Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 3.02 BOMA 2 Page 1 of 1

BOMA INTERROGATORY 2

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

1

- 3 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 4 Ref Business Plan, Page 1

5 Please confirm that the nine percent reduction is from the combined usage fees of the IESO and

6 OPA in 2015.

7 <u>RESPONSE</u>

The table below shows the calculation of the nine percent reduction of 2016 usage fees from the combined usage fees of the IESO and OPA in 2015:

		2015	2016		
	OPA	IESO	Combined	RRS	Savings in %
Usage Fee	0.438	0.803	1.241	1.13	9%

8

Page Intentionally Blank

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 3.28 BOMA 28 Page 1 of 2

BOMA INTERROGATORY 28

2	2.0	Usa	ge	Fee
			0	

- 3 **INTERROGATORY**
- 4 Ref. Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 3, Line 9
- (a) Why does the IESO think that changing its fee including the "OPA component" of its fee
 to all customers irrespective of the proportion of distributed generation within the
 distribution company service territory will treat customers more equitably than the
 "current regime"?
- 9 (b) Would not the current practice under which the OPA charged its usage fee to
 distributors on a net basis? That is net of volumes produced by embedded generation,
 coupled with a requirement that distributors pass the savings (or a part thereof along
 pro rata to its customers that have embedded generation provide an incentive for
 customers to invest in distributed generation, including renewable distributed
 generation, a result which is consistent with the government's renewable energy/GHG
 policies, and provides benefits to the grid.

16 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) When the IESO sought and was granted approval to charge its fee to embedded generation
 in its 2014 fee application (EB-2013-0381), its evidence and interrogatory responses included
 the following points which continue to be relevant to the current proposal to charge the new
 IESO fee to embedded generation:
- The IESO is not only responsible for administering the transmission grid and
 wholesale market, but also for facilitating the incorporation of large amounts of
 distribution-connected embedded generation into the IESO's reliable operation of
 the provincial electricity system and managing contracts with embedded generators.
- There is no distinguishable difference between the IESO costs caused by customers
 served by distributors with embedded generation as compared to those without
 embedded generation.

1

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 3.28 BOMA 28 Page 2 of 2

All LDC customers will be treated equally by paying the IESO fee based on the same 1 • 2 charge determinant – i.e. their total consumption – irrespective of what portion is 3 supplied by embedded vis-à-vis directly-connected generation. 4 • Customers of LDCs with large amounts of embedded generation will no longer receive a discount in the amount of usage fee that they pay. 5 The amount of embedded generation in Ontario is expected to continue to increase 6 • 7 in materiality. The IESO believes the proposed change in methodology more fairly 8 reflects the changing nature of the grid. 9 Also it should be noted that customers of an LDC with embedded generation do not • pay transmission charges for energy generated within the LDC; the IESO's proposal 10 11 will not change this. The IESO believes that to the extent possible, parties should bear the costs they cause. 12 b) Although the IESO is supportive of distributed generation, the IESO considers it 13 14 appropriate for the business case for such a facility to be based on the actual costs incurred 15 or avoided by that facility. As such, the IESO considers it appropriate for customers of LDCs with embedded generation to no longer receive a discount in the amount of usage fee 16 17 that they pay, given that this discount does not reflect any cost reductions to the IESO for 18 these customers. Please also see the response to part a) above. In addition, it should be 19 noted that with the current regime, the subsidy is received by all customers of the LDC 20 rather than the LDC itself or the embedded generator. If an incentive is desired, this is an 21 ineffective design.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.08 ENERGY PROBE 8 Page 1 of 2

ENERGY PROBE INTERROGATORY 8

2	<u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>	
	0	

1

3 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>

- 4 Reference: Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 6, Table 2
- a) IESO is proposing to charge a Single fee of \$1.13. Can IESO provide a breakdown of
 what the fee would be if the OPA portion of costs was still billed on a net rather than a
 gross basis?
- b) Please provide in a single table, the historic forecast and actual (2011-2015) and 2016
 forecast TWH for the three user classes.
- c) Based on historic experience provide a sensitivity analysis for 2016 for the threecomponents and discuss the result.
- 12 d) How will IESO "true up" its 2016 Fees if one or more of the TWH forecasts is in error?

13 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) The IESO is unable to split out the OPA portion of costs, as since the merger of the IESO and
 OPA on January 1, 2015, the organization has operated as a single entity with one set of
 books. As stated in Exhibit A-1-1, page 3, "As the merger of the IESO and OPA took effect
 on January 1, 2015... the IESO only recorded IESO expenses in 2015, no expenses in 2015
 were recorded as OPA expenses." Therefore, the OPA portion of costs cannot be broken out
 as requested.
- b) In this answer the IESO assumes that the three "user classes" referred to in question (b) areOntario demand, exports and embedded generation.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.08 ENERGY PROBE 8 Page 2 of 2

Year	Source	Ontario Demand (TWh)	Exports (TWh)	Embedded Generation (TWh)
2010	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2009/08/25)	141.1	10.0	N/A
	Actual	142.2	15.2	2.3
2011	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2010/08/23)	142.9	12.9	N/A
	Actual	141.5	12.8	2.9
2012	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2011/08/24)	144.5	156.4	N/A
	Actual	141.3	14.6	3.3
2013	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2012/09/12)	141.1	152.2	4.8
	Actual	140.7	18.3	4.3
2014	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2013/09/03)	141.0	152.4	5.6
	Actual	139.8	19.1	5.2
2015	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2014/09/04)	138.8	149.4	6.7
	Actual	137.0	22.6	6.2
2016	Forecast (18-Month Outlook released 2015/09/21)	138.7	153.5	6.6

2

Note: The forecast of export volumes is created by the IESO for the purpose of our revenue requirement submission.

3 c) The three components are added together to form the denominator of the IESO fee. Any4 change in the components will have an equal impact on the denominator.

5 d) The IESO utilizes the \$10 million contingency fund and/or tracks the amounts and any

6 associated borrowing costs in the Forecast Variance Deferral Account ("FVDA"). Please see

7 the response to Energy Probe Interrogatory 11, at Exhibit I, Tab 4, Schedule 5.11 for the

8 process associated with truing up FVDA balances.

1

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.09 ENERGY PROBE 9 Page 1 of 1

ENERGY PROBE INTERROGATORY 9

2	2.0	Usag	e Fee
		0	

1

3 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>

- 4 Reference: Business Plan Exhibit A-2-2, Business Plan Page 10
- a) Does IESO have any evidence that breaks down the cost to the Agency's Operations by
 class of generators and the Supply Mix (gas, solar, wind, nuclear and hydro)?
- b) Does IESO have any studies that delineate operating costs based on more (or less)
 embedded generation? If so, please provide these.

9 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) The IESO does not track operational costs in a manner that would allow for breakdowns asrequested.
- b) The IESO has not conducted any studies that delineate IESO operating costs based on more(or less) embedded generation.

Page Intentionally Blank

Report for

American Public Power Association (APPA)

Electric Market Reform Initiative (EMRI) Task 2 Analysis of Operational and Administrative Cost of RTOs February 5, 2007

Prepared by:



GDS Associates, Inc. Engineers and Consultants

Contact Person:

William M. Bateman Project Manager, Transmission Services 770-425-8100

GDS Associates, Inc. • 1850 Parkway Place • Suite 800 • Marietta, GA 30067 • www.gdsassociates.com Marietta, GA • Austin, TX • Auburn, AL • Manchester, NH • Madison, WI

Table of Contents

1.0	Executive Summary	
2.0	Introduction	6
3.0	Timeline of RTO Formation	
4.0	Cost Structure	
	4.1 General Cost Information	11
	4.2 Day 1 RTO Costs	14
	4.3 Day 2 RTO Costs	18
5.0	Conclusions	
6.0	Appendices	

Appendix A - Table of New FERC Accounts for RTOs (Order 668) Appendix B - Graphs of RTO Administrative and Operational Costs

- B1 Overview
- B2 California ISO
- B3 ISO New England
- B4 Midwest ISO
- B5 New York ISO
- B6 PJM
- B7 Southwest Power Pool

Appendix C - Detailed Tables of RTO Administrative and Operational Costs

- C1 California ISO
- C2 ISO New England
- C3 Midwest ISO
- C4 New York ISO
- C5 PJM
- C6 Southwest Power Pool

Appendix D – Cost Recovery Detail

- D1 California ISO
- D2 ISO New England
- D3 Midwest ISO
- D4 New York ISO
- D5 PJM
- D6 Southwest Power Pool

Appendix E - Data Sources

1.0 Executive Summary

This report serves as an examination of the costs incurred by the nation's Regional Transmission Organizations ("RTOs"). These costs, which include operational and administrative costs, are recovered from users of the transmission system and participants in electricity markets. RTOs typically go through three major stages of development: Pre-Day 1, Day 1, and Day 2. The costs examined in this report will be those associated with the Day 1 and Day 2 RTOs. Specifically, the following six RTOs were examined:

- California ISO
- ISO New England
- Midwest ISO
- New York ISO
- PJM Interconnection
- Southwest Power Pool

The RTOs shown above ("the study group") currently operate as Day 2 RTOs, with the exception of the Southwest Power Pool, which operates as a Day 1 RTO. In the course of preparing this analysis, a timeline was developed to track major milestones since the RTO formation process began in 1996. Milestones include identification of years when RTOs were formed and important issuances of FERC Orders affecting RTOs. In reviewing the costs, the latest five years of available data was examined for each RTO.

In 2005, RTO participants paid just over \$1 billion in total costs. The costs associated with the RTOs are broken down into two major categories: 1) operational costs and 2) administrative costs. *FERC Form Nos. 1: Annual Reports of Major Electric Utilities, Licensees, and Others* ("FERC Form 1" or "Form 1") were used as the primary data source of cost information for each RTO. Examples of major operational costs include load dispatching and maintenance of plant. Examples of administrative costs include administrative and general salaries, consulting fees, and regulatory costs.

Overall, RTOs had significantly higher administrative than operational costs. There was on average a 75/25 split between administrative and operational costs. In 2005, administrative share ranged from 63 percent of California ISO's total costs to 99 percent of MISO total costs. These costs are broken down into greater detail in this report. There is a significant variation in costs between the RTOs. As a result of FERC Order No. 668¹, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Public Utilities Including RTOs*, RTOs will be required to report their cost data in more detail beginning with 2006 data. This will bring more consistency to costs reported by RTOs and make it easier to compare costs between them. Table 1 on the following page shows a summary of the study group's total costs for 2005.

¹ Docket No. RM04-12-000, Issued December 16, 2005.

			Percent			Percent						
<u>RTO</u>	<u>c</u>	<u>Dperational</u>	of Total	<u>A</u>	<u>dministrative</u>	of Total	<u>Total</u>		<u>Total</u>		<u>\$</u>	/MWh
Day 2 RTOs												
California ISO	\$	63,719,381	37%	\$	107,892,132	63%	\$	171,611,513	\$	0.724		
ISO New England	\$	25,379,347	20%	\$	99,041,681	80%	\$	124,421,028	\$	0.912		
Midwest ISO	\$	2,544,847	1%	\$	270,876,399	99%	\$	273,421,246	\$	0.419		
New York ISO	\$	12,246,311	8%	\$	136,924,551	92%	\$	149,170,862	\$	0.892		
PJM Interconnection	\$	79,932,444	30%	\$	187,926,950	70%	\$	267,859,394	\$	0.393		
Day 2 RTO Total	\$	183,822,330	19%	\$	802,661,713	81%	\$	986,484,043	\$	0.526		
Day 1 RTO												
Southwest Power Pool	\$	1,942,496	4%	\$	46,449,908	96%	\$	48,392,404	\$	0.240		
Day 1 RTO Total	\$	1,942,496	4%	\$	46,449,908	96%	\$	48,392,404	\$	0.240		
All RTOs	\$	185,764,826	18%	\$	849,111,621	82%	\$ [·]	1,034,876,447	\$	0.498		

Table 1 – 2005 Study Group Costs

Over the five year study period 2001-2005, total aggregate costs increased for ISO-NE by 98 percent, for MISO by 228 percent, for NYISO by 66 percent, and for PJM by 94 percent. Costs for CAISO declined.

As measured on a \$/MWh basis, total costs increased for ISO-NE, NYISO, and MISO. Total costs in \$/MWh for CAISO and PJM fluctuated over the past five years, but overall have seen a decrease. All six RTOs have shown wide variations in terms of how costs are reported and the magnitude of these costs.

As RTOs expand their services and as their systems age and must be replaced, the administrative and operational costs are likely to increase. For example, PJM is planning on developing a second control center, the cost of which will eventually be included in operational costs of PJM, and therefore recovered from the PJM customers.

This report also explains how RTOs recover their costs from their customers pursuant to the individual RTO tariffs². In general, for both Day 1 and Day 2 RTOs, many costs are charged using FERC approved rates included in the various RTO tariffs. Day 2 RTOs also tend to have additional tariff schedules used to recover the costs associated with the administration of their energy markets. Cost recovery methodologies are often quite complex and vary widely among the Day 2 RTOs because of these additional schedules. As RTOs expand their services and as settlements and their related costs become more complicated and labor intensive, it is likely that the recovery mechanisms could become even more complex and numerous.

 $^{^{2}}$ RTO tariffs are FERC approved documents that explain all the rates and charges assessed by the RTO for recovery of the RTO's costs.

2.0 Introduction

In Order 2000, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") found that in spite of its prior actions to promote competitive wholesale electricity markets, there still existed barriers and impediments to the creation of such markets. FERC also found that Regional Transmission Organizations ("RTO") would provide benefits that address those barriers and impediments and would further the commission's goal of achieving viable and competitive wholesale electricity markets.

RTOs are independent organizations that are responsible for the operation and short term reliability of the grid. Congestion management, transmission planning, and tariff administration are among the many services that RTOs provide. RTOs have their own staff and management. Governance is usually provided by an independent board of directors whose members are elected by the grid owners and grid users. RTOs typically have a well defined Stakeholder process where major issues are raised and discussed by grid owners and grid users. In some cases the RTO Board is elected trough the Stakeholder process and cost recovery decisions are presented and voted on by the Stakeholders.

Unlike RTOs, Independent System Operators ("ISOs") have been around many for years. ISOs were originally set up to manage power pools. Over time they have evolved into RTOs or organizations similar to RTOs.

A major portion of the United States transmission system is covered by an RTO or ISO entity in some form of development as shown in Figure 1. The only major exceptions are in the Southeast and the West where, with the exception of California, RTO development has been suspended.



Figure 1 - RTO Development (<u>http://www.ferc.gov</u>)

In general, there are three major stages of RTO development: Pre-Day 1, Day 1, and Day 2. A Pre-Day 1 RTO is an RTO that is considered to be in an embryonic or developmental stage in which the entity may or may not have received initial FERC approval. Entities involved in a Pre-Day 1 RTO may have considered establishing a common OASIS³ and have organized some type of Stakeholder process; however, they do not operate any energy markets or perform any congestion management functions.

Operational RTOs fall into two market categories: Day 1 and Day 2. In the Day 1 stage, the RTO manages the administration of real-time energy markets plus some form of congestion management. Unlike the Pre-Day 1 RTOs, Day 1 RTOs have fully developed Stakeholder processes. Currently, SPP is considered to be a Day 1 RTO. The final stage of RTO development, known as Day 2, offers a fully functioning market for day-ahead and real-time capacity⁴, energy⁵, ancillary services⁶, and market-based congestion management⁷. PJM, MISO⁸, NYISO, CAISO, and ISO-NE are considered Day 2 RTOs.

³ OASIS stands for "Open Access Same-Time Information System," a system created by FERC Order 888, which is Internet based and allows transmission customers to request and reserve transmission service.

⁴ Capacity refers to the ability to produce electric power.

⁵ Energy refers to the actual production of electric power.

⁶ Ancillary services are services that are not related to the production of electric power, but are required for the reliable operation of the grid (e.g. voltage support).

⁷ Market based congestion management refers to the buying and selling of the rights to cause congestion on the grid and is generally associated with Financial Transmission Rights.

⁸ MISO currently does not have a capacity market or ancillary service market. These are currently being developed.

This report will focus on the cost associated with RTOs in Day 1 and Day 2 stages of development. It will also provide more specific information on the operational and administrative costs that are involved in Day 1 and Day 2 RTOs. Detailed cost information is provided for the following study group:

- California ISO ("CAISO")
- ISO New England ("ISO-NE")
- Midwest ISO ("MISO")
- New York ISO ("NYISO")
- PJM Interconnection ("PJM")
- Southwest Power Pool ("SPP")

3.0 Timeline of RTO Formation

The timeline below lists the major milestones that have occurred in RTO Formation since 1996. RTO formation began in earnest after the December 20, 1999 issuance of FERC Order 2000, which encouraged RTO formation and established specific characteristics and functions of an RTO. The items in bold italics represent the key dates when the ISOs and RTOs started operation.

- 1996
 - FERC issues Order 888, requiring FERC-regulated utilities to provide
 - nondiscriminatory "open access" to their transmission systems by other parties.
- 1997
 - FERC approves PJM as an ISO
 - FERC approves ISO-NE as an ISO
- 1998
 - CAISO created. CAISO assumes control of California wholesale power grid
 - FERC conditionally approves MISO formation
- 1999
 - FERC issues Order 2000
 - NYISO takes over New York State's grid and launches energy markets
 - ISO-NE launches wholesale markets
- 2000
 - Western Energy Crisis begins
- 2001
 - Western Energy Crisis ends
 - GridSouth granted provisional RTO status⁹
 - GridFlorida granted provisional RTO status¹⁰
 - MISO granted RTO status
 - PJM granted RTO status
 - SPP and MISO contemplate merging
 - FERC finds that California wholesale markets are flawed
 - Enron declares bankruptcy
- 2002
 - FERC issues Standard Market Design ("SMD") NOPR
 - Allegheny Power integrated into PJM
- 2003
 - FERC issues Order 2003 (Standardization of Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures)
 - FERC issues Order 2004 (Standards of Conduct for Transmission Providers)
 - ISO-NE adopts SMD
 - SPP and MISO terminate merger
 - Northeast blackout
 - FERC approves NYISO capacity market (ICAP)

⁹ GridSouth was instructed by FERC to make additional filings before it could be certified as an RTO.

