

Ontario Energy Board Commission de l'énergie de l'Ontario

DECISION AND ORDER EB-2015-0072

GRIMSBY POWER INC.

Application for electricity distribution rates beginning May 1, 2016

BEFORE: Allison Duff Presiding Member

> Susan Frank Member

August 18, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY	.1
2	THE PROCESS	.2
3	DECISION ON THE ISSUES	. 3
3.1	SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL	. 3
3.2	OPERATIONS, MAINTENANCE AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	.3
3.3	PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES	.7
3.4	EFFECTIVE DATE FOR 2016 RATES	10
4		12
5	ORDER	13
SCHEDU	JLE A	14

1 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

This is a Decision of the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) on an application filed by Grimsby Power Inc. (Grimsby Power) to change its electricity distribution rates effective May 1, 2016 (the Application). Under the OEB Act, distributors must apply to the OEB to change the rates they charge customers.

Grimsby Power provides electricity distribution services to about 14,000 customers in the Town of Grimsby. The rates approved in this Decision are set based on the OEB's determination of the revenue required to cover the cost of operating and maintaining Grimsby Power's distribution system at a level of service that meets the needs of its customers.

Grimsby Power and the intervenors filed a settlement proposal with the OEB on June 24, 2016 (Settlement Proposal). The Settlement Proposal reduced the 2016 proposed revenue required by about \$200,000.

The OEB indicated that it was not prepared to accept the settlement regarding deferral and variance accounts (DVA). A revised settlement proposal was filed on July 28, 2016 to address the OEB's concerns (Revised Settlement Proposal). Both settlement proposals indicated that the parties did not agree on three issues:

- (i) Operations, Maintenance and Administration (OM&A) expenses
- (ii) Payments in Lieu of Taxes (PILs)
- (iii) Effective date of 2016 rates

The OEB approves the Revised Settlement Proposal, OM&A expenses of \$3,134,546, a 2016 PILs provision based on the use of \$391,821 in tax loss carry forward from 2015, and a September 1, 2016 effective date for 2016 rates. The OEB finds that this Decision produces outcomes that benefit ratepayers and is responsive to the utility's request.

Grimsby Power will provide the OEB with a draft calculation of its rates and charges reflecting the findings of this Decision. The OEB will review these filings and determine Grimsby Power's final rates for 2016.

2 THE PROCESS

Grimsby Power filed a cost of service application with the OEB on December 23, 2015, seeking approval for changes to the rates that it charges for electricity distribution, to be effective May 1, 2016.

Energy Probe Research Foundation (Energy Probe), Niagara Peninsula Energy Inc. (NPEI), School Energy Coalition (SEC), and the Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition (VECC) were approved as intervenors in the proceeding (the intervenors). COGECO Cable Canada LP was also approved as an intervenor, yet did not actively participate in the proceeding. OEB staff participated in the proceeding.

The OEB provided parties the opportunity to ask Grimsby Power questions about its evidence through interrogatories and a technical conference. A settlement conference was held on May 24, 25 and 26, 2016.

Grimsby Power and the intervenors filed a Settlement Proposal on June 24, 2016 reflecting a partial settlement on the majority of issues in the proceeding, leaving three issues unsettled. Additional evidence and undertaking responses were filed by Grimsby Power regarding the unsettled issues, after the Settlement Proposal was filed.

The OEB held an oral hearing on July 13-14, 2016 to receive a presentation from Grimsby Power on the Settlement Proposal and hear the three unsettled issues. Following the OEB's questions regarding the Settlement Proposal, the parties filed a Revised Settlement Proposal on July 28, 2016 to address the OEB's concerns (Schedule A).

Grimsby Power presented an oral Argument-in-Chief on July 14, 2016. OEB staff and intervenors filed written submissions on July 29, 2016 and Grimsby Power filed a written reply submission on August 9, 2016.

3 DECISION ON THE ISSUES

3.1 Settlement Proposal

Grimsby Power and the intervenors filed a Revised Settlement Proposal to address the OEB's concerns raised during the oral hearing regarding the initial settlement of DVA accounts. In particular, Grimsby Power and the intervenors in the revised proposal agreed to discontinue the existing deferral and variance accounts for renewable generation and IFRS transition, and add a deferral account for the costs associated with an asset condition assessment to be filed in Grimsby Power's next cost of service proceeding. The reduction to 2016 capital expenditure of \$200,000, the revised cost allocation and rate design for the new Embedded Distributor customer class, and treatment of other issues did not change from the original Settlement Proposal.

The Settlement Proposal indicated that Grimsby Power and the intervenors did not agree on three issues. The three unsettled issues were the 2016 OM&A budget, the PILs provision and the effective date for rates. These issues have been decided by the OEB in this Decision.

The OEB finds that the terms of the Revised Settlement Proposal produce outcomes that are compatible with the applicable performance objectives of the Renewed Regulatory Framework (RRFE). The OEB approves the terms of the Revised Settlement Proposal for use in the determination of Grimsby Power's 2016 rates.

3.2 Operations, Maintenance and Administrative Expenses

Grimsby Power requested recovery of \$3,925,363 in OM&A expenses in 2016, a 63% proposed increase over the \$2.4M approved by the OEB in Grimsby Power's 2012 cost of service rate application (EB-2011-0273).

Grimsby Power revised its 2016 OM&A forecast to \$3,733,648 after the oral hearing was complete, in response to an undertaking request from the OEB. This revised OM&A proposal represents a 55% increase over the budget approved for 2012.

Intervenors and OEB staff submitted that the OM&A cost increases proposed by Grimsby Power were unreasonable. Parties noted that Grimsby Power had not consulted with its customers regarding the application as required under the RRFE. In particular, Grimsby Power had not sought customer feedback regarding the increases in FTE expenses that were proposed to address changes in its customer base and needs. Further, the intervenors and OEB staff disagreed with Grimsby Power's proposal to include normalized costs in the 2016 forecast. It was submitted that in a cost of service application, the test year forecast should only be based on 2016 expenses.

Intervenors and OEB staff submitted the OEB should reduce the OM&A expenses proposed by Grimsby Power. In particular, submissions were to reduce specific expenses such as compensation increases for existing staff, new FTE positions, the advance hiring for retirements and tree-trimming. Energy Probe submitted the OEB should consider an envelope approach to setting OM&A in 2016 based on rates of inflation, base productivity, stretch factors and customer growth since 2012.

As a result of these submissions, the revised OM&A recommended for 2016 ranged from \$2,760,201 to \$3,233,648.

Findings

The OEB will use the revised 2016 OM&A forecast of \$3,733,648 as the starting point for the analysis of OM&A spending, which is an increase of 55% over 2012 OEB approved OM&A. Over this same period inflation was 9% and customer growth was 7%. Since 2012, Grimsby improved its operating performance and exceeded OEB's industry and distributor targets, except for conservation and demand management savings of annual peak demand. Grimsby Power's operations, maintenance and administration practices are now close to the established basic practices of other utilities.

From the perspective of enhancing performance the OEB finds that the evidence was insufficient to justify a 55% increase in OM&A expenses. Grimsby Power indicated that the main drivers of OM&A costs are human resource requirements; base compensation changes; and the amalgamation of Grimsby Power and Niagara West Transformation Corporation (Niagara West). Grimsby Power indicated that "resources have been added to fulfill the needs of the business environment and the direct needs and wants of Grimsby Power customers as informed by the two customer surveys¹". Grimsby Power plans to increase customer communication but the OEB is not clear as to the costs associated with this change or how Grimsby Power will determine if the changes in customer communication have met customer's needs.

As several intervenors submitted, Grimsby Power did not seek its customers' response to the proposed rate increase or operating performance targets. The OEB finds this lack of customer engagement is inconsistent with RRFE filing requirements. Despite Grimsby's lack of confidence in customer engagement activities, it is a requirement to

¹ Grimsby Power, Reply Submission, August 9, 2016, page 9.

engage customers and ensure the customers' perspective is incorporated in a cost of service application. While Grimsby Power submitted that its survey responses indicated that its customers wanted increased communication particularly regarding outages, the associated cost was not discussed with customers.

Grimsby Power has taken an unusual approach to forecasting its 2016 OM&A spending. Mr. Curtiss testified that the utility had used a normalized approach to forecasting staffing costs for 2016 that exceeded planned spending in 2016. The OEB finds that the normalization of costs over the next 5 years would be typical of a custom application; however, a cost of service application for the test year should include only the planned expenses in that year. Accordingly, the filing expectations for a custom application are more extensive and there is no indication that Grimsby Power was making a custom filing. The OEB is approving only the forecast expenditures in 2016.

In the revised OM&A forecast filed on July 21, the normalized level of incremental staff costs was \$817,325 with the 2016 forecast spend for these staff being \$298,223. The OEB will not allow the difference of \$519,102 which the utility will not spend in 2016.

The \$298,223 increase for staffing costs was a combination of vacancies in current positions, succession planning and new hires for expanded work activities. The OEB accepts the \$196,508 that Grimsby Power has forecast to spend in 2016 to fill the vacancies.

With respect to the proposed succession planning and new hires, the OEB is not convinced that Grimsby Power's proposal is the best option. Succession planning is important, particularly in a small utility, but this issue should be managed over time. Given that current salaries are representative of utilities of a similar size, the trade-off of acquiring skilled resources from the marketplace rather than training internally by Grimsby Power has not been demonstrated. Several intervenors and OEB staff questioned why a lineman that is not expected to retire until 2023 should be included in the planned hires in 2016. New hires for expanded work are inconsistent with Grimsby Power's history of effectively using external contracts to deliver core services. The OEB finds that moving from the outsourcing of work programs to hiring permanent staff reduces flexibility and the opportunity for increased productivity through sharing resources across utilities.

The OEB encourages Grimsby Power to take a more careful look at its staffing plans. Grimsby Power forecast the 2016 spending for new hires and succession planning to be about \$100,000. The OEB will approve half of this amount.

Several intervenors also questioned the increase in compensation for current staff. Mr. Curtiss has assured the OEB that the staff compensation for 2016 is consistent with the

50th percentile for comparable size companies in the MEARIE study. On this basis the OEB approves the proposed increases for current staff compensation.

In addition, intervenors and OEB staff raised concerns regarding the Application's lack of new productivity initiatives or operating savings associated with new technology or capital investments. During the oral hearing, Mr. Curtiss indicated that the historical productivity achievements could likely be replicated in the future and agreed that a 1% incremental productivity saving was reasonable. Accordingly the OEB will reduce the OM&A budget by \$30,000 to reflect the anticipated incremental productivity improvement.

The calculation of the approved 2016 OM&A budget is summarized in the table below.

July 21, 2016 Proposal	\$3,733,648
Remove normalization for staffing	(\$519,102)
Remove half of staff increase	(\$50,000)
Anticipated productivity saving	(\$30,000)
OEB Approved 2016 OM&A	\$3,134,546

The OEB arrived at this approved level of OM&A by identifying specific concerns with Grimsby Power's 2016 proposal. The OEB also assessed the approved OM&A budget by analyzing historical unit costs, benchmarking and the envelope approach proposed by intervenors.

Using a historical approach to increase OM&A to reflect customer growth, as recommended by OEB staff, Energy Probe and VECC, would result in a 2016 OM&A of \$2.76 M. Benchmarking the average OM&A cost per customer for comparable utilities according to OEB Staff, would suggest an OM&A level of \$2.945M. Finally the envelope approach used by Energy Probe and supported by VECC yielded a reduction to OM&A of \$500,000 to \$600,000. These alternative approaches to analyzing the appropriate OM&A budget for 2016 are commensurate with the level of reductions approved by the OEB.

During the oral hearing, Mr. Curtiss expressed Grimsby Power's intention to search for productivity improvements and bring them "front and center" over the next 5 years. The OEB supports this commitment and will continue to monitor the OM&A per customer and related productivity measures.

In addition to the emphasis on productivity, the RRFE stresses the importance of outcome based regulation. The OEB expects there to be a correlation between more money being spent and improved outcomes. Grimsby Power described the need to increase customer communications particularly as it relates to outages. Given the

increase in OM&A approved, the OEB expects that Grimsby Power will improve customers' satisfaction in the area of customer communications. Grimsby Power is encouraged to monitor its operating performance and improvement in customer satisfaction and to report the results in its next rate application.

