

montréal · ottawa · toronto · hamilton · waterloo region · calgary · vancouver · beijing · moscow · london

December 15, 2015

VIA RESS AND COURIER

Ms. Kirsten Walli ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4 lan A. Mondrow Direct: 416-369-4670 ian.mondrow@gowlings.com

Assistant: Cathy Galler Direct: 416-369-4570 cathy.galler@gowlings.com

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2015-0327: Enbridge Gas Distribution Inc. (EGD) January 1, 2016 QRAM Application.

Industrial Gas Users Association (IGUA) Comments.

We write as legal counsel to IGUA.

IGUA's Position on Proposed Rate Adjustments

IGUA's advisors, Aegent Energy Advisors Inc. (Aegent), have reviewed EGD's Application for quarterly adjustment of rates (QRAM) to be effective January 1, 2016. Based upon Aegent's advice, IGUA is satisfied that EGD has properly followed the QRAM methodology approved by the OEB's EB-2008-0106 Decision.

IGUA understands that:

- 1. As approved by the Board on December, 10th, EGD is also implementing the interim rates resulting from the settlement agreement in EGD's 2016 rate adjustment application [EB-2015-0114].
- 2. EGD's application reflects current TransCanada abandonment surcharges rather than the NEB-approved surcharges that will take effect on January 1, 2016. IGUA further understands that the new surcharges will be incorporated with EGD's April 1, 2016 QRAM application, and that any differences that accrue between January and April 1st will be captured in EGD's Q1 2016 PGVA for disposition as part of that April 1, 2016 QRAM application.



IGUA takes no objection to the relief claimed by EGD.

Costs

Pursuant to the Board's *Practice Direction on Cost Awards*, IGUA is eligible to apply for a cost award as a party primarily representing the direct interests of ratepayers in relation to regulated gas services. IGUA requests that the Board award it costs reasonably incurred in review of EGD's QRAM.

IGUA has, in the past, been consistently awarded modest costs for review of QRAM applications. IGUA respectfully submits that the Board, in making such awards, has recognized some value (commensurate with modest costs) in the independent and informed review of such applications.

IGUA continues to be mindful of the need for efficiency in its regulatory interventions, in particular in respect of relatively non-contentious matters such as is normally the case with QRAM applications. For QRAM reviews, IGUA has retained Aegent, whose professionals are expert in Ontario gas commercial and regulatory matters, including rate matters in particular. Aegent conducts a review of the QRAM application as filed, and provides a report to IGUA. Provided that Aegent's report does not indicate any concerns with either the application of the QRAM protocols or the rate outcome, IGUA is in a position to advise the Board that it has no cause for objection, as is the case in this instance.

IGUA submits that it has acted responsibly with a view to informing the Board's review and decision on this Application, while maintaining due attention to cost efficiency. On this basis, IGUA is requesting recovery of its costs for participation in this process.

Yours truly,

Cathy Suller

And: Ian A. Mondrow

c. Dr. Shahrzad Rahbar (IGUA) Andrew Mandyam (EGD) Tania Persad (EGD) Fred Cass (Aird & Berlis LLP) Valerie Young (Aegent) All Interested Parties (EB-2015-0114)

TOR_LAW\ 8847797\1