August 30, 2016

## By RESS and Courier

Ms. Kirsten Walli, Board Secretary
Ontario Energy Board
2300 Yonge Street, $27^{\text {th }}$ Floor
Toronto, ON, M4P 1E4
Dear Ms. Walli;

## Re: Enersource, Horizon Utilities and PowerStream (collectively, the "Applicants") MAADs Application (EB-2016-0025)

On August 244, 2016, the Ontario Energy Board ("OEB" or the "Board") held a Technical Conference for the Applicants' MAADs Application. In the Technical Conference, the Applicants agreed to provide responses to undertakings JTC1.1 through JTC1.19.

Attached herewith, the Applicants provide their complete responses to those undertakings. Please note, that undertaking JTCx1.5 and JTCx1.17 were identified in the Technical Conference as containing potentially confidential information. They are being filed in confidence with the Board in hard copy. They will be provided to any party that has signed the Board's Declaration and Undertaking on Confidentiality.

Undertaking JTC1.1 relates to the Business Case Model. The Applicants had a conference call with the representative from the School Energy Coalition. A pdf file for the Business Case Model will be provided by no later than August 31, 2016, through the OEB's RESS system.

A live excel file is being provided for the response to Undertaking JTC1.3.
Finally, in advance of the Oral Hearing, which is scheduled to commence on September 7, 2016, the Applicants provide one additional curriculum vitae for Ms. Kathy Lerette, who will be added to Panel 2 - Operations Panel.

If you have any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.
Yours truly,

Indy J. Butany-DeSouza, MBA
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs
Horizon Utilities Corporation

Gia M. DeJulio
Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc.
(905) 283-4098
gdejulio@enersource.com

Indy J. Butany-DeSouza
Horizon Utilities Corporation
(905) 317-4785
indy.butany@horizonutilities.com

Colin MacDonald PowerStream Inc. (905) 532.4649 colin.macdonald@powerstream.ca
cc: Maureen Helt, OEB
Judith Fernandes, OEB
Daniel Kim, OEB
Gia DeJulio, Enersource
Colin MacDonald, PowerStream
Fred Cass, Aird \& Berlis LLP
James Sidlofsky, Borden Ladner Gervais LLP
Intervenors of Record

## Curriculum Vitae of Witness

## CURRICULUM VITAE OF KATHY LERETTE, C.E.T.

## Work Experience:

Horizon Utilities Corporation (2005 to Present)
Vice President, Utility Operations
Director Engineering, Operating \& Operational Improvement
Director, Design and Construction

Hamilton Hydro Inc. (2000 to 2005)
Director, Construction and Maintenance Services
Manager, Engineering Design

Stoney Creek Hydro-Electric Commission (1982 to 2000)
Engineering Supervisor
Various Technical Positions

## Education:

Electrical Engineering Technologist, Control, Mohawk College (1997)
Electrical Engineering Technician, Power, Mohawk College (1994)

## Industry Activities:

Member, Ontario Association of Certified Engineering Technicians and Technologists

## Appearances Before the Ontario Energy Board:

EB-2014-0002 Horizon Utilities 2015-2019 Custom IR Application
EB-2012-0047 Horizon Utilities/HONI Service Area Amendment Application
EB-2010-0131 Horizon Utilities 2011 Cost of Service Application
EB-2009-0332 Horizon Utilities Z-Factor Application
EB-2009-0228 Horizon Utilities Corporation 2010 Electricity Distribution Rate Application

## Undertaking No. JTC1.1

## Reference: Page 11 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide a non-live version of the Deloitte model with no algorithms and no formulas; and a printed version, both with confidential numbers redacted.

## Response:

 In response to Undertaking No. JTC1.1, the Applicants arranged a meeting via conference call with the representative for the School Energy Coalition, which was held on August 30, 2016. A "pdf" version of the Deloitte model will be filed by no later than September 1, 2016.
## Undertaking No. JTC1.2

## Reference: Page 22 of Transcripts Volume 1

To provide the internal rate-of-return calculations for the acquisition of Hydro One Brampton.

## Response:

 The internal rate of return ("IRR") for the acquisition of Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc. ("HOBNI") has been calculated in the Business Case Model, and can be found in the tab "IRR \& Payback". Please refer to the tables for the calculations of IRR for each of the Applicants, provided in Attachment "JTC1.2_Attachment IRR Calculations".
## JTC1.2_ATTACHMENT IRR CALCULATIONS

Merger / Standalone LDC Scenario
Rate Base (2015-Forecasted) (SM)
Merger [Note: LDC Standalone Rate Reset Process is based on annual rebasing / Custom IR]

Cost of Capital Cost of Debt (Long-Term) Cost of Equity

| $3.96 \%$ |
| :--- |
| $9.30 \%$ |
| [Note: Discount rate used for calculated of NPV of Net Equity Cash Flows] |