3.3 Payments in Lieu of Taxes

Grimsby Power's Application included recovery of \$69,211 in PILs based on a 2016 taxable income forecast of \$191,963. This taxable income forecast included a reduction of \$166,893 due to the use of a tax loss carry forward from previous years.

The OEB's 2006 Electricity Distribution Rate Handbook (Rate Handbook) indicates that a tax loss carry forward available at the end of the prior year must be disclosed and applied in full to reduce taxable income in the test year².

The Application indicated Grimsby Power had \$834,468 in tax losses available from prior years. It used one-fifth of the available tax losses in 2016, or \$166,893, as 2016 would establish base rates for the next five years. The \$834,468 included a forecast taxable income loss in 2015 of \$122,313.

Grimsby Power amended its PILs proposal on June 29, 2016 in three respects:

- 1. The tax loss carry forward available in 2016 was reduced from \$834,468 to \$391,821 given Grimsby Power's actual 2015 tax filing
- Grimsby Power's actual 2015 tax filing included taxable income of \$589,098 based on a number of accounting entries made after Grimsby Power amalgamated with Niagara West, instead of the forecast loss for tax purposes of \$122,313
- All tax loss carry forwards acquired as part of the amalgamation with Niagara West were excluded from the PILs calculation in 2016. The proposed PILs provision for 2016 was reduced from \$69,211 to \$65,351 after Grimsby Power changed the capital cost allowance for certain Niagara West assets from Class 47 to Class 1, which increased the allowance and decreased taxable income

² 2006 Electricity Distributor Rate Handbook, May 11, 2005, page 61

Grimsby Power based its June 29, 2016 update on a report from KPMG³, which concluded that the actual tax loss carry forward related to Niagara West at the end of 2015 should be available to Grimsby Power's shareholders, not to its customers.

Findings

The OEB agrees with Grimsby Power's characterization of the two broad matters to be decided:

- 1. the appropriateness of using actual PILs calculations for the 2015 bridge year, including the calculation and application of tax loss carry forwards, to reflect the actual 2015 tax return
- 2. whether tax loss carry forwards related to the former Niagara West should be applied to the shareholders or customers in this case

The OEB finds it appropriate to use the actual tax loss carried forward available on December 31, 2015 of \$391,821 for consideration in setting rates in 2016.

The intervenors and OEB staff disagreed with Grimsby Power's updated PILs proposals. Parties submitted that Grimsby Power must follow OEB policy and apply the tax loss carry forward to set rates in 2016. Consistent with the policy, parties submitted that Grimsby Power should use the OEB model provided in the filing requirements to calculate the 2015 regulatory PILs amount. If the OEB's PILs model was used without any alterations, the 2016 available tax loss carry forward would be higher than \$391,821, thereby increasing the benefit available to customers in 2016.

However, the 2006 Rate Handbook and the 2016 filing requirements assume bridge year numbers are forecast. When actual data is available, the OEB finds that actuals must be used. This finding is consistent with the treatment of PILs in 2014, the "historical year" in the 2016 filing requirements, in which actual tax accounting is used.

The unusual circumstance in this proceeding is that 2015 actuals are available; 2015 is an historical year. The OEB agrees with Grimsby Power that it is appropriate and consistent to use 2015 actual revenue, expenses and taxes.

The second matter to be decided is whether Grimsby Power's shareholders or customers should benefit from of the tax losses from Niagara West.

³ "Review of Rate Setting Implications of Tax Loss Carry Forwards" filed June 29, 2016

The use of Niagara West's tax loss carry forward in 2016 was argued extensively by KPMG on behalf of Grimsby Power. KPMG indicated that Niagara West's shareholders had borne the cost of income tax losses from 2005 to 2015 and should receive the benefit. KPMG identified the sources of the losses and recommended that the OEB apply the "benefits follow costs" principle.

Intervenors and OEB staff disagreed with KPMG. The parties indicated that Grimsby Power had previously indicated that the Niagara West tax losses would benefit customers and submitted that it was still appropriate that these losses should benefit customers.

Since 2006, the OEB has typically followed the Rate Handbook and applied tax loss carry forward amounts to the benefit of customers. The two decisions in which the OEB did not apply the policy were the Ontario Power Generation (OPG) EB-2007-0905 decision and Great Lakes Power Limited (Great Lakes Power) EB-2007-0744 decision.

The OEB finds that the circumstances in the OPG and Great Lakes Power cases were unique and are not comparable to Grimsby Power's circumstances. The OEB's findings in the OPG decision addressed the fact that OPG was not regulated by the OEB prior to 2008, when the losses occurred. The OEB's findings in the Great Lakes Power decision turned on the fact that the company conducted both regulated and non-regulated businesses and the disallowed expenses were associated with the non-regulated businesses. In contrast, Niagara West was regulated by the OEB from 2005-2015 when the tax loss carry forward of \$391,821 was generated and the OEB did not disallow expenses associated with non-regulatory business activities of Niagara West.

The OEB finds no justifiable reason to deviate from its policy and create a third exception in this proceeding.

The consistent application of ratemaking leads to predictability and certainty in rates. The tax loss carry forward policy was known to NPEI when it agreed to sell its share of Niagara West to Grimsby Power, when it planned to amalgamate with Niagara West and to the OEB when it approved the amalgamation⁴. To deviate from the OEB policy in this proceeding, after the fact, would be inconsistent and undesirable from a ratemaking perspective.

KPMG raised the benefits follows cost principle to support its opinion that shareholders should benefit from tax loss carry forwards. The OEB notes that the benefits follow

⁴ EB-2014-0344 Decision and Order, March 26, 2015

costs principle was referenced in the Report of the Board⁵ that accompanied the Rate Handbook. In the Report of the Board, the principle was considered only for tax savings arising from non-recoverable or disallowed expenses, including purchased goodwill and charitable donations. The OEB found that tax savings arising from these specified situations would not be allocated to customers, applying the benefit follow cost principle. The OEB finds many factors were involved when Niagara West's rates were established in 2011 and losses were generated between 2011 to 2015. In addition, the context of "disallowed expenses" in the Report of the Board did not include interest costs for a regulated business.

In a cost of service proceeding, the OEB establishes customer rates to recover a utility's forecast cost of operations and to provide shareholders a fair rate of return on equity. If the OEB were to approve Grimsby Power's proposed 2016 PILs provision, customers would pay for taxes that Grimsby Power is not forecast to pay in 2016, thereby exceeding Grimsby Power's cost of service. The OEB finds Grimsby Power's proposal inappropriate from a cost recovery perspective.

In summary, Grimsby Power's shareholders will retain the benefit of the 2015 actual tax filing in which taxable income was increased, significant tax loss carry forwards were utilized and the balance available for 2016 was reduced. However, the tax loss carry forward remaining from Niagara West will go to the benefit of customers. The OEB finds it appropriate to use the actual tax losses available of \$391,821 for consideration in setting rates in 2016.

3.4 Effective date for 2016 rates

Grimsby Power proposed an effective date for 2016 rates of May 1, 2016 in its Application. In reply submission, Grimsby Power revised its proposal to July 14, 2016, the date on which Grimsby Power's current rates were declared by the OEB as interim.

Intervenors submitted that the effective date should be the beginning of the first or second month following the OEB's decision, as is consistent with OEB practice. Intervenors indicated that Grimsby Power filed its application late and that sufficient time is required for the hearing process.

OEB staff submitted that 266 days is the established metric to issue a decision and rate order after an application is filed and an oral hearing is held. As Grimsby Power filed its

⁵ RP-2004-0188 Report of the Board, 2006 Electricity Distribution Rate Handbook, May 11, 2005, pp. 50-53

application on December 23, 2015, OEB staff submitted the appropriate effective date for 2016 rates is September 1, 2016.

Findings

The OEB approves September 1, 2016 as the effective date of Grimsby Power's 2016 rates. The OEB finds that the delay in filing the application was within Grimsby Power's control and sufficient time must be allowed for the OEB's open and transparent rate setting process. The OEB finds that September 1, 2016 is appropriate given the date of this Decision and the time provided for the rate order process.

4 IMPLEMENTATION

Grimsby Power and the intervenors included a draft rate order as an appendix to the Revised Settlement Proposal. With respect to the three unsettled issues, Grimsby Power's proposals were embedded in the calculations for drafting the rate schedules. After implementing the findings of this Decision, Grimsby Power will provide the OEB with a draft calculation of its rates and charges.

The OEB has reviewed the draft accounting order in Appendix F to the Revised Settlement Proposal. The OEB encourages Grimsby Power to work with OEB staff to revise the draft accounting order to include the effective dates for the account and other wording as necessary, and to file it with the draft rate order. The OEB will review these filings and determine Grimsby Power's final rates for 2016.

5 ORDER

THE ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD ORDERS THAT:

- 1. Grimsby Power shall file with the OEB, and forward to all intervenors, a draft rate order that implements the findings in this Decision set out above, including revised models in Microsoft Excel format as appropriate and a proposed Tariff of Rates and Charges reflecting the OEB's findings no later than August 30, 2016.
- 2. OEB staff and intervenors shall file any comments on the draft rate order with the OEB and with Grimsby Power no later than September 7, 2016.
- 3. Grimsby Power shall file with the OEB, and forward to intervenors, responses to any comments on its draft rate order no later September 14, 2016.

All filings to the OEB must quote the file number, **EB-2015-0072**, be made through the OEB's web portal at <u>https://www.pes.ontarioenergyboard.ca/eservice/</u>, and consist of two paper copies and one electronic copy in searchable / unrestricted PDF format. Filings must clearly state the sender's name, postal address and telephone number, fax number and e-mail address. Parties must use the document naming conventions and document submission standards outlined in the RESS Document Guideline found at <u>http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/OEB/Industry</u>. If the web portal is not available, parties may email their documents to the address below. Those who do not have internet access are required to submit all filings on a CD in PDF format, along with two paper copies. Those who do not have computer access are required to file seven paper copies.

DATED at Toronto August 18, 2016

ONTARIO ENERGY BOARD

Original Signed By

Kirsten Walli Board Secretary SCHEDULE A DECISION AND ORDER GRIMSBY POWER INC. EB-2015-0072 AUGUST 18, 2016



Grimsby Power Incorporated

231 Roberts Road Grimsby, ON L3M 5N2 PH: 905.945.5437 x 221 FX: 905.945.9933

July 28, 2016

Delivered by RESS and Courier

Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary Ontario Energy Board P.O. Box 2319, 27th Floor 2300 Yonge Street Toronto, Ontario M4P 1E4

Dear Ms. Walli:

Re: EB-2015-0072 Grimsby Power Incorporated Electricity Distribution Rate Application for 2016 Rates – Settlement Proposal

The parties reached a partial settlement in this matter and the Partial Settlement Proposal was submitted June 24, 2016. Pursuant to Procedural Order No. 2 an oral hearing was held on July 13 – 14, 2016 in order for the Board to receive a presentation of the Settlement Proposal and address the unsettled issues. The Partial Settlement Proposal was presented and the Board Panel provided comments and guidance with respect to the treatment of certain existing deferral accounts and of the costs related to a future asset condition assessment to be performed by Grimsby Power. The Parties have considered the Panel's comments and have agreed to a revision of the proposal to address them. Please find enclosed the revised Partial Settlement Proposal in this matter. Revisions to the text of the proposal may be found at pages 12, 13 and 31, and the Parties have added a Draft Accounting Order at Appendix F.

If additional information is required by the Board please contact me via e-mail (<u>dougc@grimsbypower.com</u>) or by phone at 905-945-5437 Ext. 221.

Regards,

) fit

Doug Curtiss, P.Eng. Chief Executive Officer Grimsby Power Inc.

IN THE MATTER OF the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c.15, 3 Schedule B, as amended (the "OEB Act);

AND IN THE MATTER OF an Application by Grimsby Power Incorporated under Section 78 of the OEB Act to the Ontario Energy Board for an Order or Orders approving or fixing just and reasonable rates and other service charges for the distribution of electricity as of May 1, 2016.

