Thunder Bay Hydro

LRAMVA – Additional Questions

1. Please provide the supporting evidence that shows Thunder Bay Hydro should be applying a 100% persistence factor to all savings.
2. Please reconcile the following savings values input into the Thunder Bay Hydro’s LRAMVA\_2011 to 2014 Excel File with the 2011-2014 Final CDM Results Report provided by the IESO. Please indicate where and how program savings values have been separated into Thunder Bay Hydro’s different rate classes (e.g., Retrofit program):
   1. Consumer Program:
      1. HVAC Incentives (kWh savings) – 2012 and 2013
      2. Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet (kWh savings) – 2013
   2. Business Program:
      1. Retrofit (both kW and kWh savings) – 2012, 2013, 2014
      2. New Construction (kW savings) - 2014
      3. Energy Audit (both kW and kWh savings) – 2012
   3. Industrial Program
      1. Energy Manager (kW savings) – 2014
      2. Demand Response 3 (kW savings) - 2012
   4. Pre-2011 Programs completed in 2011
      1. High Performance New Construction (both kW and kWh savings) – 2012
   5. Other
      1. Program Enabled Savings (both kW an kWh savings) – 2012, 2013; (kW savings) – 2014

Staff has reproduced the IESO’s 2011-2014 Final CDM Results and Thunder Bay Hydro’s rate class LRAM tables below to show the areas it would like Thunder Bay Hydro to discuss and reconcile.











