

**REF: Exhibit A1, Tab 5, Schedule 1, Page 3**

- 1) How is LUF cost allocated to rate classes?

**REF: Exhibit B1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Pages 1-2**

- 2) Please provide the specific respective QRAM prices from April 1, 2013 and July 1, 2015.
  - a) Please demonstrate how those prices equated to a 40% increase in the value of gas in storage.

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Page 2**

Preamble: *“Subsequent to the development of its gas supply plan the Company began exploring opportunities with suppliers for a portion of its requirements. One such supply opportunity was a means of base loading a portion of the Chicago requirement. The Company has entered into a tentative agreement with a counterparty for supply from western Canada to Chicago via an eleven month assignment of Alliance transportation capacity”.*

In addition to the update request by Board staff in their interrogatories submitted 20151023:

- 3) Please provide a comparison of alternatives considered including some form of landed gas cost analysis and dates any Vector capacity could be turned back.
- 4) Please confirm that the counter-party was not Tidal Energy Marketing or other Enbridge affiliated company.

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Page 3**

Preamble: *“In an effort to isolate the impact of commodity costs changes the Company removed the impact of the updated price forecast and the July 1, 2015 QRAM prices in a fashion similar to that used in the determination of the 2015 gas cost budget that was filed in EB-2014-0276.”*

We would like to understand this approach better as the descriptive paragraph does not reference any depiction of this work.

- 5) Please explain the need to isolate the impact of commodity cost changes by removing the updated price forecast.
  - a) Please show the effect of this removal (i.e., before and after)
  - b) Please show the effect of using the values from the most recent QRAM (EB-2015-0242)

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Page 5**

Preamble: *“The Company has another short haul contract with TCPL for capacity from Dawn to Iroquois. In previous years, the Company assumed utilization of this capacity for purposes of meeting its peak day requirements in the Enbridge CDA . With incremental transport on Union Gas available in 2016, the Company intends to use this capacity for purposes of meeting peak day demand in the Enbridge EDA for 2016. With incremental transport on Union Gas available in 2016, the Company intends to use this capacity for purposes of meeting peak day demand in the Enbridge EDA for 2016.”*

We would like to understand these arrangements better.

- 6) For the previous years, why was an Iroquois delivery point used for a CDA peaking need?
- 7) What evidence does Enbridge have of the incremental transport on Union Gas being available in 2015?
  - a) How does EGD intend to contract for that transport to ensure firm deliveries on a peak day?
- 8) When does EGD intend to initiate Phase 2 of the Dawn Access Consultative?

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Page 6**

Preamble: *“The Company is forecasting storage targets such that maximum deliverability from storage can be maintained until the end of February and that deliverability from storage is sufficient to meet March peak day demand as late as March 31.*

While we respect that Enbridge has made some changes to storage planning that, in our view, are improvements, we would like to understand this shift better.

- 9) How is a March peak day, as referenced above, different from a winter peak day?
  - a) Please quantify the difference and the data used to set the March day.
  - b) How much additional gas must EGD keep in storage using this approach than quantifying a March 31<sup>st</sup> peak day determined by using a “one in ten” highest HDD for the March 15-31<sup>st</sup> period.

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 1, Page 10**

Preamble: *“Enbridge has used a gross heating value of 37.69 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> to convert quantities (i.e., GJ, Dth) into volumes (i.e., 10<sup>3</sup>m<sup>3</sup>, MMcf). Quantities are the units specified in many of Enbridge’s gas purchase and transportation service agreements, whereas Enbridge rates are volumetric”*

- 10) How does Enbridge account for the variability in heating value as it pertains to:
- Unbilled and unaccounted for gas?
  - Consumption conversions for direct purchase customers?
- 11) Please provide the average heating value for each of the last twelve months of available data for deliveries into EGD franchise .
- Using the average heating value for the last 12 months:
    - What impact would using that value instead of 37.69 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> have on rates? To be clear, we are not asking for a specific rates but any % adjustment.

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 1 and EB-2014-0276, Ex. D, Tab 2, Sch. 2, Pg. 1 and EB-2012-0459/2014-0276 EDGI\_MONTHLY\_UDC\_GAS REPORT 20150930**

We would like to understand the transition of long-haul (LH) and short-haul (SH) contracts and the impact on storage fill.

