Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc.

Application for natural gas distribution, transmission and storage rates commencing January 1, 2016.

INTERROGATORIES OF ENERGY PROBE RESEARCH FOUNDATION

October 26, 2015

Energy Probe IR #1

Ref: Exhibit B1, Tab 1, Schedule 3, page 2

Please explain the following changes in the calculation of the working cash allowance in the 2016 updated forecast relative to the figures used in the 2015 updated forecast provide in Exhibit B1, Tab 1, Schedule 3, page 2 of EB-2014-0276:

- a) Net lag-days of (10.9) versus (11.1) in line 8;
- b) Net lag-days of 58.4 versus 60.4 in line 10;
- c) Net lag-days of 22.9 versus 23.1 in line 11; and
- d) Harmonized sales tax of (1.2) versus 7.0;
- e) Please explain any changes in methodology and/or inputs that result in the HST change noted in part (d).

Energy Probe IR #2

- Ref: Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Appendix A
 - a) For each of the categories shown in Table 2 (residential, apartment, commercial and industrial) please provide the most recent year-to-date actual normalized average use available for 2015, along with the corresponding figures for the same months in 2014 and the corresponding figures for the same months in the 2015 Board approved column.
 - b) For each of the rates shown in Table 3 (Rate 1 and Rate 6) please provide the most recent year-to-date actual normalized average use available for 2015, along with the corresponding figures for the same months in 2014 and the corresponding figures for the same months in the 2015 Board approved column.

Energy Probe IR #3

- Ref: Exhibit C1, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Appendix B
 - a) Please provide an example of the calculation of the total number of general service customers using the enhanced formula shown on page 3 using numbers.
 - b) Please provide the data and equations and results for the regression equations noted in the general service customer forecast formula on page 3 for both forecast customer additions and forecast monthly change in lock customers. If available, please provide a live Excel spreadsheet with the requested information.

Energy Probe IR #4

Ref: Exhibit D1, Tab 1, Schedule 2

Please break down the \$134.8 million increase in gas costs into the amounts associated with the updated 2016 volume forecast, the gas supply plan and the July 1, 2015 QRAM prices.

Energy Probe IR #5

- Ref: Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 3
 - a) Please provide the forecast of 2016 UUF using Model A. Please provide the calculation in the same level of detail as shown on page 7.
 - b) How is the variance between the actual and forecasted UUF treated? Please explain fully.

Energy Probe IR #6

Ref: Exhibit E1, Tab 2, Schedule 1

Please update the cost of capital, deficiency calculations and rate impacts to reflect the Board ROE of 9.19% as set out in its letter of October 15, 2015.

Energy Probe IR #7

- Ref: Exhibit E1, Tab 3, Schedule 1
 - a) Please explain, and show any calculations used, how the short term debt rate of 1.52% and the preferred shares rate of 2.16% shown in Table 1 have been calculated.
 - b) What is the date of the information used in Tables 1 and 2?
 - c) If more recent information is now available, please update Tables 1 and 2 to reflect the most recent information available.

Energy Probe IR #8

- Ref: Exhibit H1, Tab 1, Schedule 1
 - a) Please provide a version of Table 1 that reflects the updated return on equity as issued by the Board on October 15, 2015.
 - b) Please provide a version of Table 1 requested in part (a) above, that shows the rate increases in the absence of the \$30 million increase associated with DSM.

c) Please provide a version of Table 1 requested in part (a) above, that shows the rate increases if the \$13 million was recovered from Rate 332 customers rather than from bundled customers.

Energy Probe IR #9

- Ref: Exhibit H1, Tab 1, Schedule 1 & Exhibit G1, Tab 1, Schedule 1
 - a) Please explain the relationship between the \$13 million of the revenue requirement that should be recovered from Rate 332 customers that will be recovered from the company's bundled customers in 2016 (Exhibit H1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, pages 2-3) and the \$4.9 being recorded in the GTAUTCRRDA as noted on page 4 of Exhibit G1, Tab 1, Schedule 1.
 - b) Please explain paragraph 10 in Exhibit H1, Tab 1, Schedule 1. In particular, why do bundled customers pay \$13 million, which is the difference between the amount recovered from Rate 332 customers if it was available throughout 2016, and the \$4.9 million to be placed in the account and recovered from Rate 332 customers in the future?
 - c) Please confirm that the reference to "annual revenue requirement of \$55 million" in paragraph 9 of Exhibit G1, Tab 1, Schedule 1 is actually the annual revenue requirement of \$55 million in incremental Segment A pipeline capacity, as referenced in paragraph 10. If this cannot be confirmed, please explain fully.