LDC Relative Shareholding in Merge Co
Regulated Only
Non-Regulated $\square$
HOBNI Acquisition
HOBNI Rate Base (2015)
Acquistion Premium

## 2015)

clude Non-Regulated Businesses


Payback Period
No. of Years of Payback Period for Analysis


IRR Calculations - PowerStream

| Year 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-Year 30-Jun-14 |  | 30-Jun-15 |  | 30-Jun-16 |  | 30-Jun-17 |  | 30-Jun-18 |  | 30-Jun-19 |  | 30-Jun-20 |  | 30-Jun-21 |  | 30-Jun-22 |  | 30-Jun-23 |  | 30-Jun-24 |  | 30-Jun-25 |
| Period 0 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |
| Flag - merges |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Flag - retesting years |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total Revenue |  |  | \$ | 246,033,296 | \$ | 254,852,275 | \$ | 261,818,989 | \$ | 268,956,420 | \$ | 276,973,142 | \$ | 284,083,783 | \$ | 291,388,733 | \$ | 298,847,409 | \$ | 306,509,677 | \$ | 314,381,403 |
| OM\&A |  |  | \$ | $(107,540,856)$ | \$ | (109,375,400) | \$ | $(111,523,099)$ | \$ | $(113,805,379)$ | \$ | $(117,427,734)$ | \$ | $(122,125,299)$ | \$ | $(124,524,815)$ | \$ | $(127,000,576)$ | \$ | $(129,553,264)$ | \$ | $(132,144,531)$ |
| Merger OPEX Savings |  |  | \$ | $(6,262,797)$ | \$ | 4,134,464 | \$ | 10,808,864 | \$ | 17,595,902 | \$ | 19,292,602 | \$ | 19,530,093 | \$ | 19,530,093 | \$ | 19,530,093 | \$ | 19,530,093 | \$ | 19,530,093 |
| Depreciation |  |  | \$ | $(56,530,209)$ | \$ | $(59,600,391)$ | \$ | (60,372,626) | \$ | $(61,017,299)$ | \$ | $(63,091,235)$ | \$ | $(66,467,273)$ | \$ | $(69,549,269)$ | \$ | (75,472,962) | \$ | (75,952,629) | \$ | $(76,782,301)$ |
| Net Interest Expense |  |  | \$ | $(30,497,909)$ | \$ | (32,454,972) | \$ | $(33,870,225)$ | \$ | $(35,162,732)$ | \$ | $(36,525,623)$ | \$ | $(38,168,114)$ | \$ | $(39,966,310)$ | \$ | $(41,375,660)$ | \$ | $(42,369,047)$ | \$ | $(43,428,507)$ |
| Income before Taxes |  |  | \$ | 45,201,525 | \$ | 57,555,976 | \$ | 66,861,903 | \$ | 76,566,912 | \$ | 79,221,153 | \$ | 76,853,189 | \$ | 76,878,432 | \$ | 74,528,304 | \$ | 78,164,829 | \$ | 81,55,156 |
| Taxes |  |  | \$ | $(8,136,274)$ | \$ | (10,360,076) | \$ | (12,035,143) | \$ | $(13,782,044)$ | \$ | $(14,259,808)$ | \$ | $(13,833,574)$ | \$ | $(13,838,118)$ | \$ | $(13,415,095)$ | \$ | (14,069,669) | \$ | $(14,680,108)$ |
| Unadjusted Net Income |  |  | \$ | 37,065,250 | \$ | 47,195,900 | \$ | 54,826,760 | \$ | 62,784,868 | \$ | 64,961,345 | \$ | 63,019,615 | \$ | 63,040,314 | \$ | 61,113,209 | \$ | 64,095,160 | \$ | 66,876,048 |
| ICM Revenue (Net of Tax) |  |  | \$ | 1,400,336 | \$ | 2,315,504 | \$ | 2,753,194 | \$ | 3,354,933 | \$ | 3,889,342 | \$ | 4,784,029 | \$ | 6,029,091 | \$ | 7,280,874 | \$ | 8,242,623 | \$ | 9,157,734 |
| Depreciation |  |  | \$ | 56,530,209 | \$ | 59,600,391 | \$ | 60,372,626 | \$ | 61,017,299 | \$ | 63,091,235 | \$ | 66,467,273 | \$ | 69,549,269 | \$ | 75,472,962 | \$ | 75,952,629 | \$ | 76,782,301 |
| Cash Flow from Non-Regulated Businesses (Excl. PS Solar) |  |  | \$ | 1,958,155 | \$ | 2,197,351 | \$ | 2,410,528 | \$ | 2,651,865 | \$ | 2,762,573 | \$ | 2,814,340 | \$ | 2,867,174 | \$ | 2,918,382 | \$ | 2,556,919 | \$ | 2,904,925 |
| Cash Flow from PS Solar Business (Class A Shareholders Only) |  |  | \$ | 16,080,640 | s | 12,599,009 | \$ | 10,828,789 | \$ | 9,873,802 | \$ | 9,387,184 | \$ | 9,194,514 | \$ | 8,810,304 | \$ | 8,793,158 | \$ | 8,494,451 | \$ | 8,546,323 |
| CAPEX (Net of Synergies) |  |  | \$ | $(130,832,589)$ | s | $(118,041,995)$ | \$ | (114,891,676) | \$ | $(119,688,590)$ | \$ | $(125,310,111)$ | \$ | (139,416,721) | \$ | (139,461,751) | \$ | $(129,092,672)$ | \$ | $(126,136,877)$ | \$ | $(136,530,025)$ |
| $\triangle$ WCA |  |  | \$ | $(1,979,570)$ | \$ | $(2,089,595)$ | \$ | $(2,165,189)$ | \$ | $(2,233,652)$ | \$ | $(2,364,242)$ | \$ | $(2,483,496)$ | \$ | $(2,436,052)$ | \$ | $(2,509,347)$ | \$ | $(2,584,760)$ | \$ | $(2,660,402)$ |
| Net Long-Term Debt Additions / (Repayments) (+) |  |  | \$ | 55,708,852 | \$ | 35,403,191 | \$ | 28,278,513 | \$ | 29,010,851 | \$ | 31,518,307 | \$ | 42,700,136 | \$ | 39,012,194 | \$ | 23,091,200 | \$ | 18,129,793 | \$ | 26,165,392 |
| Cash Flow to Equity |  |  | \$ | 35,931,283 | \$ | 39,179,756 | \$ | 42,413,547 | \$ | 46,771,376 | \$ | 47,935,635 | \$ | 47,079,689 | \$ | 47,410,545 | \$ | 47,067,766 | \$ | 48,749,940 | \$ | 51,242,296 |
| Tax Shield on Premium |  |  | \$ | 1,291,408 | \$ | 1,201,010 | \$ | 1,116,939 | \$ | 1,038,753 | \$ | 966,040 | \$ | 898,418 | \$ | 835,528 | \$ | 777,041 | \$ | 722,648 | \$ | 672,063 |
| TV of Tax Shield on Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Shield on Rate Base and UCC Differential TV of Tax Shield on Rate Base and UCC Differential |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Terminal Value of Cash Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Equity Investment - Share Capital | \$ | (354,182,707) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Equity Investment - Retained Earnings | \$ | $(99,406,455)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Investment in HOBNI Acquisition | \$ | (119,951,703) | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ |  |
| Total Equity Investment | \$ | (573,540,865) | S | - | S |  | S | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Net Equity Cash Flows | \$ | (573,540,865) | \$ | 37,222,691 | \$ | 40,380,766 | \$ | 43,530,486 | \$ | 47,810,129 | \$ | 48,901,676 | \$ | 47,978,107 | \$ | 48,246,073 | \$ | 47,844,808 | \$ | 49,472,589 | \$ | 51,914,359 |
| PowerStream IRR (Post-Tax) |  | 9.57\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PowerStream IRR (Pre-Tax) |  | 13.02\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Merger / Standalone LDC Scenario
Rate Base (2015-Forecasted) (SM)
Merger [Note: LDC Standalone Rate Reset Process is based on annual rebasing / Custom IR]