GRIMSBY POWER INCORPORATED ("Grimsby Power")

APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF 2016 ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION RATES

EB-2015-0072

SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL

Filed: June 24, 2016

Revised: July 28, 2016

Doug Curtiss Chief Executive Officer Grimsby Power Incorporated 231 Roberts Rd Grimsby, Ontario L3M 5N2

Tel: (905) 945-5437 dougc@grimsbypower.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SET	TLEM	ENT PROPOSAL	4
SUN	IMAR'	Υ	9
1	PLAN	INING	12
	1.1	Capital	12
	1.2	OM&A	14
2	REVE	ENUE REQUIREMENT	15
	2.1	Are all elements of the Base Revenue Requirement reasonable, and have they	
		been appropriately determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices?	15
	2.2	Has the Base Revenue Requirement been accurately determined based on these	
		elements?	18
3.	LOAD	D FORECAST, COST ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN	20
	3.1	Are the proposed load and customer forecast, loss factors, CDM adjustments and	
		resulting billing determinants appropriate, and, to the extent applicable, are they an	
		appropriate reflection of the energy and demand requirements of the applicant's	
		customers?	20
	3.2	Are the proposed cost allocation methodology, allocations, and revenue-to-cost ratios	
		appropriate?	23
	3.3	Are the applicant's proposals, including the proposed fixed/variable splits, for rate	
		design appropriate?	24
	3.4	Are the proposed Retail Transmission Service Rates and Low Voltage service rates	
		appropriate?	27
4.	ACCO	DUNTING	30
	4.1	Have all impacts of any changes in accounting standards, policies, estimates and	
		adjustments been properly identified and recorded, and is the rate- making	
		treatment of each of these impacts appropriate?	30
	4.2	Are the applicant's proposals for deferral and variance accounts, including the	
		balances in the existing accounts and their disposition, and the continuation of	
		existing accounts, appropriate?	30
5	OTHE	ER	32
	5.1	Are rate mitigation proposals required for any rate classes?	32
APP	ENDI	A: REVISED 2016 FIXED ASSET CONTINUITY SCHEDULE	
APP	ENDI	K B: REVENUE REQUIREMENT WORK FORM	
APP	ENDI)	K C: APPENDIX 2-P COST ALLOCATION	
APP	ENDI	K D: APPENDIX 2-PA RES RATE DESIGN	

APPENDIX E: APPENDIX 2-V REV RECONCILIATION

APPENDIX F: DRAFT ACCOUNTING ORDER - ASSET CONDITION ASSESMENTLIVE

EXCEL MODELS

The following live excel models have been filed together with and form an integral part of this settlement proposal:

Grimsby_SettlementP_2016_Load_Forecast_2016_COP_Forecast_20160616 Grimsby_SettlementP_2016_Rev_Reqt_Work_Form_V6_20160616 Grimsby_SettlementP_Detailed_CA_Model_Run3_20160616 Grimsby_SettlementP_Test_year_Income_Tax_PILs_Workform_DRAFT_20160616

Grimsby Power Incorporated EB-2015-0072 SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL Filed with the Ontario Energy Board: June 24, 2016

Grimsby Power Incorporated (the "Applicant" or "Grimsby Power") filed a cost of service application with the Ontario Energy Board (the "OEB") on December 23, 2015 under section 78 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998*, S.O. 1998, c. 15, (Schedule B) (the "Act"), seeking approval for changes to the rates that Grimsby Power charges for electricity distribution, to be effective May 1, 2016 (OEB File Number EB-2015-0072) (the "Application").

7 The OEB issued a Notice to Customers of Grimsby Power Incorporated on March 3, 2016. In 8 Procedural Order No. 1, dated April 1, 2016, the OEB set out dates for a Presentation Day to the 9 OEB, OEB staff and intervenors; written interrogatories; Grimsby Power's responses to 10 interrogatories; Settlement Conference dates; and outlined the timetable of the various other 11 elements in the proceeding.

Following the receipt of interrogatories, Grimsby Power filed its interrogatory responses with the OEB on May 6, 2016 and filed responses to Energy Probe clarifying questions on May 20, 2016.

On May 20, 2016 the OEB issued its decision on the proposed issues list. The decision found that cost allocation and rate design issues related to Niagara West MTS and the Embedded Distributor class would be dealt with under the general cost allocation and rate design issues on the list at Issues 3.1 and 3.2.

19 This Settlement Proposal is filed with the OEB in connection with the Application.

Further to the OEB's Procedural Order No. 1 and its Issues List Decision, a Settlement Conference was convened on May 24, 25, and 26, 2016 in accordance with the OEB's Rules of Practice and Procedure (the "Rules") and the OEB's Practice Direction on Settlement Conferences (the "Practice Direction"). Mr. Chris Haussmann acted as facilitator for the Settlement Conference.

Grimsby Power and the following Intervenors (the "Intervenors"), participated in the SettlementConference:

27 Energy Probe Research Foundation ("Energy Probe");

28 School Energy Coalition ("SEC");

1

1 Vulnerable Energy Consumers Coalition ("VECC"),

2 Niagara Peninsula Energy Inc. ("NPEI").

Cogeco, was present for a period of time on May 24, 2016 but did not actively participate.
Cogeco was not present on May 25 or 26, 2016. As such Cogeco is not a party to this
settlement proposal.

6 Grimsby Power and the Intervenors are collectively referred to below as the "Parties".

7 Ontario Energy Board staff ("OEB staff") also participated in the Settlement Conference. The 8 role adopted by OEB staff is set out in page 5 of the Practice Direction. Although OEB staff 9 is not a party to this Settlement Proposal, as noted in the Practice Direction, OEB staff who 10 did participate in the settlement conference are bound by the same confidentiality and 11 privilege rules that apply to the Parties to the proceeding.

12 This document is called a "Settlement Proposal" because it is a proposal by the Parties to the 13 OEB to settle the issues in this proceeding. It is termed a proposal as between the Parties and the OEB. However, as between the Parties, and subject only to the OEB's approval of 14 15 this Settlement Proposal, this document is intended to be a legal agreement, creating mutual obligations, and binding and enforceable in accordance with its terms. As set forth later in this 16 Preamble, this agreement is subject to a condition subsequent, that if it is not accepted by the 17 OEB in its entirety, then unless amended by the Parties it is null and void and of no further 18 19 effect. In entering into this agreement, the Parties understand and agree that, pursuant to the 20 Act, the OEB has exclusive jurisdiction with respect to the interpretation and enforcement of 21 the terms hereof.

These settlement proceedings are subject to the rules relating to privilege contained in the 22 23 Practice Direction. The Parties acknowledge that this settlement proceeding is confidential 24 in accordance with the OEB's Practice Direction on Settlement Conferences. The Parties 25 understand that confidentiality in that context does not have the same meaning as 26 confidentiality in the OEB's Practice Direction on Confidential Filings, and the rules of that 27 latter document do not apply. Instead, in this settlement conference, and in this Agreement, 28 the Parties have interpreted "confidential" to mean that the documents and other information 29 provided during the course of the settlement proceeding, the discussion of each issue, the 30 offers and counter-offers, and the negotiations leading to the settlement - or not - of each issue during the settlement conference are strictly privileged and without prejudice. None of 31 32 the foregoing is admissible as evidence in this proceeding, or otherwise, with one exception, the

need to resolve a subsequent dispute over the interpretation of any provision of this Settlement 1 2 Proposal. Further, the Parties shall not disclose those documents or other information to persons who were not attendees at the settlement conference. However, the Parties agree 3 4 that "attendees" is deemed to include, in this context, persons who were not physically in 5 attendance at the settlement conference but were a) any persons or entities that the Parties 6 engage to assist them with the settlement conference, and b) any persons or entities from whom 7 they seek instructions with respect to the negotiations; in each case provided that any such persons or entities have agreed to be bound by the same confidentiality provisions. 8

9 This Settlement Proposal provides a brief description of each of the settled and partially settled 10 issues, as applicable, together with references to the evidence. The Parties agree that references to the "evidence" in this Settlement Proposal shall, unless the context otherwise 11 12 requires, include (a) additional information included by the Parties in this Settlement 13 Proposal, and (b) the Appendices to this document. The supporting Parties for each settled 14 and partially settled issue, as applicable, agree that the evidence in respect of that settled or 15 partially settled issue, as applicable, is sufficient in the context of the overall settlement to support the proposed settlement, and the sum of the evidence in this proceeding provides an 16 17 appropriate evidentiary record to support acceptance by the OEB of this Settlement Proposal. The Parties agree that references to the evidence in this Settlement Proposal shall, unless the 18 context otherwise requires, include, in addition to the Application, the responses to 19 20 interrogatories, clarifying questions, and all other components of the record up to and including the date hereof, including additional information included by the Parties in this 21 22 Settlement Proposal and the Appendices to this document.

There are Appendices and live Excel models attached to this Settlement Proposal which provide further support for the proposed settlement. The Parties acknowledge that the Appendices were prepared by Grimsby Power. While the Intervenors have reviewed the Appendices and live Excel models, the Intervenors are relying on the accuracy of the underlying evidence in entering into this Settlement Proposal.

For ease of reference, this Settlement Proposal follows the format of the final approved IssuesList.

The Parties are pleased to advise the OEB that the Parties have reached a partial agreement with respect to most of the issues in this proceeding. Specifically:

"Complete Settlement" means an issue for which complete settlement was reached by all Parties, and if this Settlement Proposal is accepted by the OEB, the Parties will not adduce any evidence or argument during the oral hearing in respect of these issues.	# issues settled: Eight
"Partial Settlement" means an issue for which there is partial settlement, as Grimsby Power and the Intervenors who take any position on the issue were able to agree on some, but not all, aspects of the particular issue. If this Settlement Proposal is accepted by the OEB, the Parties who take any position on the issue will only adduce evidence and argument during the hearing on those portions of the issues not addressed in this Settlement Proposal.	# issues partially settled: Two
"No Settlement" means an issue for which no settlement was reached. Grimsby Power and the Intervenors who take a position on the issue will adduce evidence and/or argument at the hearing on the issue.	# issues not settled: One

- If applicable, a Party who is noted as taking no position on an issue may or may not have
 participated in the discussion on that particular issue, but in either case such Party takes no position
- a) on the settlement reached, and b) on the sufficiency of the evidence filed to date.
- According to the Practice Direction (p. 3), the Parties must consider whether a Settlement Proposal should include an appropriate adjustment mechanism for any settled issue that may be affected by external factors. These adjustments are specifically set out in the text of the Settlement Proposal.
- 8 The Parties have settled the issues as a package, and none of the parts of this Settlement 9 Proposal are severable. If the OEB does not accept this Settlement Proposal in its entirety, 10 then there is no settlement (unless the Parties agree in writing that any part(s) of this 11 Settlement Proposal that the OEB does accept may continue as a valid settlement without 12 inclusion of any part(s) that the OEB does not accept).
- 13 In the event that the OEB directs the Parties to make reasonable efforts to revise the 14 Settlement Proposal, the Parties agree to use reasonable efforts to discuss any potential 15 revisions, but no Party will be obligated to accept any proposed revision. The Parties agree 16 that all of the Parties who took on a position on a particular issue must agree with any 17 revised Settlement Proposal as it relates to that issue prior to its resubmission to the OEB.

Unless stated otherwise, the settlement of any particular issue in this proceeding and the positions of the Parties in this Settlement Proposal are without prejudice to the rights of Parties to raise the same issue and/or to take any position thereon in any other proceeding, whether or not Grimsby Power is a party to such proceeding, provided that no Party shall take a position that would result in this Agreement not applying in accordance with the terms contained herein.

6 Where in this Agreement, the Parties or any of them "accept" the evidence of Grimsby 7 Power, or "agree" to a revised term or condition, including a revised budget or forecast, then 8 unless the Agreement expressly states to the contrary, the words "for the purpose of 9 settlement of the issues herein" shall be deemed to qualify that acceptance or agreement.

