Industrial User Practices Securing Natural Gas in Current Market Conditions

> OEB 2016 Gas Market Review (EB-2015-0237)

> > Shahrzad Rahbar, PhD President



#### Industrial Gas Users Association

- Founded in 1973
- Represent large gas user interests in regulatory tribunals
- Membership spans energy and emissions intensive sectors which are often commodity dependent and cyclical
  - o Mining, metals, petrochemicals, pulp & paper and manufacturing sectors
- Gas consumption exceeds 148 PJ per year (88 PJ per year equivalent to 40% of industrial natural gas demand in Ontario)

o Over 24,000 jobs in Canada, 750,000 jobs worldwide

- Large employers in rural and remote communities
- Energy costs factor in international competitiveness



#### Overview

- Shed light on the gas supply considerations for half the volumes consumed in the province that are not on system gas: important role of secondary market
- Appeal for a long term outlook on Ontario gas markets in view of
  - o Climate Change
  - Rapidly evolving gas supply market and associated infrastructure investments



### Natural Gas Use in Industry

- Large operations have multiple uses
  - o Feedstock
  - o Process heat (steam, hot water, direct heating)
  - o Back-up fuel
  - o Co-gen
- Operational Parameters Trump Gas Usage
  - May be more efficient to run at full capacity half the time than run at 50% load all the time
  - o Output obligations may mandate purchasing expensive daily spot
- Competitiveness depends on reliable supply of natural gas at the most competitive landed cost



# Range of Gas Supply Options

- System Gas (bundled molecule, transportation and distribution from the utility
- Secondary Market (molecule, transportation, storage) & choice of firm or interruptible LDC delivery service
  - o Annual, seasonal, block, monthly, daily
- Buy own molecule, hold own transportation, manage own storage and balancing, optimize distribution cost with creative portfolio of utility contract

Objective is to secure reliable supply of natural gas at the most competitive landed cost



## No Single Optimum Supply Strategy

Choice depends on multiple factors

- Location and available utility & secondary market services
- Load Profile and type of use
- Operational flexibility and demand elasticity
- Health of the sector and the commodity price cycle
- Competitive pressure (external /internal)
- Risk appetite
- Tolerance to service interruption
- Resources dedicated to gas purchasing



# Gas Supply & Infrastructure Options Set the Landscape

Deregulation to early 2000s

- Held FT on TCPL for large portion of annual base load
- Sourced seasonal, peak and a small portion of base from the secondary market (mix of block, monthly, daily)

Early 2000s – Mainline under stress

- Alliance pipeline reduces Mainline volumes
- Marcellus shale results in shift from long haul to short haul

2008 Economic Downturn

- Industrial shutdown destroyed gas demand (temporary & permanent) and Mainline volumes dropped further
- The cost of capacity (FT) soared & the landed cost of gas increased (low double digits)
- Industrials started to turn back capacity as contracts allowed



## Industrial Gas supply Trends

By 2011 – Marcellus gas was a viable supply option

- Only few industrials held capacity on the mainline, and usually for a fraction of base load
- Secondary market had become the main source for supply
- Several active marketers provided a flexible and competitive mix of services (annual, seasonal, block, monthly and daily) to match industry need
- Firm service offerings were not necessarily underpinned by FT due to overcapacity on the Mainline

2011-2014 - Painful process of pipeline adjustment

• Industrials relied on the secondary market even as costs rose in response to infrastructure regulatory uncertainty



## Mainline Shipper Profile 2006-2016

Source: TransCanada Web site CDE January Report

• 2006



• 2016

| Daily Contract Demand |       |       |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|
| Allocation            | 2006  | 2016  |
|                       | %     | %     |
| End-users             | 2.5%  | 1.3%  |
| LDC                   | 36.5% | 59.8% |
| Producers/Marketers   | 57.0% | 31.3% |
| Power Producers       | 4.0%  | 7.6%  |



## Game Changers

- Abundant shale supply at close proximity
- Pipeline Adjustment Process- Changes to tariffs and services
  - Mainline: Settlement 1 on Segmentation (remove EOT bottlenecks & provide supply diversity), Settlement 2 on Energy East (repurposes excess Mainline capacity
  - o EOT reinforcements, dawn expansion
- Vortex Winter
  - o Daily gas price hit \$80
  - Lengthy curtailment periods for interruptible service
  - Difficulty sourcing make-up gas (to continue operations /balance utility delivery obligations)
  - Financial hit, loss of production

#### Higher Volatility

#### Fewer secondary market offerings at higher prices



# Gas Supply Choices this Winter

- E.g.1 Union EDA Buy daily on the secondary market
  - Couldn't get winter strip or block on secondary market at acceptable price
  - High risk strategy, but economics prevented firming up
  - Company will shift production to another location if price impact exceeds risk tolerance(temporary shut down)
- E.g. 2 Union CDA- FT on TCPL in excess of base load up to winter peak
  - o Limited secondary market offering
  - o Low risk, but expensive strategy, had no other option given the load profile
- E.g. 3 Enbridge CDA Bought annual gas on secondary market based on basis difference with AECO and firmed up utility service
  - Lost production when interrupted and couldn't get make-up gas
  - o Couldn't get gas even at the high daily price

Options not Solutions



## Gas supply & infrastructure in a -80% Carbon World

It matters because...

- Government policy seeks 80% carbon reduction by 2050
- Infrastructure that gets built today will still be on the books by 2050
- Natural gas (methane) used in industrial process or for feedstock cannot be substituted with electricity

Industrial dilemma...

- Who other than industry will remain a gas user in a -80% Carbon world?
- Will there be stranded gas infrastructure assets?
- Will there be a need for huge electricity infrastructure investment?
- Who will bear the cost?
- Who is looking at optimization of infrastructure (pipes & wires)?



## **Concluding Remarks**

- OEB should have a policy interest in the secondary market that supplies half of Ontario's natural gas demand
  - Establish a relationship with marketers & invite to NGMR
  - o Consider the impact of regulatory decisions on the secondary market
  - Advocate with the NEB and government for FERC-like market oversight capability in Canada
- Annual NGMRs should cover multiple time horizons
  - o Immediate and near term
  - o Medium-long term scenarios
- Industrial gas users need 'Options' not 'Solutions'
  - o Supply diversity
  - o Path diversity & optimization
  - o Flexible, user-oriented service offerings
  - Flexible contract terms
  - o Vibrant markets for molecules and capacity



#### Thank You

Questions?