¹⁰ GridFlorida was instructed by FERC to make additional filings before it could be certified as an RTO.

- 2004
 - SPP granted RTO status
 - ISO-NE granted provisional RTO status¹¹
- 2005
 - FERC terminates SeTrans¹² RTO proceedings
 - FERC terminates SMD proceedings
 - PJM files initial Reliability Pricing Model ("RPM") proposal
 - ISO-NE begins RTO operations
 - MISO launches wholesale energy markets
 - FERC issues Order 2006 (Standardization of Small Generator Interconnection Agreements and Procedures)
 - FERC adopts remedies pertaining to California's electricity market
 - 2006
 - FERC approves ISO-NE capacity market (LICAP).
 - PJM files initial Long Term Transmission Rights proposal
 - FERC conditionally approves CAISO Market Redesign & Technology Upgrade (MRTU) program
 - FERC issues Order 671-A (Long-Term Firm Transmission Rights in Organized Electricity Markets)
 - FERC issues Order 888 Reform NOPR: Preventing Undue Discrimination and Preference in Transmission Service (*Docket Nos. RM05-25-000, RM05-17-000*)
 - FERC institutes inquiries into gas-electric coordination with respect to the scheduling practices of ISOs and RTOs

RTO development is continuing to progress in the present environment. For example, SPP is working towards becoming a Day 2 RTO by starting an energy imbalance market in 2007. Other RTOs continue to add services and additional markets, such as Ancillary Services and Capacity Markets.

¹¹ ISO-NE was instructed by FERC to make additional filings before it could be certified as an RTO.

¹² SeTrans was an attempt by utilities in the southeast to form an RTO. The participants included Southern Company and Entergy, as well as several cooperatives and municipals.

4.0 Cost Structure

4.1 General Cost Information

Total operational and administrative costs vary widely by ISO/RTO. Figure 2 below shows the costs in dollars per MWh (%/MWh)¹³ for the study group examined over the five year period, 2001-2005.



Figure 2 - RTO Costs in \$/MWh (2001 to 2005)

A review of the operational and administrative costs of the RTOs identified several cost classifications that were common to all. The common costs categories are:

- Office Supplies and Expense
- Regulatory Costs¹⁴
- Consulting Fees/Outside Services
- Depreciation Expense
- Interest Expense
- Employee Pensions and Benefits

¹³ Load information to determine the dollars per MWh is taken directly from FERC Forms 714 and 582 as filed by the RTOs (see Appendix E for details). For PJM this information was found on the PJM website.

¹⁴ Regulatory costs include lobbying and public relations expenses.

The common cost categories used by the RTOs in their FERC Form 1 filings have been classified for this report as either "operational" or "administrative." Operational costs were defined as costs that directly relate to the reliable operation of the transmission system or efficient operation of the energy market. Administrative costs were determined to be any other costs associated with the services provided by the RTO. The classifications are shown in Table 2 below.

Administrative	Operational
Customer Assistance	Load Dispatching
Expense	
Office Supplies and Expense	Maintenance Supervision and
	Engineering
Regulatory Costs	Maintenance of General Plant
Administrative and General	Operation Supervision &
Salaries	Engineering
Consulting Fees/Outside	
Services	
Employee Pension and	
Benefits	
Depreciation Expense	
Interest Expense	

 Table 2 – RTO Cost Classifications – Common Categories

Research showed that the RTOs studied for this report had, at times, exhibited different methods for allocating their total cost into categories that would typically be considered administrative and what would typically be considered operational. Beginning in 2006, ISOs and RTOs will have a new set of accounting codes to use in their Form 1 filing as a result of FERC Order 668. These new codes should expand and clarify the breakdown between operational and administrative costs. A table of the new FERC ISO and RTO Accounting Codes from Order 668 is included as *Appendix A*.

Overall, the RTOs studied had much higher administrative related costs than operational costs as shown in Figure 3 on the following page.



Figure 3 – RTO Total Operational vs. Total Administrative Costs (Cumulative 2001-2005)

ISOs and RTOs generally recover administrative and operational costs from their customers based on their use of the transmission system or use of a specific service offered by the ISO or RTO. For example, charges such as Control Area Administration may be based on the customer's monthly MWh delivered over the transmission system, while certain charges are only allocated to specific customers that use that service. Because of the variation in the methods for allocating costs, a dollar per MWh (\$/MWh) measure is not necessarily a clear indication of how individual participants are impacted by RTO costs. Section 4.2, 4.3, and Appendix D provide a more detailed explanation of how the study group charges its customers to recover its costs.

A large portion of the operational costs are recovered using Ancillary Schedule 1, Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service, which is offered in all RTO transmission tariffs. Schedule 1 is the portion of the tariff that contains the rates and charges assessed by the RTO to recover its costs. Generally speaking, the rates and charges contained in Schedule 1 are related operational costs. Administrative costs are typically recovered using a different schedule included in the transmission or market tariffs. Administrative schedules vary by RTO in both the number of schedules offered and type of schedules.

Day 2 RTOs tend to have a fairly complicated cost recovery structure. For example, PJM has thirteen (13) tariff or operating agreement schedules that are used to recover administrative and operational costs. In contrast, SPP, a Day 1 RTO, uses only two (2) schedules from its tariff to recover costs.

In order to recover costs through a tariff or operating agreement schedule, RTO's are typically required to present the cost and recovery mechanism to their Stakeholders. The Stakeholders usually have the opportunity to question the RTO staff about the new cost and vote on the new cost recovery mechanism. The mechanisms are then filed at FERC for approval.

4.2 Day 1 RTO Costs

The Southwest Power Pool operates as a Day 1 RTO. Day 1 RTOs provide at least the following services¹⁵:

- Tariff Administration and Design
- Congestion Management Redispatch
- Parallel Path Flow
- Ancillary Services
- OASIS
- Market Monitoring
- Transmission Planning
- Interregional Coordination

In addition to reviewing SPP's operational and administrative costs as reported in the FERC Form 1, this section of the report provides the costs associated with PJM and the Midwest ISO when they were functioning as Day 1 RTOs.

		MISO	Percent of		РЈМ	Percent of		SPP	Percent of
ltem	(FE	RC Estimate)	Total	(F	ERC Estimate)	Total	(2	005 Actual)	Total
Salaries/Benefits	\$	26,596,956	30%	\$	34,852,639	45%	\$	12,704,051	26%
Outside Services	\$	8,910,654	10%	\$	16,025,950	21%	\$	10,412,326	22%
Occupancy	\$	3,552,012	4%			0%			0%
Insurance	\$	2,982,254	3%			0%			0%
Supplies/Other	\$	9,487,711	11%	\$	6,619,453	9%	\$	3,943,730	8%
Taxes	\$	1,248,418	1%			0%	\$	993,358	2%
Depreciation	\$	15,886,992	18%	\$	12,722,689	16%	\$	2,805,300	6%
Amortization	\$	9,819,026	11%			0%			0%
Interest	\$	9,399,340	11%	\$	4,431,897	6%	\$	1,374,356	3%
Hardware Lease Expense			0%	\$	3,149,064	4%			0%
Maintenance of General Plant			0%			0%	\$	1,942,496	4%
Employee Pension and Benefits			0%			0%	\$	4,512,354	9%
Regulatory Costs			0%			0%	\$	9,196,078	19%
Misc. General Expense			0%			0%	\$	427,403	1%
Amort. Of Debt Disc. And Expense			0%			0%	\$	80,952	0%
Total	\$	87,883,363	100%	\$	77,801,692	100%	\$	48,392,404	100%

Table 3 – Day One RTO Costs in a Typical Year

Table 3 above shows a typical year's expenses for the Day 1 RTOs. The costs for each of these RTOs were reported differently depending on the source of the data. Therefore, the cost items

¹⁵ "Staff Report on Cost Ranges for the Development of Operation of a Day One Regional Transmission Organization" Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket PL04-14-000, October 2004, page 3.

shown above are not uniform across all the RTOs. For example, insurance costs were reported for MISO, but not for PJM or SPP.

Day 1 RTO cost information for MISO and PJM was obtained from the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission *Staff Report on Cost Ranges for the Development and Operation of a Day One Regional Transmission Organization*¹⁶. The costs provided in this FERC Staff report represented a typical Day 1 operating year for MISO and PJM. Cost information for SPP was obtained from SPP's 2005 FERC Form 1. SPP began RTO operations in 2005 and is currently operating as a Day 1 RTO.¹⁷

Salaries and benefits were the largest expense item for all three Day 1 RTOs. For PJM, salaries and benefits made up nearly half of its total expenses for the year. Outside services (e.g. consulting fees) was also significant, accounting for over 20% of the total costs for PJM and SPP and about 10% of the total costs for MISO. Depreciation accounted for 18% of MISO's total expenses and 16% of PJM's total expenses. Regulatory costs were a large expense item for SPP, accounting for nearly 20% of its annual expenses.



Figure 4 – Day One RTO Costs in a Typical Year

As shown in Figure 4 above, MISO had the highest expenses in a typical year among the three Day 1 RTOs, totaling \$87,883,363. PJM and SPP expenses totaled \$77,801,692 and \$48,392,404 respectively. One possible explanation for the large difference in costs between the

¹⁶ Docket No. PL04-16-000, October 2004.

¹⁷ Prior to 2004, SPP operated as an Independent Transmission Provider and Reliability Coordinator.

two Day 1 RTO estimates and SPP is the size of the region. In terms of load, SPP is the smallest of the three RTOs and MISO is the largest.

Southwest Power Pool



Figure 5 – Southwest Power Pool Major Cost Categories (2005)

Year 2005 data is the only complete year of data available for SPP since it was granted RTO status in 2004. As mentioned previously, SPP is currently operating as a Day 1 RTO and has relatively low costs in terms of total dollars when compared to FERC Staff's estimates of Day 1 expenses for MISO and PJM. However, it should be noted that on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), SPP's total costs equate to \$0.240/MWh. This is slightly above FERC Staff's estimates of \$0.160 to \$0.220 per MWh for typical Day 1 RTO expenses¹⁸. The majority of SPP's costs are administrative costs as these make up 96% of the RTO's total costs¹⁹. Total costs for SPP in calendar year 2005 were \$48,392,404. As shown in Figure 5 above, the three largest cost categories (administrative costs) for SPP are administrative and general salaries, regulatory costs, and consulting fees/outside services. Detailed annual cost data for SPP can be found in Appendix C6.

SPP recovers its operational and administrative costs using two (2) schedules included in its Tariff. For more details on SPP's cost recovery, please see Appendix D6.

¹⁸ "Staff Report on Cost Ranges for the Development of Operation of a Day One Regional Transmission

Organization" Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket PL04-14-000, October 2004, pages 14-19.

¹⁹ SPP's administrative costs totaled \$46,449,908 in calendar year 2005, while operational costs totaled \$1,942,496.

4.3 Day 2 RTO Costs

The Day 2 RTOs that were examined for this report are the California ISO, ISO New England, Midwest ISO, New York ISO, and PJM. Day 2 RTOs provide at least the following services²⁰:

- Tariff Administration and Design
- Congestion Management Market-Based
- Parallel Path Flow
- Ancillary Services
- OASIS
- Market Monitoring
- Transmission Planning
- Interregional Coordination
- Day-Ahead Energy Market
- Same-Day Energy Market
- Ancillary Services Market
- Capacity Market

California ISO



²⁰ "Staff Report on Cost Ranges for the Development of Operation of a Day One Regional Transmission Organization" Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Docket PL04-14-000, October 2004, page 3.

Over the past five years, administrative costs have accounted for 71% of CAISO's total expenses, while operational costs accounted for 29%. As shown in Figure 6 on the previous page, during this time period, rents, depreciation expense, administrative and general salaries, and load dispatching have been CAISO's largest expenses. Operational costs have increased from \$54,605,595 in 2001 to \$63,719,381 in 2005. Administrative costs have decreased from \$170,245,671 in 2001 to \$107,892,132 in 2005. Overall, total costs have decreased from \$224,851,266 in 2001 to \$171,611,513 in 2005. The largest decline in costs was between 2003 and 2004, and the main factor was a large decrease in rents. As shown in Figure 7 below, on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), CAISO's costs decreased from \$0.963 per MWh in 2001 to \$0.724 per MWh in 2005. Detailed annual cost data for CAISO can be found in Appendix C1.



Year	<u>2001</u>	2002	2003	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>
Costs	\$ 224,851,266	\$ 214,850,342	\$ 206,343,863	\$ 167,313,598	\$ 171,611,513
MWh	233,378,803	3 237,870,367	235,540,579	244,794,546	236,925,183
\$/MWh	\$ 0.963	\$ 0.903	\$ 0.876	\$ 0.683	\$ 0.724

Figure 7 – California ISO Total Annual Costs, MWh, and \$/MWh

CAISO uses a Grid Management Charge ("GMC") to recover its costs. The GMC is divided into eight (8) separate cost recovery components. Appendix D1 provides more details on the CAISO GMC.

ISO New England



Figure 8 – ISO New England Major Cost Categories (Total Cumulative Costs 2001-2005)

Over the five year period 2001-2005, administrative costs have accounted for 77% of ISO-NE's total expenses, while operational costs accounted for 23%. As shown in Figure 8 above, during this 5-year time period, administrative and general salaries, depreciation expense, and consulting fees/outside services have been ISO-NE's largest expenses. Operational costs have increased from \$16,764,265 in 2001 to \$25,379,347 in 2005. Administrative costs have increased from \$45,867,293 in 2001 to \$99,041,681 in 2005. The largest increase in costs was between 2002 and 2003, and the main factor was a \$24 million increase in depreciation expense. Overall, total costs have increased by 98% from \$62,631,558 in 2001 to \$124,421,028 in 2005. As shown in Figure 9 on the following page, on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), ISO-NE increased from \$0.497 per MWh in 2001 to \$0.912 per MWh in 2005. Detailed annual cost data for ISO-NE can be found in Appendix C2.



Figure 9 – ISO New England Total Annual Costs, MWh, and \$/MWh

ISO-NE uses four (4) schedules filed with FERC as part of its Tariff to recover its costs. Detailed information on these schedules is contained in Appendix D2.





Figure 10 – Midwest ISO Major Cost Categories (Total Cumulative Costs 2002-2005)

MISO began coordination of transmission service in 2002. Over the four year period 2002-2005, MISO's total expenses have been made up almost entirely of administrative costs (99% of total costs). As shown in Figure 10 above, during this time period, administrative and general salaries, consulting fees/outside services, regulatory debits, and depreciation expense have been MISO's largest expenses. Operational costs have increased from \$1,164,637 in 2002 to \$2,544,847 in 2005. Administrative costs have increased from \$82,075,753 in 2002 to \$270,876,399 in 2005. Overall, total costs have increased by 228% from \$83,240,390 in 2002 to \$273,421,246 in 2005. As shown in Figure 11 on the following page, on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), MISO increased from \$0.242 per MWh in 2002 to \$0.419 per MWh in 2005. Detailed annual cost data for MISO can be found in Appendix C3.

The main reason for the dramatic increase in costs from 2003 to 2004 and 2004 to 2005 is the start-up of the MISO energy market in 2005. Prior to the energy market start-up, MISO had to invest in new systems and additional staff to support the new market. These additional resources would result in a significant increase in costs for MISO.



Figure 11 – Midwest ISO Total Annual Costs, MWh, and \$/MWh

MISO's costs are recovered from its customers through four (4) separate schedules in its Electric Tariff. Detailed information on these schedules is included as Appendix D3.

New York ISO



Figure 12 – New York ISO Major Cost Categories (Total Cumulative Costs 2001-2005)

Over the past five years, administrative costs have accounted for 91% of NYISO's total expenses, while operational costs accounted for only 9%. As shown in Figure 12 above, during this time period, consulting fees/outside services, depreciation expense, and administrative and general salaries have been NYISO's largest expenses. Operational costs have increased from \$8,479,312 in 2001 to \$12,246,311 in 2005. Administrative costs have increased from \$81,564,644 in 2001 to \$136,924,551 in 2005. The largest cost increases were in the depreciation and salaries and wages categories. Overall, total costs have increased by 66% from \$90,043,956 in 2001 to \$149,170,862 in 2005. As shown in Figure 13 on the following page, on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), NYISO increased from \$0.574 per MWh in 2001 to \$0.892 per MWh in 2005. Detailed annual cost data for NYISO can be found in Appendix C4.



Figure 13 – New York ISO Total Annual Costs, MWh, and \$/MWh

NYISO recovers its cost using Schedule 1, Scheduling, System Control, and Dispatch Service of its Transmission Tariff. There are four (4) components of this schedule that are billed out to customers. The details of these components are included as Appendix D4.



Figure 14 – PJM Major Cost Categories (Total Cumulative Costs 2001-2005)

From 2001-2005, PJM's total costs were almost evenly split between administrative and operational costs. Administrative costs made up 52% of PJM's total cost, while operational costs made up 48%. As shown in Figure 14 above, during this time period, interconnection services consulting, load dispatching, depreciation expense, and regulatory costs have been PJM's largest expenses. PJM's operational costs of \$79,662,974 in 2001 are similar to its operational costs of \$79,932,444 in 2005. However, operational costs have fluctuated over the years, reaching a high of \$175,407,304 in 2002. Administrative costs increased from \$58,196,561 in 2001 to \$187,926,950 in 2005 while overall, total costs have increased by 94% from \$137,859,535 in 2001 to \$267,859,394 in 2005. As shown in Figure 15 on the following page, on a dollars per MWh basis (\$/MWh), PJM's costs decreased from \$0.523 per MWh in 2001 to \$0.393 per MWh in 2005. Detailed annual cost data for PJM can be found in Appendix C5.

