

Kingston Hydro Corporation

2016-2020 Custom IR

EB-2015-0083

Technical Conference

EXHIBIT AND UNDERTAKING LIST

Exh. KT

Exhibits

September 21, 2015

1.1 TABLE FILED BY MR. HARPER

September 22, 2015

NO EXHIBITS WERE FILED IN THIS PROCEEDING

UNDERTAKINGS

Exh. JT

September 22, 2015

Response Filed

2.1	RE: 2011 TO 2014 CDM IMPACTS THAT WERE INCLUDED IN THE LOAD FORECAST IN THE LRAM MODELS, ABOUT PAGE 127 OF THE TRANSCRIPT, AND SPECIFICALLY THE TOTAL ANNUAL IMPACTS OF CDM PROGRAMS THAT WERE INCLUDED BOTH BY CALENDAR YEAR AND BY PROGRAM YEAR IN THOSE TWO ITEMS AND HOW THEY RECONCILED WITH THE OPA FORECAST.	October 2, 2015
2.2	TO CONFIRM IF THERE IS NOTHING IN THE UTILITIES KINGSTON AGREEMENT THAT RELATES DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY TO KINGSTON HYDRO	October 2, 2015
2.3	TO EXPLAIN THE REDUCTION FROM THE \$1 MILLION-PLUS BUDGET IN 2011 TO A SUBSTANTIALLY LOWER BUDGET ALL THE REMAINING YEARS	October 2, 2015
2.4	TO PROVIDE THE ORIGINAL AMOUNT FOR OPEBs	October 2, 2015
2.5	TO PROVIDE THE IMPACT ON PILS FOR THE AFFECTED YEARS OF ALLOCATING THOSE THREE PIECES OF SOFTWARE TO CLASS 12 INSTEAD OF CLASS 50	October 2, 2015
2.6	RE: THE CHART FILED IN EVIDENCE, TO PROVIDE THE TWO ADDITIONAL COLUMNS REQUESTED	October 2, 2015

- | | | |
|----------|--|-----------------|
| 2.7 | TO POINT TO A SPECIFIC REFERENCE IN THE OPA REPORT THAT SUPPRTS THE REFERENCE TAT OPA-VERIFIED DEMAND SAVINGS ARE DEFINED FROM MAY THROUGH SEPTEMBER | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.8 | FOR MR. MURPHY TO AGREE OR NOT WHETHER THIS IS THE BOARD POLICY OR ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THIS IS BOARD POLICY | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.9 | IF THERE ARE ANY FURTHER CHANGES DUE TO THE RESPONSES THROUGHOUT THE TECHNICAL CONFERENCE, THAT THE REVENUE-REQUIREMENT WORK FORMS FOR 2016 THROUGH '20 WOULD BE UPDATED TO REFLECT ANY SUCH CHANGE. | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.10 | TO PROVIDE IN A LIVE EXCEL SPREADSHEET THAT SHOWS THE CALCULATION OF THE 11,378,402 | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.11 (1) | TO PROVIDE A VERSION OF THE FIVE REVENUE REQUIREMENT WORK FORMS THAT SHOW THE DISTRIBUTION REVENUE AT CURRENT 2015 RATES, BUT REFLECT THE UPDATED FORECAST FOR EACH YEAR | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.11 (2) | TO SHOW THE TOTAL 2014 BILLING COSTS THAT YOU ATTRIBUTE TO EACH CUSTOMER CLASS USING THEIR METHODOLOGY; TO PROVIDE THE ANSWER TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION: INSTEAD OF USING 2016 CUSTOMER COUNTS, IF YOU WERE TO USE 2014 CUSTOMER COUNTS, WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE TOTAL COST THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN ATTRIBUTED TO EACH CUSTOMER CLASS USING YOUR METHODOLOGY? AND TO PROVIDE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BILLS PER CUSTOMER CLASS THAT WERE ISSUED IN 2014 | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.12 | TO CALCULATE, TYING IN THE LARGE USE AND THE RESIDENTIAL, WHAT WOULD BE THE PROPOSED REVENUE-TO-COST RATIOS THAT THE RESIDENTIAL AND LARGE USE CUSTOMERS WERE CONSTRAINED TO BE EQUAL TO ONE ANOTHER FOR 2016 ONLY. | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.13 | TO CALCULATE HOW QUICKLY YOU CAN GET TO 80 PERCENT WITHOUT GOING OVER THE 10 PERCENT | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.14 | TO PROVIDE THAT TABLE FOR THE FULL FIVE YEARS, 2016 THROUGH 2020, RE: HAVING PREVIOUSLY ANSWERED THE QUESTION FOR 2016, CURRENT RATES AND BASED ON UPDATED RATES THAT SIA ASKED YOU FOR | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.15 | TO PROVIDE WHAT YEAR-END THAT \$1,686,706 IS FOR, AND ALSO SHOW THE DEPRECIATION EXPENSE FOR EACH OF 2011 THROUGH 2015? | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.16 | TO TAKE A LOOK AT THE NUMBERS IN THE PREVIOUS AND UPDATED SCHEDULES TO MAKE SURE IT IS CORRECTED FOR. | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.17 | TO CONFIRM THAT THE CAPITAL COSTS AND OM&A COSTS FOR THOSE METERS ARE NOT BEING RECOVERED IN THIS APPLICATION OR WHETHER A PORTION IS RECOVERED | October 2, 2015 |
| 2.18 | TO TAKE A BIT OF A CLOSER LOOK AND TRY TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT IS BEING DONE WITH THIS VARIANCE ACCOUNT. | October 2, 2015 |

