K7.1



## ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

**FILE NO.:** 

EB-2015-0029

EB-2015-0049

**Union Gas Limited** 

Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.

**VOLUME:** 

**Technical Conference** 

DATE:

July 6, 2015

- 1 Secondly, as I come back to my earlier point, I just
- 2 believe it is beyond the expectation of the Board in this
- 3 proceeding that we get down into matters of this nature and
- 4 this detail for the purposes of this DSM proceeding. So I
- 5 just don't believe it is an appropriate production.
- 6 MR. CHERNICK: Because this avoided T&D methodology
- 7 was approved by the Board previously?
- 8 MR. O'LEARY: Well, there was a custom IR proceeding
- 9 that took place at some length and expense -- not that long
- 10 ago -- in which case the company's system gas forecasts
- 11 were approved by the Board, and we have referred you back
- 12 to that particular proceeding and earlier ones, and we
- 13 stand by those as being the appropriate inputs for this
- 14 proceeding.
- MR. CHERNICK: I was asking about the avoided T&D
- 16 costs. Are you saying that this T&D methodology, what
- 17 Navigant did was implementing a methodology that had been
- 18 presented and detailed to the Board and approved?
- 19 MR. POCH: It is not our understanding. I think, Mr.
- 20 O'Leary, you were referring to the commodity there a moment
- 21 ago.
- MR. O'LEARY: Yes.
- MR. POCH: We're concerned about T&D in particular.
- MS. OLIVER-GLASFORD: I think Enbridge made best
- 25 efforts to proactively address this issue to be responsive
- 26 to the Board's request and to the framework as we read it.
- 27 So certainly we provided additional material on top of
- 28 even what might have been expected and found an output

- 1 which is largely consistent with what I understand in past
- 2 research.
- 3 So I think, while it is not necessarily approved
- 4 methodology yet, this particular study, it certainly is a
- 5 best effort to address this topic in a way that is
- 6 directionally helpful for the preparation of the DSM plan.
- 7 MR. POCH: That's all appreciated. I guess just to
- 8 help Mr. O'Leary with this -- and I have referred to this
- 9 earlier -- when you have -- a primary concern in this case,
- 10 it seems to me, is this impact on non-participants, rate
- 11 impact. The T&D costs impact or benefit, if you reduce
- 12 them, non-participants. And because your measure costs,
- 13 your program costs, which is what your primary -- you have
- 14 identified as the Board has focused on in terms of rate
- 15 impacts -- might be small compared to the total TRC of a
- 16 measure, because the customers are paying for the lion's
- 17 share of it, even a small change in T&D costs that we might
- 18 suggest or find appropriate can have a dramatic impact on
- 19 what the rate impact for non-participants is.
- 20 So we think it is squarely within the -- this hearing.
- 21 It's -- in fact, we think it is an essential issue in this
- 22 hearing, and that is why we would like to have access to
- 23 that information.
- So I would ask -- I would ask the company to
- 25 reconsider whether it can provide that level of detail.
- 26 MR. O'LEARY: I think our answer as put stands.
- MR. POCH: All right. Then we will have to consider
- 28 whether we will bring a motion, thank you.