The decrease in PJM's costs on a \$/MWh basis is due to the increased load in PJM. In 2002, 2004, and 2005, new members joined PJM, thus increasing the RTO's total load. Allegheny Power integrated into PJM in 2002, AEP and Dayton Power integrated into PJM in 2004, and Dominion and Duquesne Power integrated into PJM in 2005. PJM is also a well established

RTO with most of its market and settlement systems already in place. There is potential for an increase in costs in 2006 due to a new settlement system that PJM is currently developing.



Year	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>
Costs	\$ 137,859,535	\$ 271,411,002	\$ 259,103,173	\$ 241,129,511	\$ 267,859,394
MWh	263,811,916	310,721,075	326,401,266	437,342,067	682,317,607
\$/MWh	\$ 0.523	\$ 0.873	\$ 0.794	\$ 0.551	\$ 0.393

Figure 15 – PJM Total Annual Costs, MWh, and \$/MWh

Of all of the RTO's reviewed PJM seems to have the most complex recovery mechanism, involving thirteen (13) separate schedules and sub schedules in the PJM Tariff and Operating Agreement. PJM recently changed to a fixed rate for its operational and administrative costs recovery, which makes it easier to reconcile these charges. The detailed information on the PJM schedules and rates is included as Appendix D5.

5.0 Conclusions

Total operational and administrative costs vary widely by RTO. One of the reasons for this is the lack of a uniform and more detailed set of accounting codes that would better define these costs. While the FERC Form 1 includes all costs associated with the administration and operation of an RTO, more accounting detail is needed in order to better understand the nature and classification of all underlying costs.

Beginning with the 2006 reporting year, RTOs will be required to report more detailed financial information as directed by new accounting standards set forth in FERC's *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Public Utilities Including RTOs²¹*. These new codes should provide greater transparency of RTO costs in the future because they will help expand and clarify the nature of all classes of RTO costs.

Overall, as would be expected, the six RTOs examined in this report had much higher administrative costs than operational costs. There was approximately a 75/25 split between administrative and operational costs. The largest operational cost was load dispatching. The largest administrative costs were administrative and general salaries, consulting fees/outside services, depreciation expense, and regulatory costs.

Table 4 – RTO Total Cost Summary on a \$/MWh Basis

Year	CAISO	ISO-NE	MISO	<u>NYISO</u>	<u>PJM</u>	<u>SPP</u>
2001	0.963	0.497		0.574	0.523	
2002	0.903	0.501	0.242	0.626	0.873	
2003	0.876	0.787	0.196	0.746	0.794	
2004	0.683	0.882	0.263	0.853	0.551	
2005	0.724	0.912	0.419	0.892	0.393	0.240

Table 4 above shows an overview of the study group's costs over the five years, 2001-2005. ISO-NE, NYISO, and MISO saw increases in costs in \$/MWh over the period. Costs in \$/MWh for CAISO and PJM fluctuated over the past five years, but overall have seen a decrease. As RTOs mature, their costs on a \$/MWh basis tend to decrease. This is usually a result of having all the necessary systems and resources already in place (and thus having incurred all of its associated costs), while load continues to increase.

As RTOs expand their services and as their systems age and must be replaced, the administrative and operational cost will increase. For example, PJM is planning on developing a second control center, the cost of which will eventually be included in operational costs of PJM, and therefore recovered from the PJM customers.

RTOs recover costs from their customers according to the schedules in each of their respective tariffs. Many costs are typically recovered through Schedule 1, Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service. Due to an increase in the number of functions associated with the energy

²¹ 18 CFR Part 101, Docket No. RM04-12-000; Order No. 668, Issued December 16, 2005.
markets, Day 2 RTOs incur more costs and typically recover those costs through additional schedules, or sub-schedules, in their respective tariffs.

RTO cost recovery mechanisms can be complicated, involving multiple adders depending on the nature of the costs being recovered from customers. As RTOs expand their services and as settlements and their related cost become more complicated and labor intensive, it is likely that the recovery mechanisms could become even more complex and numerous.

6.0 Appendices

Appendix A - Table of New FERC Accounts for RTOs (Order 668)

Table of New FERC Accounts for RTOs From Order 668

Account Number Description

Electric Plant

5. Regional Transmission and Market Operation Plant

- 380 Land and land rights
- 381 Structures and improvements
- 382 Computer hardware
- 383 Computer software
- 384 Communication equipment
- 385 Miscellaneous Regional Transmission and Market Operation Plant
- 386 Asset Retirement Costs for Regional Transmission and Market Operation Plant
- 387 [Reserved]

Operations and Maintenance Expense Chart of Accounts 3. Regional Market Expenses

<u>Operation</u>

- 575.1 Operation Supervision
- 575.2 Day-ahead and real-time market facilitation
- 575.3 Transmission rights market facilitation
- 575.4 Capacity market facilitation
- 575.5 Ancillary services market facilitation
- 575.6 Market monitoring and compliance
- 575.7 Market facilitation, monitoring, and compliance services
- 578.8 Rents

Maintenance

- 576.1 Maintenance of structures and improvements
- 576.2 Maintenance of computer hardware
- 576.3 Maintenance of computer software
- 567.4 Maintenance of communication equipment
- 567.5 Maintenance of miscellaneous market operation plant

Table of New FERC Accounts for RTOs From Order 668

Account Number Description 2. Transmission Expenses

ransmission E	xpenses
Operation	
560	Operation supervision and engineering
561.1	Load dispatch-Reliability
561.2	Load dispatch-Monitor and operate transmission system
561.3	Scheduling, system control and dispatch services
561.4	Load dispatch-Transmission service and scheduling
561.5	Reliability planning and standards development
561.6	Transmission service studies
561.7	Generation interconnection studies
561.8	Reliability planning and standards development services
562	Station expenses (Major only)
563	Overhead line expenses (Major only)
564	Underground line expenses (Major only)
565	Transmission of electricity by others (Major only)
566	Miscellaneous transmission expenses (Major only)
567	Rents
567.1	Operation supplies and expenses (Nonmajor only)
Maintenance	
568	Maintenance supervision and engineering (Major only)
569	Maintenance of structures (Major only)
569.1	Maintenance of computer hardware
569.2	Maintenance of computer software
569.3	Maintenance of communication equipment
569.4	Maintenance of miscellaneous regional transmission plant
570	Maintenance of station equipment (Major only)
571	Maintenance of overhead lines (Major only)
572	Maintenance of underground lines (Major only)
573	Maintenance of miscellaneous transmission plant (Major only)
574	Maintenance of transmission plant (Nonmajor only)

Page 2 of 2

Appendix B - Graphs of RTO Administrative and Operational Costs

B1 - Overview



RTO Costs in \$/MWH (2001-2005)

RTO Total Operational vs. Total Administrative Costs (2001-2005)





Operational vs Administrative Cost - All RTOs











B2 - California ISO



California ISO MWh and \$/MWh



Operational vs Administrative Cost - California ISO



California ISO Major Cost Categories (2001-2005)

B3 - ISO New England



ISO New England MWh and \$/MWh



Operational vs Administrative Cost - ISO New England



ISO New England Major Cost Categories (2001-2005)

B4 - Midwest ISO



Midwest ISO MWh and \$/MWh



Operational vs Administrative Cost - Midwest ISO



MISO Major Cost Categories (2002-2005)

B5 - New York ISO







Operational vs Administrative Cost - New York ISO



New York ISO Major Cost Categories (2001-2005)

B6 – PJM







Operational vs Administrative Cost - PJM



PJM Major Cost Categories (2001-2005)

B7 - Southwest Power Pool


Operational vs Administrative Cost - Southwest Power Pool

SPP Major Cost Categories (2005)



Appendix C - Detailed Tables of RTO Administrative and Operational Costs

C1 - California ISO

Summary Data Table of RTO Operati	onal	and Adminis	strat	tive Costs (from	۱FE	ERC Form 1 Dat	ta)						
California ISO				•			T						
Maior Cost Breakdown													
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that are ty	pical	ly over 2% of th	е То	tal Cost for a year									
		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	S	urvey Period Total	% of Total Cost
CAISO Annual Load (MWh)		233,378,803		237,870,367		235,540,579		244,794,546		236,925,183		1,188,509,478	N/A
7.4.10.44		004 054 000	^	011.050.040	•	000 0 10 000		107 010 500	•	171 011 510		004 070 500	100.00/
	¢ ¢	224,851,266	¢ ¢	214,850,342	¢	206,343,863	¢	167,313,598	¢ Þ	171,011,513	\$ \$	984,970,582	100.0%
\$/1017711	Ψ	0.305	Ψ	0.303	Ψ	0.070	Ψ	0.005	Ψ	0.724	Ψ	0.023	
Operational													
Total Operational Costs	\$	54.605.595	\$	52.007.237	\$	55.884.977	\$	59.887.060	\$	63,719,381	\$	286.104.250	29.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.234	\$	0.219	\$	0.237	\$	0.245	\$	0.269	\$	0.241	
Load Dispatching	\$	23,553,659	\$	24,334,773	\$	28,510,876	\$	27,783,431	\$	31,157,011	\$	135,339,750	13.7%
\$/MWh	\$	0.101	\$	0.102	\$	0.121	\$	0.113	\$	0.132	\$	0.114	
Maintenance Supervision & Engineering	¢	14 607 146	¢	11 496 205	¢	10 704 770	¢	15 /12 070	¢	17 002 704	¢	71 415 705	7.00/
s/MWh	\$	0.063	\$	0.048	\$	0.054	\$	0.063	\$	0.072	\$ \$	0.060	1.370
*-	Ť		Ť	2.010	Ť	2.001	Ť	2.000	Ť		Ť	2.000	
Maintenance of General Plant	\$	9,752,068	\$	10,333,528	\$	9,187,218	\$	11,434,134	\$	11,336,218	\$	52,043,166	5.3%
\$/MWh	\$	0.042	\$	0.043	\$	0.039	\$	0.047	\$	0.048	\$	0.044	
	-		-								-		
Salaries and Wages'	\$	31,387,894	\$	35,260,814	\$	36,782,908	\$	39,182,222	\$	42,436,596	\$	185,050,434	18.8%
\$/MVVn	\$	0.134	\$	0.148	\$	0.156	\$	0.160	\$	0.179	\$	0.156	
Administrative													
Total Administrative Costs	\$	170,245,671	\$	162,843,105	\$	150.458.886	\$	107.426.538	\$	107.892.132	\$	698.866.332	71.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.729	\$	0.685	\$	0.639	\$	0.439	\$	0.455	\$	0.588	
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	30,175,943	\$	35,388,118	\$	35,192,252	\$	38,059,753	\$	34,613,017	\$	173,429,083	17.6%
\$/MWh	\$	0.129	\$	0.149	\$	0.149	\$	0.155	\$	0.146	\$	0.146	
Customer Assistance Expense	¢	2 5/18 127	¢	2 360 238	¢	2 153 607	¢	2 367 700	¢	2 540 551	¢	11 070 223	1 2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.011	\$	2,300,238	\$	0.009	\$	0.010	\$	0.011	\$ \$	0.010	1.2 /0
•					Ŧ		Ť		Ť		-		
Customer Records and Collection Exp.	\$	4,535,598	\$	4,656,850	\$	4,874,010	\$	4,955,716	\$	3,987,605	\$	23,009,779	2.3%
\$/MWh	\$	0.019	\$	0.020	\$	0.021	\$	0.020	\$	0.017	\$	0.019	
0/// 0 0 1 5		5 770 404	^	5 000 057	•	5 000 050		5 005 100	•	1 0 1 0 1 0 5		00 540 077	0.70/
S/MW/b	¢	5,772,481	\$	5,200,857	\$	5,366,858	\$	5,365,186	\$	4,813,495	\$	26,518,877	2.7%
¢//////	Ψ	0.020	Ŵ	0.022	Ψ	0.020	Ŵ	0.022	Ψ	0.020	Ψ	0.022	
Rents	\$	42,745,929	\$	44,411,089	\$	45,032,111	\$	19,655,260	\$	18,964,539	\$	170,808,928	17.3%
\$/MWh	\$	0.183	\$	0.187	\$	0.191	\$	0.080	\$	0.080	\$	0.144	
Regulatory Costs	\$	6,759,610	\$	2,548,195	\$	1,573,346	\$	964,215	\$	3,233,775	\$	15,079,141	1.5%
\$/1010011	φ	0.029	φ	0.011	φ	0.007	¢	0.004	ð	0.014	Þ	0.013	
Consulting Fees/Outside Services	\$	15,143,481	\$	13,002,642	\$	15,376,455	\$	19,101,709	\$	16,507,165	\$	79,131,452	8.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.065	\$	0.055	\$	0.065	\$	0.078	\$	0.070	\$	0.067	
							L						
Depreciation Expense	\$	48,574,402	\$	45,681,679	\$	23,276,006	\$	15,729,517	\$	17,965,299	\$	151,226,903	15.4%
\$/IVIVV1)	\$	0.208	\$	0.192	\$	0.099	\$	0.064	\$	0.076	\$	0.127	
Interest Expense	\$	17,732,964	\$	16.667.116	\$	6.880.584	\$	6.922.721	\$	9,494,750	\$	57.698.135	5.9%
\$/MWh	\$	0.076	\$	0.070	\$	0.029	\$	0.028	\$	0.040	\$	0.049	0.070
					Ľ		Ľ						
Note 1: Part of this category is included in othe	er cos	t catgories.							1				

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Administ	rative Cos	ts (from FERO	C Form 1 Data	ı)				
California ISO									
Overview									
	Current FERC	Future FERC							
	Account	Account							
Cost Category	Number	Number	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1	\$ 263,568,893	\$ 196,476,458	\$ 254,052,435	\$ 225,326,585	\$ 214,471,771		p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$ 54,605,595	\$ 52,007,237	\$ 55,884,977	\$ 59,887,060	\$ 63,719,381		
Total Administrative Costs			\$ 170,245,671	\$ 162,843,105	\$ 150,458,886	\$ 107,426,538	\$ 107,892,132		
Net Other Income and Deductions			\$ 4,055,832	\$ 2,781,383	\$ 2,076,122	\$ 2,412,382	\$ 9,037,896		p. 117
NET INCOME			\$ 42,773,459	\$ (15,592,501)	\$ 49,784,694	\$ 60,425,369	\$ 51,898,154		p. 117

led Data Table of RTO Operational a	and Admin	nistrative C	Costs (Fro	m F	ERC Form	1	Data)								
ornia ISO					-								-		
ational Costs															
	Common	Current FERC	Future FERC												
	or RTO	Account	Account												
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
tion Supervision and Engineering	Common	560		\$	4,696,131	\$	3,490,109	\$	3,278,539	\$	3,114,082	\$	2,719,699		pp. 320-323
Jispatching		561		\$	23,553,659	\$	24,334,773	\$	28,510,876	\$	27,783,431	\$	31,157,011		pp. 320-323
T Administration	Common		575.3											Included in Load Disptaching	
IS Administration	Common		575.3											Included in Load Disptaching	
ncing Authority Administration	Common		561.2											Included in Load Disptaching	
onal Planning	Common		561.5											Included in Load Disptaching	
nance Supervision and Engineering	Common	568		\$	14,697,116	\$	11,486,295	\$	12,724,772	\$	15,413,879	\$	17,093,724		pp. 320-323
nance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4	\$	9,752,068	\$	10,333,528	\$	9,187,218	\$	11,434,134	\$	11,336,218		pp. 320-323
Reading Expenses	Common	902		\$	1,906,621	\$	2,362,532	\$	2,183,572	\$	2,141,534	\$	1,412,729		pp. 320-323
Operational Costs				\$	54,605,595	\$	52,007,237	\$	55,884,977	\$	59,887,060	\$	63,719,381		
Instational Salarias and Wagos	Common			¢	21 207 004	e	25 260 914	¢	26 702 000	¢	20 102 222	¢	12 126 506	Included above	n 254
Cost Category ion Supervision and Engineering Dispatching T Administration IS Administration onal Planning mance Supervision and Engineering mance of General Plant Reading Expenses Dperational Costs	or RTO Specific Common Common Common Common Common Common	Account Number 560 561 	Account Number 575.3 575.3 561.2 561.5 569.4	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2001 4,696,131 23,553,659 14,697,116 9,752,068 1,906,621 54,605,595 31,387,894	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2002 3,490,109 24,334,773 11,486,295 10,333,528 2,362,532 52,007,237 35,260,814	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2003 3,278,539 28,510,876 12,724,772 9,187,218 2,183,572 55,884,977 36,782,908	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2004 3,114,082 27,783,431 15,413,879 11,434,134 2,141,534 59,887,060 39,182,222	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2005 2,719,699 31,157,011 17,093,724 11,336,218 1,412,729 63,719,381 42,436,596	Notes Included in Load Disptaching Included above	Forn pp. 3: pp. 3: pp. 3 pp. 3 pp. 3 pp. 3 pp. 35