Exh. JT	September 21, 2015	Response Filed
1.1	TO EXPLAIN THE INCREASE IN DEFICIENCIES SHOWN IN THE 2016 REVENUE-REQUIREMENT WORK FORM, TRACKING FORM OF 396,764, WITH THE REVERSAL OF THE SIGNS OF THE 227,538 SHOWN IN ATTACHMENT 1 OF 3 ENERGY PROBE 15.	October 2, 2015
1.2	TO REDO ATTACHMENT 1 USING THE UPDATED FORECAST.	October 2, 2015
1.3	TO CLARIFY MR. OAKLEY'S QUERY ABOUT WHETHER 2010 TO 2014 WAS APPROXIMATELY 4.6-MILLION, AND THEN HISTORICAL AVERAGE OF 4.4-MILLION, AND TO CLARIFY WHETHER IT JUST MAY JUST BE THE PERIOD IS DIFFERENT FOR THESE	October 2, 2015
1.4 (1)	TO PROVIDE A VERSION OF APPENDIX 2AB THAT REFLECTS THE ACTUAL VERSUS BUDGETED TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURES FOR EACH YEAR IN 2010 THROUGH 2014	October 2, 2015
1.4 (2)	TO PROVIDE A TIME FORECAST OF WHEN THE PLANNING AND ENGINEERING BUDGETING FOR THIS PROJECT WILL BE COMPLETE	October 2, 2015
1.5	TO PROVIDE a GLOSSARY THAT RELATES THE ACRONYMS TO THE CDM PROGRAMS AS IN THE OPA REPORT	October 2, 2015
1.6	TO PROVIDE A SIMILAR LISTING OF WHAT ARE THE TOTAL IMPACT FROM CDM SAVINGS FOR PROGRAMS IMPLEMENTED IN EACH OF THOSE YEARS AS ASSUMED THAT WILL HAVE AN IMPACT ON SALES	October 2, 2015
1.7	TO EXPLAIN WHAT THEY DID AND WHERE THAT CALCULATION IS FOUND IN THEIR LOAD FORECAST MODEL	October 2, 2015
1.8	TO UPDATE 3 VECC 20(c) USING SEPTEMBER 2015 NUMBERS	October 2, 2015
1.9	TO CONFIRM WHETHER THE IMPACT OF KEEPING THIS DEBT OUTSTANDING, THE 11 MILLION AT 5.87 PERCENT, RATHER THAN EITHER BORROWING AT MARKET RATES OR OFFSETTING CASH BALANCES WITH THE CITY IS ABOUT \$210,000 A YEAR OR ABOUT A 2 PERCENT RATE INCREASE	October 2, 2015
1.10	TO UPDATE THE RESPONSE TO 3 ENERGY PROBE 14, ATTACHMENT 1, WHICH ASKS FOR THE WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE TO BE UPDATED TO REFLECT THE MOST RECENT COST OF POWER RATES AVAILABLE, TO REFLECT THE NEW FORECAST.	October 2, 2015
1.11	TO RECONCILE THE AMOUNT IN 3 ENERGY PROBE 15, ATTACHMENT 1, PAGE 1 OF 3, REVENUE OF 11,385,527 WITH THE EXISTING RATES SHOWN IN THE REVENUE REQUIREMENT WORK FORM OF \$11,378,402	October 2, 2015
1.12	IF REQUIRED, TO UPDATE THE RESPONSE TO 3 ENERGY PROBE 15 TO REFLECT THE UPDATED FORECAST	October 2, 2015

- | | | |
|------|---|-----------------|
| 1.13 | TO PROVIDE HISTORICAL AND THE FORECAST REVENUES FOR THE MICROFIT SERVICE CHARGES, TO PROVIDE THE HISTORICAL AND FORECASTED REVENUES IN ACCOUNT 4080, TO BREAK OUT THE HISTORICAL AND FORECAST FIGURES INTO THE MAJOR COMPONENTS, AND TO ADVISE WHERE THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THIS REVENUE IN ACCOUNT 4325 ARE INCLUDED AND IF THEY ARE LESS THAN GROSS REVENUES | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.14 | TO ADVISE, WHEN THEY SAY "CDM ADJUSTED," IF THEY MEANT TO INCLUDE THE 2016-2020 CDM ADJUSTMENT | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.15 | TO EXPLAIN THE TREND COEFFICIENT. | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.16 | TO FILE THE TWO PLANS, OR THE RESULTS OF THE TWO PLANS: THE IESO REPORT FOR THE FINAL 2011-2014 AND THE 2015 TO 2020 CDM PLAN. | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.17 | TO PREPARE A SCENARIO AS DESCRIBED BY MS. SCOTT | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.18 | TO DO A RECONCILIATION TO THE UPDATED LOAD FORECAST MODEL BETWEEN THE RESPONSE GIVEN TO MR. HARPER WITH THE FORECAST YOU HAVE HERE. | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.19 | TO ADVISE HOW MUCH IS THE INCREMENTAL CHARGE. | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.20 | TO PROVIDE THE OTHER HALF OF APPENDIX 2-L. | October 2, 2015 |
| 1.21 | TO DESCRIBE THE FACTORS OR DRIVERS THAT CHANGED THE ALLOCATION FOR INFORMATION SERVICES BETWEEN 2011 AND 2012 | October 2, 2015 |