Cost of Capital
Cost of Debt (Long-Term)
Cost of Equity

### 5.09\%

9.30\% [Note: Discount rate used for calculated of NPV of Net Equity Cash Flows]

LDC Relative Shareholding in Merge Co
Regulated Only
Regulated Only
Regulated \& Non-Regulated $\square$ HOBNI Rate Base (2015)
Acquisition Premium $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$


Include Non-Regulated Businesses $\quad 1 \quad[\mathrm{Note}: 0=$ "No"; $1=$ "Yes"]
Payback Period
No. of Years of Payback Period for Analysis


IRR Calculations - Enersource

| Year 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2016 |  | 2017 |  | 2018 |  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mid-Year 30-Jun-14 |  | 30-Jun-15 |  | 30-Jun-16 |  | 30-Jun-17 |  | 30-Jun-18 |  | 30-Jun-19 |  | 30-Jun-20 |  | 30-Jun-21 |  | 30-Jun-22 |  | 30-Jun-23 |  | 30-Jun-24 |  | 30-Jun-25 |
| Period |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 7 |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 10 |  | 11 |
| Flag - merges |  | 0 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Flag - retesting years |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total Revenue |  |  | \$ | 165,975,053 | \$ | 171,924,372 | \$ | 176,624,146 | \$ | 181,439,085 | \$ | 186,847,199 | \$ | 191,644,065 | \$ | 196,572,014 | \$ | 201,603,667 | \$ | 206,772,664 | \$ | 212,082,962 |
| OM\&A |  |  | \$ | $(72,547,495)$ | \$ | $(73,785,086)$ | \$ | $(75,233,932)$ | \$ | (76,773,568) | \$ | $(79,217,223)$ | \$ | $(82,386,219)$ | \$ | (84,004,942) | \$ | (85,675,101) | \$ | (87,397,154) |  | $(89,145,234)$ |
| Merger OPEX Savings |  |  | \$ | $(4,224,908)$ | \$ | 2,789,126 | \$ | 7,291,703 | \$ | 11,870,266 | \$ | 13,014,867 | \$ | 13,175,079 | \$ | 13,175,079 | \$ | 13,175,079 | \$ | 13,175,079 |  | 13,175,079 |
| Depreciation |  |  | \$ | $(38,135,507)$ | \$ | $(40,206,664)$ | \$ | $(40,727,617)$ | \$ | $(41,162,516)$ | \$ | $(42,561,602)$ | \$ | $(44,839,091)$ | \$ | $(46,918,218)$ | \$ | (50,914,364) | \$ | $(51,237,950)$ | \$ | $(51,797,650)$ |
| Net Interest Expense |  |  | \$ | (20,574,012) | \$ | $(21,894,255)$ | \$ | $(22,848,990)$ | \$ | (23,720,921) | \$ | $(24,640,332)$ | \$ | $(25,748,363)$ | \$ | $(26,961,434)$ | \$ | $(27,912,187)$ | \$ | $(28,582,330)$ | \$ | $(29,297,046)$ |
| Income before Taxes |  |  | \$ | 30,493,131 | \$ | 38,827,493 | \$ | 45,105,309 | \$ | 51,652,347 | \$ | 53,442,909 | \$ | 51,845,471 | \$ | 51,862,500 | \$ | 50,277,094 | \$ | 52,730,309 | \$ | 55,018,112 |
| Taxes |  |  | \$ | $(5,488,764)$ | \$ | $(6,988,949)$ | \$ | $(8,118,956)$ | \$ | (9,297,423) | \$ | $(9,619,724)$ | \$ | $(9,332,185)$ | \$ | $(9,335,250)$ | \$ | (9,049,877) | \$ | $(9,491,456)$ | \$ | $(9,903,260)$ |
| Unadjusted Net Income |  |  | \$ | 25,004,367 | 5 | 31,838,544 | \$ | 36,986,354 | \$ | 42,354,925 | \$ | 43,823,186 | \$ | 42,513,287 | \$ | 42,527,250 | \$ | 41,227,217 | \$ | 43,238,853 | \$ | 45,114,852 |
| ICM Revenue (Net of Tax) |  |  | \$ | 944,673 | \$ | 1,562,049 | \$ | 1,857,316 | \$ | 2,263,251 | \$ | 2,623,766 | \$ | 3,227,325 | \$ | 4,067,249 | \$ | 4,911,707 | \$ | 5,560,507 | \$ | 6,177,844 |
| Depreciation |  |  | \$ | 38,135,507 | \$ | 40,206,664 | \$ | 40,727,617 | \$ | 41,162,516 | \$ | 42,561,602 | \$ | 44,839,091 | \$ | 46,918,218 | \$ | 50,914,364 | \$ | 51,237,950 | \$ | 51,797,650 |
