M12 k Days demand fuck Navali. Apply M2 toll.         2.7

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 3 of 6

2039 <u>24</u>	2040 <u>25</u>	2041 <u>26</u>
	-	-
202.6 73.9 276.5	202.6 73.9 276.5	202.6 73.9 276.5
94.0	94.0	94.0
27.7 66.4	27.7 66.4	27.7 66.4
276.5 94.0 182.5	276.5 94.0 182.5	276.5 94.0 182.5
276.5 54.0 222.5	276.5 54.0 222.5	276.5 54.0 222.5
135.0	135.0	135.0

# **CALCULATION OF COSTS**

	CALCULATION OF COSTS										
	Burlington Oakville Project										
	Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations (A	Ave Day)									
			2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038
	Calculations based on Calendar Years		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>
	Alternative Proposal Average Day										
	Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline (										
	Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = ave	•									
	starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL										
	Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always	ships									
	direct Kirkwall to Parkway										
	Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall										
	to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.	io equale									
		mont									
	Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day require Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)										
	Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less exist										
	interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll										
	Note: Year 1 costs Prorated to 2 months										
	to align with Calendar Yr Revenue Requirement COS a										
19	2 Month Factor	0.1667									
	Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL)	1									
20	Average Day (Line 8)	<b>T</b> J	89.5	90.4	91.3	92.2	93.1	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
21	Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	\$/GJ	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239
22	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	\$ 000's	\$7,311	\$7,385	\$7,460	\$7,535	\$7,609	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684
	Kirkwall - Dawn (Union)	1									
23	Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn) (Line 11)	J TJ	63.1	63.8	64.4	65.1	65.7	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4
24	Kirkwall - Dawn (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371
25	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn	\$ 000's	\$855	\$864	\$873	\$881	\$890	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899
		-									
00	Dawn to Kirkwall (Union)	J <sub>-</sub> ,	470 7	475 4	477.0	470.0	400 7	400 5	400 5	400 5	400 5
26	Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall (Line 14) Dawn to Kirkwall (Union) Toll	TJ \$/GJ	173.7 0.0721	175.4 0.0721	177.2 0.0721	179.0 0.0721	180.7 0.0721	182.5 0.0721	182.5 0.0721	182.5 0.0721	182.5 0.0721
27 28	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall	\$/00's	4,570	4,617	4,663	4,710	4,757	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803
20		φ 000 0	1,070	1,017	1,000	1,1 10	1,101	1,000	1,000	1,000	1,000
	Kirkwall - Parkway (Union)	]									
29	Kirkwall to Parkway (Line 15)	TJ	263	266	268	271	274	277	277	277	277
30	Kirkwall - Parkway (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
31	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway	\$ 000's	1,296	1,310	1,323	1,336	1,349	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363
	Parkway to Burlington/Oakville (CDA via TCPL)	1									
32	Parkway to Burl/Oakville Net of Line 17	ΤJ	209	212	214	217	220	223	223	223	223
33	Parkway to CDA Toll (TCPL)	\$/GJ	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
34	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	\$ 000's	11,930	12,083	12,236	12,389	12,543	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696
	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended)	1									
35	Ship Kirkwall to CDA (Line 18)	<b>Т</b> Ј	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
36	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended) Toll	\$/GJ	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674
37	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to CDA	\$ 000's	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
	Summary Alternative Proposal Average Day	1									_
38	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll		7,311	7,385	7,460	7,535	7,609	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684
39 40	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn		855 4 570	864 4,617	873 4 663	881 4 710	890 4 757	899 4,803	899 4 803	899 4 803	899 4,803
40 41	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway		4,570 1,296	4,617 1,310	4,663 1,323	4,710 1,336	4,757 1,349	4,803 1,363	4,803 1,363	4,803 1,363	4,803 1,363
41	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)		11,930	12,083	12,236	12,389	12,543	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696
43	Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost		25,962	26,258	26,555	26,851	27,148	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444

44 Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to CDA 8,249 8,249 8,249 8,249 8,249 8,249 8,249 8,249 Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost With Kirk CDA Cost 35,693 45 34,211 34,803 35,396 35,693 35,693 34,507 35,100 35,693

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 4 of 6

2039	2040	2041
<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>

94.0	94.0	94.0
0.2239	0.2239	0.2239
\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684
66.4	66.4	66.4
0.0371	0.0371	0.0371
\$899	\$899	\$899
182.5	182.5	182.5
0.0721	0.0721	0.0721
4,803	4,803	4,803
277	277	277
0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
1,363	1,363	1,363
223	223	223
0.1563	0.1563	0.1563
12,696	12,696	12,696
135	135	135
0.1674	0.1674	0.1674
8,249	8,249	8,249
7,684	7,684	7,684
899	899	899
4,803	4,803	4,803
1,363	1,363	1,363
12,696	12,696	12,696
27,444	27,444	27,444
8,249	8,249	8,249
35,693	35,693	35,693

8,249

# CALCULATION OF COSTS Burlington Oakville Project

Alternative Proposal	Adjusted for	Operations	(Ave Day)
----------------------	--------------	------------	-----------