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	FEF	RC Form 1 I	Dat	ta)								
California ISO															
Administrative Costs															
		Current	Future												
	Common	FERC	FERC												
	or RTO	Account	Account												
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
• · · · ·															
Supervision	Common	901		\$	357,698	\$	341,938	\$	488,289	\$	466,900	\$	653,750		pp. 320-323
Customer Records and Collection Expense	Common	903		\$	4,535,598	\$	4,656,850	\$	4,874,010	\$	4,955,716	\$	3,987,605		pp. 320-323
Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expense	Common	905		\$	330,154	\$	81,281	\$	599,390	\$	453,907	\$	199,607		pp. 320-323
Customer Assistance Expense	Common	908		\$	2,548,127	\$	2,360,238	\$	2,153,607	\$	2,367,700	\$	2,540,551		pp. 320-323
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920		\$	22,246,289	\$	25,618,785	\$	26,361,936	\$	28,977,853	\$	27,143,161		pp. 320-323
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1	\$	5,772,481	\$	5,200,857	\$	5,366,858	\$	5,365,186	\$	4,813,495		pp. 320-323
(Less) Administrative Expense Transferred	Common	922		\$	15										pp. 320-323
Outside Services Employed	Common	923		\$	15,143,481	\$	13,002,642	\$	15,376,455	\$	19,101,709	\$	16,507,165		pp. 320-323
Property Insurance	Common	924		\$	599,563	\$	1,326,996	\$	1,960,214	\$	1,844,300	\$	1,906,288		pp. 320-323
Injuries and Damages	Common	925		\$	375,951			\$	15,772,505	\$	91,337	\$	16,018		pp. 320-323
General Advertising Expense	Common	930.1		\$	34,276	\$	25,890	\$	5,507	\$	5,315	\$	30,205		pp. 320-323
Rents	Common	931	567	\$	42,745,929	\$	44,411,089	\$	45,032,111	\$	19,655,260	\$	18,964,539		рр. 320-323
Regulatory Costs															
FERC Hearings	RTO			\$	6,759,610	\$	2,548,195	\$	1,573,346	\$	964,215	\$	3,233,775		р. 350
Total Regulatory Costs	Common	928		\$	6,759,610	\$	2,548,195	\$	1,573,346	\$	964,215	\$	3,233,775		pp. 320-323 and 350
Note: All RTOs will have Regulatory Cost on the Form															
1 but the specific items are RTO Specific.															
Miscellaneous General Expense				•	10.050	•		•		•		•			
Bank Fees				\$	16,853	\$	103,039	\$	140,662	\$	179,347	\$	117,956		p. 335
Board Member Expense				\$	50,867	\$	28,466	\$	21,340	\$	18,730	\$	52,974		p. 335
Board Member Compensation				\$	102,150	\$	63,126	\$	50,208	\$	65,035	\$	151,798		p. 335
FERCIERS	DTO	000.0		\$	39,358	*	404.004	*	040.040	*	000 440	^	200 700		p. 335
Total Miscellaneous General Expense	RIU	930.2		Þ	209,228	\$	194,631	\$	212,210	\$	263,112	Þ	322,728		pp. 320-323 and 335
Depreciation Expanse	Common	402		¢	49 574 402	¢	45 691 670	¢	22 276 006	¢	15 720 517	¢	17 065 200		n 114
Taxos Othor Than Income Taxos	Common	409 1		¢	40,574,402	φ	551 651	¢	402 624	¢	76 272	¢	270 094		p. 114
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	400.1		¢	17 732 964	\$ \$	1/ 253 125	¢	402,024	¢	3 813 657	\$	5 600 037		p. 114 n 117
Amort Of Debt Disc. And Expense	Common	428		¢	196 894	÷ ¢	173 267	¢	123 234	¢	185 418	¢	521 561		p. 117 n 117
Other Interest Expense	Common	420		Ψ	130,034	¢ ¢	2 413 991	¢	2 069 203	¢	3 109 064	¢	3 884 813		n 117
	RTO	432				Ψ	2,413,331	Ψ	2,003,203	Ψ	3,103,004	¢	(779 349)		p. 117 n 117
(Less) Al 000	RTO	435		\$	1 601 566							Ψ	(113,043)		n 117
				-	.,										P
Total Administrative Costs				\$	170.245.671	\$	162.843.105	\$	150.458.886	\$	107.426.538	\$ ·	107.892.132		
				Ť		<u> </u>		<u> </u>		Ť		Ŧ			
				\square						1					
				\square						1					
Salaries and Wages				1						1					
Customer Accounts	1			\$	5,836,725	\$	6,905,685	\$	6,893,415	\$	6,630,430	\$	5,209,411	Included above	p. 354
Customer Service and Informational	1			\$	2,093,918	\$	2,073,497	\$	1,938,169	\$	2,088,851	\$	2,285,909	Included above	p. 354
Administrative and General				\$	22,245,300	\$	26,408,936	\$	26,360,668	\$	29,340,472	\$	27,117,697	Included above	p. 354

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	FER	RC Form 1	Dat	ta)					
California ISO												
Administrative Costs												
		_										
		Current	Future									
	Common	FERC	FERC									
	or RTO	Account	Account									
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Total Administrative Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	30,175,943	\$	35,388,118	\$ 35,192,252	\$ 38,059,753	\$ 34,613,017	Included above	
Total Operational and Administrative Salaries Check				\$	61,563,837	\$	70,648,932	\$ 71,975,160	\$ 77,241,975	\$ 77,049,613		p. 354

C2 - ISO New England

Summary Data Table of RTO Operat	iona	I and Admin	istra	ative Costs (fro	m F	ERC Form 1 D	ata	ı)					
ISO New England													
Major Cost Breakdown													
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that are t	ypica	lly over 2% of t	he To	otal Cost for a yea	ır.								
		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	S	Survey Period Total	% of Total Cost
ISO-NE Annual Load (MWh)		125,976,457		127,455,232		130,777,995		132,518,126		136,355,309		653,083,119	N/A
T-1-1 01-	¢	00.004.550	•	00.000.405	¢	400.004.007	•	110.040.005	¢	404 404 000		170 000 110	100.00/
	\$	62,631,558	ъ с	0 501	\$	102,924,027	¢	116,842,065	\$	124,421,028	¢	470,688,113	100.0%
\$/////	Ψ	0.437	Ψ	0.001	Ψ	0.707	Ψ	0.002	Ψ	0.512	Ψ	0.721	
Operational													
Total Operational Costs	\$	16,764,265	\$	19.028.071	\$	21,762,406	\$	24.838.507	\$	25.379.347	\$	107.772.596	22.9%
\$/MWh	\$	0.133	\$	0.149	\$	0.166	\$	0.187	\$	0.186	\$	0.165	,
Load Dispatching	\$	2,200,648	\$	2,458,669	\$	2,862,469	\$	3,334,577	\$	3,613,262	\$	14,469,625	3.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.017	\$	0.019	\$	0.022	\$	0.025	\$	0.026	\$	0.022	
Misc Transmission Expense	¢	5 396 570	¢	6 029 305	¢	7 010 520	\$	8 177 263	\$	8 860 672	¢	35 /83 330	7 5%
\$/MWh	\$	0.043	φ \$	0,029,303	φ \$	0.054	\$	0.062	φ \$	0.065	\$	0.054	1.376
\$ 7777	Ť	0.010	Ť	0.017	Ŷ	0.001	Ť	0.002	Ŷ	0.000	Ť	0.001	
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	5,724,507	\$	6,172,014	\$	5,407,751	\$	7,398,006	\$	7,797,502	\$	32,499,780	6.9%
\$/MWh	\$	0.045	\$	0.048	\$	0.041	\$	0.056	\$	0.057	\$	0.050	
Operation Supervision and Engineering	\$	6,601,945	\$	7,376,006	\$	8,587,406	\$	10,003,731	\$	10,839,785	\$	43,408,873	9.2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.052	\$	0.058	\$	0.066	\$	0.075	\$	0.079	\$	0.066	
Administrativo													
Total Administrative Costs	\$	45 867 293	\$	44 841 364	\$	81 161 621	\$	92 003 558	\$	99 041 681	\$	362 915 517	77 1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.364	\$	0.352	\$	0.621	\$	0.694	\$	0.726	\$	0.556	11.170
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	16,321,253	\$	17,736,114	\$	22,549,749	\$	28,339,821	\$	31,605,348	\$	116,552,285	24.8%
\$/MWh	\$	0.130	\$	0.139	\$	0.172	\$	0.214	\$	0.232	\$	0.178	
	•		•	10.117.010	•	44 770 070		10 701 005	•			50 5 10 070	10 70
Consulting Fees/Outside Services	\$	9,055,602	\$	10,117,349	\$	11,778,973	\$	13,721,685	\$	14,868,464	\$	59,542,073	12.7%
\$7MWWIT	φ	0.072	φ	0.079	φ	0.090	φ	0.104	ψ	0.109	φ	0.091	
Employee Pensions and Benefits	\$	4,569,636	\$	5,105,414	\$	5,943,903	\$	6,924,233	\$	7,502,921	\$	30,046,107	6.4%
\$/MWh	\$	0.036	\$	0.040	\$	0.045	\$	0.052	\$	0.055	\$	0.046	
Misc. Customer Accounts Expense	\$	2,603,785	\$	2,909,072	\$	3,386,844	\$	3,945,438	\$	4,275,175	\$	17,120,314	3.6%
\$/MWh	\$	0.021	\$	0.023	\$	0.026	\$	0.030	\$	0.031	\$	0.026	
Misc. Customer Service and Info. Exp.	\$	1 657 928	\$	1 852 316	\$	2 156 532	\$	2 512 209	\$	2 722 166	\$	10.901.151	2.3%
\$/MWh	\$	0.013	\$	0.015	\$	0.016	\$	0.019	\$	0.020	\$	0.017	21070
Miscellaneous General Expense	\$	7,716,513	\$	2,429,836	\$	2,547,861	\$	2,639,587	\$	2,661,893	\$	17,995,690	3.8%
\$/MWh	\$	0.061	\$	0.019	\$	0.019	\$	0.020	\$	0.020	\$	0.028	
Depreciation Expanse	¢	3 561 021	¢	1 270 202	¢	28 800 262	¢	24 592 770	¢	39 950 429	¢	110 073 603	22.40/
s/MWh	\$ \$	0.028	э \$	4,279,392	φ \$	28,800,203	\$ \$	0 261	э \$	0 285	• \$	0 169	23.4%
·····	-	0.020	Ť	0.004	Ť	0.220	Ť	0.201	Ť	0.200	Ű	0.100	
Interest Expense	\$	1,036,848	\$	793,059	\$	3,066,034	\$	2,666,378	\$	2,681,326	\$	10,243,645	2.2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.008	\$	0.006	\$	0.023	\$	0.020	\$	0.020	\$	0.016	
Note de Deut of this and	<u> </u>						-						
INOTE 1: Part of this category is included in oth	er cos	st categories.	1		1		1				1		

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Administ	rative Cos	ts ((from FERC	CF	Form 1 Data	i)						
ISO New England													
Overview													
	-												
	Current FFRC	Future FFRC											
	Account	Account											
Cost Category	Number	Number		2001		2002		2003	2004	2005	Notes	5	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1	\$	62,631,558	\$	63,869,435	\$	102,924,027	\$ 116,842,065	\$ 124,421,028			p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$	16,764,265	\$	19,028,071	\$	21,762,406	\$ 24,838,507	\$ 25,379,347			
Total Administrative Costs			\$	45,867,293	\$	44,841,364	\$	81,161,621	\$ 92,003,558	\$ 99,041,681			
Net Other Income and Deductions			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -			p. 117
NET INCOME			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -			p. 117

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operation	al and Admii	nistrative	Costs (Fro	m	FERC Forn	n 1	Data)					
ISO New England												
Operational Costs												
		Current	Future									
	Common	FERC	FERC									
	or RTO	Account	Account									
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operation Supervision and Engineering	Common	560		\$	6,601,945	\$	7,376,006	\$ 8,587,406	\$ 10,003,731	\$ 10,839,785		pp. 320-323
Load Dispatching		561		\$	2,200,648	\$	2,458,669	\$ 2,862,469	\$ 3,334,577	\$ 3,613,262		pp. 320-323
OATT Administration	Common		575.3								Included in Load Disptaching	
OASIS Administration	Common		575.3								Included in Load Disptaching	
Balancing Authority Administration	Common		561.2								Included in Load Disptaching	
Regional Planning	Common		561.5	-							Included in Load Disptaching	
Miscellaneous Transmission Expense	Common	566		\$	5,396,570	\$	6,029,305	\$ 7,019,529	\$ 8,177,263	\$ 8,860,672		pp. 320-323
Rents	Common	567		\$	1,753,157	\$	2,401,117	\$ 2,265,284	\$ 2,277,044	\$ 956,082		pp. 320-323
Maintenance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4	\$	811,945	\$	762,974	\$ 1,027,718	\$ 1,045,892	\$ 1,109,546		pp. 320-323
Total Operational Costs				\$	16,764,265	\$	19,028,071	\$ 21,762,406	\$ 24,838,507	\$ 25,379,347		
Total Operational Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	5,724,507	\$	6,172,014	\$ 5,407,751	\$ 7,398,006	\$ 7,797,502	Included above	р. 354

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational ar	nd Adminis	strative Co	sts (from F	EF	RC Form 1	Dat	ta)					
ISO New England												
Administrative Costs												
		Current	Future									
	Common	FERC	FERC									
	or RTO	Account	Account									
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
• · · · · ·												
Supervision - Customer Accounts	Common	901		\$	499,487	\$	558,051	\$ 649,703	\$ 756,858	\$ 820,112		pp. 320-323
Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expense	Common	905		\$	2,603,785	\$	2,909,072	\$ 3,386,844	\$ 3,945,438	\$ 4,275,175		pp. 320-323
Supervision - Customer Service and Info.	Common	907		\$	117,877	\$	131,698	\$ 153,327	\$ 178,616	\$ 193,544		
Misc. Customer Service and Info. Expense	Common	910		\$	1,657,928	\$	1,852,316	\$ 2,156,532	\$ 2,512,209	\$ 2,722,166		
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920		\$	13,386,820	\$	14,956,392	\$ 17,412,755	\$ 20,284,651	\$ 21,979,926		pp. 320-323
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1	\$	440,482	\$	411,757	\$ 496,202	\$ 568,957	\$ 683,369		pp. 320-323
Outside Services Employed	Common	923		\$	9,055,602	\$	10,117,349	\$ 11,778,973	\$ 13,721,685	\$ 14,868,464		pp. 320-323
Property Insurance	Common	924		\$	709,270	\$	811,663	\$ 1,024,659	\$ 1,411,906	\$ 1,482,884		pp. 320-323
Employee Pensions and Benefits	Common	926		\$	4,569,636	\$	5,105,414	\$ 5,943,903	\$ 6,924,233	\$ 7,502,921		pp. 320-323
Rents	Common	931	567	\$	1,240,524	\$	1,191,976	\$ 584,925	\$ 232,334	\$ 120,487		рр. 320-323
Miscellaneous General Expense												
Board of Directors Expense				\$	855,160	\$	855,160	\$ 930,680	\$ 851,405	\$ 723,868		p. 335
Meetings, Travel, and Seminars				\$	1,455,579	\$	1,455,579	\$ 1,492,029	\$ 1,655,626	\$ 1,785,336		р. 335
Taxes				\$	121,451	\$	119,097	\$ 125,152	\$ 132,556	\$ 152,689		p. 335
Communications Expenses				\$	1,395,219							р. 335
Computer Services				\$	3,360,700							p. 335
Charitable Contributions				\$	5,490							р. 335
Other Income and Expense				\$	496,640							p. 335
Auto Expense				\$	26,274							р. 335
Total Miscellaneous General Expense	RTO	930.2		\$	7,716,513	\$	2,429,836	\$ 2,547,861	\$ 2,639,587	\$ 2,661,893		pp. 320-323 and 335
Depreciation Expense	Common	403		\$	3,561,031	\$	4,279,392	\$ 28,800,263	\$ 34,582,778	\$ 38,850,138		р. 114
Amortization & Depl. Of Utility Plant	Common	404-405		\$	(728,510)	\$	(706,611)	\$ 3,108,126	\$ 644,711	\$ 70,991		р. 114
Losses from Disp. Of Utility Plant	Common	411.7						\$ 51,514	\$ 933,217			р. 114
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	427		\$	1,036,848	\$	622,212	\$ 3,066,034	\$ 2,666,378	\$ 2,615,660		р. 117
Amort. Of Debt Disc. And Expense	Common	428								\$ 128,285		р. 117
Other Interest Expense	Common	431				\$	170,847			\$ 65,666		р. 117
Total Administrative Costs				\$	45,867,293	\$	44,841,364	\$ 81,161,621	\$ 92,003,558	\$ 99,041,681		

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Adminis	strative Co	sts (from F	Ē	RC Form 1	Dat	ta)					
ISO New England												
Administrative Costs												
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account	Future FERC Account		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notos	Form 1 Pof
Salarios and Wagos	Specific	Number	Number	-	2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	NOLES	FUIII I Kei.
Customer Accounts				\$	1 401 463	\$	1 317 411	\$ 1 154 895	\$ 1 546 841	\$ 1 685 406	Included above	n 354
Customer Service and Informational				\$	710,485	\$	838,643	\$ 508,555	\$ 1,283,109	\$ 1,466,100	Included above	p. 354
Administrative and General				\$	14,209,305	\$	15,580,060	\$ 20,886,299	\$ 25,509,871	\$ 28,453,842	Included above	p. 354
Total Administrative Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	16,321,253	\$	17,736,114	\$ 22,549,749	\$ 28,339,821	\$ 31,605,348	Included above	-
Total Operational and Administrative Salaries Check				\$	22,045,760	\$	23,908,128	\$ 27,957,500	\$ 35,737,827	\$ 39,402,850		p. 354