| Cash Flow from Non-Regulated Businesses (Excl. PS Solar) |  |  | \$ | 1,320,979 | \$ | 1,482,342 | \$ | 1,626,152 | \$ | 1,788,959 | \$ | 1,863,643 | \$ | 1,898,565 | \$ | 1,934,207 | \$ | 1,968,752 | \$ | 1,724,908 | \$ | 1,959,674 |
| Cash Flow from PS Solar Business (Class A Shareholders Only) |  |  | \$ | (8020, | + | (79,031,-95) | \$ | (77,500-38) | \$ |  | s |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | (0502, | \$ |  |
| CAPEX (Net of Synergies) |  |  | \$ | $(88,260,192)$ | \$ | $(79,631,605)$ | \$ | $(77,506,388)$ | \$ | $(80,742,405)$ | \$ | $(84,534,706)$ | \$ | $(94,051,082)$ | \$ | $(94,081,460)$ | \$ | $(87,086,437)$ | \$ | $(85,092,446)$ | \$ | $(92,103,705)$ |
| $\triangle$ WCA |  |  | \$ | $(1,335,426)$ | \$ | $(1,409,649)$ | \$ | (1,460,645) | \$ | $(1,506,830)$ | \$ | (1,594,927) | \$ | $(1,675,376)$ | \$ | $(1,643,370)$ | \$ | $(1,692,815)$ | \$ | $(1,743,689)$ | \$ | $(1,794,718)$ |
| Net Long-Term Debt Additions / (Repayments) ( + ) |  |  | \$ | 39,644,034 | \$ | 26,089,815 | \$ | 21,368,129 | \$ | 21,950,065 | \$ | 23,732,875 | \$ | 31,370,952 | \$ | 28,981,458 | \$ | 18,343,273 | \$ | 15,102,389 | \$ | 20,633,407 |
| Cash Flow to Equity |  |  | \$ | 15,453,941 | \$ | 20,138,160 | \$ | 23,598,535 | \$ | 27,270,480 | \$ | 28,475,438 | \$ | 28,122,761 | \$ | 28,703,553 | \$ | 28,586,061 | \$ | 30,028,472 | \$ | 31,785,004 |
| Tax Shield on Premium |  |  | \$ | 871,189 | \$ | 810,206 | \$ | 753,491 | \$ | 700,747 | \$ | 651,695 | \$ | 606,076 | \$ | 563,651 | \$ | 524,195 | \$ | 487,502 | \$ | 453,376 |
| TV of Tax Shield on Premium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax Shield on Rate Base and UCC Differential TV of Tax Shield on Rate Base and UCC Differential |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Terminal Value of Cash Flows |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Equity Investment - Share Capital | \$ | $(156,682,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial Equity Investment - Retained Earnings | \$ | $(107,326,003)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity Investment in HOBNI Acquisition | s | $(62,148,297)$ | \$ | - | \$ |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Total Equity Investment | \$ | (326,156,300) | \$ | - |  | - | S | - |  | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | S | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Net Equity Cash Flows | \$ | (326,156,300) | \$ | 16,325,130 | \$ | 20,948,365 | \$ | 24,352,027 | \$ | 27,971,227 | \$ | 29,127,133 | \$ | 28,728,837 | \$ | 29,267,203 | \$ | 29,110,257 | \$ | 30,515,974 | \$ | $32,238,380$ |
| Enersource IRR (Post-Tax) |  | 10.22\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Enersource IRR (Pre-Tax) |  | 13.91\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Merger / Standalone LDC Scenario
Rate Base (2015-Forecasted) (\$M) $\square$
Cost of Capital
Cost of Debt (Long-Term)
Cost of Equity
LDC Relative Shareholding in Merge Co
Regulated Only
Regulated Only
Regulated \& Non-Regulated
$\qquad$ 9.30\% [Note: Discount rate used for calculated of NPV of Net Equity Cash Flows]
$\square$
hobni Acquisition HOBNI Rate Base (2015) ACcquisition Premium $\qquad$
$\qquad$
clude Non-Regulated Businesses 1 [Note: $0=$ "No";"; $1=$ "Yes"] Includes cash flows, debt, and equity from non-regulated businesses within the IRR and NPV analysis