- 12) Comparing the two referenced tables, it appears that the TCPL FT-CDA contracts in lines 2-4 of last year's status summary scheduled for expiry in Oct. 15 have been converted into one smaller contract in line 2 of this year's report extended to Oct. 18.
- Please confirm our reading or explain if different.
  - Please discuss what drove the change to extend some of the capacity as opposed to terminating all of the capacity.
  - Please describe how the decision to contract for the 25,000 mcf in line 18 of this year's summary fit into the conversion plan.
- 13) With TCPL'S Kings North incomplete as of Nov. 1, 2015, will EGD be indeed flowing gas as January 1, 2016 as described in this year's summary status:
- Please summarize the amount flowing on each path on January 1, 2016 with an expected summer 2016 in-service date for Kings North.
  - How is the transitional service being tolled?
  - Please summarize arrangements made for transition to the new paths upon in-service completion.
  - Does EGD intend to operate transitional long-haul contracts differently during the non-peak winter season?
- 14) Referring to the September 2015 UDC Report:
- Please explain the need to shed 6 plus PJ in November and December.
  - Does EGD have any delivered service or Dawn discretionary purchases scheduled for these months?
  - What is the UDC impact of the additional negotiated Alliance contract for December UDC?

**REF: Exhibit D, Tab 2, Schedule 2, Page 2**

- 15) Please provide the total storage capacity available to EGD each of the last three winters.
- 16) In previous proceedings, EGD indicated its intent to purchase more storage after some analysis.
- a) Please file the analysis that supports the current approach
  - b) Please provide any analysis that supports plans to evolve the storage capacity figure.

**REF: Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, Page 3**

- 17) Please describe the 2002 structural change and the company's resulting choice to segregate the periods for the purposes of trending UAF.

**REF: Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 3, Page 6, Figure 3**

- 18) We assume the trend line shown is Model B.
- a) If so, please replicate the figure and include the trend line for Model A.
  - b) What would what be the forecasted UAF using Model A.

**REF: Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 4**

- 19) Please explain how and why EGD predicts a reversal in PGVA adjustment line from 2015 to 2016.

**REF: Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 6**

- 20) With a surplus of almost 40,000GJ, could the company not reduce its delivered service to closer to 100,000 GJ to reduce the surplus? If not, why not?
- a) If the plan would be implemented as presented, the 40,000GJ would be surplus, all the time, including a peak day, assuming these deliveries are firm. What is the expected value of transportation if EGD were to sell 40,000 GJ/day of Empress to CDA transport for the entire winter.

**REF: Exhibit D2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 7-8**

Preamble: *“In the event the Company incurs unforecast UDC costs as a result of having to purchase Banked Gas Account Balances then the amount in such sub-account will be used to offset corresponding UDC costs. All amounts remaining in this sub-account, after offsetting these UDC costs, will be rolled up into the PGVA.”*

21) Is this policy to reduced UDC costs new? If not, please provide its introduction for approval.

- a) Is the gas commodity put into the system gas pool at the commodity reference price and any discount used to reduce load balancing costs as opposed to commodity costs? If not, why not?

**REF: Exhibit D2, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 7 and EB-2014-0276 Exhibit N1, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Appendix B, Page 1 Filed April 9, 2015**

Preamble: *“In accordance with the EB-2014-0276 Settlement Agreement, where the Company committed to providing draft UDC mitigation plans as part of future gas supply plans, a draft UDC mitigation plan for 2016 (similar to the one agreed to in 2015) is shown at Appendix A of Exhibit D1, Tab 2, Schedule 1.”*

22) Please update the Potential Shed Analysis based on actual results.

- a) Please comment on the approach and ideas to improve for 2016.

**REF: Exhibit H1, Tab 1, Schedule 1, page 5-6**

Preamble: *“Storage and unaccounted for gas (i.e., distribution commodity) costs are recovered through the Company’s delivery rates. The distribution costs are recovered in the Company’s rates primarily from the delivery rates, however, some distribution related costs are recovered from the commodity and load balancing rates.”*

23) Does this statement mean that storage costs do not get recovered through load balancing?

- a) Please explain.

**REF: General**

24) Please provide an update on New Community Expansion projects.

25) Please describe the company’s intent relative to moving to a Dawn reference price for gas commodity.