Alternative Proposal Aujusted for Operations (A	we Day)														
Calculations based on Calendar Years															2055 <u>40</u>
Alternative Pronosal Average Day		_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	"BOP")														
	,														
	Ships														
	apply M12 tr														
	io oquato														
	mont														
	ment														
	na														
Growth	TJ	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)	TJ														
Demand Sub Total	ТJ	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6	202.6
Add Growth	ТJ	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9	73.9
Peak Demand	TJ	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
Factor for Average vs Peak Day															
		94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
															27.7
Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn)	IJ	00.4	00.4	66.4	00.4	00.4	00.4	00.4	66.4	66.4	00.4	00.4	00.4	00.4	66.4
Peak Demand (Line 6)	ТJ	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
	ТJ	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall	TJ	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5
Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)	TJ	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5	276.5
Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	ΤJ	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0	54.0
Parkway to CDA Net of Line 3	TJ	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5	222.5
	Calculations based on Calendar Years Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ( Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = aver starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll. Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day require Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existic interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll Growth Current Peak Demand (2015 demand) Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy) Demand Sub Total Add Growth Peak Demand Factor for Average vs Peak Day Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum day as % of Peak Demand Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville) Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn) Peak Demand (Line 6) Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8) Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6) Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)	Alternative Proposal Average DayDescription of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 to Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthGrowthTJCurrent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJSupply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)TJDemand Sub TotalTJAdd GrowthTJFactor for Average vs Peak Day34%Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJMinimum day as % of Peak Demand10%Minimum day as % of Peak DemandTJAverage Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJPeak Demand (Line 6)TJLess Average Day @ KirkwallTJKirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)TJKirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)TJSupply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)TJ	2042Calculations based on Calendar Years217Alternative Proposal Average DayDescription of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJCurrent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6Add GrowthTJ276.5Factor for Average vs Peak Day34% Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJPeak DemandTJ94.0Minimum day as % of Peak Demand10% Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ27.7Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn)TJ94.0Peak Demand (Line 6)TJ276.5Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)TJ94.0Peak Day Ship Dawn to KirkwallTJ182.5Kirkwall to Parkway (Peak Demand Line 6)TJ276.5Supply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)TJ54.0	Calculations based on Calendar Years2042 272043 28Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 T J at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJ-Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)T J202.6202.6Add GrowthT J27.973.9Peak DemandT J202.6202.6Add GrowthT J27.527.65Factor for Average vs Peak Day Marage Day = (Factor * Peak Demand) Minimum day as % of Peak Demand Minimum day as % of Peak Demand Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)T J27.7Peak Demand (Line 6)T J27.727.7Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn)T J94.094.0Minimum day Ship Dawn to KirkwallT J94.094.0Minimum day Ship to Dawn)T J27.527.65Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)T J94.094.0Peak Demand (Line 6)T J27.6527.65Less Average Day @ Kirkwall (Line 8)T J94.094.0Peak Demand (L	204220432044Calculations based on Calendar Years272829Alternative Proposal Average DayDescription of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway at M12 toll for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJ-Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ73.973.973.9Peak DemandTJ27.727.6.5276.5Factor for Average vs Peak Day34% Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJ94.094.0Minimum day as % of Peak DemandTJ27.727.727.7Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJ27.727.727.7Average Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn)TJ27.6.5276.5276.5Lactor for Average vs Peak DemandTJ27.727.727.7Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJ27.727.727.7Average Day = Maxing Minimum day (Summer flow to Burl/Okville)TJ27.727.727.7Average	Calculations based on Calendar Years2042 272043 282044 292045 30Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.View of the state of the st	Calculations based on Calendar Years2042 272043 282044 292045 302046 31Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP") Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall Apply M12 toll.Verage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall to CDA for Peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJCurrent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.6202.6202.6202.6202.6202.6Add GrowthTJ73.973.973.973.973.973.973.9Peak DemandTJ202.627.727.727.727.727.7Peak DemandTJ94.094.094.094.0Minimum day as % of Peak Demand)TJ27.727.727.727.727.7Average Day = (Factor * Peak Demand)TJ27.65276.5276.5276.5276.5Minimum day as % of Peak DemandTJ27.727.727.727.727.727.7Average Day as (Factor * Peak Dem	Calculations based on Calendar Years2042 272043 282044 292045 302046 312047 32Alternative Proposal Average Day Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ('BOP') Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway2042 Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 to Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requirement Torp 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway) Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existing interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll GrowthTJCurrent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJ202.620	2042204320442045204620472048Calculations based on Calendar Years27282930313233Alternative Proposal Average DayDescription of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")Ship Nikagara to Kirkwall tor 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL TollMinimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to ParkwayAverage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll To peak day requirement Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)Courtent Peak Demand (2015 demand)TJGrowthTJPeak Demand (2015 demand)TJSupply through Existing Connections (Milton + Pkwy)TJPeak DemandTJ276.5<	2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049           Calculations based on Calendar Years         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34           Alternative Proposal Average Day         Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Ship Kikwall for 34% of Peak demand = average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Nimimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kikwall to Parkway         Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr.           Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to equate to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 trl.         Ship Kirkwall M12 Toll: Top peak day requirement         Top 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)           Current Peak Demand (2015 demand)         TJ         - </td <td>2014         2015         2015         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2014         <th< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36           Alternative Proposal Average Day         Description of calculatons: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ('BOP')         Ship Nigare Mark         Ship Nigare Mark<td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect starting the comparison of the starting interconnect formations.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation is trikinvalit to equate to full demand at Krikwalit. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation.         Visit of the startin starting interconnect formation.</td><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053           Calculations based on Calendar Years         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38           Description of calculations: Burlington Calcille Pipeline ("BOP")         Signa and a average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil Minimum Damand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand to rot tan average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to alve guess existing interconnects (Mitton &amp; Parkway)         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         <td< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053         2054           Calculations based on Calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Zi         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39           Description of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Site of a calculation as werage day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil         Minimum Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days damand into thin avarage ship Dawn to Kifkwall to equate to full demand at Kifkwall. Apply M12 toil.         Ship Kifkwall Site To Parkway         Kifkwall Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site</td></td<></td></td></th<></td>	2014         2015         2015         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2016         2014 <th< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36           Alternative Proposal Average Day         Description of calculatons: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ('BOP')         Ship Nigare Mark         Ship Nigare Mark<td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect starting the comparison of the starting interconnect formations.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation is trikinvalit to equate to full demand at Krikwalit. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation.         Visit of the startin starting interconnect formation.</td><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053           Calculations based on Calendar Years         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38           Description of calculations: Burlington Calcille Pipeline ("BOP")         Signa and a average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil Minimum Damand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand to rot tan average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to alve guess existing interconnects (Mitton &amp; Parkway)         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         <td< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053         2054           Calculations based on Calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Zi         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39           Description of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Site of a calculation as werage day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil         Minimum Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days damand into thin avarage ship Dawn to Kifkwall to equate to full demand at Kifkwall. Apply M12 toil.         Ship Kifkwall Site To Parkway         Kifkwall Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site</td></td<></td></td></th<>	2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36           Alternative Proposal Average Day         Description of calculatons: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ('BOP')         Ship Nigare Mark         Ship Nigare Mark <td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect starting the comparison of the starting interconnect formations.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation is trikinvalit to equate to full demand at Krikwalit. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation.         Visit of the startin starting interconnect formation.</td> <td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053           Calculations based on Calendar Years         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38           Description of calculations: Burlington Calcille Pipeline ("BOP")         Signa and a average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil Minimum Damand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand to rot tan average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to alve guess existing interconnects (Mitton &amp; Parkway)         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         <td< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053         2054           Calculations based on Calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Zi         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39           Description of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Site of a calculation as werage day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil         Minimum Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days damand into thin avarage ship Dawn to Kifkwall to equate to full demand at Kifkwall. Apply M12 toil.         Ship Kifkwall Site To Parkway         Kifkwall Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site</td></td<></td>	2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052           Calculations based on Calendar Years         Z7         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37           Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("BOP")         Starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toll         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 tr.         Visit of the starting at current level and adding growth. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect starting the comparison of the starting interconnect formations.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation is trikinvalit to equate to full demand at Krikwalit. Apply M12 toll.         Visit of the starting interconnect formation.         Visit of the startin starting interconnect formation.	2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053           Calculations based on Calendar Years         27         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38           Description of calculations: Burlington Calcille Pipeline ("BOP")         Signa and a average day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil Minimum Damand (10% of Design Day demand) always ships direct Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days demand to rot tan average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to alve guess existing interconnects (Mitton & Parkway)         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway         Vareage Minus Minimum; Contract to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall to Parkway <td< td=""><td>2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053         2054           Calculations based on Calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Zi         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39           Description of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Site of a calculation as werage day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil         Minimum Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days damand into thin avarage ship Dawn to Kifkwall to equate to full demand at Kifkwall. Apply M12 toil.         Ship Kifkwall Site To Parkway         Kifkwall Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site</td></td<>	2042         2043         2044         2045         2046         2047         2048         2049         2050         2051         2052         2053         2054           Calculations based on Calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Zi         28         29         30         31         32         33         34         35         36         37         38         39           Description of calculations. Burlington Oakville Pipeline (18DP!)         Site of a calculation as werage day, starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL Toil         Minimum Contract to ship to Dawn, and apply M12 tr Days damand into thin avarage ship Dawn to Kifkwall to equate to full demand at Kifkwall. Apply M12 toil.         Ship Kifkwall Site To Parkway         Kifkwall Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 5 of 6

2053	2054	2055
<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>40</u>

# CALCULATION OF COSTS Burlington Oakville Project

Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations (Ave Day)