Payback Period
back Period for Analysis


| IRR Analysis Summary - Horizon Utilifies |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Initial Equity Investment - Share Capatal | $\$ 127.1$ |
| Initial Equity nivestment - Retained Earnings | $\$ 116.3$ |
| Equity Investment in HOBNI Acquisition | - |
| Traal Equity Investment | $\$ 243.4$ |
| IRR (Post-Tax) | $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 8 \%}$ |
| IRR (Pre-Tax) | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 5 \%}$ |




## Undertaking No. JTC1.3

## Reference: Page 49 of Transcripts Volume 1

To provide the supporting information that backs up Figure 1 entitled "Distribution Revenue Trends" on page 3 of the Interrogatory B-AMPCO-9f); by means of a spreadsheet if possible.

## Response:

The Applicants provide the supporting information that backs up Figure 1 entitled "Distribution Revenue Trends" on page 3 of the Interrogatory B-AMPCO-9f) in the live spreadsheet "JTC1.3 Attachment_Distribution Revenue Trends".

## Undertaking No. JTC1.4

## Reference: Page 51 of Transcripts Volume 1

## What contractual commitments have been made to the communities of each LDC? Did the Applicants contractually commit to maintain 3 head offices?

## Response:

The Shareholders of the Applicants have agreed that:

- MergeCo will maintain the Corporate head office at Mississauga; the LDC head office in Hamilton; and the Sustainability \& Innovation head office in Vaughan for at least ten years following consolidation unless all of the Shareholders agree to change any of those locations before the ten years expire; and
- MergeCo will maintain call centres in Vaughan and St. Catharines for at least ten years following consolidation, unless the directors, after consulting with all of the Shareholders, determine there is a commercially sound business reason to relocate either of the call centres before the ten years expire.

Undertaking No. JTCx1.5

## Reference: Page 68 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide the analysis which supports the breakdown of the number of executive management and management FTEs in Table 3 of the response to Interrogatory B-AMPCO$6 \mathrm{c})$.

