James C. Sidlofsky T (416) 367-6277 F (416) 361-2751 jsidlofsky@blg.com Borden Ladner Gervais LLP Scotia Plaza, 40 King Street W Toronto, ON, Canada M5H 3Y4 T 416.367.6000 F 416.367.6749 blg.com



July 28, 2016

Delivered by RESS and Courier

Ms. Kirsten Walli Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board 2300 Yonge Street 26th Floor, Box 2319 Toronto, ON M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc., Horizon Utilities Corporation, PowerStream Inc. (collectively, the "Applicants") – Application under

Section 86 of the Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998

Board File No. EB-2016-0025

We, together with Aird & Berlis LLP, are counsel to the Applicants in the above-captioned matter. The Applicants delivered responses to the OEB Staff and Intervenor Interrogatories yesterday, in accordance with Procedural Order No. 1. The Applicants have determined that the responses to Interrogatories B-CCC-1 and B-SEC-1 were inadvertently omitted from yesterday's filing. Please find accompanying this letter the Applicants' responses to those Interrogatories.

Yours very truly,

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

Per:

Original signed by James C. Sidlofsky

James C. Sidlofsky Encls.

cc: G. DeJulio, Enersource

I. Butany-DeSouza, Horizon Utilities

C. Macdonald, PowerStream

Intervenors of Record

EB-2016-0025

Enersource, Horizon Utilities, PowerStream Responses to Consumers Council of Canada Interrogatories

Delivered: July 27, 2016

Page 1 of 1

B-CCC-1

Reference(s): Ex. B/T2/S1

Preamble:

What is the primary objective of the proposed consolidation?

Response:

- 1 The primary objective of the proposed consolidation is to realize operational and organizational
- 2 efficiencies which will benefit both ratepayers and shareholders. As identified on page 2 of
- 3 Exhibit B, Tab 2 Schedule 1, the proposed transaction will positively impact the customers of the
- 4 Parties with respect to price and the adequacy, reliability, and quality of electricity service. Over
- 5 the course of the ten year rebasing deferral period, customers will benefit from distribution rates
- 6 that are lower than they would have been had the status quo of four independent LDCs been
- 7 maintained.

Enersource, Horizon Utilities, PowerStream Responses to School Energy Coalition Interrogatories

Delivered: July 27, 2016 Page 1 of 1

B-SEC-1

Reference(s): Exhibit B, Tab 2, Schedule 1, p. 2

Preamble:

a) Please confirm that some or all of the transactions set forth in the Application were initiated by the Applicants and/or their affiliates at the request of the province of Ontario. Please provide copies of all communications between the Applicants or their affiliates, and the province of Ontario or any person or persons speaking on their behalf, proposing or requesting, or seeking to influence the Applicants or any of them to enter into, the transactions set forth in the Application. Please exclude a) any communications with the province or its agencies solely related to the acquisition of Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc., and b) any communications which are subject to cabinet confidentiality.

Response:

1

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

a) None of the transactions set forth in the Application were initiated by the Applicants and/or their affiliates at the request of the Province of Ontario. The *Handbook to Electricity Distributor and Transmitter Consolidations* makes clear (at page 9) that the question for the OEB in this proceeding is neither the why nor the how of the proposed transaction. Further, the OEB will not consider issues relating to the rationale for the Applicants' consolidation plans. Questions about whether any transactions were initiated at the request of the Province of Ontario, and questions about communications proposing or requesting that any of the Applicants enter into transactions, are outside the scope of this proceeding.