1 SUMMARY

In reaching this settlement, the Parties have been guided by the Filing Requirements for 2016
rates and the approved Issues List.

4 This Settlement Proposal reflects a partial settlement of the issues in this proceeding.

5 The issues not settled and the proposed method of hearing the issues and the reasons are as 6 follows:

- OM&A the Parties agree that this issue should be dealt with by way of an oral hearing
 due to the complexity of the components included in the OM&A;
- Effective Date of Rates the Parties agree that this issue should be dealt with by way of
 an oral hearing due to the fact that Grimsby Power's requested effective date of May 1,
 2016 is now past; and
- PILs the Parties agree that due to the complex and technical nature of the disputed
 issue (how loss carry forwards are applied within the PILs model) it would best be dealt
 with by way of an oral hearing.

The Parties note that the following Tables, Appendix A through Appendix E, and the live Excel models represent the evidence and the settlement between the Parties at the time of filing this settlement, but that some of the evidence may need to be updated subject to the Board's determination of unsettled issues, as discussed below.

The Board's determination of the issues related to the above unsettled issues are expected to have impacts on revenue requirement and the resultant rates for Grimsby Power customers. All aspects of this Settlement Proposal are subject to the normal impacts that would arise on a change in OM&A, effective date, and PILs.

This Agreement will allow Grimsby Power to continue to make the necessary capital investments to maintain the safety and reliability of the electricity distribution service that it provides. Because the matter of 2016 Test Year OM&A and PILs remains outstanding, the revised Base Revenue Requirement for the 2016 Test Year cannot be determined at this time, but for the purposes of preparing the Appendices to this Agreement, the Parties have assumed that OM&A is as set out in the Application subject only to changes through the interrogatory process. A Revenue Requirement Work Form, incorporating all of the changes agreed in this Agreement, but assuming for all purposes, OM&A is as filed in the original application and PILs is as filed in this settlement proposal, and a future settlement date is annexed as Appendix B. The assumption in that document with respect of unsettled issues is not intended by any of the Parties to be indicative of the appropriateness of those amounts, or any of their components or impacts, but are instead placeholders pending the Board's determination on those issues.

Through the settlement process, Grimsby Power has agreed to certain adjustments from its
original 2016 Application. The changes are described in the following sections. The following
Table 1 sets out the matters that are the subject of complete settlement; partial settlement; and
no settlement.

11

Table 1 – Settlement Proposal – Results

Complete Settlement	Partial Settlement	No Settlement
1.1		1.2
	2.1, 2.2	
3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4		
4.1, 4.2		
5.1		

12

The matters that are the subject of partial settlement are not in dispute; rather, they cannot be finalized until the matters relating to: 1) the 2016 Test Year OM&A, 2) the impact of the final PILs calculation, and 3) the determination of an effective date for rates.

16 Based on the foregoing, and the evidence and rationale provided below, the Parties agree that this

17 Settlement Proposal is appropriate and recommend its acceptance by the OEB.

Grimsby Power has provided the following Table 2 highlighting the changes to its Cost of Capital, Rate Base and Capital, Operating Expenses and Revenue Requirement from Grimsby Power's Application as filed, interrogatories and clarifying questions and this Settlement Proposal. This Table does not reflect those issues not settled and yet to be determined by the OEB.

	Description	Initial Application A	Interrogatories & Clarifying Questions B		Settlement Proposal 1.1 D	Difference E=D-B	Settlement Proposal 2.1 d) F	Difference G=F-D	Settlement Proposal 3.1 H	Difference I=H-F	Total Difference from Interrogatories & Clarifying J=H-B
Cost of Capital	Regulated Return on Capital	1,544,665	1,523,615	- 21,050	1,517,541	6,074	1,353,237	164,304	1,353,237		170,378
	Regulated Rate of Return	6.19%	6.16%	-0.03%	6.16%	0.00%	5.49%	-0.67%	5.49%	0.00%	-0.67%
						-		-		-	-
Rate Base and	Rate Base	24,959,518	24,734,446	- 225,072	24,635,835	98,611	24,635,835	-	24,635,835		98,611
Capital Expenditures	Working Capital	27,782,522	28,116,313	333,791	28,116,313	-	28, 116, 313	-	28,116,313	-	-
capital Experiatures	Working Capital Allowance	2,083,689	2,108,723	25,034	2,108,723	0	2,108,723	-	2,108,723		0
											-
	Amortization/Depreciation	1,000,584	1,001,258	674	998,481	2,777	998,481	-	998,481		2,777
Operating Expenses	PILs	69,211	88,467	19,256	89,043	576	89,043	-	89,043	-	576
	OM&A	3,925,363	3,925,363	-	3,925,363	-	3,925,363	-	3,925,363	-	-
						-		-		-	-
	Service Revenue Requirement	6,574,945	6,573,825	- 1,120	6,565,549	8,276	6,401,246	164,304	6,401,246		172,579
	Other Revenue	301,588	301,588	-	301,588	-	301,588	-	301,588	-	-
Revenue Requirement	Base Revenue Requirement	6,273,355	6,272,236	1,119	6,263,961	8,276	6,099,658	164,304	6,099,658		172,579
						-		-		-	-
	Gross Revenue Deficiency	1,872,051	1,822,499	- 49,552	1,814,223	8,276	1,649,919	164,304	1,620,216	29,703 -	202,283

Table 2 – Summary of Changes to Revenue Requirement

1

1 1 PLANNING

2 1.1 Capital

Is the level of planned capital expenditures appropriate and is the rationale for
 planning and pacing choices appropriate and adequately explained, giving due
 consideration to:

6 • customer feedback and preferences;

8

9

- 7 productivity;
 - compatibility with historic expenditures;
 - compatibility with applicable benchmarks;
- 10 reliability and service quality;
- 11 impact on distribution rates;
- 12 trade-offs with OM&A spending;
- 13 government-mandated obligations; and
- the objectives of the Applicant and its customers

15 Complete Settlement: The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the level of 16 planned capital expenditures is appropriate subject to the adjustments below:

Grimsby Power agrees to reduce its 2016 gross capital additions by \$200,000 to better 17 reflect the normalized average difference between planned System Renewal projects 18 and actual System Renewal projects since Grimsby Power's last cost of service. 19 Grimsby Power has made this adjustment to USoA 1830 - Poles, Towers and Fixtures and 20 USoA 1845 – Underground Conductors and Devices (\$100,000 each) for the purpose of 21 reflecting the adjustment in Grimsby Power's gross capital additions. Grimsby Power will adjust 22 its depreciation expense accordingly. Appendix A sets out the revised 2016 Fixed Asset 23 Continuity Schedule. The Parties acknowledge that actual capital spending may vary from 24 Board-approved based on the operational decisions of Grimsby Power during the Test Year. 25

Grimsby Power also agrees to conduct an independent Asset Condition Assessment to be submitted as part of its next cost of service or Custom IR application. It is understood by the Parties that Grimsby Power will include the cost of this Asset Condition Assessment as part of the regulatory costs submitted with the next cost of service or Custom IR application_in a deferral account which is to be created and approved by the Board. The Parties request that the Board issue an Accounting Order in this regard. For the Board's assistance, the Parties

1	have provided a draft Accounting Order as Appendix F to this Settlement Proposal.
2	In addition, the Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the rationale for planning
3	and pacing choices that inform its planned capital expenditures, as adjusted, are appropriate and
4	adequately explained, giving due consideration to:
5 6	 The customer feedback and preferences as more fully detailed in EXHIBIT 1, pages 29 – 31, 47 – 50, and 55 – 68, Appendix 1-D, 1-E, and 1-F;
7	The past and planned productivity initiatives of Grimsby Power as more fully detailed
8	in EXHIBIT 1, pages 69 – 79;
9	• The compatibility with historic expenditures as more fully detailed in EXHIBIT 1,
10	pages 25 – 29, EXHIBIT 2, 35 - 44 and Appendix 2-A;
11	Grimsby Power's compatibility with appropriate benchmarks as more fully detailed in
12	EXHIBIT 1, pages, 83, EXHIBIT 4, pages 67 - 68 and interrogatories 4-SEC-24 -
13	Grimsby Power's 2014 Scorecard – EXHIBIT 1, page 45 & Appendix 1-C;
14	Grimsby Power's reliability and service quality performance as more fully detailed
15	in EXHIBIT 1, pages 11, and EXHIBIT 2, pages 61-62, and interrogatories 4-SEC-
16	24;
17 18	 The total impact on distribution rates has not been determined as there are unsettled issues as discussed above;
19	Changes to OM&A spending have not been determined as OM&A spending is not
20	settled as discussed above;
21	• Grimsby Power's past and planned performance meeting government mandated
22	obligations as more fully detailed in EXHIBIT 1, pages 79 - 81; and
23	Grimsby Power's targets and objectives as more fully detailed in EXHIBIT 1, pages
24	10 – 14.
25	Grimsby Power confirms that the adjustments agreed to in this Settlement Proposal
26	should not compromise its ability to (a) pursue continuous improvement in productivity; (b)
27	maintain system reliability and service quality objectives; and (c) maintain reliable and safe
28	operation of its distribution system.

29

1		Evidence:
2		Application:
3		• EXHIBIT 1, page 25-33, Rate Base and Capital Plan;
4		EXHIBIT 2, Rate Base in its entirety;
5		Interrogatory Responses:
6 7 8 9 10		 2-Staff-10, 2-Staff-11, 2-Staff-12, 2-Staff-13, 2-Staff-16, 2-Staff-17, 2-Staff-18, 2-Staff-19, 2-Staff-20, 2-Staff-21, 2-Staff-22, 2-Staff-23, 2-Staff-24, 2-Staff-25, 2-Staff-26, 2-Staff-28, 2-Staff 29, 2-Staff-30, 2-Energy Probe-6, 2-Energy Probe-10, 2-Energy Probe-11, 2-Energy Probe-12, 2-VECC-7, 2-VECC-8, 2-VECC-9, 2-VECC-10, 2-VECC-11, 2-VECC-12, 2-VECC-14, 2-VECC-15, 2-SEC-11, 2-SEC-12, 2-SEC-13, 2-SEC-14, 2-SEC-15, 2-SEC-16, 2-SEC-17;
12		Clarifying Questions:
13		None
14		Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
15		Appendix A
16		Supporting Parties: All
17	1.2	OM&A
18 19		Is the level of planned OM&A expenditures appropriate and is the rationale for planning choices appropriate and adequately explained, giving due consideration to:
20 21		 customer feedback and preferences; productivity;
22		benchmarking of costs;
23		reliability and service quality;
24		impact on distribution rates;
25		trade-offs with capital spending;
26		government-mandated obligations; and
27		the objectives of the Applicant and its customers.
28		No Settlement:

1 2 REVENUE REQUIREMENT

2 2.1 Are all elements of the Base Revenue Requirement reasonable, and have they been
 appropriately determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices?

4 **Partial Settlement:**

5 The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that all elements of the Base 6 Revenue Requirement, with the exception of OM&A and PILS, have been correctly 7 determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices. Specifically:

a) Rate Base: The parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the rate base
calculations, including the adjustments as detailed in this Settlement Proposal are
reasonable and have been appropriately determined in accordance with OEB
policies and practices. The calculation provided in Table 3 does not include the
future OEB determination on any unsettled issues.

13

Table 3 – Rate Base Calculations

Description	Initial Application	Interrogatories & Clarifying Questions	Variance	Settlement Proposal	Variance
	Α	В	C=B-A	D	E=D-B
Average Gross Fixed Assets	28,891,122	28,632,921	(258,201)	28,532,921	(100,000)
Average Accumulated Depreciation	(6,015,294)	(6,007,198)	8,096	(6,005,810)	1,388
Average Net Fixed Assets	22,875,828	22,625,723	(250,105)	22,527,111	(98,612)
Controllable Expenses	3,937,404	3,937,404	-	3,937,404	-
Cost of Power	23,845,118	24,178,909	333,791	24,178,909	-
 Working Capital	27,782,522	28,116,313	333,791	28,116,313	-
Working Capital Rate %	7.50%	7.50%		7.50%	
Allowance for Working Capital	2,083,689	2,108,723	-	2,108,723	-
Total Rate Base	24,959,517	24,734,446	(250,105)	24,635,835	(98,612

15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

14

b) Working Capital: The parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the working capital calculations are reasonable and have been appropriately determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices. This calculation assumes the OM&A as filed and is not intended by any of the Parties to be indicative of the appropriateness of those amounts, or any of their components or impacts, but are instead placeholders pending the Board's determination on those issues. Grimsby Power has used the working capital allowance default value of

7.5% in this calculation.