## Response:

Upon review of Table 3 of the response to Interrogatory B-AMPCO-6c) the Applicants identified an error in the categorization of FTEs. Some Non-Union and Executive Management FTEs were incorrectly categorized as Management in the version of Table 3 filed in the Interrogatory response. There is no change to the total number for FTEs.

The Applicants provide a corrected version of Table 3 in Table 1 below. The answer to Undertaking JTCx1.5 is provided using the information in the revised Table 3. This table has also been updated to reflect the overall percentage of FTE reductions by category (Year 5 FTE as compared to Original FTE). Tables 2 and 3 below identify the original table filed in the response to Interrogatory B-AMPCO-6c) and the corrections required, respectively.

Table 1 - Revised Table 3 - Forecast of FTEs


The FTE reductions for executive management and management are reflective of role duplication across the four utilities and represent an overall reduction of $\square$. The Applicants will continue to explore opportunities for further FTE reductions following the transition period, and take appropriate action as necessary while ensuring that customer service levels are maintained and operational needs are met.

Table 2 - Original Table 3 - Forecast of FTEs


Table 3 - Corrections to Table 3 - Forecast of FTEs


## Undertaking No. JTC1.6

## Reference: Page 74 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide the Board-Approved Distribution System Plans for Horizon Utilities, PowerStream and Hydro One Brampton; and the draft Distribution System Plan for Enersource.

## Response:

The Applicants have provided the Distribution System Plans filed with the Ontario Energy Board ("OEB") in the most recent rebasing applications for Horizon Utilities, PowerStream and HOBNI as the following files, respectively:

```
"JTC1.6_Horizon_DSP_EB-2014-0002.pdf";
```

"JTC1.6_HOBNI_DSP_EB-2014-0083.pdf"; and
"JTC1.6_PowerStream_DSP_EB-2015-0003.pdf".

The Applicants have provided the draft Distribution System Plan for Enersource as file "JTC1.6_Enersource_Draft_DSP_EB-2015-0065.pdf".

Electronic copies will be provided to the OEB and all intervenors on USB drives due to the size of the documents, and as agreed to by the School Energy Coalition on page 73 of Transcripts Volume 1 of the Technical Conference. These will be delivered via courier. One hard copy will be provided to the OEB.

## Undertaking No. JTC1.7

## Reference: Page 83 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide a year-by-year breakdown of the numbers in Table 1 of the response to Technical Conference Question TC-AMPCO-1.

## Response:

The requested breakdown is provided in Table 1, below. Please note that all amounts in the table are draft pending the final rate order, other than 'Applied for Distribution Revenue", which is based on PowerStream's 2016-2020 Custom IR Application (EB-2015-0003), as updated in August 2016, following the OEB's decision in that application.

Table 1 - Impact of OEB Decision on PowerStream Custom IR Application (EB-2015-0003)

| \$MM |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Applied for Distribution Revenue |  | \$187.0 | \$210.3 | \$221.4 | \$232.0 | \$241.6 | \$1,092.3 |
| Applied for Distribution Revenue, adjusted for business case assumptions | A | \$180.7 | \$203.2 | \$213.3 | \$223.2 | \$232.6 | \$1,053.0 |
| Decision ${ }^{1}$ | B | \$167.7 | \$203.2 | \$209.5 | \$216.1 | \$222.8 | \$1,019.3 |
| Difference in Distribution Revenue | $C=(A-B)$ | (\$13.0) | \$0.0 | (\$3.8) | (\$7.1) | (\$9.8) | (\$33.7) |

[^0]
## Undertaking No. JTC1.8

## Reference: Page 86 of Transcripts Volume 1

Update the response to part a) of the response to Interrogatory ATTACH3-Staff-30 to take into account the PowerStream decision.

## Response:

The Applicants estimate that, based on the Business Case assumptions, the total incremental capital to be sought via ICM until the end of the rebasing deferral period would increase by approximately $\$ 70 \mathrm{MM}$, as result of the Decision of the OEB in PowerStream's Custom IR Application. This may translate to approximately $\$ 13 \mathrm{MM}$ of incremental ICM revenue, above that which has already been identified in the MAADs Application.

## Undertaking No. JTC1.9

## Reference: Page 86 of Transcripts Volume 1

Add two lines to Table 1 of the response to Interrogatory B-CCC-22b) as follows:
a) Return on Equity in dollars; and,
b) Return on Equity in percentage terms.

## Response:

The Applicants provide a revised Table 1 of the response to Interrogatory B-CCC-22b) to include a) Return on Equity in dollars; and b) Return on Equity in percentage terms in Table 1 below.