	Alternative Proposal Adjusted for Operations (Ave Day)															
	Calculations based on Calendar Years		2042 <u>27</u>	2043 <u>28</u>	2044 <u>29</u>	2045 <u>30</u>	2046 <u>31</u>	2047 <u>32</u>	2048 <u>33</u>	2049 <u>34</u>	2050 <u>35</u>	2051 <u>36</u>	2052 <u>37</u>	2053 <u>38</u>	2054 <u>39</u>	2055 <u>40</u>
	Alternative Proposal Average Day															
	Description of calculations: Burlington Oakville Pipeline ("	BOP")														
	Ship Niagara to Kirkwall for 34% of Peak demand = avera	,														
	starting at current level and adding growth. Apply TCPL 1	• •														
	Minimum Demand (10% of Design Day demand) always	snips														
	direct Kirkwall to Parkway															
	Average minus Minimum; Contract to ship to Dawn, and a															
	Days demand more than average ship Dawn to Kirkwall to	o equate														
	to full demand at Kirkwall. Apply M12 toll.															
	Ship Kirkwall to Parkway at M12 toll for peak day requiren	nent														
	Drop 54 TJ at Existing Interconnects (Milton & Parkway)															
	Contract TCPL Parkway to CDA for Peak Day less existin	ng														
	interconnect demands (54TJ), apply CDA Toll	-														
	Note: Year 1 costs Prorated to 2 months															
	to align with Calendar Yr Revenue Requirement COS alt	ernative														
19	2 Month Factor	0.1667														
	Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL)															
20	Average Day (Line 8)	ТJ	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0	94.0
21	Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	\$/GJ	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239	0.2239
22	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	\$ 000's	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684	\$7,684
22	Kirkwall - Dawn (Union)	<b>T</b> 1	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4
23	Ave Day minus Minimum (Ship to Dawn) (Line 11)	TJ	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4	66.4		66.4	66.4	66.4
24	Kirkwall - Dawn (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371
25	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn	\$ 000's	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899	\$899
	Dawn to Kirkwall (Union)															
26	Peak Day Ship Dawn to Kirkwall (Line 14)	ТJ	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5	182.5
27	Dawn to Kirkwall (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721	0.0721
28	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall	\$ 000's	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803
		•	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
	Kirkwall - Parkway (Union)															
29	Kirkwall to Parkway (Line 15)	ТJ	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277	277
30	Kirkwall - Parkway (Union) Toll	\$/GJ	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135	0.0135
31	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway	\$ 000's	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363
	Parkway to Burlington/Oakville (CDA via TCPL)															
22	Parkway to Burl/Oakville Net of Line 17	τı	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	223	222
32	Parkway to CDA Toll (TCPL)	TJ \$/GJ	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	0.1563	223 0.1563
33 34	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	\$ 000's	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696
34		φ 000 S	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090	12,090
	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended)															
35	Ship Kirkwall to CDA (Line 18)	TJ	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135
36	Kirkwall - CDA (Amended) Toll	\$/GJ	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674	0.1674
37	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to CDA	\$ 000's	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
		+	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,	-,_ · ·
	Summary Alternative Proposal Average Day															
38	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll		7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684
39	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn		899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899	899
40	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall		4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803	4,803
40	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway		1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363	1,363
42	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)		12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696
43	Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost		27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444
-	,		,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,	,
44	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to CDA		8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
45	Alternative Proposal Average Day Total Cost With Kirk C	DA Cost	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693
	· • •															

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 2 Page 6 of 6

2053	2054	2055
<u>38</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>40</u>

	<u>Comparison Proposed Project</u> (Build) vs Alternative Proposal Burlington Oakville Project Calculations based on Calendar Years		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 3 Page 1 of 3
Line			<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-4											
1 2 3 4	Proposed Project (Build) Case Build: Revenue Requirement Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost Figure 5-4 Total Build		77 1,074 1,375 2,526	8,283 6,562 8,249 23,094	8,530 6,678 8,249 23,457	8,641 6,794 8,249 23,684	8,760 6,909 8,249 23,918	8,843 7,047 8,249 24,138	8,896 7,184 8,249 24,328	8,923 7,321 8,249 24,492	8,927 7,458 8,249 24,634	8,913 7,596 8,249 24,757
	Build References											
5	Revenue Requirement: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 12											
6 7	Allocated DP Tolls: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 20 Allocated Kirkwall: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 24)											
	Operationalized Alternative Proposal											
8	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21)		2,404	14,737	15,052	15,366	15,680	16,053	16,425	16,798	17,171	17,543
9	Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25)		878	5,366	5,460	5,555	5,649	5,762	5,874	5,986	6,098	6,210
10	Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		1,375	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
11	Figure 5-4 Total Operationalized Alternative		4,657	28,352	28,760	29,169	29,578	30,063	30,548	31,033	31,517	32,002
12	Figure 5-4 difference (Line 4 - 11)		(2,131)	(5,257)	(5,304)	(5,485)	(5,660)	(5,924)	(6,219)	(6,540)	(6,883)	(7,245)
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-5											
	Proposed Project (Build) Case: Same data as Figure 5-4											
13	Same data Figure 5-4 Total Build (Line 4)		2,526	23,094	23,457	23,684	23,918	24,138	24,328	24,492	24,634	24,757
4.4	Operationalized Alternative Proposal		0.404	44 707	45.050	45.000	45 000	40.050	40 405	40 700	47 474	47 5 40
14 15	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21) Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25)		2,404 878	14,737 5,366	15,052 5,460	15,366 5,555	15,680 5,649	16,053 5,762	16,425 5,874	16,798 5,986	17,171 6,098	17,543 6,210
16	Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		-	- 5,500	- 3,400	- 0,000	- 5,049	- 5,702	- 3,074	5,500	- 0,030	-
17	Figure 5-5 Total Operationalized Alternative		3,282	20,103	20,512	20,920	21,329	21,814	22,299	22,784	23,269	23,754
18	Figure 5-5 difference (Line 13-17)		(757)	2,991	2,945	2,763	2,589	2,324	2,029	1,708	1,366	1,004
	<b>References</b> Alternative Proposal TCPL Costs Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines 38,42)											
19	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	-	956	5,836	5,939	6,042	6,145	6,267	6,389	6,511	6,633	6,755
20	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	-	1,448	8,901	9,113	9,324	9,535	9,786	10,036	10,287	10,538	10,788
21	Alternative Proposal Total Paid to TCPL		2,404	14,737	15,052	15,366	15,680	16,053	16,425	16,798	17,171	17,543
	Alternative Proposal Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls											
າາ	Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines , Lines 39-41) Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn	_	111.8	682.6	694.6	706.7	718.7	733.0	747.3	761.5	775.8	790.1
22 23	Cost to Ship Kirkwai to Dawn Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall	-	597.3	002.0 3,648.0	694.6 3,712.3	3,776.6	3,841.0	3,917.3	3,993.6	4,069.9	4,146.2	4,222.5
24	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway	-	169.4	1,034.9	1,053.2	1,071.4	1,089.7	1,111.3	1,133.0	1,154.6	1,176.3	1,197.9
25	Alternative Proposal Total Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls		878.5	5,365.5	5,460.1	5,554.7	5,649.3	5,761.5	5,873.8	5,986.0	6,098.2	6,210.4