C3 - Midwest ISO

Summary Data Table of RTO Opera	tional a	and Ad	minis	trative Costs ((fror	m FERC Form 1	Da	ita)					
Midwest ISO													
Major Cost Breakdown													
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that are	typically	over 2%	of the	Total Cost for a	year								
		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005		Survey Period Total	% of Total Cost
MISO Annual Load (MWh)				343,816,582		427,998,509		592,780,417		652,763,614		2,017,359,122	N/A
7.4.10.44	<u>^</u>			00.040.000		04.050.500	•	155 000 001	•	070 404 040		500.004.440	100.000
	\$		- 1	83,240,390	\$	84,050,582	\$ ¢	155,669,224	\$ ¢	273,421,246	\$	596,381,442	100.0%
\$/1010011			4	0.242	φ	0.190	φ	0.203	φ	0.419	φ	0.290	
Operational													
Total Operational Costs	\$		- 9	1 164 637	\$	1 569 242	\$	2 026 034	\$	2 544 847	\$	7 304 760	1.2%
\$/MWh	\$		- 9	0.003	\$	0.004	\$	0.003	\$	0.004	\$	0.021	1.2.70
φ/	Ŷ			0.000	Ŷ	0.001	Ŷ	0.000	Ŷ	0.001	Ŷ	01021	
Administrative													
Total Administrative Costs	\$		- 9	82,075,753	\$	82,481,340	\$	153,643,190	\$	270,876,399	\$	589,076,682	98.8%
\$/MWh			9	0.239	\$	0.193	\$	0.259	\$	0.415	\$	0.292	
O lot a lot	•					00 754 000	•	10 11 1 0 10	•	57 000 404		450 500 054	05.00(
Salaries and Wages	\$		- 3	21,110,044	\$	28,751,903	\$	43,414,243	\$	57,232,164	\$	150,508,354	25.2%
\$/IVIVVII			1	0.001	Ð	0.067	Þ	0.073	Ф	0.066	Ф	0.075	
Office Supplies and Expense	\$		- 9	8.673.157	\$	14.424.930	\$	21.550.827	\$	23.824.919	\$	68.473.833	11.5%
\$/MWh	Ť		9	0.025	\$	0.034	\$	0.036	\$	0.036	\$	0.034	
Rents	\$		- 9	2,049,709	\$	2,416,952	\$	3,083,768	\$	5,189,434	\$	12,739,863	2.1%
\$/MWh			9	0.006	\$	0.006	\$	0.005	\$	0.008	\$	0.006	
De sulatema Canta	¢				¢	40.007.007	¢	40 707 074	¢	22 020 420	*	70 745 700	44.00/
	\$		- 1	-	\$	18,087,987	\$	19,797,671	\$	32,830,138	\$	/0,/15,/96	11.9%
2/1010011			1	-	Ð	0.042	¢	0.033	Ф	0.050	¢	0.035	
Regulatory Debits	\$		- 9	9.819.026	\$	13.384.575	\$	38,986,382	\$	54,228,017	\$	116.418.000	19.5%
\$/MWh	Ţ.		9	0.029	\$	0.031	\$	0.066	\$	0.083	\$	0.058	101070
·													
Employee Pension and Benefits	\$		- 9	3,420,794	\$	5,212,733	\$	8,064,556	\$	10,526,726	\$	27,224,809	4.6%
\$/MWh			9	0.010	\$	0.012	\$	0.014	\$	0.016	\$	0.013	
Consulting Fees/Outside Services	\$		- 9	8 910 654	\$	23 450 919	\$	45 949 236	\$	50 326 037	\$	128 636 846	21.6%
\$/MWh	Ψ		9	0.026	\$	0.055	\$	0.078	\$	0.077	\$	0.064	21.070
¢,				0.020	Ť	0.000	Ŷ	0.070	Ŷ	0.077	Ŷ	0.001	
Depreciation Expense	\$		- 9	14,300,334	\$	19,827,081	\$	23,795,263	\$	44,075,342	\$	101,998,020	17.1%
\$/MWh			4	0.042	\$	0.046	\$	0.040	\$	0.068	\$	0.051	
Interest Expense	\$		- 9	9,985,867	\$	12,168,838	\$	17,694,106	\$	24,766,972	\$	64,615,783	10.8%
\$/MWh	_		9	0.029	\$	0.028	\$	0.030	\$	0.038	\$	0.032	
					1		1		1		1		

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational and	d Administ	rative Cos	ts (from FERC	C F	orm 1 Data	ı)				
Midwest ISO											
Overview											
	•							_			
	Current	Future									
	FERC	FERC									
	Account	Account									
Cost Category	Number	Number		2002		2003	2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1	\$	82,558,024	\$	83,125,485	\$ 153,299,738	3 \$	267,258,103		p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$	1,164,637	\$	1,569,242	\$ 2,026,034	1\$	2,544,847		
Total Administrative Costs			\$	82,075,753	\$	82,481,340	\$ 153,643,190)\$	270,876,399		
Net Other Income and Deductions			\$	682,366	\$	925,097	\$ 2,369,486	5 \$	6,163,143		p. 117
NET INCOME			\$	-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-		p. 117

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operation	onal and Admir	nistrative	Costs (Fro	om F	ERC Forn	n 1	Data)				
Midwest ISO											
Operational Costs											
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number		2002		2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Maintenance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4	\$	1,164,637	\$	1,569,242	\$ 2,026,034	\$ 2,544,847		pp. 320-323
Total Operational Costs				\$	1,164,637	\$	1,569,242	\$ 2,026,034	\$ 2,544,847		

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational a	nd Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	FEF	RC Form 1	Da	ta)						
Midwest ISO													
Administrative Costs													
		Current	Future										
	Common	FERC	FERC										
	or RTO	Account	Account										
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2002		2003		2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
	-												
Customer Assistance Expense	Common	908		\$	155,686	\$	468,508	\$	290,709	\$	283,451		рр. 320-323
Misc. Customer Service and Informational Exp.	Common	910		\$	5,109	\$	18,685	\$	20,676	\$	29,906		рр. 320-323
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920		\$	21,110,044	\$	28,751,903	\$	43,414,243	\$	57,232,164		рр. 320-323
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1	\$	8,673,157	\$	14,424,930	\$	21,550,827	\$	23,824,919		рр. 320-323
(Less) Administrative Expense Transferred	Common	922											рр. 320-323
Outside Services Employed	Common	923		\$	8,910,654	\$	23,450,919	\$	45,949,236	\$	50,326,037		рр. 320-323
Property Insurance	Common	924		\$	2,981,771	\$	1,957,037	\$	3,206,716	\$	2,549,665		рр. 320-323
Injuries and Damages	Common	925		\$	483	\$	9,406						pp. 320-323
Employee Pensions and Benefits	Common	926		\$	3,420,794	\$	5,212,733	\$	8,064,556	\$	10,526,726		pp. 320-323
General Advertising Expense	Common	930.1		\$	84,722	\$	76,887	\$	66,470	\$	140,667		pp. 320-323
Rents	Common	931	567	\$	2,049,709	\$	2,416,952	\$	3,083,768	\$	5,189,434		pp. 320-323
Regulatory Commission Expense	Common	928		\$	-	\$	18,087,987	\$	19,797,671	\$	32,830,138		рр. 320-323
Miscellaneous General Expense													
Industry Association Dues				\$	16,712	\$	5,300			\$	65,620		p. 335
Annual Report Expense				\$	15,359	\$	12,742		Data N/A	\$	18,258		р. 335
Board of Director Fees and Expenses				\$	533,546	\$	515,526		Dula I III	\$	663,887		р. 335
Other Miscellaneous				\$	3,420	\$	994			\$	67,286		р. 335
Total Miscellaneous General Expense	RTO	930.2		\$	569,037	\$	534,562	\$	657,661	\$	815,051		pp. 320-323 and 335
Depreciation Expense	Common	403		\$	14 300 334	\$	19 827 081	\$	23 795 263	\$	44 075 342		n 114
Regulatory Debits	Common	407 3		¢	9 819 026	¢	13 384 575	¢	38 986 382	¢	54 228 017		p. 114
(Less) Regulatory Credits	Common	407.5		¢	(1 33/ 807)	¢	(60 070 033)	¢	(77 310 100)	¢	(11 161 020)		p. 114
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Common	408.1		¢ ¢	1 2/8 /18	÷ ¢	2 512 153	¢	3 965 379	¢	A 724 321		p. 114
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	400.1		¢ ¢	8 150 100	÷	10 221 126	÷	1/ 115 822	¢	10 506 190		p. 117
Amort Of Dabt Disc. And Exponso	Common	421		¢ ¢	0,130,190	¢ ¢	157 217	φ ¢	419 726	φ ¢	105 519		p. 117
Amort. Of Debt Disc. And Expense	Common	420		\$ \$	30,039	¢ ¢	1 047 700	ф ф	2 570 272	¢ ¢	490,010		p. 117
	Common	431		Þ	1,030,077	Þ	1,947,702	Þ	3,3/0,2/3	Þ	5,200,783		p. 117
Total Administrative Costs				\$	82 075 753	\$	82 481 340	\$	153 643 190	\$	270 876 399		
			1	- -	52,010,100	1 ¥	0-1,-01,040	¥		- ¥			

C4 - New York ISO

Summary Data Table of RTO Operation	onal a	and Adminis	trativ	e Costs (from	FER	C Form 1 Data)						
New York ISO													
Major Cost Breakdown													
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that are ty	pically	over 2% of the	e Tota	I Cost for a year.									
		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	S	Survey Period Total	% of Total Cost
NYISO Annual Load (MWh)		156,787,408		158,744,782		158,013,534		160,209,093		167,204,055		800,958,872	N/A
									-				
	\$	90,043,956	\$	99,410,931	\$	117,819,269	\$	136,661,595	\$	149,170,862	\$	593,106,613	100.0%
2/MMAN	\$	0.574	Э	0.626	Þ	0.746	Э	0.853	Э	0.892	¢	0.740	
Operational													
Total Operational Costs	¢	9 470 212	¢	10 1/2 222	¢	10 454 070	¢	11 200 077	¢	12 246 211	¢	52 722 112	P 0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.054	\$	0.064	\$	0.066	\$	0.071	\$	0.073	\$	0.066	0.370
******	Ť		-		-		Ŧ		Ť		Ŧ		
Operation Supervision & Engineering	\$	6,955,044	\$	7,757,605	\$	8,146,003	\$	8,632,633	\$	8,752,454	\$	40,243,739	6.8%
\$/MWh	\$	0.044	\$	0.049	\$	0.052	\$	0.054	\$	0.052	\$	0.050	
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	8,202,359	\$	9,789,243	\$	10,102,019	\$	10,891,459	\$	11,403,661	\$	50,388,741	8.5%
\$/MWh	\$	0.052	\$	0.062	\$	0.064	\$	0.068	\$	0.068	\$	0.063	
	-												
Administrativo													
Automistrative Costs	¢	81 564 644	¢	80 267 508	¢	107 365 100	¢	125 262 518	¢	136 024 551	¢	540 384 501	01.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.520	\$	0.562	\$	0.679	\$	0.782	\$	0.819	\$	0.675	51.170
******	Ť		-		-		Ŧ		Ť		Ŧ		
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	12,135,805	\$	13,383,975	\$	15,551,731	\$	17,585,504	\$	24,195,818	\$	82,852,833	14.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.077	\$	0.084	\$	0.098	\$	0.110	\$	0.145	\$	0.103	
Office Supplies and Expense	\$	6,559,983	\$	9,621,562	\$	8,953,495	\$	13,537,959	\$	14,793,299	\$	53,466,298	9.0%
\$/MVVn	\$	0.042	Э	0.061	Þ	0.057	Э	0.085	Э	0.088	¢	0.067	
Regulatory Costs	\$	5.644.495	\$	12.078.258	\$	14.030.534	\$	10.231.947	\$	14.411.734	\$	56.396.968	9.5%
\$/MWh	\$	0.036	\$	0.076	\$	0.089	\$	0.064	\$	0.086	\$	0.070	
Consulting Fees/Outside Services	\$	24,295,228	\$	17,351,446	\$	20,118,785	\$	23,547,390	\$	22,240,283	\$	107,553,132	18.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.155	\$	0.109	\$	0.127	\$	0.147	\$	0.133	\$	0.134	
Broporty Insurance	¢	1 222 792	¢	2 020 070	¢	2 420 420	¢	2 207 069	¢	2 217 060	¢	12 207 229	2.2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.008	φ \$	0.013	\$	0.022	\$	0.021	\$	0.020	\$	0.017	2.278
******	Ť		-		-		Ť		Ť		Ť		
Employee Pension and Benefits	\$	4,538,331	\$	4,948,183	\$	5,108,581	\$	6,773,499	\$	8,066,276	\$	29,434,870	5.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.029	\$	0.031	\$	0.032	\$	0.042	\$	0.048	\$	0.037	
Minoritanoona Consul Frances	¢	0 775 007	¢	0 404 707	¢	4 000 007	¢	5 204 740	¢	5 707 045		04.057.050	2.00/
	¢	2,775,337	¢	3,101,707	¢ ¢	4,000,927	¢	5,381,710	\$ \$	5,737,315	\$	21,057,056	3.0%
<i>φ</i> ///////	Ψ	0.010	Ψ	0.020	Ψ	0.025	Ψ	0.034	Ψ	0.004	Ψ	0.020	
Regulatory Debits	\$	11,918,649	\$	12,092,095	\$	10,988,489	\$	10,988,489	\$	-	\$	45,987,722	7.8%
\$/MWh	\$	0.076	\$	0.076	\$	0.070	\$	0.069	\$	-	\$	0.057	
Depreciation Expense	\$	4,781,676	\$	8,420,385	\$	17,570,115	\$	24,375,869	\$	35,856,529	\$	91,004,574	15.3%
\$/MVVN	\$	0.030	\$	0.053	\$	0.111	\$	0.152	\$	0.214	\$	0.114	
Interest Expense	\$	4 339 032	\$	1 977 272	s	1 324 241	\$	2 425 503	\$	3 150 742	\$	13,216 790	2.2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.028	\$	0,012	\$	0,008	\$	0,015	\$	0,019	\$	0.017	2.270
**	Ť		Ť		Ť	2.000	Ť		Ŧ		Ť	2.017	
Note 1: Part of this category is included in othe	er cost	categories.											

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Administ	rative Cos	ts ((from FERO	CF	orm 1 Data	a)					
New York ISO												
Overview												
	Current FERC	Future FERC										
	Account	Account										
Cost Category	Number	Number		2001		2002		2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1	\$	88,891,449	\$	99,218,202	\$	117,578,794	\$ 136,407,122	\$ 145,833,589		p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$	8,479,312	\$	10,143,333	\$	10,454,079	\$ 11,399,077	\$ 12,246,311		
Total Administrative Costs			\$	81,564,644	\$	89,267,598	\$	107,365,190	\$ 125,262,518	\$ 136,924,551		
Net Other Income and Deductions			\$	1,152,507	\$	192,729	\$	240,475	\$ 254,473	\$ 3,337,272		p. 117
NET INCOME			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ (1)		p. 117

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operation	al and Admi	nistrative	Costs (Fro	om F	ERC Forn	n 1	Data)							
New York ISO														
Operational Costs														
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number		2001		2002		2003		2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Other Power Supply Expenses	Common	557		\$	1,247,315	\$	2,031,638	\$	1,956,016	\$	2,258,826	\$ 2,651,207		pp. 320-323
Operation Supervision and Engineering	Common	560		\$	6,955,044	\$	7,757,605	\$	8,146,003	\$	8,632,633	\$ 8,752,454		pp. 320-323
Maintenance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4	\$	276,953	\$	354,090	\$	352,060	\$	507,618	\$ 842,650		pp. 320-323
Total Operational Costs				<u>\$</u>	8,479,312	\$	10,143,333	<u>\$</u>	10,454,079	<u>\$</u>	11,399,077	\$ 12,246,311		
Salaries and Wages														
Production				\$	1,247,315	\$	2,031,638	\$	1,956,016	\$	2,258,826	\$ 2,651,207	Included above	p. 354
Transmission				\$	6,955,044	\$	7,757,605	\$	8,146,003	\$	8,632,633	\$ 8,752,454	Included above	p. 354
Total Administrative Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	8,202,359	\$	9,789,243	\$	10,102,019	\$	10,891,459	\$ 11,403,661	Included above	

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Adminis	strative Cos	sts (from F	FER	C Form 1	Dat	ta)								
New York ISO							-								
Administrative Costs															
		Current	Future												
	Common	FERC	FERC												
	or RTO	Account	Account												
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
• •															
Miscellaneous Customer Accounts Expense	Common	905		\$	903,129	\$	1,170,682	\$	1,203,028	\$	1,320,064	\$	1,295,174		pp. 320-323
Informational and Instructional Expense	Common	909		\$	308,891	\$	445,201	\$	446,701	\$	510,863	\$	520,009		pp. 320-323
Misc. Customer Service and Info. Expense	Common	910		\$	1,519,944	\$	1,870,764	\$	2,140,079	\$	2,152,271	\$	2,114,271		pp. 320-323
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920		\$	9,403,841	\$	9,897,327	\$	11,761,923	\$	13,602,306	\$	20,266,364		рр. 320-323
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1	\$	6,559,983	\$	9,621,562	\$	8,953,495	\$	13,537,959	\$	14,793,299		pp. 320-323
Outside Services Employed	Common	923		\$	24,295,228	\$	17,351,446	\$	20,118,785	\$	23,547,390	\$	22,240,283		pp. 320-323
Property Insurance	Common	924		\$	1,232,783	\$	2,030,079	\$	3,429,429	\$	3,297,068	\$	3,317,969		pp. 320-323
Injuries and Damages	Common	925		\$	535,448	\$	945,496	\$	2,341,702	\$	2,525,849	\$	827,983		pp. 320-323
Employee Pensions and Benefits	Common	926		\$	4,538,331	\$	4,948,183	\$	5,108,581	\$	6,773,499	\$	8,066,276		pp. 320-323
Rents	Common	931	567	\$	1,340,619	\$	1,425,846	\$	1,709,630	\$	1,952,052	\$	1,463,765		pp. 320-323
Regulatory Costs															
Northeast Power Coordinating Council Fees				\$	1,503,343			\$	1,496,308	\$	1,506,734	\$	1,689,802		p. 350
FERC Proceedings				\$	4,141,152			\$	4,671,296	\$	3,413,539	\$	3,828,250		р. 350
FERC Fees								\$	7,862,930	\$	5,311,674	\$	8,893,682		р. 350
Total Regulatory Costs	Common	928		\$	5,644,495	\$	12,078,258	\$	14,030,534	\$	10,231,947	\$	14,411,734		pp. 320-323 and 350
Note: All RTOs will have Regulatory Cost on the Form															
1 but the specific items are RTO Specific.															
Miscellaneous General Expense				•	0.000.400	•	0 000 500	•	0.440.005	•	4 505 005	•	1 0 10 070		
				\$	2,009,198	\$	2,236,582	\$	3,110,895	\$	4,525,625	\$	4,642,279		p. 335
Board of Director Fees and Expenses	DTO	000.0		\$	766,139	\$	925,185	\$	890,032	\$	856,085	\$	1,095,036		p. 335
Total Miscellaneous General Expense	RIO	930.2		\$	2,775,337	\$	3,161,767	Þ	4,000,927	\$	5,381,710	Þ	5,737,315		pp. 320-323 and 335
Depreciation Expanse	Common	403		¢	1 791 676	¢	9 420 295	¢	17 570 115	¢	24 275 860	¢	25 956 520		n 114
Populatory Dobits	Common	403		¢ ¢	4,701,070	ф ¢	12 002 005	¢ ¢	10 099 490	ф ¢	10 089 490	φ	33,030,329		p. 114 n. 114
Taxos Othor Than Incomo Taxos	Common	407.5		¢ ¢	1 461 262	¢ ¢	1 912 911	¢ ¢	2 225 797	ф ¢	2 501 805	¢	2 816 021		p. 114 n. 114
Lossos from Disp. Of Utility Plant	Common	400.1		¢ ¢	5 905	ф ¢	19 424	¢ ¢	2,235,767	ф ¢	2,391,803	9 6	2,010,921		p. 114 n. 114
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	411.7		¢ ¢	3,095	ф ф	1 059 110	ф ф	792 267	ф ф	2 222 006	ф ф	45,917		p. 114 n. 117
Other Interest Expense	Common	427		¢ ¢	4,227,992	¢ ¢	10 152	¢ ¢	541 974	ф ¢	102 /07	9 6	3,077,909		p. 117 n. 117
	Common	431		φ	111,040	φ	19,133	φ	341,074	φ	103,497	φ	12,113		p. 117
Total Administrative Costs				¢	81 564 644	¢	80 267 509	¢	107 365 100	¢ -	125 262 519	¢	136 02/ 551		
				<u>\$</u>	01,304,044	Ψ	03,201,390	Ψ	107,303,190	Ψ	123,202,310	Ψ	130,324,331		
				-											
				+											