2 c) OM&A: No Settlement.

- d) Cost of Capital: The Parties agree that the cost of capital calculation will be adjusted
 as follows:
- Adjustment to the TD debt for the NWTC Loan from \$3,633,000 to \$4,408,000
 (\$3,633,000 plus a \$775,000 breakage fee) at an interest rate of 2%
 (originally 6.31%) which will reduce the Weighted Long Term Debt Cost Rate
 for 2016 to 3.13%. The interest rate of 2% was an indicative rate provided by
 the TD Bank to refinance Grimsby Power's existing swap into a new swap
 agreement.
- 11 The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the proposed capital 12 structure, rate of return on equity and short and long-term debt costs have been 13 correctly determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices.
- 14 The calculation of the new long term debt and the weighted long term debt calculation 15 is shown in Table 4 below.
- 16

1

Table 4: Weighted Long Term Debt Cost Calculation

		Affliated							
		with	Fixed or			Term		Year Applied	Interest
Description	Lender	LDC?	Variable Rate?	Start Date	Principal	(Years)	Rate%	to	Cost
Promissory Note	Town of Grimsby	Y	Fixed	April 1, 2001	5,782,746	20	4.54%	2016	262,536.67
Smart Meter/Capital Financing	TD Commercial Bank	N	Fixed	March 31, 2011	1,117,728	15	3.34%	2016	37,360.35
Truck Loan	TD Commercial Bank	N	Fixed	December 4, 2012	247,618	15	3.52%	2016	8,717.90
Capital Loan & Economic Evaluation	TD Commercial Bank	N	Fixed	December 12, 2012	2,670,000	1	2.05%	2016	54,735.00
Economic Evaluation	TD Commercial Bank	N	Fixed	November 3, 2015	600,000	1	2.01%	2016	12,060.00
NWTC loan	TD Commercial Bank	N	Fixed	April 30, 2004	4,408,000	21	2.00%	2016	88,160.00

2016 Total Long Term Debt \$14,826,091 Total Interest Cost for 2016 \$463,570

Weighted Debt Cost Rate for 2016 3.13%

- 1
- 18 19

20

- e) Other Revenue: The parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that its Other Revenue in the amount of \$301,588 is appropriate and correctly determined in accordance with OEB policies and practices.
- f) Depreciation: The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that its forecast
 depreciation/amortization expenses, as adjusted, are appropriate and reflect the
 useful lives of the assets and have been correctly determined in accordance with
 OEB accounting policies and practices.

1	g)	Taxes/PILs: No settlement. Grimsby Power has submitted a revised PILs work form
2		reflecting the position on the use of loss carry forward at the beginning of the
3		settlement conference. Within the model the 2016 capital cost allowance from
4 5		schedule 8 changed due to the change in the 2016 capital additions as filed in this settlement.
6 7		The parties do not agree on the PILs methodology regarding the determination and application of loss carry forwards.
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

	P							
1	Evidence:							
2	Application:							
3	• EXHIBIT 1, page 27, Summary of Rate Base; Summary of Working Capital							
4	• EXHIBIT 2, Rate Base in its entirety;							
5	• EXHIBIT 3, pages 33-35, Other Revenue;							
6	• EXHIBIT 5, Cost of Capital and Capital Structure in its entirety;							
7	ATTACHMENT 4-E Income Tax PILS Workform							
8	Interrogatory Responses:							
9	• 2-Staff-9, 2-Energy Probe-6, 2-Energy Probe-9,							
10	• 3-Staff-31, 3-Energy Probe-19,							
11	4-Energy Probe-21, 4-Energy Probe-34							
12	5-Energy Probe-37							
13	Clarifying Questions:							
14	6. Energy Probe Ref: 5-Staff-41							
15	Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:							
16	Appendix B							
17	Supporting Parties: All							

18 2.2 Has the Base Revenue Requirement been accurately determined based on these19 elements?

Partial Settlement: With the exception of the unsettled issues (OM&A and PILs) and subject to the adjustments expressly noted in this Settlement Proposal, the Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the proposed Base Revenue Requirement has been accurately determined based on these elements. The following Table 5 sets out Grimsby Power's base revenue requirement calculation for the 2016 Test Year.

	OM&A LEAP Property Tax Depreciation Total Distribution Expenses Regulated Return on Rate Base PIL's Service Revenue Requirement	Initial Application A 3,925,363 7,528 27,594 1,000,584 4,961,069 1,544,665 69,211 6,574,945	Interrogatories & Clarifying Questions B 3,925,363 7,528 27,594 1,001,258 4,961,743 1,523,615 88,467 6,573,825	Difference C=B-A - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Settlement Proposal 1.1 D 3,925,363 7,528 27,594 998,481 4,958,966 1,517,541 89,043 6,565,550	Difference E=D-B - - 2,777 - 6,074 - 576 8,276	Settlement Proposal 2.1 d) F 3,925,363 7,528 27,594 998,481 4,958,966 1,353,237 89,043 6,401,246	Difference G=F-D - - - - 164,304 - - 164,304	Total Difference from Interrogatories & Clarifying H=F-B - - - 2,777 - 2,777 - 2,777 - 170,378 576 - - 172,579	
	Less: Revenue Offsets	301,588	301,588	-	301,588	-	301,588	-	-	
2	Gross Revenue Requirement for Rates	6,273,356	6,272,236	- 1,120	6,263,962	- 8,276	6,099,657	164,304	- 172,579	
3 4 5	Evidence: <u>Application:</u> • EXHIBIT 1, page 20, Revenue Requirement;									
6	EXHIBIT 2, Rate Base in its entirety;									
7	• EXHIBIT 5, Cost of Capital and Capital Structure in its entirety;									
8	Interrogatory Responses:									
9	 3-Energy Probe-20, 5-Energy Probe-32 to 5-Energy Probe-36; 									
10	• 5.0-Staff-70;									
11	• 5.0-VECC-35									
12	Clarifying Questions:									

Table 5 – Calculation of Base Revenue Requirement – 2016 Test Year

- Energy Probe 7.0 Ref: 5.0-Staff-70, Energy Probe 8. Ref: 5.0-Staff-70 & 5-Energy
 Probe-33
- 15 <u>Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:</u>
- 16 Appendix B

1

17 Supporting Parties: All

1 3. LOAD FORECAST, COST ALLOCATION AND RATE DESIGN

3.1 Are the proposed load and customer forecast, loss factors, CDM adjustments and
 resulting billing determinants appropriate, and, to the extent applicable, are they an
 appropriate reflection of the energy and demand requirements of the applicant's
 customers?

6 Complete Settlement: The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the 7 customer forecast, loss factors, CDM adjustments and the resulting billing determinants are appropriate and are an appropriate reflection of the energy and demand 8 9 requirements of the Applicant's customers. The load forecast for the Embedded 10 Distributor has been adjusted to account for gross billing. The load forecast of 139,279 kW formed the billing determinant for the Embedded Distributor. The 139,279 kW was 11 12 derived from the sum of the actual 2015 demand supplied from the Niagara West MTS to NPEI plus the demand supplied to NPEI from embedded generation (ie. gross load) on 13 the circuit supplying NPEI. This information has been supplied to Grimsby Power from 14 NPEI. The following Table 6 sets out the agreed to load forecast for all of the rate classes 15 except the Embedded Distributor rate class which has been adjusted as noted above. 16

Table 6 – 2016 Load Forecast

		Interregatorias					
	Initial	Interrogatories		Settlement			
		& Clarifying	D://		D:{{		
	Application	Questions	Difference	Proposal	Difference		
Load Forecast By Class	A	В	C=B-A	D	E=D-B		
Residential							
Customers	10,310	10,402	92	10,402	-		
kWh	92,563,942	95,033,193	2,469,251	95,033,193	-		
General Service <50 kW							
Customers	751	772	21	772	-		
kWh	18,812,265	19,517,850	705,585	19,517,850	-		
General Service >50 to 4,999 kW							
Customers	107	111	4	111	-		
kWh	69,648,507	68,212,241	- 1,436,266	68,212,241	-		
kW	186,573	182,713	- 3,861	182,713	-		
Street Lighting							
Connections	2,680	2,653	- 27	2,653	-		
kWh	1,145,992	662,630	- 483,362	662,630	-		
kW	3,429	1,983	- 1,446	1,983	-		
Unmetered Scattered Load							
Connections	74	72	- 2	72	-		
kWh	373,349	366,642	- 6,707	366,642	-		
Embedded Distributor							
Customers	1	1	-	1	-		
kW	126,624	122,498	- 4,126	139,279	16,781		

2

5

6

3 Evidence:

- 4 <u>Application:</u>
 - EXHIBIT 1, page 24 Load Forecast Summary;
 - EXHIBIT 3, Operating Revenue in its entirety

7 <u>Interrogatory Responses:</u>

- 3-Staff-31;
- 9 3-Staff-32

- 1 3-Energy Probe-14
- 2 3-Energy Probe-18
- 3 3-VECC-23
- 4 3-VECC-24
- 5 <u>Clarifying Questions:</u>
 - None

6

It was also agreed that Grimsby Power's LRAMVA Baseline calculation will be based on the
assumed full year savings from 2016 programs only.

a) The following Table 7 breaks down the assumed full year 2016 savings by rate
class. The expected kW savings has been provided for the GS >50 to 4,999 rate
class using the average kW/kWh ratio from updated Table 3-20 in the Grimsby
Power's response to 3-Energy Probe-17.

	Year	Residential	GS <50 kW	GS >50 to 4,999 kW	Total
20)16 LRAMVA kWh	1,122,360	374,120	374,120	1,870,600
20	016 LRAMVA kW			1,002	1,002
	Evidence:				
	Application:				
	EXHIBIT 3	, page 18 - 20			
	Interrogatory Res	sponses:			
	• 3-VECC-22	2, 3-VECC-23			
	Appendices to the	is Settlement Propo	osal:		
	None				
	Supporting Part	ies: All			
3.2	2 Are the propose	ed cost allocation	methodology, allo	ocations, and revenu	ie-to-cost ratio
	appropriate?				
	Complete Settle	ement: The Parties	s accept the evide	ence of Grimsby Pov	wer, subject to
	the adjustments	identified below, th	nat the cost alloca	ation methodology, a	llocations and
	revenue-to-cost	ratios are appro	priate for all rate	e classes except cl	nanges to the
	Embedded Distril	butor rate class as o	outlined below.		
	a) Grimsby F	Power agrees to alt	er the cost alloca	tion model so that 4	0% of the costs
	directly as	ssociated with Nia	agara West MTS	S are allocated to	the Embedded
	Distributor	by using the direct	t allocation metho	od. The allocation of	ⁱ costs is based
	upon the o	capacity allocation	for the Niagara W	/est MTS. NPEI has	been allocated
	18MW of t	he 45 MW total allo	ocated capacity.	Grimsby Power agree	s to remove the

Table 7 – 2016 LRAMVA Baseline Broken Down by Rate Class

1

22

23

24 25

26

27 The following Table 8 sets out the results of the Cost Allocation model and Grimsby

Embedded Distributor class.

Distributor class remains at 100%.

rate base components related to general plant indirect costs that were originally

allocated to the Embedded Distributor class. This was accomplished by developing

a new allocator to ensure that indirect general plant costs are not allocated to the

The revenue to cost ratio for the Embedded

Power's proposed revenue to cost ratios. Both results are based on the revenue requirement with the current assumptions accepted by the Parties and it is acknowledged that Grimsby Power's revenue requirement may be subject to change based on the OEB's determination on the unsettled issues.