	<u>Comparison Proposed Project</u> (Build) vs Alternative Proposal Burlington Oakville Project Calculations based on Calendar Years		2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 3 Page 2 of 3 <b>2035</b>
Line	Particulars \$ 000's CAD)		<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-4											
	Proposed Project (Build) Case											
1	Build: Revenue Requirement		8,882	8,837	8,780	8,711	8,655	8,655	8,452	8,351	8,244	8,131
2	Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls		7,721	7,846	7,971	8,096	8,221	8,305	8,388	8,472	8,556	8,640
3 4	Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost Figure 5-4 Total Build		8,249 24,852	8,249 24,932	8,249 24,999	8,249 25,055	8,249 25,124	8,249 25,208	8,249 25,089	8,249 25,072	8,249 25,049	8,249 25,020
	Build References											
5	Revenue Requirement: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 12											
6	Allocated DP Tolls: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 20											
7	Allocated Kirkwall: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 24)											
	<b>Operationalized Alternative Proposal</b>											
8	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21)		17,883	18,222	18,562	18,901	19,240	19,468	19,696	19,924	20,152	20,380
9	Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25)		6,313	6,415	6,517	6,619	6,722	6,790	6,859	6,927	6,996	7,065
10	Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
11	Figure 5-4 Total Operationalized Alternative		32,444	32,886	33,327	33,769	34,211	34,507	34,803	35,100	35,396	35,693
12	Figure 5-4 difference (Line 4 - 11)		(7,592)	(7,954)	(8,328)	(8,713)	(9,087)	(9,299)	(9,714)	(10,028)	(10,348)	(10,673)
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-5											
	Proposed Project (Build) Case:											
40	Same data as Figure 5-4		04.050	04.000	24.000		05 404		25.000	05 070	25.040	25.020
13	Same data Figure 5-4 Total Build (Line 4)		24,852	24,932	24,999	25,055	25,124	25,208	25,089	25,072	25,049	25,020
	Operationalized Alternative Proposal		47.000	40.000	40 500	10.004	10.010	10,100	40.000	40.004	00.450	~~~~~
14	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21)		17,883	18,222	18,562	18,901	19,240	19,468	19,696	19,924	20,152	20,380
15 16	Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25) Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		6,313	6,415	6,517	6,619	6,722	6,790	6,859	6,927	6,996 -	7,065
10	Figure 5-5 Total Operationalized Alternative		24,195	24,637	25,079	25,520	25,962	26,258	26,555	26,851	27,148	27,444
18	Figure 5-5 difference (Line 13-17)		656	295	(80)	(465)	(838)	(1,051)	(1,466)	(1,779)	(2,099)	(2,424)
	References											
	Alternative Proposal TCPL Costs											
	Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines 38,42)											
19	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	-	6,866	6,977	7,088	7,200	7,311	7,385	7,460	7,535	7,609	7,684
20	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	-	11,017	11,245	11,473	11,701	11,930	12,083	12,236	12,389	12,543	12,696
21	Alternative Proposal Total Paid to TCPL		17,883	18,222	18,562	18,901	19,240	19,468	19,696	19,924	20,152	20,380
	Alternative Proposal Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls											
	Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines , Lines 39-41)											
22	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn	-	803.1	816.1	829.1	842.1	855.1	863.8	872.6	881.3	890.0	898.7
23	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall	-	4,292.0	4,361.4	4,430.9	4,500.4	4,569.9	4,616.6	4,663.2	4,709.9	4,756.5	4,803.2
24 25	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway Alternative Proposal Total Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls	-	1,217.6 6,312.7	1,237.3 6,414.9	1,257.0 6,517.1	1,276.8 6 610 3	1,296.5 6 721 5	1,309.7 6 700 1	1,322.9 6 858 7	1,336.2	1,349.4	1,362.7 7.064.6
25	Alternative Froposal Fotal Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls		0,312.7	0,414.9	0,017.1	6,619.3	6,721.5	6,790.1	6,858.7	6,927.4	6,996.0	7,064.6

	<u>Comparison Proposed Project</u> (Build) vs Alternative Proposal Burlington Oakville Project Calculations based on Calendar Years		2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.10 Attachment 3 Page 3 of 3 <b>2045</b>
Line			<u>21</u>	22	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>	
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-4											
	Proposed Project (Build) Case											
1	Build: Revenue Requirement		8,014	7,892	7,767	7,638	7,505	7,370	7,232	7,091	6,948	6,825
2	Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls		8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640	8,640
3	Kirkwall to Amended CDA allocated cost		8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
4	Figure 5-4 Total Build		24,903	24,781	24,656	24,526	24,394	24,259	24,120	23,980	23,837	23,714
	Build References											
5	Revenue Requirement: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 12											
6	Allocated DP Tolls: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 20											
7	Allocated Kirkwall: FRPO Q10 Attachment 1, Line 24)											
	Operationalized Alternative Proposal											
8	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21)		20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380
9	Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25)		7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065
10	Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249	8,249
11	Figure 5-4 Total Operationalized Alternative		35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693	35,693
12	Figure 5-4 difference (Line 4 - 11)		(10,790)	(10,912)	(11,037)	(11,167)	(11,299)	(11,434)	(11,573)	(11,713)	(11,856)	(11,979)
Unio	n Reply Evidence Figure 5-5											
	Proposed Project (Build) Case:											
	Same data as Figure 5-4											
10			24.002	04 704	24 656	24 526	24 204	24.250	24 4 20	22.090	22 027	00 714
10	Same data Figure 5-4 Total Build (Line 4)		24,903	24,781	24,656	24,526	24,394	24,259	24,120	23,980	23,837	23,714
	<b>Operationalized Alternative Proposal</b>											
14	Total Paid to TCPL (Line 21)		20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380
15	Allocated DP Tolls (Line 25)		7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065	7,065
16	Allocated Kirkwall to CDA cost (Line 3)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Figure 5-5 Total Operationalized Alternative		27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444	27,444
18	Figure 5-5 difference (Line 13-17)		(2,541)	(2,663)	(2,789)	(2,918)	(3,050)	(3,186)	(3,324)	(3,464)	(3,607)	(3,730)
	References											
	Alternative Proposal TCPL Costs											
	Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines 38,42)											
19	Cost Niagara - Kirkwall (TCPL) CDA Toll	-	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684	7,684
20	Cost to Ship to Parkway to CDA (TCPL)	-	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696	12,696
21	Alternative Proposal Total Paid to TCPL		20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380	20,380
	Alternative Proposal Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls											
	Reference: FRPO Q10, Attachment 2, Lines , Lines 39-41)											
22	Cost to Ship Kirkwall to Dawn	-	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7	898.7
23	Cost to Ship to Dawn to Kirkwall	-	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2	4,803.2
24	Cost to Ship to Kirkwall to Parkway	-	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7	1,362.7
25	Alternative Proposal Total Allocated Dawn-Parkway Tolls		7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6	7,064.6

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.11 Page 1 of 1

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: page 30, lines 14 to 19.

<u>Preamble</u>: FRPO requires clarification of Union's understanding of TransCanada's flow schematics.

- a) Please confirm that the referenced Figure 3-2 System Schematics in TransCanada's Greater Golden Horseshoe Project shows a flow of 7615 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup>/d or approximately 287 TJ/d at MLV 209 and a flow of 5309 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup>/d at MLV 207.
- b) Is Union aware that MLV 209 is located near Ancaster and MLV 207 is located near Burlington? If not aware, please consult TransCanada and provide confirmation.
- c) Is Union aware that the difference in flow between MLV 207 and MLV 209 represents deliveries from TransCanada to Union's ECDA? If not aware, please consult TransCanada and provide confirmation.

#### **Response**:

- a) Confirmed. The flow at MLV 207 is equivalent to 200 TJ/d.
- b) Yes.
- c) Union cannot confirm that the difference in flow between TransCanada's MLV 209 near Ancaster and MLV 207 near Burlington represents deliveries from TransCanada to the Union ECDA (Burlington Gate Station and Bronte Gate Station). Union notes that its transportation capacity on TransCanada to the Union CDA originates from Dawn and Parkway which does not flow through MLV 209 to the Burlington Gate Station and Bronte Gate Station delivery points. Supply to the Burlington Gate Station and Bronte Gate Station delivery points from Parkway and Dawn has historically flowed from Parkway towards these delivery points.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.13 Page 1 of 1

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

<u>Reference</u>: Technical Conference Transcript, May 21, 2015, pages 93-98

- <u>Preamble</u>: A request was made for an undertaking for Union to file its most recent 20 Year Distribution Planning Study for Burlington-Oakville. The undertaking was refused.
- a) Please file Union's most recent 20 Year Distribution Planning Study for Burlington-Oakville.