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Adminis	strative Co	sts (from F	ER	C Form 1	Da	ta)					
New York ISO												
Administrative Costs												
	Common or RTO	Current FERC Account	Future FERC Account									
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Salaries and Wages									i			
Customer Accounts				\$	903,129	\$	1,170,682	\$ 1,203,028	\$ 1,320,064	\$ 1,295,174	Included above	р. 354
Customer Service and Informational				\$	1,828,835	\$	2,315,966	\$ 2,586,780	\$ 2,663,134	\$ 2,634,280	Included above	p. 354
Administrative and General				\$	9,403,841	\$	9,897,327	\$ 11,761,923	\$ 13,602,306	\$ 20,266,364	Included above	p. 354
Total Administrative Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	12,135,805	\$	13,383,975	\$ 15,551,731	\$ 17,585,504	\$ 24,195,818	Included above	
Total Operational and Administrative Salaries Check				\$	20,338,164	\$	23,173,218	\$ 25,653,750	\$ 28,476,963	\$ 35,599,479		p. 354

C5 – PJM

Summary Data Table of RTO Operat	iona	and Adminis	strat	ive Costs (from	ו FE	RC Form 1 Dat	a)						
PJM Interconnection													
Major Cost Breakdown													
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that are t	ypica	lly over 2% of tl	ne To	tal Cost for a yea	r.								
		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	Su	rvey Period Total	% of Total Cost
PJM Annual Load (MWh)		263,811,916		310,721,075		326,401,266		437,342,067		682,317,607		2,020,593,932	N/A
Total Coasta	¢	407.050.505	¢	074 444 000	¢	050 400 470	¢	044 400 544	¢	207 050 204	*	4 477 000 045	400.00/
	¢	137,859,535	9 6	271,411,002	ð	259,103,173	¢ Þ	241,129,511	р Ф	207,859,394	\$ \$	1,177,302,015	100.0%
\$/1010011	Ψ	0.525	Ψ	0.075	Ψ	0.734	Ψ	0.001	Ψ	0.555	Ψ	0.000	
Operational													
Total Operational Costs	\$	79 662 974	\$	175 407 304	\$	136 630 639	s	91 977 747	\$	79 932 444	\$	563,611,108	47.9%
\$/MWh	\$	0.302	\$	0.565	\$	0.419	\$	0.210	\$	0.117	\$	0.279	
Load Dispatching	\$	35,452,793	\$	45,784,005	\$	51,036,905	\$	58,951,113	\$	68,946,588	\$	260,171,404	22.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.134	\$	0.147	\$	0.156	\$	0.135	\$	0.101	\$	0.129	
Maintenance of General Plant	\$	1 322 096	¢	5 505 057	¢	5 073 690	¢	6 154 076	¢	7 066 532	¢	20 111 421	2 50/
\$/MWh	\$	0,016	\$	0,018	9 \$	0,018	\$	0,134,076	φ \$	0.010	• \$	0.014	2.3%
*	Ť	0.010	Ψ	0.010	¥	0.010	Ť	0.014	Ť	0.010	Ť	0.014	
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	19,507,614	\$	19,985,330	\$	19,524,537	\$	23,425,264	\$	29,632,333	\$	112,075,078	9.5%
\$/MWh	\$	0.074	\$	0.064	\$	0.060	\$	0.054	\$	0.043	\$	0.055	
Interconnection Services Consulting	\$	39,059,251	\$	123,671,711	\$	79,408,909	\$	26,652,698	\$	3,699,464	\$	272,492,033	23.1%
\$/MIVVN	\$	0.148	\$	0.398	\$	0.243	\$	0.061	\$	0.005	\$	0.135	
Administrative													
Total Administrative Costs	\$	58 196 561	\$	96 003 698	\$	122 472 534	\$	149 151 764	\$	187 926 950	\$	613,751,507	52.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.221	\$	0.309	\$	0.375	\$	0.341	\$	0.275	\$	0.304	02.170
Salaries and Wages ¹	\$	28,035,165	\$	32,687,005	\$	24,961,708	\$	25,279,745	\$	31,191,658	\$	142,155,281	12.1%
\$/MWh	\$	0.106	\$	0.105	\$	0.076	\$	0.058	\$	0.046	\$	0.070	
Customer Assistance Expense	¢	E 009 225	¢	7 012 977	¢	10 179 290	¢	12 000 210	¢	14 000 007	•	50 437 907	4 29/
	¢ \$	0.023	¢ ¢	0.023	ф \$	0.031	ф S	13,009,219	Ф \$	14,236,067	e S	0.025	4.3%
¢///////	Ψ	0.020	Ψ	0.020	Ψ	0.001	Ŷ	0.000	Ψ	0.021	Ŷ	0.020	
Office Supplies and Expense	\$	1,436,198	\$	3,396,523	\$	3,811,797	\$	6,802,372	\$	7,664,136	\$	23,111,026	2.0%
\$/MWh	\$	0.005	\$	0.011	\$	0.012	\$	0.016	\$	0.011	\$	0.011	
	-	= 0.10 00.1	•	10.070.000	•								0.000
Regulatory Costs	\$	5,613,881	\$	12,872,930	\$	14,550,642	\$	27,404,756	\$	33,553,333	\$	93,995,542	8.0%
\$/1010011	φ	0.021	ð	0.041	φ	0.045	φ	0.063	Ф	0.049	φ	0.047	
Consulting Fees/Outside Services	\$	3,140,680	\$	7,116,665	\$	5,888,529	\$	10,306,657	\$	5,485,286	\$	31,937,817	2.7%
\$/MWh	\$	0.012	\$	0.023	\$	0.018	\$	0.024	\$	0.008	\$	0.016	
Employee Pensions and Benefits	\$	10,630,896	\$	13,763,047	\$	12,546,402	\$	11,302,993	\$	13,217,734	\$	61,461,072	5.2%
\$/MWh	\$	0.040	\$	0.044	\$	0.038	\$	0.026	\$	0.019	\$	0.030	
Depreciation Expense	\$	30 610 497	\$	38 655 028	\$	45 895 874	\$	30 502 666	\$	47 466 372	\$	193,130,437	16.4%
\$/MWh	\$	0.116	\$	0.124	\$	0.141	\$	0.070	\$	0.070	\$	0.096	10.478
			-				Ĺ						
Interest Expense	\$	9,018,654	\$	9,078,348	\$	6,938,367	\$	7,113,159	\$	9,213,823	\$	41,362,351	3.5%
\$/MWh	\$	0.034	\$	0.029	\$	0.021	\$	0.016	\$	0.014	\$	0.020	
							<u> </u>						
Note 1: Part of this category is included in oth	Ar occ	et categorias					-						
note i. Part of this category is included in oth		si calegones.					L				L		

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Administ	rative Cos	sts (f	from FER	Form 1 Data	ı)				
PJM Interconnection										
Overview										
	Current FERC Account	Future FERC Account								
Cost Category	Number	Number		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1	\$	95,400,000	\$ 143,798,000	\$ 176,404,242	\$ 211,844,875	\$ 260,041,283		p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$	79,662,974	\$ 175,407,304	\$ 136,630,639	\$ 91,977,747	\$ 79,932,444		
Total Administrative Costs			\$	58,196,561	\$ 96,003,698	\$ 122,472,534	\$ 149,151,764	\$ 187,926,950		
Net Other Income and Deductions			\$	42,507,535	\$ 127,661,002	\$ 82,649,931	\$ 29,308,369	\$ 7,253,289		p. 117
NET INCOME			\$	48,000	\$ 48,000	\$ (49,000)	\$ 23,733	\$ (564,822)		p. 117

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operationa	al and Admir	nistrative	Costs (Fro	m	FERC Forr	n 1	Data)								
PJM Interconnection															
Operational Costs															
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number		2001		2002		2003		2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Load Dispatching		561		\$	35.452.793	\$	45.784.005	\$	51.036.905	\$	58.951.113	\$	68.946.588		pp. 320-323
OATT Administration	Common		575.3	_ ·	, - ,		-, - ,	1.	. ,,	1.	,,,	1.		Included in Load Disptaching	
OASIS Administration	Common		575.3											Included in Load Disptaching	
Balancing Authority Administration	Common		561.2											Included in Load Disptaching	
Regional Planning	Common		561.5											Included in Load Disptaching	
Interconnection Services Consulting	RTO		561.7	\$	39,059,251	\$	123,671,711	\$	79,408,909	\$	26,652,698	\$	3,699,464		p. 335
Project Expense	RTO			\$	609,152	\$	136,671	\$	(8,715)						р. 335
Maintenance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4	\$	4,322,086	\$	5,595,057	\$	5,973,680	\$	6,154,076	\$	7,066,532		pp. 320-323
Amort. Property Losses, Unrecov Plant and Regulatory Study Costs	Common	407		\$	219,692	\$	219,860	\$	219,860	\$	219,860	\$	219,860		р. 114
Total Operational Costs				<u>\$</u>	79,662,974	<u>\$</u>	175,407,304	<u>\$</u>	136,630,639	\$	91,977,747	<u>\$</u>	79,932,444		
Total Operational Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	19,507,614	\$	19,985,330	\$	19,524,537	\$	23,425,264	\$	29,632,333	Included above	p. 354

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational and	d Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	ER	C Form 1	Dat	ta)					
PJM Interconnection												
Administrative Costs												
		Current	Future									
	Common	FERC	FERC									
	or RTO	Account	Account									
Cost Category	Specific	Number	Number		2001		2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Customer Records and Collection Expense	Common	903		\$	2,386,117	\$	1,026,993	\$ 1,294,642	\$ 1,532,896	\$ 2,206,055		pp. 320-323
Customer Assistance Expense	Common	908		\$	5,998,235	\$	7,013,877	\$ 10,178,389	\$ 13,009,219	\$ 14,238,087		pp. 320-323
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920		\$	8,419,872	\$	10,647,906	\$ 13,955,966	\$ 15,895,883	\$ 19,983,088		pp. 320-323
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1	\$	1,436,198	\$	3,396,523	\$ 3,811,797	\$ 6,802,372	\$ 7,664,136		pp. 320-323
Outside Services Employed	Common	923		\$	3,140,680	\$	7,116,665	\$ 5,888,529	\$ 10,306,657	\$ 5,485,286		pp. 320-323
Property Insurance	Common	924		\$	412,479	\$	634,645	\$ 193,450	\$ 160,802	\$ 195,386		рр. 320-323
Injuries and Damages	Common	925		\$	1,173,978	\$	1,808,431	\$ 2,968,171	\$ 3,288,269	\$ 3,163,360		pp. 320-323
Employee Pensions and Benefits	Common	926		\$	10,630,896	\$	13,763,047	\$ 12,546,402	\$ 11,302,993	\$ 13,217,734		рр. 320-323
Rents	Common	931	567	\$	401,630	\$	(261,235)	\$ 62,321				рр. 320-323
Regulatory Costs												
Legal and Consulting Fees	RTO								\$ 2,047,664	\$ 3,449,666		р. 350
Salaries, benefits and expenses	RTO								\$ 1,738,399	\$ 1,638,029		р. 350
Other	RTO								\$ 100,550	\$ 216,200		р. 350
FERC Fees	RTO			\$	2,500,000	\$	9,800,000	\$ 11,033,080	\$ 23,518,143	\$ 28,249,438		р. 350
lobbying costs	RTO					\$	246,059	\$ 2,104,125				р. 350
Various FERC filings	RTO			\$	3,113,881	\$	2,826,871	\$ 1,413,437				р. 350
Total Regulatory Costs	Common	928		\$	5,613,881	\$	12,872,930	\$ 14,550,642	\$ 27,404,756	\$ 33,553,333		pp. 320-323 and 350
Note: All RTOs will have Regulatory Cost on the Form												
1 but the specific items are RTO Specific.												
Miscellaneous General Expense												
Telecom/Telephone Expense	Common		384/567.4	\$	157,280	\$	592,094	\$ 429,051	\$ 161,412	\$ 238,724		р. 335
Employee Travel	RTO									\$ 763,241		р. 335
Relocation Expense	RTO									\$ 549,871		р. 335
Training	RTO									\$ 545,778		р. 335
Computer Licenses, Fees, Maintenance & Supplies	Common		576.2/576.3							\$ 1,877,940		р. 335
Accounting and Auditing Fees	Common									\$ 1,179,623		р. 335
Legal Fees	Common									\$ 1,281,248		р. 335
Overhead application	RTO								\$ (1,910,649)			р. 335
Board Activities and Administration	Common			\$	1,006,578	\$	997,425	\$ 1,266,333				р. 335
Water & Sewer Expense	RTO											р. 335
Utilities Expense	RTO											р. 335
Miscellaneous Expense	RTO			\$	755,209	\$	1,044,605	\$ 82,965	\$ 58,770	\$ 129,705		р. 335
Total Miscellaneous General Expenses	RTO	930.2		\$	1,919,067	\$	2,634,124	\$ 1,778,349	\$ (1,690,467)	\$ 6,566,130		pp. 320-323 and 335

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational ar	nd Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	FEF	RC Form 1	Da	ita)							
PJM Interconnection														
Administrative Costs														
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number		2001		2002		2003	2004		2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Depreciation Expense	Common	403		\$	30,610,497	\$	38,655,028	\$	45,895,874	\$ 30,502,666	\$	47,466,372		p. 114
Amort. Of Conversion Expenses	Common	407		\$	(23,485,553)	\$	(12,117,216))						р. 114
Regulatory Debits	Common	407.3						\$	2,353,670	\$ 21,003,911	\$	16,366,160		р. 114
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Common	408.1		\$	223,523	\$	70,870	\$	60,000	\$ (110,000)	\$	5,000		р. 114
Income Tax Expenses - Federal	Common	409.1		\$	296,407	\$	(337,238)	\$	(4,035)	\$ 40,197	\$	3,749,000		р. 114
Income Tax Expenses - Other	Common	409.1								\$ 2,588,451	\$	4,854,000		р. 114
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	427								\$ 6,927,319	\$	5,362,789		p. 117
Other Interest Expense	Common	431		\$	9,018,654	\$	9,078,348	\$	6,938,367	\$ 185,840	\$	3,851,034		р. 117
Total Administrative Costs				\$	58,196,561	<u>\$</u>	96,003,698	\$	122,472,534	\$ <u>149,151,764</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>187,926,950</u>		
Salaries and Wages														
Customer Accounts				\$	1,141,161	\$	1,011,997	\$	989,600	\$ 938,604	\$	1,285,845	Included above	p. 354
Customer Service and Informational				\$	4,880,041	\$	5,082,241	\$	6,561,569	\$ 7,190,610	\$	8,738,671	Included above	p. 354
Administrative and General				\$	22,013,963	\$	26,592,767	\$	17,410,539	\$ 17,150,531	\$	21,167,142	Included above	p. 354
Total Administrative Salaries and Wages	Common			\$	28,035,165	\$	32,687,005	\$	24,961,708	\$ 25,279,745	\$	31,191,658	Included above	
	1													
Total Operational and Administrative Salaries Check				\$	47,542,779	\$	52,672,335	\$	44,486,245	\$ 48,705,009	\$	60,823,991		p. 354

C6 - Southwest Power Pool

Summary Data Table of RTO Ope	rational an	d Admin	istrative	Costs (fr	om FE	ERC Form 1 E	Data)					
Southwest Power Pool												
Major Cost Breakdown												
Note: RTO Major Costs are those that ar	e typically ov	ver 2% of t	he Total Co	ost for a ye	ar.							
	2	2001	2	002		2003		2004		2005	Survey Period Total	% of Total Cost
SPP Annual Load (MWh)										201,511,494	201,511,494.290	N/A
Tatal Ocata	¢		¢		¢		¢		¢	40.000.404	¢ 40.000.404	400.00/
\$/MW/b	Φ	-	Ф	-	Э	-	Э	-	ф Ф	48,392,404	\$ 46,392,404 \$ 0.240	100.0%
\$71010011									Ψ	0.240	φ 0.240	
Operational												
Total Operational Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,942,496	\$ 1,942,496	4.0%
\$/MWh									\$	0.010	\$ 0.010	
			<u>^</u>		^		<u>^</u>		•		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Maintenance of General Plant	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,942,496	\$ 1,942,496	4.0%
\$/1010011									φ	0.010	φ 0.010	
Administrative												
Total Administrative Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	46,449,908	\$ 46,449,908	96.0%
\$/MWh									\$	0.231	\$ 0.231	
Solarias and Wagas ¹	¢		¢		¢		¢		¢	12 704 051	¢ 12 704 051	26.29/
\$/MW/h	Φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	φ	-	Ф \$	0.063	\$ 12,704,051	20.3%
ф/нттт									V	0.000	φ 0.000	
Employee Pensions and Benefits	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,512,354	\$ 4,512,354	9.3%
\$/MWh									\$	0.022	\$ 0.022	
Office Supplies and Expanse	¢		¢		¢		¢		¢	2 0 4 2 7 2 0	¢ 2042720	9.10/
	Φ	-	φ	-	Φ	-	φ	-	Ф \$	0.020	5 3,943,730 \$ 0.020	0.170
φ/1•1•••1									Ψ	0.020	φ 0.020	
Regulatory Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	9,196,078	\$ 9,196,078	19.0%
\$/MWh									\$	0.046	\$ 0.046	
Consulting Foos/Outside Services	¢		¢		¢		¢		¢	10 /12 226	¢ 10.412.326	21 5%
\$/MWh	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		\$	0.052	\$ 0.052	21.570
\$*****									•	0.002	• 0.002	
Depreciation Expense	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,805,300	\$ 2,805,300	5.8%
\$/MWh									\$	0.014	\$ 0.014	
Interest Expense	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	1 374 356	\$ 1 374 356	2.8%
\$/MWh	Ψ	-	Ψ		Ψ	-	Ψ	-	\$	0.007	\$ 0.007	2.070
<u>*</u>											, 0.001	
Note 1: Part of this category is included in	other cost cat	tegories.										