5

6

12

14

16

Table 8 – Proposed Revenue to Cost Ratios

	Revenue to Cost Ratios from 2016 Cost Allocation Model	Proposed Revenue to Cost Ratio
Residential	112.22%	105.72%
GS <50 kW	102.30%	102.30%
GS >50 to 4,999 kW	64.48%	80.00%
Street Lighting	108.21%	105.72%
Unmetered Scattered Load	45.59%	80.00%
Embedded Distributor	86.62%	100.00%

- 7 **Evidence**:
- 8 <u>Application:</u>
- 9 EXHIBIT 1, pages 38 42;
- EXHIBIT 7: Cost Allocation in its entirety

11 Interrogatory Responses:

7-Energy Probe-43;

13 <u>Clarifying Questions;</u>

- Question 7: Ref: 7-Energy Probe-43
- 15 Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
 - None
- 17 Supporting Parties: All
- **3.3** Are the applicant's proposals, including the proposed fixed/variable splits, for rate design appropriate?
- 20 **Complete Settlement:** The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power, subject to the

adjustment identified below, that Grimsby Power's proposal for rate design, including the
 proposed fixed/variable splits is appropriate. The rate design for residential class
 reflects the OEB's New Distribution Rate Design for Residential Electricity Customers
 (EB-2014-0210).

5 The following Table 9 sets out Grimsby Power's proposed fixed/variable rates subject to 6 OEB determination on OM&A and PILS.

- a) Grimsby Power agrees to a fixed/variable revenue proportion of 50% fixed and 50%
 variable for the Embedded Distributor class. As noted above the Embedded
 Distributor forecast is based upon the gross load on the circuits from the Niagara West
 MTS serving NPEI. The variable portion of this revenue is highly influenced by
 embedded generation and therefore the following policy will provide Grimsby Power
 with sufficient certainty of revenue.
- The monthly billing demand for the variable rate is defined as the highest Non-13 i) 14 Coincident peak demand (kW) which occurs in any hour of the month. The monthly billing demand will be the highest demand that occurs in any hour in 15 the month from the sum of (a) the demand supplied from the Niagara West 16 MTS to the customer plus (b) the demand that is supplied to the customer from 17 embedded generation which have installed capacity of 2MW or more for 18 19 renewable generation and 1MW or higher for non-renewable generation. The term renewable generation refers to a facility that generates electricity from the 20 following sources: wind, solar, biomass, bio-oil, bio-gas, landfill gas, or water. 21
- ii) The revenue generated from this variable rate in any calendar year will be truedup such that:
- If the sum of the billed demand for each month is less than the threshold of 24 117,500kW per year the difference between the threshold (117,500kW) and 25 the actual demand billed (lower than 117,500kW) will be multiplied by the 26 27 current variable rate and owed by the Embedded Distributor to Grimsby Power (a true-up). This provides Grimsby Power assurance its revenue 28 from the Embedded Distributor class will meet or exceed approximately 29 84% of forecast gross demand (139,279) for the class. The 16% difference 30 31 allows for reductions in demand within the Embedded Distributors customer base (supplied from Niagara West MTS) related to CDM programs, loss of 32

	1 age 20 01 33
1	customer load, impacts of generation below the 1MW and 2MW capacities
2	noted in (i) above, and potential transfers of load by NPEI to other facilities.
3	This true up, if necessary, will begin based on demands starting on January
4	1, 2017. The value of the true-up will be calculated in the first quarter of
5	each year from 2018 to 2021 which covers the rate rebasing period except
6	2016.
7	b) Grimsby Power agrees to set the monthly fixed charge for GS>50kW rates class as
8	the minimum system with PLCC adjustment as reported in the cost allocation model
9	for this class.
10	

Table 9 – Proposed 2016 Distribution Rates

1

		Customer Class	Connection	Customer	kW	kWh
		Residential		22.90		0.0115
		GS < 50 kW		27.53		0.0230
		GS >50 to 4999 kW		219.98	3.4477	
		Street Lighting	2.81		6.9991	
		Unmetered and Scattered	48.00			0.0303
2		Embedded Distributor		16,219.13	1.3974	
3	Ev	idence:				
4	<u>Ap</u>	olication:				
5		• EXHIBIT 1, page 40-41				
6		• EXHIBIT 8, pages 3 – 5, Fix	xed/Variable	Proportion;		
7	Inte	errogatory Responses:				
8		• 8-Staff-448-VECC-54				
9		• 8-SEC-28				
10	<u>Cla</u>	rifying Questions:				
11		• Question 7. Ref: 7-Energy	Probe-43			
12	<u>Ap</u>	pendices to this Settlement Pro	oposal:			
13		• None				
14	Su	pporting Parties: All				
15	3.4 Are	the proposed Retail Transn	nission Serv	vice Rates	and Low \	0.0115 0.0230 0.0303
16	ap	propriate?		22.90 0.0115 27.53 0.0230 219.98 3.4477 2.81 6.9991 48.00 0.0303 16,219.13 1.3974		
17	Co	mplete Settlement: Subject to	o the notatio	ns below, th	e Parties a	ccept the e
18	Gr	msby Power that the proposed	d forecast of	other regu	lated rates	and charges
19	the	proposed Retail Transmiss	ion Service	Rates and	d Low Volt	tage service
20		propriate.				-
_0	αp					
21		a) With respect to the Embed	lded Distribu	tor rate clas	s there is a	an issue rela

a) With respect to the Embedded Distributor rate class there is an issue related to the
 timing of the Retail Transmission Service Rates. Currently NPEI is the market
 participant for Retail Transmission Service Rates for this rate class. Under this rate

1 application Grimsby Power would apply Retail Transmission Service Rates to the 2 newly created Embedded Distributor rate class pending the completion of certain 3 steps below. It is the expectation that, through the IESO Market Participant 4 processes, the market participant designation for Retail Transmission Service Rates will be transferred from NPEI to Grimsby Power. It is also expected that market 5 participant processes applicable to wholesale metering at the Niagara West MTS will 6 also be transferred from Niagara Peninsula Energy Inc. to Grimsby Power. At the 7 8 date of transfer, and upon notification to the OEB, Grimsby Power will begin to apply 9 the Retail Transmission Service Rates to the Embedded Distributor rate class. Grimsby Power's draft rate order will be structured such that these Retail 10 Transmission Service Rates are included in the tariff sheets with a provision that a 11 transfer of market participant (as described above) must take place prior to their 12 application. Grimsby Power also agrees to work expeditiously with Niagara 13 Peninsula Energy Inc. to create a "Connection Agreement" between the parties as 14 contemplated by the Distribution System Code Section 6.3.2. 15 The Retail Transmission Service Rates for the Embedded Distributor are based upon the 16 proportion of NPEI station peak. The Retail Transmission Service Rates are set out 17 in Table 10 below: 18

19

20

23

Table 10 – Retail Transmission Service Rates

	RTSR-N	etwork	RTSR-Connection				
Rate Class	Per kWh	Per kW	Per kWh	Per kW			
Residential	0.0057		0.0033				
General Service Less Than 50 kW	0.0053		0.0029				
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW		2.0981		1.1784			
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW – Interval Metered		2.1250		1.2420			
Unmetered Scattered Load	0.0053		0.0029				
Street Lighting		1.5824		0.9109			
Embedded Distributor		2.8107		0.5033			

- 21 Evidence:
- 22 <u>Application:</u>

•	EXHIBIT 8, page 7-8,	Retail Transmission	Service Rates;
---	----------------------	----------------------------	----------------

- EXHIBIT 8, pages 9 10, Low Voltage Service Rates.
- Appendix 8-B Retail Transmission Service Rate Work Form

Interrogatory Responses:
• 8-Staff-45
• 8-VECC-55
• 8-NPEI-7
Grimsby_IRR_RTSR MODEL_V4_0_20160506
Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
None

7 • None

8 Supporting Parties: All

1 4. ACCOUNTING

- 4.1 Have all impacts of any changes in accounting standards, policies, estimates and
 adjustments been properly identified and recorded, and is the rate- making treatment
 of each of these impacts appropriate?
- 5 **Complete Settlement:** The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that any 6 changes in accounting standards, policies, estimates and adjustments have been 7 properly identified and recorded, and that the rate-making treatment of each of these 8 impacts is appropriate.
- 9 Evidence:
- 10 Application:
- EXHIBIT 1, page 83 89, Audited Financial Statements and Reconciliation to
 Regulatory Accounting;
- EXHIBIT 1, page 90 to 91, Accounting Orders and Standards;
- EXHIBIT 1, pages 100 to 101, Previous OEB Directives from OEB
 Decisions;
- Appendix 1-G through 1-I, 2012 2014 Audited Financial Statements;
- 17 <u>Interrogatory Responses:</u>
- 18 1-Staff-8
- 19 Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
- 20 None

21 Supporting Parties: All

4.2 Are the applicant's proposals for deferral and variance accounts, including the balances
 in the existing accounts and their disposition, and the continuation of existing accounts,
 appropriate?

Complete Settlement: The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that the
 proposed deferral and variance accounts, including the balances in the existing
 accounts and their disposition, and the continuation of existing accounts, are appropriate.

1	The continuation of existing accounts, excluding 1532 Renewable Generation and 1508
2	Other Regulatory Assets deferred IFRS Transition Costs, is also accepted. Accounts 1532
3	Renewable Generation and 1508 Other Regulatory Assets Deferred IFRS Transition costs
4	will be cleared and discontinued. <u>The Parties also agree to the establishment of a new</u>
5	deferral account for the costs associated with the Asset Condition Assessment and have
6	requested that the Board issue an Accounting Order in this regard, a draft of which
7	accompanies this Settlement Proposal as Appendix F.
8	The Parties agree that in terms of the LRAMVA the future baseline will be split by rate
9	class. See 3.1a.
10	Evidence:
11	Application:
12	EXHIBIT 9: Deferral and Variance Accounts in its entirety
13	ATTACHMENT 1-9. EDDVAR Model V2.6
14	Interrogatory Responses:
15	• 4.0-VECC-40 through 4.0-VECC-45
16	• 9-Energy Probe-46;
17	• 9-Staff-75 to 9-Staff-77
18	Clarifying Questions:
19	• None
20	Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
21	• None
22	Supporting Parties: All

1 **5 OTHER**

- 2 **5.1** Are rate mitigation proposals required for any rate classes?
- Complete Settlement: The Parties accept the evidence of Grimsby Power that rate
 mitigation is not required for any rate classes.
- 5 Evidence:
- 6 <u>Application:</u>
- EXHIBIT 1, pages 42 & 43;
- EXHIBIT 8, page 14, Rate Mitigation;
- 9 <u>Interrogatory Responses:</u>
- 10 8-VECC-57
- 11 8-NPEI-8 (e)
- 12 Appendices to this Settlement Proposal:
- 13 None
- 14 Supporting Parties: All