#### b) If Union still refuses, please provide the basis for this refusal.

#### **Response**:

This question does not arise from Union's Reply evidence (Exhibit C). Secondly, the interrogatory is posed by FRPO which was granted late intervention status on the condition that FRPO accepted the record. Questions asked and dealt with during the technical conference form part of the record and it is not for FRPO to attempt to use this current interrogatory process to revisit or alter the record. Furthermore, the information sought by FRPO is not relevant as the inquiry made during the technical conference related to growth in west Burlington. The evidence and witnesses were clear that the growth giving rise to the need for incremental capacity related to north Oakville and the southern part of Milton and not west of Burlington Gate. The documentation referenced at the technical conference clearly referenced the growth area and that growth was not in dispute. The inquiry related wholly to a geographic area unrelated to the need for the Burlington Oakville Pipeline.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.FRPO.14 Page 1 of 1

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from Federation of Rental-housing Providers of Ontario ("FRPO")

Reference: Union Reply Evidence and Exhibit A, Tab 4, pages 7-9

- <u>Preamble</u>: We understand that the Amendment to the Union CDA is premised on Board approval of the Burlington-Oakville project.
- a) If the Board does not approve the Burlington-Oakville project, please confirm that it is Union's understanding that the Union CDA will not be amended.
- b) If the Union CDA is not amended, please confirm that Union would be able to deliver excess gas from Burlington-Oakville to Kirkwall firm in the summer.
- c) Please seek written confirmation from TCPL on its position in these matters filing both the question from Union to TCPL and TCPL's response.

#### **Response**:

a) Not confirmed. The Mainline Settlement Agreement provides that if the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is approved then the elements in Section 8.1(d) of that agreement are implemented.

The Mainline Settlement Agreement does not address the alternative in which Union does not receive approval for the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline. As part of the RH-001-2014 proceeding, the National Energy Board approved TransCanada's modifications to the Union CDA delivery area. Nothing in the Mainline Settlement Agreement would restrict TransCanada from implementing the Union CDA delivery area modifications if the proposed Burlington Oakville Pipeline is not approved.

- b) Not confirmed. Delivering gas to Kirkwall through TransCanada transportation capacity that was contracted to the Burlington Oakville System (Burlington and Bronte Gate Stations) would require a diversion regardless of season (i.e. summer or winter). That diversion (for instance, Parkway to Union CDA diverted to Kirkwall) would <u>not be firm</u> on the TransCanada system and would be subject to availability/TransCanada system operating conditions. Union regularly has diversions curtailed by TransCanada.
- c) Union has not sought written confirmation from TransCanada with respect to this issue. See response to part a) above.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.SEC.6 Page 1 of 2

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

#### Reference: Exhibit C, p.8

Union states the "Burlington Oakville System customers would be completely reliant upon supply delivered to TransCanada at Niagara where: there are fewer upstream pipeline connections; supply is primarily from one production basin; fewer counterparties transact; and there is no direct access to storage."

- a) Please provide a list of all current and planned pipeline connections to Niagara. Please also provide their capacity and supply sources.
- b) What methods of in-direct access to storage can parties obtain who contract at Niagara?

#### **Response**:

a) The Niagara Spur Line is owned jointly by Tennessee Gas Pipeline, National Fuel Gas and Dominion Transmission, and connects the transmission pipeline systems of its joint owners to the TransCanada system at Niagara Falls, Ontario. Union understands the capacity of the Niagara Spur Line to be approximately 800 mmcfd. Most of the supply at Niagara today would be sourced from the Marcellus, particularly the north-central Pennsylvania area. Currently the Niagara interconnect is contracted at about half of its capacity with plans in 2015/2016 to contract to greater than 700 mmcfd.

Supply from the Marcellus can also be sourced through the Empire State Pipeline connection with the TransCanada system at Chippawa, in the vicinity of Niagara Falls, Ontario. Currently this connection does not physically flow gas into Ontario, however Empire State Pipeline and TransCanada plan to construct/modify facilities to move up to 700 mmcfd from New York to Ontario in 2015/2016.

Please also see the response at Exhibit D.BOMA.3.

b) No storage is directly connected to Niagara, unlike the Dawn Hub. Parties holding transportation capacity to Niagara in the United States could contract for storage with the provider of their transportation services - Tennessee Gas Pipeline, National Fuel Gas or Dominion Transmission - or with storage facilities located along those pipeline systems. Similarly, parties holding transportation capacity in Canada on the TransCanada system and the Union Dawn Parkway System could contract for storage at Dawn. However, balancing at Niagara would require the use of firm transportation assets or the purchase of a market-based

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.SEC.6 Page 2 of 2

balancing service. However, at Niagara, a limited secondary market exists making balancing services more difficult to contract.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.SEC.7 Page 1 of 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

Reference: Exhibit C, pp.17-18

Union states that the Alternative Proposal would move up to 77% of Union's upstream transportation and supply portfolio away from Dawn.

- a) If the proposed facilities are approved, what percentage of Union's forecasted upstream transportation and supply portfolio would not be connected to Dawn in 2016 and 2035?
- b) In the Alternative Proposal, what percentage of Union's forecast upstream transportation and supply portfolio would not be connected to Dawn in 2016, and 2035?

#### **Response**:

- a) If Union's proposed facilities are approved and in service for November 1, 2016, 100% of the Union South portfolio would be connected to Dawn (and Union's storage) either directly or via Union's transmission systems. This includes Union's Niagara to Kirkwall transportation contract which connects to Dawn through the Dawn Parkway System. Union does not have forecast information out to 2035.
- b) For the Alternative Proposal, Figure 3-3 on page 18 of Exhibit C illustrates that for 2016, 77% of the Union South portfolio would be sourced from Niagara which, as explained in Exhibit C, would be disconnected from Union's storage and transmission system, including the Dawn Hub. The Alternative Proposal suggests using a Niagara to Union CDA transportation service that would not connect to the Dawn Parkway System. Therefore only 23% of the Union South supply would be connected to Dawn (and Union's storage). Union does not have forecast information out to 2035.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.SEC.8 <u>Page 1 of 1</u>

#### UNION GAS LIMITED

#### Answer to Interrogatory from School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

Reference: Exhibit C, p.21

What is the basis for Union's belief that TransCanada will require it at some future date to contract transportation capacity from Kirkwall to facilitate delivers to the Kirkwall/Dominion Gate Station and Hamilton #3 Gate Station?

#### **Response**:

Please see the response at Exhibit D.Staff.5.

Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 Exhibit D.SEC.9 Page 1 of 1

#### **UNION GAS LIMITED**

#### Answer to Interrogatory from School Energy Coalition ("SEC")

#### Reference: EB-2015-0166, Exhibit A, p.40, Figure 5-5

Please confirm the landed cost of gas to Kirkwall from Niagara is more cost effective than any other source of gas into Union's system.

#### **Response**:

Not confirmed. Landed cost analyses will vary depending on a variety of factors including the point in time they are performed, assumed basis differentials at various locations, the term being analyzed and the assumed supply and demand balance at each point.

For example, the landed costs included in Schedules 1, 2 and 3 of Union's 2014 Deferrals Disposition proceeding (EB-2015-0010) (see Attachments 1, 2 and 3) show that Niagara is not the lowest cost of gas in the Union portfolio. The same is true of Schedule 1 and 2 of Union's 2013 Deferrals Disposition proceeding (EB-2014-0145) (see Attachments 4 and 5).

As discussed in Exhibit C, pg. 9-13, Niagara is not a liquid trading point and is not expected to develop into a liquid trading point even considering the planned 2015/2016 expansion of capacity into and out of Niagara. Introducing a new, significant demand at Niagara could further reduce liquidity and result in substantially higher prices for supply at that point.