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	d Administ	rative Cos	sts (fro	m FER	C Form	1 Data	a)					
Southwest Power Pool												
Overview												
	Current	Future										
	FERC	Future										
	Account	Account										
Cost Category	Number	Number	2	001	200	2	2	003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Operating Revenue	400	457.1								\$ 54,945,828		p. 114
Total Operational Costs			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,942,496		
Total Administrative Costs			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 46,449,908		
Net Other Income and Deductions										\$ (80,925)		р. 117
NET INCOME			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 6,472,499		p. 117

Detailed Data Table of RTO Operationa	al and Admi	nistrative (Costs (Fro	m FERC F	orm 1	Data)						
Southwest Power Pool												
Operational Costs												
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number	2001		2002	2003		2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.
Maintenance of General Plant	Common	935	569.4							\$ 1,942,496		pp. 320-323
Total Operational Costs				\$	- <u>\$</u>	-	\$ -	<u>\$</u>		\$ 1,942,496		
Detailed Data Table of RTO Operational an	nd Adminis	trative Co	sts (from F	ERC Form	1 Data)							
--	------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	----------------------	-------	---------------------		
Southwest Power Pool												
Administrative Costs												
Cost Category	Common or RTO Specific	Current FERC Account Number	Future FERC Account Number	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Notes	Form 1 Ref.		
Administrative and General Salaries	Common	920						\$ 12,704,051		pp. 320-323		
Office Supplies and Expense	Common	921	567.1					\$ 3,943,730		pp. 320-323		
Outside Services Employed	Common	923						\$ 10,412,326		pp. 320-323		
Employee Pensions and Benefits	Common	926						\$ 4,512,354		рр. 320-323		
Regulatory Costs												
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission								\$ 7,517,645		p. 350		
North American Electric Reliability Council								\$ 708,464		p. 350		
Regional State Committee	RTO							\$ 969,969		p. 350		
Total Regulatory Costs	Common	928		\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$ 9,196,078		pp. 320-323 and 350		
Note: All RTOs will have Regulatory Cost on the Form 1 but the specific items are RTO Specific.												
Miscellaneous General Expense	RTO	930.2						\$ 427,403		pp. 320-323 and 335		
Depreciation Expense	Common	403						\$ 2,805,300		p. 114		
Taxes Other Than Income Taxes	Common	408.1						\$ 993,358		p. 114		
Interest on Long-Term Debt	Common	427						\$ 1,374,356		p. 117		
Amort. Of Debt Disc. And Expense	Common	428						\$ 80,952		p. 117		
Total Administrative Costs				<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$ 46,449,908</u>				

Appendix D – Cost Recovery Detail

D1 - California ISO

The California ISO recovers its administrative and operational costs using Schedule 1, Grid Management Charge ("GMC"), of its Transmission Tariff. The GMC is used to recover the following costs:

- Operating costs
- Financing costs, including Start-Up and Development costs
- Operating and Capital Reserve Costs.

The GMC is divided into the following eight components:

- 1. Core Reliability Services Demand Charge: This service is charged on a \$/MWh rate by the total of the forecasted Scheduling Coordinators' metered non-coincident peak hourly demand in MW for all months during the year, excluding Demand associated with Energy Exports.
- Core Reliability Services Energy Exports Charge: This service is charged based on the total of the forecasted Scheduling Coordinator' metered volume of Energy Exports as a \$/MWh charge.
- Energy Transmission Services Net Energy Charge: The \$/MWh rate for this service is charged based on the total annual forecasted Metered Control Area Load.
- 4. Energy Transmission Services Uninstructed Deviations¹ Charge: This service is charged based on the absolute value of the total annual forecasted net uninstructed deviations netted with the Settlement Interval summed over the calendar month. The rate for this charge is \$/MWh.
- 5. Forward Scheduling Charge:

The Forward Scheduling Charge is charged based on the annual forecasted number of non-zero MW Final Hour-Ahead Schedules. This charge is recovered on a per schedule basis, therefore the rate is expressed as \$ per Schedule.

6. Congestion Management Charge: The Congestion Management Charge is charged based on the total annual forecasted Scheduling Coordinators' inter-zonal scheduled flow per path in MWh. This charge is recovered using a \$/MWh rate.

¹ Uninstructed Deviation: A deviation from the resources' Dispatch Operating Point. (CAISO, FERC Electric Tariff, Appendix A, Sheet 535).

7. Market Usage Charge:

The Market Usage Charge is based on dividing the GMC costs allocated to this service category by the annual forecasted total purchases and sales of Ancillary Services, Supplemental Energy, Instructed Imbalance Energy, and net Uninstructed Imbalance Energy. This charge is expressed as a rate in \$/MWh.

8. Settlements, Metering, and Client Relations Charge: This charge is a fixed rate of \$500.00 per month.

CASIO Tariff Appendix F, Schedule 1 provides the details of how each type of operational and administrative charge experienced by the ISO is split into the eight (8) components above.

D2 - ISO New England

ISO-NE recovers its operational and administrative costs through Section IV.A of its FERC filed tariff, which includes the following schedules:

- Section IV.A, Schedule 1 Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service
- Section IV.A, Schedule 2 Energy Administration Service
- Section IV.A, Schedule 3 Reliability Administration Service
- Section IV.A, Schedule 4 Collection of FERC Annual Charges

Schedule 1 covers the ISOs operational expenses for the processing and implementation of transmission service requests and coordination of transmission service operations. The costs associated with Schedule 1 are recovered from the ISO Customers that take Regional Network Service based on its Monthly Network Load at a charge of \$0.07986 per kilowatt times its Monthly Network Load for that month.

Schedule 2 is charged based on both operational and administrative functions of the ISO related to the Energy Market, such as core operation of the Energy Market, generation dispatch, energy accounting, loss determination, billing preparation, market power monitoring and mitigation, sanctions activities, and market assessment and reports. Schedule 2 is assessed to Market Participants that have an account for Energy settled by the ISO under rates that vary based on the Market Participants' usage.

Schedule 3 recovers the operational and administrative costs associated with the ISOs facilitation of reliability-associated transactions and arrangements. Each ISO customer is charged for this service based on their use of the transmission system. RAS Customers pay a charge of \$0.09255 per kilowatt times the Market Participants Non-Coincident Peak Load Obligation.

Schedule 4 is charged to each jurisdictional Transmission Owner in the ISO based on the Transmission Owner's total reported MWh of transmission of electric energy. This schedule is used to recover the FERC assessment of annual charges for the New England Control Area.

D3 - Midwest ISO

The Midwest ISO recovers its operational and administrative costs through the following Electric Tariff Schedules:

- Schedule 1 Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service
- Schedule 10 ISO Cost Recovery Adder
- Schedule 16 Financial Transmission Rights Administrative Service Cost Recovery Adder
- Schedule 17 Energy Market Support Administrative Service Cost Recovery Adder

Schedule 1 recovers all costs associated with control area operations and allocates them to Network customers by multiplying the Monthly Rate by each MW of Network Load. This schedule is also recovered from Point-to-Point customers by MWs of reserved transmission for both Firm and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service.

Schedule 10 recovers all pre-operating costs, the building and operating of the Security Center, and costs related to administering the Tariff. This Schedule is charged to Transmission Customer and Transmission Owners based on the budgeted costs and estimated MWhs of Transmission Service per month. The Schedule 10 charges are trued-up the following month to reflect actual costs and MWhs of service. Additionally, Schedule 10-FERC is assessed to Transmission Providers on an annual basis to cover the FERC assessments charged to the ISO.

Schedule 16 provides for the recovery of all costs incurred by the Transmission Provider for providing services associated with the administration of FTRs. This Schedule is charged based on the total amount of FTR volume for all FTR holders.

Schedule 17 allows the Transmission Provider to recover any costs associated with the development and support of the Energy Market. This schedule is assessed to Market Participants based on all MWh injected into the Transmission System, all MWh extracted from the Transmission System, and all Bids or Offers that settle in the Day-Ahead Energy Markets, but do not actually inject or extract MWh from the Transmission System in the Real-Time Energy Market.

D4 - New York ISO

The New York ISO recovers its operational and administrative costs through Schedule 1, Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service of its Transmission Tariff. The NYISO Schedule 1 provides for the recovery of the following cost components on a monthly basis:

- 1. ISO Annual Budget and FERC Regulatory Fees Annual budget and regulatory fees are allocated 20% to injections and 80% to withdrawals to/from the system.
- 2. ISO Unbudgeted Costs Unbudgeted costs are allocated 100% to withdrawals from the system.
- 3. ISO Start-Up and Formation Costs These costs are recovered from withdrawals from the system using a monthly rate schedule which is updated and posted prior to the start of each month.
- 4. Residual Adjustment and Bid Protection Guarantees This charge is used as a True-Up for prior billing months.

D5 – PJM

PJM recovers its operations and administrative costs through the following schedules in its Transmission Tariff:

- Schedule 1 Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service
- Schedule 1A Transmission Owner Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service
- Schedule 9 PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Administrative Services
 - o 9-1 Control Area Administrative Service
 - o 9-2 Financial Transmission Rights Administrative Servic
 - o 9-3 Market Support Service
 - o 9-4 Regulation and Frequency Response Administrative Servic
 - o 9-5 Capacity Resource and Obligation Management Service
 - o 9-6 Management Service Cost
 - o 9-FERC FERC Annual Charge Recovery
 - o 9-OPSI OPSI Funding
- Schedule 13 Expansion Cost Recovery
- Attachment H-13 RTO Start-up Cost Recovery
- Attachment H-14 RTO Start-up Cost Recovery

Schedule 1 – Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service:

PJM does not invoice a Schedule 1 but instead divides the costs into the eight subschedules that are included below as Schedule 9.

Schedule 1A – Transmission Owner Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service:

This schedule recovers the cost for the operation of the control centers of the various Transmission Owners in PJM. Each Zone in PJM has a different Schedule 1A rate. These rates range from \$0.0186 per MWh for the Potomac Electric Power Company Zone to \$0.2475 per MWh for the Rockland Electric Company Zone.

Schedule 9 – PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Administrative Services:

PJM's Schedule 9 is divided into eight sub-schedules. The costs associated with these sub-schedules are charged based on a fixed rate that is updated annually.

Schedule 9-1 – Control Area Administrative Service:

The Control Area Administrative Service schedule is used to recover the costs for activities by PJM associated with preserving the reliability of the region and administering Network and Point-to-Point Transmission Service. In 2006, Schedule 9-1 is charged to transmission customers

based on their monthly transmission use at a rate of \$0.1903 per MWh for 2006.

- Schedule 9-2 Financial Transmission Rights Administrative Service: This schedule is used to recover the cost of administering the Financial Transmission Rights ("FTR") market. In 2006, it is charged to FTR holders at a rate of \$0.0029/FTR MWh for 2006.
- Schedule 9-3 Market Support Service:

The Market Support Service schedule recovers the costs associated with supporting the PJM Interchange Energy market and related functions. For 2006, the rate is \$0.0432/MWh for transmission customers based on their network load² and exports, to providers of generation and imports, and to day-ahead energy market participants based on their accepted increment offers, demand bids, and up-to congestion bids. A rate of \$0.0593/MWh is charged for energy bids and offers submitted in the PJM eMKT system.

- Schedule 9-4 Regulation and Frequency Response Administrative Service: This schedule is used to recover the costs incurred by PJM for the support of the regulation and frequency response market. For 2006, this cost was recovered from load serving entities based on their regulation obligation and to regulation providers based on their scheduled regulation at a rate of \$0.2599/Regulation MWh.
- Schedule 9-5 Capacity Resource and Obligation Management Service:
 - This schedule recovers the costs associated with assuring that PJM customer have arranged for sufficient generation capacity to meet their obligations under the PJM Reliability Assurance Agreements, processing Network Integration Transmission Service, administering the capacity credit market, and providing technical support for the PJM Region. For 2006 a rate of \$0.0992/MW-day is charged to load serving entities based on their daily capacity obligations and to capacity resource owners based on their daily capacity.
- Schedule 9-6 Management Service Cost:

The Management Service Cost schedule provides for the recovery of overhead and administrative costs incurred by PJM. It is not recovered as a separate charge on the PJM Invoices but rather it is recovered through Schedule 9-1 through 9-5.

Schedule 9-FERC – FERC Annual Charge Recovery:

The FERC Annual Charge Recovery schedule recovers the annual charges assessed to PJM by FERC. These charges are recovered from transmission customers based on their monthly usage of the PJM transmission system at a rate of \$0.0512/MWh.

² In PJM Network, load is the customer's non-coincidental peak with the Zone expressed in MWhs.

Schedule 9-OPSI – OPSI Funding:

The OPSI Funding schedule is used to fund the Organization of PJM States, Inc. ("OPSI") and is recovered from transmission customers based on their usage of the PJM transmission system at a rate of \$0.00057/MWh.

Schedule 13 – Expansion Cost Recovery:

Schedule 13 recovers the expenses incurred by transmission owners for integration into PJM. This cost is recovered from all network customers at a rate of \$5.20/MW-month for the AEP, Dayton, and ComEd zones and \$2.50/MW-month for all other zones, except Dominion. This charge is expected to be discontinued in April 2015.

Attachments H-13 and H-14 – RTO Start-up Cost Recovery:

An RTO Start-up Cost Recovery charge is assessed to all network and point-topoint customers in the AEP and ComEd Zone. In 2006, ComEd customers pay a rate of \$57.67/MW-year and AEP customers pay a rate of \$103.20/MW-year.

D6 - Southwest Power Pool

SPP recovers its operational and administrative costs from its customers through application of Schedule 1 and Schedule 1-A of its Tariff.

Schedule 1, Scheduling, System Control and Dispatch Service is charged to Firm and Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission customers based on a filed rate of \$0.1711 per MWh multiplied by the capacity reserved. For Network Integration Transmission customers the Schedule 1 charge is based on the approved Schedule 1 in the Zone where the load is located.

Schedule 1-A, Tariff Administrative Service is charged to Network Integration Transmission customers at a rate of up to \$0.20 per MWh for the 12 month average of the Transmission Customer's coincident Zonal Demand multiplied by the number of all hours of the applicable month.

Appendix E – Data Sources and References

• FERC Form Nos. 1: Annual Report of Major Electric Utilities, Licensees and Others and Supplemental were used for the following ISO/RTOs:

ISO/RTO	Year(s)
California ISO	2001-2005
ISO New England	2001-2005
Midwest ISO	2002-2005
New York ISO	2001-2005
PJM Interconnection	2001-2005
Southwest Power Pool	2005

- Tariffs
 - California ISO FERC Electric Tariff, Third Replacement Volume No. II
 - o ISO New England FERC Electric Tariff No. 3
 - o Midwest ISO FERC Electric Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1
 - New York ISO FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1
 - o PJM Interconnection FERC Electric Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1
 - Southwest Power Pool FERC Electric Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No.
 1
- Customer Guide to PJM Billing
- Accounting and Financial Reporting for Public Utilities Including RTOs (FERC 18 CFR Part 101, Docket No. RM04-12-000; Order No. 668)
- Staff Report on Cost Ranges for the Development and Operation of a Day One Regional Transmission Organization (FERC Docket No. PL04-16-000)
- Electric Industry Landmark FERC Orders
 - o http://ferc.gov/legal/maj-ord-reg/land-ord.asp
- FERC Orders and Rulings
 - o http://ferc.gov/industries/electric.asp
- PJM Overview and History
 - o http://www.pjm.com/
 - http://www.pjm.com/about/overview.html
- NYISO Overview and History
 - o http://www.nyiso.com/
 - http://www.nyiso.com/public/company/about_us/index.jsp
 - ISO-NE Overview and History
 - o http://www.iso-ne.com
 - http://www.iso-ne.com/aboutiso/co_profile/history/index.html
- SPP Overview and History

•

- o http://www.spp.org/
 - http://www.spp.org/About_PressReleases.asp
- MISO Overview and History
 - o http://www.midwestiso.org/home

- http://www.midwestmarket.org/publish/Document/3e2d0_106c609 36d4_-7ba50a48324a/FactSheet_0510f%20(2).pdf
- CAISO Overview and History
 - o http://caiso.com/
 - http://caiso.com/docs/2002/05/20/2002052011004217950.pdf
- Load Data

ISO/RTO	Source	Year(s)
California ISO	FERC Form 714	2001-2005
ISO New England	FERC Form 714	2001-2005
Midwest ISO	FERC Form 582	2002-2005
New York ISO	FERC Form 714	2001-2005
PJM Interconnection	PJM Web Site	2001-2005
Southwest Power Pool	FERC Form 714	2002-2005

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.10 ENERGY PROBE 10 Plus 1 Attachment Page 1 of 4

ENERGY PROBE INTERROGATORY 10

2	2.0	Usa	ge	Fee

1

3 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>

- 4 Reference: Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 1, Page 5 and Exhibit B, Tab 1, Schedule 2, Page 3
- 5 Preamble: To parse the work of the IESO or to attempt to separate the costs or benefits of the
- 6 IESO's operations is difficult now and will be increasingly difficult and decreasingly practical in
- 7 the future.
- a) What in IESO's view is the purpose of a Cost Allocation Study, such as the Elenchus
 Report? Please provide in detail the objectives and comment on each of these.
- b) Did Elenchus perform Time Studies to allocate specific costs to Domestic and ExportFunctions? If not, why not?
- c) Is IESO rejecting the Elenchus Cost allocation study? If so, please expand on why/how
 the principle functions performed by the IESO are independent of IESO's Capital and
 Operating costs and accordingly, why "One Size Fits All".
- d) Specifically indicate why the Regional and Grid Planning Functions benefit bothdomestic and Export customers.
- e) Please explain in detail why embedded generation creates costs for IESO at a differentlevel than managing Bulk Generation and Exports.
- f) Has IESO done a Jurisdictional Review of other System Operators in support of its
 position regarding Cost Allocation and a single fee? If so, please provide a copy of this.
- g) In any event, based on IESOs knowledge of other ISOs, please provide its bestinformation on the allocation of costs and fee structures by these entities.