APPENDIX A: REVISED 2016 FIXED ASSET CONTINUITY SCHEDULE

Appendix 2-BA Fixed Asset Continuity Schedule 1

Accounting Standard MIFRS Year 2016

				Co	st				Accumulated D	epreciation		1
CCA	OEB		Opening			Closing		Opening			Closing	
Class ²	Account 3	Description ³	Balance	Additions ⁴	Disposals	Balance		Balance	Additions	Disposals	Balance	Net Book Value
12	1611	Computer Software (Formally known as Account 1925)	\$ 774,616	\$ 177,000		\$ 951,616	\$	(524 256)	\$ (123,870)		\$ (648,126)	\$ 303,490
050	1612	Land Rights (Formally known as Account	\$ 774,010	\$ 111,000		\$ 331,010	Ψ	(524,250)	φ (120,070)		\$ (040,120)	\$ 303,430
CEC		1906)	\$-			\$ -	\$				s -	\$-
N/A	1805	Land	\$ 149,992			\$ 149,992	\$		-		s -	\$ 149,992
47	1808	Buildings	\$ 1,256,185			\$ 1,256,185	\$		\$ (25,124)		\$ (321,845)	\$ 934,340
13 47	1810	Leasehold Improvements	\$ - \$ 7.492.068	\$ 45.000		\$ - \$ 7.537.068	\$				\$ - \$ (2.138.422)	\$ - \$ 5.398.646
47	1815 1820	Transformer Station Equipment >50 kV Distribution Station Equipment <50 kV	\$ 7,492,068	\$ 45,000		\$ 7,537,068	5		\$ (217,663)		\$ (2,138,422) \$	\$ 5,398,646
47	1825	Storage Battery Equipment	s -			s -	э \$				s -	s -
47	1820	Poles, Towers & Fixtures	\$ 4,218,716	\$ 23,196		\$ 4,241,911	\$		\$ (115,198)		\$ (634,519)	\$ 3,607,393
47	1835	Overhead Conductors & Devices	\$ 3,137,348	\$ 173,701		\$ 3,311,049	\$				\$ (262,174)	\$ 3,048,875
47	1840	Underground Conduit	\$ 2,402,274	\$ 190.845		\$ 2,593,119	\$				\$ (331.395)	\$ 2,261,724
47	1845	Underground Conductors & Devices	\$ 2,039,261	\$ 144.669		\$ 2,183,930	\$				\$ (332,907)	\$ 1,851,023
47	1850	Line Transformers	\$ 4,475,724	\$ 354,435		\$ 4,830,159	\$				\$ (686,171)	\$ 4,143,988
47	1855	Services (Overhead)	\$ 221,574	\$ 7,297		\$ 228,872	\$				\$ (17,936)	\$ 210.935
47	1855	Services (Underground)	\$ 1,468,765	\$ 291,542		\$ 1,760,307	\$				\$ (172,307)	\$ 1,588,000
47	1860	Meters (Smart Meters)	\$ 1,796,134	\$ 79,817		\$ 1,875,951	\$				\$ (621,999)	\$ 1,253,952
47	1860	Meters >50	\$ 279,825			\$ 328,245	\$				\$ (62,240)	\$ 266,004
47	1860	Meters CT's & PT's	\$ 173,012	\$ 1,110		\$ 174,123	\$		\$ (5,198)		\$ (19,189)	\$ 154,933
N/A	1905	Land	\$ 111,556			\$ 111,556	\$				\$-	\$ 111,556
47	1908	Buildings & Fixtures (50 years)	\$ 311,426			\$ 311,426	\$				\$ (74,742)	\$ 236,684
47	1908	Buildings & Fixtures (40 years)	\$ 55,127	-		\$ 55,127	\$				\$ (9,399)	\$ 45,727
47	1908	Buildings & Fixtures (25 years)	\$ 230,777	\$ 132,400		\$ 363,177	\$		\$ (11,882)		\$ (50,494)	\$ 312,683
13	1910	Leasehold Improvements	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$ -	\$ -
8	1915	Office Furniture & Equipment (10 years)	\$ 128,285	\$ 9,000		\$ 137,285	\$		\$ (14,487)		\$ (53,873)	\$ 83,412
8 10	1915 1920	Office Furniture & Equipment (5 years)	\$ -			\$ -	\$				s -	\$ -
10	1920	Computer Equipment - Hardware	\$ 153,123	\$ 37,000		\$ 190,123	\$	(105,214)	\$ (28,716)		\$ (133,929)	\$ 56,194
45	1920	Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar. 22/04)	s -			s -	\$				s -	s -
45.1	1920	Computer EquipHardware(Post Mar.										
		19/07)	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$	\$ -
10	1930	Transportation Equipment (8 years)	\$ 21,466			\$ 21,466	\$		\$ (449)		\$ (19,282)	\$ 2,185
10	1930	Transportation Equipment (12 years)	\$ -			\$ -	\$	-			\$-	\$-
10	1930	Transportation Equipment (15 years)	\$ 344,950	\$ 356,000		\$ 700,950	\$		\$ (35,391)		\$ (119,085)	\$ 581,864
8	1935	Stores Equipment	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$ -	\$ -
8	1940	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	\$ 199,687			\$ 199,687	\$				\$ (71,618)	\$ 128,069
8	1945	Measurement & Testing Equipment	\$ 37,485			\$ 37,485	\$	(22,576)	\$ (4,442)		\$ (27,019) \$	\$ 10,466
8	1950 1955	Power Operated Equipment	\$ - \$ 70,080			\$ - \$ 70,080	5	(19,015)	\$ (7,008)		\$ (26,023)	\$ 44,057
		Communications Equipment	\$ 70,060			\$ 70,060	Э	(19,015)	\$ (7,008)		\$ (20,023)	\$ 44,057
8	1955	Communication Equipment (Smart Meters)	s -			s -	s	_			s -	s -
8	1960	Miscellaneous Equipment	s -			s -	э \$				s .	s -
		Load Management Controls Customer	÷ .			¥ .	Ŷ				· ·	¥ .
47	1970	Premises	\$ 16.439			\$ 16.439	s	(2.182)	\$ (1.644)		\$ (3.826)	\$ 12,613
	4075						Ť	(2,:02)	. (.,511)		. (2,020)	,510
47	1975	Load Management Controls Utility Premises	s -			s -	\$	-			s -	s -
47	1980	System Supervisor Equipment	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$ -	\$ -
47	1985	Miscellaneous Fixed Assets	\$ -			\$ -	\$	-			\$ -	\$ -
47	1990	Other Tangible Property	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$	\$ -
47	1995	Contributions & Grants	\$ -			\$ -	\$				\$-	\$ -
47	2440	Deferred Revenue ⁵	\$ (3,788,064)	\$ (561,251)		\$ (4,349,315)	\$	202,154	\$ 113,396		\$ 315,550	\$ (4,033,765)
		Sub-Total	\$ 27.777.831	\$ 1,510,180	s -	\$ - \$ 29,288,011	s	(5.488.649)	\$ (1,034,320)	s -	\$ - \$ (6,522,970)	\$ - \$ 22.765.042
	1	Less Socialized Renewable Energy		,,	· ·	+ =0,=00,011	1	(0)-00,040)	+ (1,001,020)	· ·	- (0,022,010)	
1		Generation Investments (input as										
		negative)				s -					s -	s -
		Less Other Non Rate-Regulated Utility										
		Assets (input as negative)				\$ -					ş -	\$ -
		Total PP&E	\$ 27,777,831	\$ 1,510,180	\$ -	\$ 29,288,011	\$	(5,488,649)	\$ (1,034,320)	ş -	\$ (6,522,970)	\$ 22,765,042
		Depreciation Expense adj. from gain or lo	ss on the retirem	nent of assets (ool of like as	sets), if applicab	le ⁶					
		Total							\$ (1,034,320)			

\$ (998,481)

		Less: Fully Allocated Depreciation	n	
10	Transportation	Transportation	\$	(35,840)
8	Stores Equipment			
		Net Depreciation	\$	(998,481)

Grimsby Power Incorporated EB-2015-0072 Settlement Proposal Filed: June 24, 2016 Revision Filled: July 28, 2016

APPENDIX B: REVENUE REQUIREMENT WORK FORM

Contario Energy Board Revenue Requirement Workform (RRWF) for 2016 Filers

Tracking Form

The last row shown is the most current estimate of the cost of service data reflecting the original application and any updates provided by the applicant distributor (for updated evidence, responses to interrogatories, undertakings, etc.) Please ensure a Reference (Column B) and/or Item Description (Column C) is entered. Please note that unused rows will automatically be hidden and the PRINT AREA set when the PRINT BUTTON on Sheet 1 is activated. (¹⁰) Short reference to evidence material (interrogatory response, undertaking, exhibit number, Board Decision, Code, Guideline, Report of the Board, etc.) (²⁰) Short description of change, issue, etc.

60 Tracking Rows have been provided below. If you require more, please contact Industry Relations @ IndustryRelations@ontarioenergyboard.ca.

Summary of Proposed Changes

Π				Cost of	Capital		Rate Bas	e and	I Capital Exp	end	itures		Ope	Operating Expenses Revenue Requirement									1	
	Reference ⁽¹⁾	Item / Description ⁽²⁾	R	egulated eturn on Capital	Regulated Rate of Return	ł	Rate Base	ate Base Worl			rking Capital Iowance (\$)		mortization / Depreciation	Taxes/PIL	S	OM&A		Service Revenue equirement		Other evenues			Reve Defi	
		Original Application	\$	1,544,665	6.19	9%	\$ 24,959,518	\$	27,782,522	\$	2,083,689	\$	1,000,584	\$ 69,2	11	\$ 3,925,363	\$	6,574,945	\$	301,588	\$	6,273,356	\$	1,872,051
		Update 2015 Bridge Year Estimates to 2015 Actuals including Actual Purchases for Load Forecast	\$	1,521,961		5% 5		·	27,758,164	·	2,081,862	·	1,001,258	• • • • •			\$	6,571,815	·	301,588	\$	6,270,227		
		Change	-\$	22,704	-0.03	3% -3	\$ 251,933	-\$	24,358	-\$	1,827	\$	674	\$ 18,9	00	\$ 0	-\$	3,130	-\$	0	-\$	3,129	-\$	46,185
2		Update cost of power & working capital calculation based on 2016 UTR's and May 1/2016 Commodity Charges	\$	1,520,931		5%		·	27,535,322		2,065,149		1,001,258	• • • •		\$ 3,925,363	\$	6,570,564	\$	301,588	\$	6,268,976		1,824,986
		Change	-\$	1,030	0.00	0% -3	\$ 15,713	-\$	222,842	-\$	16,713	\$	-	-\$ 2	21	s -	-\$	1,251	\$	-	-\$	1,251	-\$	880
3		Remove 2014 persistance from 2015 & 2016, change 2015 & 2016 CDM savings to match CDM Plan	\$	1,523,615	6.16	5%	\$ 24,734,446	\$	28,116,313	\$	2,108,723	\$	1,001,258	\$ 88,4	57	\$ 3,925,363	\$	6,573,826	\$	301,588	\$	6,272,238	\$	1,822,499
		Change	\$	2,684	0.00	0%	\$ 42,574	\$	580,991	\$	43,574	\$	-	\$ 5	77	ş -	\$	3,262	\$	-	\$	3,262	-\$	2,487
4	4-VECC40-4-VECC-45	Updated LRAMVA	\$	1,523,615	6.16	5%	\$ 24,734,446	\$	28,116,313	\$	2,108,723	\$	1,001,258	\$ 88,4	57	\$ 3,925,363	\$	6,573,826	\$	301,588	\$	6,272,238	\$ '	1,822,499
		Change	\$	-	0.00	0%	ş -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	1	ş -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
5	Settlement Proposal 1.1	Reduce Fixed Assets by \$200,000 Change	\$ -\$	1,517,541 6,074		5% S			28,116,313	\$ \$	2,108,723	\$	998,481 2,777	\$ 89,0 \$ 5	13 76	\$ 3,925,363 \$ -	\$ -\$	6,565,550 8,276		301,588	\$ -\$	6,263,962 8,276		1,814,223 8,276
6	Settlement Proposal 2.1 d)	Cost of Capital Change Change	\$ -\$	1,353,237 164,304	5.49 -0.67	9% S		\$ \$	28,116,313	\$ \$	2,108,723	\$	998,481 -	\$ 89,0 \$ -	13	\$ 3,925,363 \$ -	\$ -\$	6,401,246 164,304		301,588	\$ -\$	6,099,658 164,304		1,649,919 164,304
7	Settlement Proposal 3.1	Change in Load Forecast Embedded Distributor Change	\$ \$	1,353,237	\$	0 3	24,635,835	\$ \$	28,116,313	\$	2,108,723	\$ \$	998,481	\$ 89,0 \$ -	13	3,925,363 -	\$ \$	6,401,246	\$ \$	301,588	\$	6,099,658	\$ \$	1,620,216 29,703

Grimsby Power Incorporated EB-2015-0072 Settlement Proposal Filed: June 24, 2016 Revision Filled: July 28, 2016

APPENDIX C: APPENDIX 2-P COST ALLOCATION

File Number:
Exhibit:
Tab:
Schedule:
Page:

FB-2015-0072

June-16-16

Date:

Appendix 2-P **Cost Allocation**

Please complete the following four tables.