Union's evidence in this case is supporting a new pipeline that is needed to reinforce the market growth in the Burlington Oakville area and reduce the need for third party services. The decision to build this needed pipeline is independent of a decision on where to source natural gas supply for Union South sales service customers. Union will continue to evaluate all supply options (including Niagara, Dawn or the WCSB) each time it makes a supply decision.

Filed: 2015-04-15 EB-2015-0010 Exhibit A Tab 4 Appendix A Schedule 1

#### UNION GAS LIMITED 2014-2018 Transportation Contracting Analysis

	T	1					100% LF			[
				Unitized	Commodity		Transportation			
		<b>Basis Differential</b>	Supply Cost	Demand Charge	Charge	Fuel Charge	Inclusive of Fuel	Landed Cost	Landed Cost	
Route	Point of Supply	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	<b><u>\$US/mmBtu</u></b>	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	<u>SCdn/G</u>	Point of Delivery
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = Nymex + C	(E)	(F)	(G)	(I) = E + F + G	$(\mathbf{J}) = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{I}$	(K)	(L)
(2) Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	-0.058	5.1922	0.1925	0.0275	0.1939	0.4139	\$5.61	\$5.81	Ojibway
* Vector (2008-2018)	Chicago	0.183	5.4330	0.2500	0.0018	0.0603	0.3121	\$5.75	\$5.95	Dawn
(2) TCPL Niagara	Niagara	0.364	5.6142	0.1375	0.0000	0.0101	0.1476	\$5.76	\$5.97	Kirkwall
(2) PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.165	5.0856	0.3200	0.0441	0.3184	0.6825	\$5.77	\$5.98	Ojibway
(1) Dawn	Dawn	0.599	5.8498	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	\$5.85	\$6.06	Dawn
(2) Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.165	5.0856	0.4251	0.0441	0.3184	0.7876	\$5.87	\$6.08	Ojibway
(2) Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	-0.768	4.4828	1.7023	-0.4048	0.2560	1.5534	\$6.04	\$6.25	Dawn
(1) TCPL SWDA	Empress	-0.647	4.6038	1.3707	0.0000	0.1823	1.5530	\$6.16	\$6.38	Dawn
(2) TCPL CDA	Empress	-0.647	4.6038	1.4870	0.0000	0.1676	1.6546	\$6.26	\$6.48	Union CDA

For Reference Only
 Existing Union Gas Contract
 indicates path referenced in evidence for this analysis

.

Assumptions used in Developing Transportation Contracting Analysis:

Annual Gas Supply & Fuel Ratio Forecasts	Point of Supply Col (B) above	2015	Dec 2015 - Nov 2016	Dec 2016 - Nov 2017	Dec 2017 - Nov 2018	Average Annual Gas Supply Cost SUS/mmBtu Col (D) above	Fuel Ratio Forecasts Col (G) above
Henry Hub (NYMEX)	Henry Hub	\$4.37	\$4.84	\$5.95	\$5.84	\$5.25	
Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	\$4.33	\$4.79	\$5.89	\$5.76	\$5.19	3.73%
Vector (2008-2018)	Chicago	\$4.60	\$5.07	\$6.11	\$5.96	\$5.43	1.11%
TCPL Niagara	Niagara	\$4.68	\$5.14	\$6.28	\$6.36	\$5.61	0.18%
PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$4.25	\$4.71	\$5.76	\$5.62	\$5.09	6.26%
Dawn	Dawn	\$5.08	\$5.52	\$6.47	\$6.33	\$5.85	0.00%
Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$4.25	\$4.71	\$5.76	\$5.62	\$5.09	6.26%
Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	\$3.76	\$4,14	\$5.07	\$4.97	\$4.48	5.71%
TCPL SWDA	Empress	\$3.87	\$4.26	\$5.19	\$5.09	\$4.60	3.96%
TCPL CDA	Empress	\$3.87	\$4.26	\$5.19	\$5.09	\$4.60	3.64%

#### Sources for Assumptions:

Gas Supply Prices (Col D):	ICF Q3 2014 Base Case		
Fuel Ratios (Col G):	Average ratio over the previous 12 mo	nths or Pipeline Forecast	
Transportation Tolls (Cols E & F):	Tolls in effect on Alternative Routes at	the time of Union's Analysis	3
Foreign Exchange (Col K)	\$1 US =	\$1.093 CDN	From Bank of Canada Closing Rate Sept 2, 2014
Energy Conversions (Col K)	1 dth = 1 mmBtu =	1.055056	
Union's Analysis Completed:	Sep-14		

Filed: 2015-04-15 EB-2015-0010 Exhibit A Tab 4 Appendix A Schedule 2

# UNION GAS LIMITED 2014-2017 Transportation Contracting Analysis

					0 II		100% LF			
				Unitized Demand	Commodity	<b>D</b> 1.01	Transportation			
	and a second sec	Basis Differential		Charge	Charge	Fuel Charge	Inclusive of Fuel	Landed Cost	Landed Cost	1001217 72500 12
Route	Point of Supply	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	<u>\$Cdn/G</u>	Point of Delivery
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = Nymex + C	(E)	(F)	(G)	(1) = E + F + G	(J) = D + I	(K)	(L)
(2) Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	-0.044	4.1007	0.1923	0.0275	0.1566	0.3763	\$4.48	\$4.53	Ojibway
(2) TCPL Niagara	Niagara	0.199	4.3439	0.1409	0.0000	0.0070	0.1479	\$4.49	\$4.54	Kirkwall
(2) PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0,108	4.0372	0.3200	0.0441	0.1946	0.5587	\$4.60	\$4.65	Ojibway
* Vector (2014 - 2017)	Chicago	0.232	4.3772	0.1900	0.0018	0.0420	0.2338	\$4.61	\$4.66	Dawn
ANR-Michcon-Union (Fayetteville)	Fayetteville	-0.037	4.1082	0.3579	0.0161	0.1659	0.5399	\$4.65	\$4.70	St. Clair
(2) Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	0.232	4.3772	0.2500	0.0018	0.0420	0.2938	\$4.67	\$4.72	Dawn
PEPL - (Mkt Quote)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.108	4.0372	0.4200	0.0441	0.1946	0.6587	\$4.70	\$4.75	Ojibway
(2) Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.108	4.0372	0.4251	0.0441	0.1946	0.6638	\$4.70	\$4.75	Ojibway
Michcon to St. Clair	SE Michigan	0.460	4.6051	0.0320	0.0000	0.0751	0.1071	\$4.71	\$4.76	St. Clair
ANR-Michcon-Union (Gulf)	ANR South East	0.030	4.1745	0.3579	0.0161	0.1686	0.5425	\$4.72	\$4.77	St. Clair
ANR-GLGT-TCPL	Fayetteville	-0.037	4.1082	0.5498	0.0216	0.1232	0.6946	\$4.80	\$4.86	Dawn
Dawn	Dawn	0.667	4.8123	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	\$4.81	\$4.87	Dawn
GLGT to TCPL	Northern Michigan	0.459	4.6035	0.2851	0.0074	0.0292	0.3217	\$4.93	\$4.98	Dawn
(2) Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	-0.629	3.5157	1.7201	-0.4098	0.1952	1.5055	\$5.02	\$5,08	Dawn
(1) TCPL SWDA	Empress	-0.514	3.6304	1.4045	0.0000	0.1180	1.5225	\$5.15	\$5.21	Dawn
(2) TCPL CDA	Empress	-0.514	3.6304	1.5237	0.0000	0.1269	1.6506	\$5.28	\$5.34	Union CDA

For Reference Only
 Existing Union Gas Contract
 indicates path referenced in evidence for this analysis

Assumptions used in Developing Transportation Contracting Analysis:

Annual Gas Supply & Fuel Ratio Forecasts	Point of Supply Col (B) above	Nov 2014 - Oct 2015	Nov 2015 - Oct 2016	Nov 2016 - Oct 2017	Average Annual Gas Supply Cost SUS/mmBtu Col (D) above	Fuel Ratio Forecasts Col (G) above
Henry Hub (NYMEX)	Henry Hub	\$3.94	\$3.94	\$4.55	\$4.14	
Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	\$3.90	\$3.90	\$4.50	\$4.10	3.82%
TCPL Niagara	Niagara	\$4.13	\$3.98	\$4.92	\$4.34	0.16%
PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.87	\$3.83	\$4.41	\$4.04	4.82%
Vector (2014 - 2017)	Chicago	\$4.29	\$4.14	\$4,70	\$4.38	0.96%
ANR-Michcon-Union (Fayetteville)	Fayetteville	\$3.93	\$3.90	\$4.50	\$4.11	4.04%
Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	\$4.29	\$4.14	\$4.70	\$4.38	0.96%
PEPL - (Mkt Quote)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.87	\$3.83	\$4.41	\$4.04	4.82%
Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.87	\$3.83	\$4.41	\$4.04	4.82%
Michcon to St. Clair	SE Michigan	\$4.57	\$4.43	\$4.81	\$4.61	1.63%
ANR-Michcon-Union (Gulf)	ANR South East	\$3.98	\$3.97	\$4.57	\$4.17	4.04%
ANR-GLGT-TCPL	Fayetteville	\$3.93	\$3.90	\$4,50	\$4.11	3.00%
Dawn	Dawn	\$4.78	\$4.68	\$4.98	\$4.81	0.00%
GLGT to TCPL	Northern Michigan	\$4.57	\$4.43	\$4.81	\$4.60	0.64%
Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	\$3.45	\$3.35	\$3.74	\$3.52	5.55%
TCPL SWDA	Empress	\$3.57	\$3.46	\$3,86	\$3.63	3.25%
TCPL CDA	Empress	\$3.57	\$3.46	\$3.86	\$3.63	3.50%

#### Sources for Assumptions:

Gas Supply Prices (Col D):	ICF Q3 2014 Base Case		
Fuel Ratios (Col G):	Average ratio over the previous 12 mo	onths or Pipeline Forecast	
Transportation Tolls (Cols E & F):	Tolls in effect on Alternative Routes at	the time of Union's Analysis	
Foreign Exchange (Col K)	\$1 US =	\$1.067 CDN	From Bank of Canada Closing Rate July 2, 2014
Energy Conversions (Col K)	1 dth = 1 mmBtu =	1.055056	
Union's Analysis Completed:	July 2014		

Filed: 2015-04-15 EB-2015-0010 Exhibit A Tab 4 Appendix A Schedule 3

#### UNION GAS LIMITED 2014-2015 Transportation Contracting Analysis

				Unitized Demand	Commodity		100% LF Transportation			
		Basis Differential		Charge	Charge	Fuel Charge	Inclusive of Fuel	Landed Cost	Landed Cost	
Route	Point of Supply	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	SUS/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	SCdn/G	Point of Delivery
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = Nymex + C	(E)	(F)	(G)	(I) = E + F + G	(J) = D + I	(K)	(L)
(2)PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.302	3.8152	0.3200	0.0441	0.1839	0.5480	\$4.36	\$4.41	Ojibway
Dawn	Dawn	0.256	4.3735	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	\$4.37	\$4.42	Dawn
(2) Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	-0,107	4.0102	0.1923	0.0275	0.1531	0.3729	\$4.38	\$4.43	Ojibway
* Michcon to St. Clair	SE Michigan	0.171	4.2883	0.0320	0.0000	0.0699	0.1019	\$4.39	\$4.44	St. Clair
(2) TCPL Niagara	Niagara	0.156	4.2735	0.1409	0.0000	0.0069	0.1478	\$4.42	\$4.47	Kirkwall
<ul> <li>PEPL - (Mkt Quote)</li> </ul>	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.302	3.8152	0.4200	0.0441	0.1839	0.6480	\$4.46	\$4.51	Ojibway
(2) Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.302	3.8152	0.4251	0,0441	0.1839	0.6531	\$4.47	\$4.52	Ojibway
Vector 1 Year (Mkt Quote)	Chicago	0.115	4.2329	0.2100	0.0018	0.0406	0.2524	\$4.49	\$4.53	Dawn
(2) Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	0.115	4.2329	0.2500	0.0018	0.0406	0.2924	\$4.53	\$4.58	Dawn
ANR-Michcon-Union (Gulf)	ANR South East	-0.102	4.0152	0.3579	0.0161	0.1622	0.5361	\$4.55	\$4.60	St. Clair
GLGT to TCPL	Northern Michigan	0.191	4.3083	0.2851	0.0074	0.0274	0.3199	\$4.63	S4.68	Dawn
ANR-GLGT-TCPL	Fayetteville	-0.076	4.0419	0.5498	0.0216	0.1213	0.6926	\$4.73	\$4.79	Dawn
(2) Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	-0.635	3.4822	1.7201	-0.4098	0.1934	1.5037	\$4.99	\$5.04	Dawn
(1) TCPL SWDA	Empress	-0.362	3.7550	1.4045	0.0000	0.1220	1.5265	\$5.28	\$5.34	Dawn
(2) TCPL CDA	Empress	-0.362	3.7550	1.5237	0.0000	0.1312	1.6549	\$5.41	\$5.47	Union CDA

For Reference Only
 Existing Union Gas Contract
 indicates path referenced in evidence for this analysis

Assumptions used in Developing Transportation Contracting Analysis:

Annual Gas Supply & Fuel Ratio Forecasts	Point of Supply Col (B) above	Nov 2014 - Oct 2015	Average Annual Gas Supply Cost SUS/mmBtu Col (D) above	Fuel Ratio Forecasts Col (G) above
Henry Hub (NYMEX)	Henry Hub	\$4.12	\$4.12	
PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.82	\$3.82	4.82%
Dawn	Dawn	\$4.37	\$4.37	0.00%
Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	\$4.01	\$4.01	3.82%
Michcon to St. Clair	SE Michigan	\$4.29	\$4.29	1.63%
TCPL Niagara	Niagara	\$4.27	\$4.27	0.16%
PEPL - (Mkt Quote)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.82	\$3.82	4.82%
Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.82	\$3.82	4.82%
Vector 1 Year (Mkt Quote)	Chicago	\$4.23	\$4.23	0.96%
Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	\$4.23	\$4.23	0.96%
ANR-Michcon-Union (Gulf)	ANR South East	\$4.02	\$4.02	4.04%
GLGT to TCPL	Northern Michigan	\$4.31	\$4.31	0.64%
ANR-GLGT-TCPL	Favetteville	\$4.04	\$4.04	3.00%
Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	\$3,48	\$3.48	5.55%
TCPL SWDA	Empress	\$3,76	\$3.76	3.25%
TCPL CDA	Empress	\$3.76	\$3.76	3.50%

Sources for Assumptions:

Gas Supply Prices (Col D):	ICE July 9, 2014		
Fuel Ratios (Col G):	Average ratio over the previous 12 mo	nths or Pipeline Forecast	
Transportation Tolls (Cols E & F):	Tolls in effect on Alternative Routes at	the time of Union's Analysis	5
Foreign Exchange (Col K)	\$1 US =	\$1.067 CDN	From Bank of Canada Closing Rate July 2, 2014
Energy Conversions (Col K)	1 dth = 1 mmBtu =	1.055056	
Union's Analysis Completed:	July 2014		