## Table 1 - Revised Table 1 Estimated ROE for Rebasing Deferral Period

|  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forecast Rate Base in Model, \$MMs (A) | \$2,696.7 | \$2,851.0 | \$2,984.2 | \$3,117.9 | \$3,260.7 | \$3,419.5 | \$3,586.5 | \$3,732.8 | \$3,858.0 | \$3,990.2 |
| Deemed Equity \% (B) | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| Forecast Deemed Equity, \$MMs (C)=(A)*(B) | \$1,078.7 | \$1,140.4 | \$1,193.7 | \$1,247.2 | \$1,304.3 | \$1,367.8 | \$1,434.6 | \$1,493.1 | \$1,543.2 | \$1,596.1 |
| Value of 300bps ROE on Forecast Deemed Equity, \$MMs 3\%*(C) | \$32.4 | \$34.2 | \$35.8 | \$37.4 | \$39.1 | \$41.0 | \$43.0 | \$44.8 | \$46.3 | \$47.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROE in Business Case Model, \$MM | \$76.8 | \$100.3 | \$116.3 | \$132.6 | \$137.8 | \$133.0 | \$132.9 | \$128.2 | \$133.8 | \$139.0 |
| ROE in Business Case Model, \% | 7.1\% | 8.8\% | 9.7\% | 10.6\% | 10.6\% | 9.7\% | 9.3\% | 8.6\% | 8.7\% | 8.7\% |

Undertaking No. JTC1.10

## Reference: Page 104 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide an explanation of whether the \$98MM referenced in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-22b) is a plus or minus; and the rationale.

## Response:

The \$98MM referenced in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-22b) is a 'minus', i.e., if the status quo rebasing assumptions were used for the merged scenario, the distribution revenue received in the first 10 years would be higher. The $\$ 98 \mathrm{MM}$ represents the difference in NPV of Distribution Revenue between the status quo and merged scenarios; this is approximately $\$ 80 \mathrm{MM}$, after-tax.

Undertaking No. JTC1.11

## Reference: Page 108 of Transcripts Volume 1

Reconcile the numbers provided in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-8 with Table 1 in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-3a).

## Response:

Upon review of the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-8 the Applicants identified an error in the gross operating savings reported. Gross operating savings were reported as $\$ 377.4 \mathrm{MM}$ instead of $\$ 354.6 \mathrm{MM}$. Additionally, the allocation of dollars to each category was incorrect. The capital savings reported were correct.

The Applicants provide a corrected version of the operating savings in Table 1 below. The total operating savings of $\$ 354.6 \mathrm{MM}$ reconcile to Table 1 in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-3a). Table 2 below identifies the operating savings of $\$ 377.4 \mathrm{MM}$ originally filed in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-8. Table 3 below identifies the correction of ( $\$ 22.8 \mathrm{MM}$ ) by category.

Table 4 below provides a reconciliation of the numbers provided in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-8 with Table 1 in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-3a) for years 1-5. The operating savings of $\$ 212.5 \mathrm{MM}$ in years $6-10$ identified in Table 1 below reconcile to Table 1 provided in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-3a).

## Table 1 - Revised Operating Savings - Interrogatory Response to B-Staff-8

| \$MM | Yrs 1-5 | Yrs 6-10 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operations/Control Room | 39.0 |  | 39.0 |
| Finance | 22.2 |  | 22.2 |
| Procurement and Strategic Sourcing | 18.2 |  | 18.2 |
| Customer Service/Billing/Call Centres | 17.9 |  | 17.9 |
| Human Resources | 8.9 |  | 8.9 |
| Other | 35.9 | 212.5 | 248.4 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 4 . 6}$ |

Table 2 - Originally Filed Operating Savings - Interrogatory Response to B-Staff-8

| \$MM | Yrs 1-5 | Yrs 6-10 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operations/Control Room | 36.8 |  | 36.8 |
| Finance | 26.2 |  | 26.2 |
| Procurement and Strategic Sourcing | 24.4 |  | 24.4 |
| Customer Service/Billing/Call Centres | 21.3 |  | 21.3 |
| Human Resources | 18.6 |  | 18.6 |
| Other | 37.6 | 212.5 | 250.1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 7 . 4}$ |

Table 3 - Correction to Operating Savings - Interrogatory Response to B-Staff-8

| \$MM | Yrs 1-5 | Yrs 6-10 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Operations/Control Room | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.2 |
| Finance | $(4.0)$ | 0.0 | $(4.0)$ |
| Procurement and Strategic Sourcing | $(6.2)$ | 0.0 | $(6.2)$ |
| Customer Service/Billing/Call Centres | $(3.4)$ | 0.0 | $(3.4)$ |
| Human Resources | $(9.7)$ | 0.0 | $(9.7)$ |
| Other | $(1.7)$ | 0.0 | $(1.7)$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{( 2 2 . 8 )}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{( 2 2 . 8})$ |

