Fasken Martineau DuMoulin LLP

Barristers and Solicitors Patent and Trade-mark Agents

Suite 1300 55 Metcalfe Street Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1P 6L5

613 236 3882 Telephone 613 230 6423 Facsimile



Jennifer McAleer Direct 416 865 4413 jmcaleer@fasken.com

October 15, 2015

BY EMAIL AND COURIER

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319 2300 Yonge Street, 27th Floor Toronto ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: File Number EB-2015-0004, Hydro Ottawa Limited - Application for 2016-2020 Distribution Rates (the "Application")

We are writing in response to Mr. Cass's email yesterday at 4:46 pm indicating, for the first time, that, at tomorrow's hearing, Hydro Ottawa intends to conduct examination-inchief of its witnesses and "make use of" further documents, which were circulated for the first time yesterday.

The Carriers strongly object to any further evidence-in-chief by Hydro Ottawa, whether *viva voce* or documentary.

Procedural Order 9 clearly indicates that, "The purpose of the hearing on October 16, 2015 is to provide an opportunity to the parties <u>for cross-examination</u> of the Hydro Ottawa, Allstream and the Carrier's witness panels on the issue of the appropriate pole attachment rate." (p.4) (emphasis added).

There is no provision in Procedural Order 9 or any of the past procedural orders for further evidence-in-chief to be part of Friday's proceedings. Furthermore, until late yesterday, there had been no suggestion by Hydro Ottawa that it intended to lead any further evidence. It is to be noted that Hydro Ottawa has not even framed its intention as a request of the Board but rather asserts its intention to call further evidence as if by right.

The Carriers have had no disclosure as to what new oral evidence Hydro Ottawa now seeks to lead and will certainly have no opportunity to consider, review or challenge the evidence if it is heard for the first time tomorrow. This would obviously cause significant prejudice to the Carriers.



Page 2

The Carriers respectfully submit that the integrity of the Board's process and procedural fairness dictate that parties cannot simply assert a right to lead new evidence at the 11th hour, particularly where the procedural rights of the parties have been clearly set out in the numerous procedural orders issued by the Board.

There is a process to be followed. Evidence was filed; interrogatories were prepared; a technical conference was held; undertakings were given; motions were brought and procedural orders were made. It is simply unfathomable that at this late stage, Hydro Ottawa is asserting a right to lead new evidence. The Carriers intend to object tomorrow to any attempt to do so for the reasons stated above.

Yours truly,

FASKEN MARTINEAU DUMOULIN LLP

Jennifer McAleer

/at

cc

Applicant and other interested parties