Filed: 2014-05-02 EB-2014-0145 Exhibit A Tab 4 Appendix A <u>Schedule 1</u>

Schedule 1 2013-2017 Transportation Contracting Analysis

							100% LF			
				Unitized Demand	Commodity		Transportation			
		<b>Basis Differential</b>	Supply Cost	Charge	Charge	Fuel Charge	Inclusive of Fuel	Landed Cost	Landed Cost	
Route	Point of Supply	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$Cdn/G	Point of Delivery
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = Nymex + C	(E)	(F)	(G)	(I) = E + F + G	(J) = D + I	(K)	(L)
(2) Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	-0.048	4.7216	0.1923	0.0275	0.1803	0.4000	\$5.12	\$5.11	Ojibway
(2) PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.143	4.6266	0.3200	0.0441	0.2230	0.5871	\$5.21	\$5.20	Ojibway
(2) TCPL Niagara	Niagara	0.318	5.0876	0.1427	0.0000	0.0000	0.1427	\$5.23	\$5.22	Kirkwall
* Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	0.206	4.9751	0.2500	0.0018	0.0478	0.2996	\$5.27	\$5.26	Dawn
(2) Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.143	4.6266	0.4251	0.0441	0.2230	0.6922	\$5.32	\$5.31	Ojibway
Dawn	Dawn	0.647	5.4165	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	\$5.42	\$5.41	Dawn
(2) Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	-0.715	4.0543	1.7310	-0.4129	0.2251	1.5432	\$5.60	\$5.59	Dawn
(1) TCPL SWDA	Empress	-0.597	4.1722	1.4228	0.0000	0.0968	1.5196	\$5.69	\$5.68	Dawn
(2) TCPL CDA	Empress	-0.597	4.1722	1.5435	0.0000	0.1135	1.6570	\$5.83	\$5.82	Union CDA

(1) For Reference Only

(2) Existing Union Gas Contract

\* indicates path referenced in evidence for this analysis

Assumptions used in Developing Transportation Contracting Analysis:

Annual Gas Supply & Fuel F Forecasts	Ratio Point of Supply Col (B) above	Dec 2013 - Nov 2014	Dec 2014 - Nov 2015	Dec 2015 - Nov 2016	Dec 2016 - Nov 2017	Average Annual Gas Supply Cost \$US/mmBtu Col (D) above	Fuel Ratio Forecasts Col (G) above
Henry Hub (NYMEX)	Henry Hub	\$3.92	\$4.37	\$4.84	\$5.95	\$4.77	
Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	\$3.88	\$4.33	\$4.79	\$5.89	\$4.72	3.82%
PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.79	\$4.25	\$4.71	\$5.76	\$4.63	4.82%
TCPL Niagara	Niagara	\$4.25	\$4.68	\$5.14	\$6.28	\$5.09	0.00%
Vector (2008-2016)	Chicago	\$4.13	\$4.60	\$5.07	\$6.11	\$4.98	0.96%
Panhandle Longhaul (2010-	2017) Panhandle Field Zone	\$3.79	\$4.25	\$4.71	\$5.76	\$4.63	4.82%
Dawn	Dawn	\$4.60	\$5.08	\$5.52	\$6.47	\$5.42	0.00%
Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	\$3.25	\$3.76	\$4.14	\$5.07	\$4.05	5.55%
TCPL SWDA	Empress	\$3.37	\$3.87	\$4.26	\$5.19	\$4.17	2.32%
TCPL CDA	Empress	\$3.37	\$3.87	\$4.26	\$5.19	\$4.17	2.72%

Sources for Assumptions:

Gas Supply Prices (Col D):	ICF Q3 2013 Base Case					
Fuel Ratios (Col G):	Average ratio over the previous 12 months or Pipeline Forecast					
Transportation Tolls (Cols E & F):	Tolls in effect on Alternative Routes at the tir	ne of Union's Analysis				
Foreign Exchange (Col K)	\$1 US =	\$1.053 CDN	From Bank of Canada Closing Rate September 3, 2013			
Energy Conversions (Col K)	1 dth = 1 mmBtu =	1.055056				
Union's Analysis Completed:	Sep-13					

### Filed: 2015-08-27 EB-2014-0182 <sup>Fi</sup> Exhibit D.SEC.9 Attachment 5

Filed: 2014-05-02 EB-2014-0145 Exhibit A Tab 4 Appendix A <u>Schedule 2</u>

#### Schedule 2 2013-2014 Transportation Contracting Analysis

					Unitized Demand	Commodity		<u>100% LF</u> Transportation			
			Basis Differential	Supply Cost	Charge	Charge	Fuel Charge	Inclusive of Fuel	Landed Cost	Landed Cost	
	Route	Point of Supply	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	<u>\$US/mmBtu</u>	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$US/mmBtu	\$Cdn/G	Point of Delivery
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = Nymex + C	(E)	(F)	(G)	(I) = E + F + G	(J) = D + I	(K)	(L)
	Dawn	Dawn	0.172	4.0106	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	\$4.01	\$3.93	Dawn
(2)	TCPL Niagara	Niagara	0.072	3.9106	0.1453	0.0000	0.0000	0.1453	\$4.06	\$3.98	Kirkwall
*	Proposed PEPL - (Mkt Quote)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.279	3.5598	0.3200	0.0441	0.1716	0.5357	\$4.10	\$4.01	Ojibway
(2)	PEPL (2012-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.279	3.5598	0.3200	0.0441	0.1716	0.5357	\$4.10	\$4.01	Ojibway
	Vector 1 Year (Mkt Quote)	Chicago	0.039	3.8777	0.2000	0.0018	0.0372	0.2390	\$4.12	\$4.04	Dawn
(2)	Trunkline/Panhandle	Trunkline Field Zone 1A	-0.051	3.7873	0.1923	0.0275	0.1483	0.3681	\$4.16	\$4.07	Ojibway
(2)	Vector (2008-2015)	Chicago	0.039	3.8777	0.2500	0.0018	0.0372	0.2890	\$4.17	\$4.08	Dawn
	ANR-Michcon-Union (Gulf)	ANR South East	-0.077	3.7617	0.2512	0.0161	0.1581	0.4253	\$4.19	\$4.10	Dawn
(2)	Panhandle Longhaul (2010-2017)	Panhandle Field Zone	-0.279	3.5598	0.4251	0.0441	0.1716	0.6408	\$4.20	\$4.12	Ojibway
	NGPL - ANR - MICH	NGPL TEX OK EAST	-0.115	3.7235	0.3635	0.0076	0.1590	0.5302	\$4.25	\$4.17	Dawn
	ANR-GLGT-TCPL	ANR South East	-0.077	3.7617	0.4059	0.0223	0.1100	0.5383	\$4.30	\$4.21	Dawn
(1)	TCPL SWDA	Empress	-0.545	3.2934	1.4486	0.0000	0.0764	1.5250	\$4.82	\$4.72	Dawn
	Alliance/Vector (2000-2015)	CREC	-0.458	3.3808	1.7463	-0.4172	0.1877	1.5169	\$4.90	\$4.80	Dawn
(2)	TCPL CDA	Empress	-0.545	3.2934	1.5716	0.0000	0.0896	1.6612	\$4.95	\$4.86	Union CDA

From Bank of Canada Closing Rate August 19, 2013

(1) For Reference Only

(2) Existing Union Gas Contract

\* indicates path referenced in evidence for this analysis

Sources for Assumptions:

Gas Supply Prices (Col D):	ICE Settlement August 19, 2013				
Fuel Ratios (Col G):	Average ratio over the previous 12 months or Pipeline Forecast				
Transportation Tolls (Cols E & F):	Tolls in effect on Alternative Routes at the time of Union's Analysis				
Foreign Exchange (Col K)	\$1 US =	\$1.034 CDN			
Energy Conversions (Col K)	1 dth = 1 mmBtu =	1.055056			
Union's Analysis Completed:	Aug-13				