A) Allocated Costs

Classes	 sts Allocated m Previous Study	%	i	ests Allocated n Test Year Study Column 7A)	%
Residential	\$ 3,100,569	67.65%	\$	3,956,661	61.81%
GS < 50 kW	\$ 544,637	11.88%	\$	695,681	10.87%
GS > 50 to 4,999 kW	\$ 730,000	15.93%	\$	1,168,690	18.26%
Street Lighting	\$ 176,913	3.86%	\$	106,679	1.67%
Unmetered Scattered Load (USL)	\$ 31,324	0.68%	\$	73,067	1.14%
Embedded Distributor Class		0.00%	\$	400,469	6.26%
Total	\$ 4,583,443	100.00%	\$	6,401,246	100.00%

Notes:

Customer Classification - If proposed rate classes differ from those in place in the previous Cost Allocation 1 study, modify the rate classes to match the current application as closely as possible.

Host Distributors - Provide information on embedded distributor(s) as a separate class, if applicable. If 2 embedded distributor(s) are billed as customers in a General Service class, include the allocated costs and revenues of the embedded distributor(s) in the applicable class. Also complete Appendix 2-Q.

Class Revenue Requirements - If using the Board-issued model, in column 7A enter the results from Worksheet 3 O-1, Revenue Requirement (row 40 in the 2013 model). This excludes costs in deferral and variance accounts. Note to Embedded Distributor(s), it also does not include Account 4750 - Low Voltage (LV) Costs.

B) Calculated Class Revenues

		Column 7B		Column 7C		Column 7D		Column 7E
Classes (same as previous table)	Load Forecast (LF) X current approved rates		L.F. X current approved rates X (1 + d)		LF X proposed rates		Miscellaneous Revenue	
Residential	\$	3,108,390	\$	4,232,697	\$	3,975,291	\$	207,617
GS < 50 kW	\$	502,755	\$	684,601	\$	684,601	\$	27,084
GS > 50 to 4,999 kW	\$	523,315	\$	712,598	\$	893,955	\$	40,997
Street Lighting	\$	78,316	\$	106,642	\$	103,980	\$	8,799
Unmetered Scattered Load (USL)	\$	20,142	\$	27,427	\$	52,571	\$	5,883
Embedded Distributor Class	\$	246,524	\$	335,692	\$	389,259	\$	11,209
Total	\$	4,479,441	\$	6,099,657	\$	6,099,657	\$	301,588

Notes:

Columns 7B to 7D - LF means Load Forecast of Annual Billing Quantities (i.e. customers or connections X 12, (kWh or kW, as 1 applicable). Revenue Quantities should be net of Transformer Ownership Allowance. Exclude revenue from rate adders and rate riders.

2 Columns 7C and 7D - Column total in each column should equal the Base Revenue Requirement

3 Columns 7C - The Board cost allocation model calculates "1+d" in worksheet O-1, cell C21. "d" is defined as Revenue Deficiency/ Revenue at Current Rates.

Columns 7E - If using the Board-issued Cost Allocation model, enter Miscellaneous Revenue as it appears in Worksheet O-1, row 4 19.

C) Rebalancing Revenue-to-Cost (R/C) Ratios

Class	Previously Approved Ratios Most Recent Year: 2012	Status Quo Ratios (7C + 7E) / (7A)	Proposed Ratios (7D + 7E) / (7A)	Policy Range
	%	%	%	%
Residential	108.40	112.22	105.72	85 - 115
GS < 50 kW	96.30	102.30	102.30	80 - 120
GS > 50 to 4,999 kW	80.30	64.48	80.00	80 - 120
GS > xxx kW, if applicable				80 - 120
Large User, if applicable				85 - 115
Street Lighting	49.40	108.21	105.72	80 - 120
Sentinel Lighting				80 - 120
Unmetered Scattered Load (USL)	80.00	45.59	80.00	80 - 120
Embedded Distributor Class		86.62	100.00	

Notes:

1 Previously Approved Revenue-to-Cost Ratios - For most applicants, Most Recent Year would be the third year of the IRM 3 period, e.g. if the applicant rebased in 2009 with further adjustments over 2 years, the Most recent year is 2011. For applicants whose most recent rebasing year is 2006, the applicant should enter the ratios from their Informational Filing.

2 Status Quo Ratios - The Board's updated Cost Allocation Model yields the Status Quo Ratios in Worksheet O-1. Status Quo means "Before Rebalancing".

D) Proposed Revenue-to-Cost Ratios

Class	Propos	Policy Range			
	2016	2017	2018	Folicy Kalige	
	%	%	%	%	
Residential	105.72	105.72	105.72	85 - 115	
GS < 50 kW	102.30	102.30	102.30	80 - 120	
GS > 50 to 4,999 kW	80.00	80.00	80.00	80 - 120	
GS > xxx kW, if applicable				80 - 120	
Large User, if applicable				85 - 115	
Street Lighting	105.72	105.72	105.72	80 - 120	
Sentinel Lighting				80 - 120	
Unmetered Scattered Load (USL)	80.00	80.00	80.00	80 - 120	
Embedded Distributor Class	100.00	100.00	100.00	0	
				0	
0	-	-	-	uuuu	

Note:

1 The applicant should complete Table D if it is applying for approval of a revenue to cost ratio in 2014 that is outside the Board's policy range for any customer class. Table (d) will show the information that the distributor would likely enter in the IRM model) in 2016. In 2017 Table (d), enter the planned ratios for the classes that will be 'Change' and 'No Change' in 2016 (in the current Revenue Cost Ratio Adjustment Workform, Worksheet C1.1 'Decision – Cost Revenue Adjustment', column d), and enter TBD for class(es) that will be entered as 'Rebalance'.

Grimsby Power Incorporated EB-2015-0072 Settlement Proposal Filed: June 24, 2016 Revision Filled: July 28, 2016

APPENDIX D: APPENDIX 2-PA RES RATE DESIGN

File Number: Exhibit:	EB-2015-0072
Tab:	
Schedule:	
Page:	
Date:	June-16-16

Appendix 2-PA New Rate Design Policy For Residential Customers

Please complete the following tables.

A) Data Inputs

Test Year Billing Determinants for Residential Class								
Customers		10,402						
kWh		95,033,193						
Proposed Residential Class Specific	\$	3,975,291.02						
Revenue Requirement ¹								

Residential Base Rates on Current Tariff					
Monthly Fixed Charge (\$)	15.69				
Distribution Volumetric Rate (\$/kWh)	0.0121				

B) Current Fixed/Variable Split

	Base Rates	Billing Determinants	Revenue	% of Total Revenue
Fixed	15.69	10,402	\$ 1,958,488.56	63.01%
Variable	0.0121	95,033,193	\$ 1,149,901.63	36.99%
TOTAL	-	-	\$ 3,108,390.19	-

C) Calculating Test Year Base Rates

Number of Required Rate Design	4
Policy Transition Years ²	•

	st Year Revenue @ Current F/V Split	Test Year Base Rates @ Current F/V Split		Reconciliation - Test Year Base Rates @ Current F/V Split	
Fixed	\$ 2,504,692.62	20.07	\$	2,505,217.68	
Variable	\$ 1,470,598.40	0.0155	\$	1,473,014.49	
TOTAL	\$ 3,975,291.02	-	\$	3,978,232.17	

		Revenue @ new	Final Adjusted	Reconciliation @
	New F/V Split	F/V Split	Base Rates	Adjusted Rates
Fixed	72.25%	\$ 2,872,342.22	23.01	\$ 2,872,200.24
Variable	27.75%	\$ 1,102,948.80	0.0116	\$ 1,102,385.04
TOTAL	-	\$ 3,975,291.02	-	\$ 3,974,585.28

Checks ³								
Change in Fixed Rate	\$	2.94						
Difference Between Revenues @	-\$	705.74						
Proposed Rates and Class Specific Revenue Requirement		-0.02%						

Notes:

1 The final residential class specific revenue requirement, as shown in Appendix 2-P, should be used (i.e. the revenue requirement after any proposed adjustments to R/C ratios).

2 Default number of transition years for rate design policy change is 4. Where the change in the residential rate design will result in the fixed charge increasing by more than \$4/year, a distributor may propose an additional transition year.

3 Change in fixed rate due to rate design policy should be less than \$4. The difference between the proposed class revenue requirement and the revenue at calculated base rates should be minimal (i.e. should be reasonably considered as a rounding error)

Grimsby Power Incorporated EB-2015-0072 Settlement Proposal Filed: June 24, 2016 Revision Filled: July 28, 2016

APPENDIX E: APPENDIX 2-V REV RECONCILIATION

File Number:	EB-2015-0072
Exhibit:	
Tab:	
Schedule:	
Page:	
Date:	June-16-16

Appendix 2-V Revenue Reconciliation

Rate Class	Numbe		of Customers/	Connections	Test Year C	onsumption	P	roposed Rate	s		Class Specific	Transformer		
	Customers/ Connections	Start of Test Year	End of Test Year	Average	kWh	kW	Monthly Service Charge	Volur	netric	Revenues at Proposed Rates	Revenue Requirement	Allowance Credit	Total	Difference
Streetlighting	Customers Customers Connections Connections Customers	10,267.00 767.00 111.00 2,631.00 70.00	111.00 2,675.00	10,402.00 772.00 111.00 2,653.00 72.00 - 1.00 - - -	19,517,850	182,713 1,983		\$ 0.0303	\$ 3.4477 \$ 7.0351	\$ 3,974,585.28 \$ 684,430.62 \$ 922,952.74 \$ 103,979.57 \$ 52,579.43 \$ - \$ 389,258.03 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 684,601 \$ 893,955 \$ 103,980 \$ 52,571	\$ 28,999	\$ 3,975,291 \$ 684,601 \$ 922,954 \$ 103,980 \$ 52,571 \$ - \$ 389,259 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ 171 \$ 2 \$ 0 -\$ 9 \$ -
Total										\$ 6,127,785.68	\$ 6,099,657	\$ 28,999	\$ 6,128,657	\$ 871

Note

1 The class specific revenue requirements in column N must be the amounts used in the final rate design process. The total of column N should equate to the proposed base revenue requirement.

2 Rates should be entered with the number of decimal places that will show on the Tariff of Rates and Charges.

APPENDIX F: DRAFT ACCOUNTING ORDER – ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT

<u>Please see below for a Draft Accounting Order – Asset Condition Assessment, to reflect this</u> <u>Settlement Proposal.</u>

ACCOUNTING ORDER REQUESTED – 1508 OTHER REGULATORY ASSET – ASSET CONDITION ASSESSMENT

<u>Grimsby Power Inc requests a new variance account 1508 Other Regulatory Asset – Asset</u> <u>Condition Assessment to record the costs associated with a third party Asset Condition</u> <u>Assessment as agreed to in the Partial Settlement Proposal.</u>

In the absence of a general variance account for this purpose, the Parties to the Partial Settlement Proposal in the matter of Grimsby Power Inc.'s application for approval of electricity distribution rates and charges for 2016 (EB-2015-0072) request that the Board approve an Accounting Order for Grimsby Power Inc. as part of this partial settlement, and that such an Accounting Order include the following:

1) The costs incurred related to the agreed upon third party Asset Condition Assessment.

Accounting Entry:

<u>Debit - Account 1508, Other Regulatory Asset – Asset Condition Assessment</u> <u>Credit - Account 2205, Accounts Payable</u>

2) The balance in the variance account is to be disposed of at Grimsby Power Inc's next Cost of Service Filing; and

3) Carrying charges, at the Board's Prescribed Interest Rate for Deferral and Variance Accounts, would be applied until final disposition.

LIVE EXCEL MODELS

I

The following live excel models have been filed together with and form an integral part of this settlement proposal:

- Grimsby_SettlementP_2016_Load_Forecast_2016_COP_Forecast_20160624
- Grimsby_SettlementP_2016_Rev_Reqt_Work_Form_V6_20160624
- Grimsby_SettlementP_Detailed_CA_Model_Run3_20160624
- Grimsby_SettlementP_Test_year_Income_Tax_PILs_Workform_DRAFT_20160624