23 <u>RESPONSE</u>

a) Cost allocation studies typically examine the drivers for organizational costs by customer
 class, and then allocate costs based on these causal relationships. The resulting cost

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.10 ENERGY PROBE 10 Plus 1 Attachment Page 2 of 4

- 1 allocation information can then be used in combination with established revenue to cost
- 2 ratio ranges to inform rate design. As described in Exhibit B-1-1, the IESO's decision to hire
- 3 Elenchus was to specifically address the Board's concern in the OPA's 2011 Revenue
- 4 Requirement Submission to the Board (EB-2010-0279), when it requested to expand the base
- 5 of customers it recovered its usage fee from to include export customers, that the OPA's
- 6 proposal was not supported by empirical evidence.
- 7 Despite the fact that the IESO believes that the proposal to move to one fee for the
- 8 organization, recovered from both domestic and export volumes on a gross load basis,
- 9 should be accepted on its own merits, the IESO hired Elenchus "to develop a cost allocation
- 10 model that would allocate the IESO's costs in a manner consistent with standard regulatory
- 11 cost allocation principles and practices, in particular the principle of cost
- 12 causality." (Section 2.4 of Elenchus' report at Exhibit B-1-1, Attachment 3). Sensitivity to
- year-over-year changes in forecast energy volumes in Elenchus' model, as described at
 Exhibit B-1-2, illustrated that Elenchus' cost allocation model does not produce robust
- results for the IESO. Therefore, the value of a traditional cost allocation model in setting the
- IESO fee is called into question. As stated on page 3 of Exhibit B-1-2, "The IESO does not
 believe a traditional cost allocation model is the appropriate tool to examine or support how
- 18 the IESO fee is set as it is not representative of cost causality within the IESO."
- b) Time studies were not used as the work of the IESO is not divided or segregated between
 domestic and export functions, hence, work effort (time) cannot be tracked on that basis.
 Please also see the response to HQEM-APPRO Interrogatory 24, at Exhibit I, Tab 6.2,
 Schodulo 2 24
- 22 Schedule 2.24.
- The IESO does not believe that a traditional cost allocation study is appropriate for the IESO 23 c) 24 for the reasons described in Exhibit B-1-2. Specifically, sensitivity to year-over-year changes 25 in the cost allocation model, which results in significant changes to the resulting revenue to 26 cost ratios but do not reflect actual fluctuations in IESO's work, is indicative, in the IESO's 27 view, that a traditional cost allocation study is not robust or appropriate for the IESO. The 28 majority of the IESO's costs are akin to operational overhead or administrative and general 29 costs of other regulated electric utilities, which are difficult to directly attribute to specific 30 customers.

As described in Exhibit B-1-1, the IESO believes that as both domestic and export classes of customers benefit from the work that the IESO carries out, it is appropriate and fair that both should pay the same fee for the work performed by the IESO. The IESO believes that it is incorrect to assume the work of the IESO is performed primarily for one group and then to attempt to parse out only the 'incremental' costs associated with a second group. The

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.10 ENERGY PROBE 10 Plus 1 Attachment Page 3 of 4

work of the IESO is generally influenced and performed equally for the benefit of both 1 domestic and export customers. It would be false, for example, to assume that because the 2 3 IESO is required to size system facilities and assets based on 'worst case scenarios' as defined by NERC, NPCC and IESO standards, which thereby creates spare transmission and 4 5 generation capacity very often available for use by exporters, that only incremental costs associated with allowing exporters to use this capacity should be attributed to exporters. If 6 7 the approach for setting industry standards changed and spare capacity was no longer 8 available, significantly more dedicated facilities would be required by exporters to 9 participate in the IESO market. If transmission facilities were not planned and built to meet 10 peak demand requirements and transmit electricity for domestic use, there would be no 11 network available for getting electrons to the border for export. Likewise, if generation 12 facilities were not planned and built to meet peak demand requirements in Ontario, there 13 would be few megawatts available for export to other jurisdictions. The interconnected 14 nature of Ontario's electricity system is an essential element of the system that is reflected in 15 the way it is operated and planned. All stakeholders benefit from a properly functioning electricity system, which is the responsibility of the IESO. The work carried out by virtually 16 17 all areas of the IESO creates an interdependent relationship between domestic customers and exporters, and to imply otherwise, by presuming that an incremental approach is 18 19 appropriate for allocating costs, is false. Also, as Elenchus noted in the response to HQEM-APPRO Interrogatory 25, Exhibit I, Tab 2.1, Schedule 6.25, having developed what it 20 21 considers to be the most appropriate cost allocation model for allocating costs to the domestic and export class, the observed instability raises questions about the approach to 22 23 rate design that is appropriate.

24 d) Please see response to part c) above.

e) Embedded generation requires management by the IESO in the same manner as the rest of
the system, including bulk generation and exports, which is why the IESO has applied to
charge one fee for all groups. The IESO does not believe that it is appropriate for customers
of LDCs with embedded generation to receive a discount in the amount of usage fee that
they pay, given that this discount does not reflect any cost reductions to the IESO for these
customers. Please also see the response to BOMA Interrogatory 28, at Exhibit I, Tab 2.0,
Schedule 3.28.

f) No, the IESO has not done a formal jurisdictional review, however Elenchus provided to the
IESO a cost review performed by the American Public Power Association Electric Market
Reform Initiative (EMRI) Task 2 Analysis of Operational and Administrative Cost of RTOs
provided as Attachment 1 to this exhibit. In its summary, the report is described as "as an
examination of the costs incurred by the nation's Regional Transmission Organizations".

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 5.10 ENERGY PROBE 10 Plus 1 Attachment Page 4 of 4

- 1 g) The IESO does not have specific information on the allocation of costs by other ISOs or their
- 2 fee structures as the IESO has not itself performed a jurisdictional review of other ISOs, as
- 3 described above in part f). While the IESO does not have specific information on the fee
- 4 structures of other ISOs, the report referenced in f) above provides information on other
- 5 jurisdictions and it is generally understood that a number of ISOs recover their costs
- 6 through transmission rates and charges.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 8.09 SEC 9 Page 1 of 2

SEC INTERROGATORY 9

- 2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>
- 3 2-SEC-9
- 4 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 5 [B-1-1, Attach 3] In the 2011 OPA Fees application proceeding (EB-2010-0270), Elenchus Inc.
- 6 filed an expert report on behalf of HQ Energy Marketing Inc. regarding the proposal for an
- 7 OPA export usage fee. Please explain how the recommendations in that report are consistent
- 8 with its evidence in this proceeding. If some aspects are not consistent, please provide an
- 9 explanation.

10 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- 11 Elenchus has interpreted this question to refer to the evidence prepared by Elenchus and filed
- 12 by HQEM in proceeding EB-2010-0279, Ontario Power Authority 2011 Revenue Requirement.
- 13 Seven recommendations appear in section 5 of that evidence. Each recommendation is repeated
- 14 below followed by the relevant commentary, recognizing that the OPA has now been merged
- 15 with the IESO. As a result of the merger, the functionalization envisioned in 2010 (i.e., reference
- 16 to the strategic objectives) and the available accounting information, the comments below
- 17 necessarily address the 2010 recommendations at a conceptual level.
- #1: The principle of cost causality should be applied to the OPA in
 determining the extent to which different types of customers should be
 responsible for the recovery of OPA's revenue requirement.
- The principle of cost causality was the primary consideration in developing the IESO's costallocation model for the current proceeding.
- #2: All of OPA's costs, direct costs and indirect generation procurement and
 conservation costs should be allocated using a consistent approach based on
 cost causality principles.
- This approach to allocating all costs that are recoverable in the IESO's fee was taken byElenchus in developing the IESO's cost allocation model.
- #3: The OPA's conservation activities are not caused by exporters; hence,
 these costs should not be recovered from exporters.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 8.09 SEC 9 Page 2 of 2

1	The relevant identifiable costs were allocated to the domestic class.
2	#4: The OPA's generation procurement activities are not being imposed by
3	exporters; nence, these costs should not be recovered from exporters.
4	The relevant identifiable costs were allocated to the domestic class.
5	#5: Based on cost causality principles, the OPA's objective #1 which is related
6	to transmission planning could be recovered from domestic and export
7	consumers.
8	The relevant identifiable costs were allocated to the domestic and export classes using the TWh
9	allocator.
10	#6: Strategic Objective #4 includes overhead costs that support all other
11	strategic objectives. Some allocation of these costs to the other four strategic
12	objectives would be appropriate and should be based on the share of costs for
13	each of the other four strategic objectives.
14	The relevant identifiable costs were allocated to the domestic and export classes using the Other
15	O&M allocator.
16	#7: No new usage fee on exporters should be levied by the OPA until such cost
17	allocation study has been prepared by the OPA and evidence reviewed by the
18	Board at the OPA's next fees case.
10	The IESO retained Flonchus to propert the cost allocation model that is the subject of the

19 The IESO retained Elenchus to prepare the cost allocation model that is the subject of the20 Elenchus evidence in the current IESO fees case.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.07 VECC 7 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 7

- 2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>
- 3 2.0-VECC-7
- 4 INTERROGATORY
- 5 Reference: B/T1/S1/pg.2
- a) Please provide the derivation for the 2016 forecast of \$1million for FIT and LargeRenewable Procurement service revenues.
- 8 b) Please provide the actual amounts collected for these services in each of 2013 through
 9 2015.
- 10 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- a) Please see the response to BOMA Interrogatory 27, at Exhibit I, Tab 1.5, Schedule 3.27.
- b) The total registration fees collected in 2013, 2014 and 2015, were \$1.7 million, \$2.7 million
 and \$2.2 million respectively.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.08 VECC 8 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 8

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

- 3 2.2 Is the methodology used to derive the proposed IESO Usage Fee of 1.13/MWh appropriate?
- 4 2.0-VECC-8
- 5 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 6 Reference: B/T1/S1/Table 3/pg.6
- 7 a) Why is an 18th month demand forecast used rather than a 12 month forecast?
- 8 b) Please provide the derivation (source) for each of the components for the Charge9 Determinants shown in Table 2.
- 10 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- a) Please see response to OEB Staff Interrogatory 2, at Exhibit I, Tab 2.2, Schedule 1.02.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.09 VECC 9 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 9

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

- 3 2.2 Is the methodology used to derive the proposed IESO Usage Fee of 1.13/MWh appropriate?
- 4 2.0-VECC-9
- 5 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 6 Reference: EB/T1/S1/pg.7
- a) Other than the fact that the \$10 million operating reserve is the sum of the prior agencies
 reserves what rationale has IESO provided for the continuance of a reserve?
- b) Why would it not be more appropriate to establish a reserve based on a proportion of
 forecast revenues rather than what appears to be an arbitrary lump sum amount?
- 11 c) What lines of credit or other banking facilities are utilized by the IESO?
- 12 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- 13 a) and (b)
- 14 Please see the response to CME Interrogatory 2 at Exhibit I, Tab 4.4, Schedule 4.02 for
- 15 information relating to the IESO's operating reserve.
- b) Please see the IESO's 2015 Annual Report pages 44 and 50– at Exhibit A-3-4.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.10 VECC 10 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 10

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

- 3 2.2 Is the methodology used to derive the proposed IESO Usage Fee of 1.13/MWh appropriate?
- 4 2.0-VECC-10
- 5 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 6 Reference: EB/T1/S1/pg.9
- a) Please provide the prior year IESO and OPA forecast of the annual Ontario electricity
 demand forecast and the actuals for each year 2010 through 2015.
- 9 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- a) Please see the response to Energy Probe Interrogatory 8, at Exhibit I, Tab 2, Schedule 5.08.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.11 VECC 11 Page 1 of 2

VECC INTERROGATORY 11

1

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

- 3 2.3 Is the proposed cost allocation study in support of the proposed IESO Usage Fee
- 4 appropriate?
- 5 2.0-VECC-11
- 6 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 7 Reference: B1/Attachment 3/pg. 6, 17-
- a) Are distribution utilities, directly connected and export customers the entire group ofparties who receive services from the IESO?
- b) Do Distribution utilities, directly connected market participants and export customers all
 receive the same services? If not please provide a listing of the differences.
- c) Was any study or review undertaken of customer classification as part of the Elenchus study?

14 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) The scope of the IESO's activities extend across the electricity sector from planning new
- 16 infrastructure, to funding development of energy projects by indigenous communities and
- 17 municipalities, to dispatching generation. Anyone that uses, purchases or sells electricity in
- 18 Ontario either directly or indirectly benefits from the services of the IESO.
- 19 This not only includes LDCs, directly connected customers, and exporters, but also
- 20 transmitters, aggregators, generators, and other sector participants. A full listing of all
- 21 market participants is available on the IESO's website
- 22 at <u>http://www.ieso.ca/Pages/Participate/Participants.aspx</u> and the Participant/Service

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.11 VECC 11 Page 2 of 2

1 Program Types shown on the webpage are also pasted below:



2

3 b) Each electricity sector participant interacts with the IESO in a different way. For example, 4 LDCs' predominant point of contact may be with the IESO's Planning and Conservation 5 groups, whereas an exporter's primary point of contact may be the IESO's Control Room. In fact, however, each of these participants benefits from a broader cross section of the IESO's 6 7 organization. As described in Exhibit B-1-1, the IESO does not operate to serve single customers: the IESO operates to benefit all sector participants without discretion. As such, it 8 9 is extremely difficult to parse out the work of the IESO and attempt to separate the costs or benefits of particular area of the IESO's operations for certain types of customers. 10

c) No study or review of customer classification was undertaken as part of the Elenchus costallocation study.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.12 VECC 12 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 12

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

- 3 2.3 Is the proposed cost allocation study in support of the proposed IESO Usage Fee
- 4 appropriate?
- 5 2.0-VECC-12
- 6 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 7 Reference: B1/Attachment 3/pg.
- a) In 2015 what was the total revenue collected from market participants who were not a
 regulated distribution utility?
- 10 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- a) The total 2015 revenue collected from non-LDC market participants (which includes directly
- 12 connected, generators, and exporters) was \$41,520,918.61.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.13 VECC 13 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 13

- 2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>
- 3 2.3 Is the proposed cost allocation study in support of the proposed IESO Usage Fee
- 4 appropriate?
- 5 2.0-VECC-13
- 6 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>
- 7 Reference: B1/Attachment 3/pg. 12
- a) Please provide the analysis showing which market participants will see a net increase in fees under the proposed change to gross billing.
- 10 b) How many customers contributed to this revenue in 2015?
- 11 <u>RESPONSE</u>
- 12 a) Sixty two market participants, which are LDCs with embedded generation, will see a net
- 13 increase in their fees under the IESO's proposal to recover the new IESO charge on a gross
- 14 load basis (i.e. including embedded generation in the charge determinant). This is a result
- 15 of the inconsistency between the basis on which distributors collect the usage fees from
- 16 customers (gross load) and the payment to the IESO on a net load basis
- b) The IESO does not have access to information on the number of customers LDCs serve. The
 number of market participants will not change with the IESO's proposed move to charging
 the former OPA/a charge are an all set of the former of the fo
- 19 the former OPA's charge on a gross load basis.

Filed: July 22, 2016 EB-2015-0275 Exhibit I Tab 2.0 Schedule 10.14 VECC 14 Page 1 of 1

VECC INTERROGATORY 14

2 <u>2.0 Usage Fee</u>

1

- 2.3 Is the proposed cost allocation study in support of the proposed IESO Usage Fee
- 4 appropriate?
- 5 2.0-VECC-14

6 <u>INTERROGATORY</u>

- 7 Reference: B1/Attachment 3/pg. 19
- 8 a) What is the basis for splitting NERC costs 50% to domestic and export customers?

9 <u>RESPONSE</u>

- a) The split of NERC costs on the basis of 50% to domestic and 50% to export was based on
- 11 judgment. The primary consideration was that NERC membership is required both to
- 12 facilitate power exports to the United States and to maintain reliability standards for the
- 13 integrated cross-border power grid. Elenchus was not able to identify a basis for the
- 14 allocation to the two classes that appeared to be more reflective of cost causality.