Table 4 - Reconciliation of B-Staff-8 to B-Staff-3a) for Years 1-5

| B-Staff-3 (\$MM) |  |  |  |  |  |  | B-Staff-8 (\$MM) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | Years 1-5 | Category | Years 1-5 |
| Construction, Control Room, Trouble Response | 3.7 | 7.7 | 9.3 | 9.1 | 9.2 | 39.0 | Operations/Control Room | 39.0 |
| Finance | 1.4 | 2.0 | 3.6 | 4.2 | 4.6 | 15.8 |  |  |
| Regulatory | (0.1) | 0.6 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 2.6 | 6.4 |  |  |
| Total Finance/Regulatory | 1.3 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 5.9 | 7.2 | 22.2 | Finance | 22.2 |
| Procurement | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Facilities, Logistics, Fleet | 0.8 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 13.2 |  |  |
| Total Procurement, Facilities, Logistics, Fleet | 1.2 | 3.5 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 18.2 | Procurement and Strategic Sourcing | 18.2 |
| Customer Service | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 17.9 | Customer Service/Billing/Call Centres | 17.9 |
| Human Resources | (0.8) | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 8.9 | Human Resources | 8.9 |
| Asset Management \& Engineering | 0.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 10.7 |  |  |
| Corporate | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Information Technology | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 16.8 |  |  |
| Metering | 0.3 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 5.8 |  |  |
| Total Other | 1.8 | 4.1 | 8.6 | 10.4 | 11.0 | 35.9 | Other | 35.9 |
| Total Operating Savings | 7.2 | 20.1 | 31.7 | 40.6 | 42.5 | 142.1 |  | 142.1 |

Undertaking No. JTC1.12
Reference: Page 116 of Transcripts Volume 1
Provide the most recent and approved Appendix 2-AB for each of Horizon Utilities, Hydro One Brampton and PowerStream. Provide a similar schedule for Enersource which identifies future capital expenditures and was filed with the last rebasing application, if available.

## Response:

The Applicants have provided the most recent Appendix 2-AB for each of Horizon Utilities, HOBNI, and PowerStream in Tables 1 to 3, below. A similar schedule for Enersource, that was filed as part of the Fourth Generation Incentive Rate-setting ("Price Cap IRM and ICM") application (EB-2015-0065) is provided in Table 4, below.

Table 1: Horizon Utilities Appendix 2-AB (EB-2014-0002)

| CATEGORY | Historical Period |  |  |  |  |  | Forecast Period (planned) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 (CGAAP) | 2011 (CGAPP) | 2011 (MIFRS) | 2012 (MIFRS) | 2013 (MIFRS) | 2014 (MIFRS) | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ 000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 000 |  |  |  |  |
| System Access | 13,558 | 8,914 | 5,629 | 6,602 | 6,369 | 7,540 | 8,063 | 8,040 | 7,464 | 7,660 | 7,841 |
| System Renewal | 14,082 | 22,475 | 17,171 | 14,091 | 18,425 | 14,872 | 16,450 | 26,926 | 31,800 | 33,040 | 34,538 |
| System Service | 3,583 | 3,125 | 2,374 | 2,885 | 2,151 | 4,101 | 4,140 | 295 | 535 | 2,032 | 2,057 |
| General Plant | 6,208 | 4,584 | 4,584 | 8,748 | 12,559 | 10,760 | 9,487 | 5,887 | 5,827 | 4,411 | 5,036 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE BEFORE SMART METERS | 37,432 | 39,098 | 29,758 | 32,326 | 39,505 | 37,273 | 38,140 | 41,148 | 45,626 | 47,143 | 49,472 |
| Smart Meter Implementation |  |  |  | 23,278 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE INLCUDING SMART METERS | 37,432 | 39,098 | 29,758 | 55,604 | 39,505 | 37,273 | 38,140 | 41,148 | 45,626 | 47,143 | 49,472 |
| Hydro One Contribution | - | - | - | 10,000 |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 37,432 | 39,098 | 29,758 | 65,604 | 39,505 | 37,273 | 38,140 | 41,148 | 45,626 | 47,143 | 49,472 |
| Change in WIP | 2,841 | 743 | 743 | 4,654 | 1,597 | 2,019 | 175 |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ADDITIONS | 34,590 | 39,841 | 30,501 | 70,258 | 37,908 | 39,292 | 38,315 | 41,148 | 45,626 | 47,143 | 49,472 |

Horizon Utilities Custom IR EB-2014-0002, Appendix 2-AB

Table 2: HOBNI Appendix 2-AB (EB-2014-0083)

| CATEGORY |  |  |  |  |  |  | Forecast Period (planned) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Bridge Year | As Settled |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ '000 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ 000 |  |  |  |  |
| System Access | 26,058 | 22,210 | 11,601 | 15,198 | 11,970 | 18,399 | 17,759 | 14,999 | 14,445 | 14,878 | 15,081 |
| System Renewal | 4,090 | 7,289 | 7,169 | 8,694 | 12,123 | 9,073 | 8,880 | 9,311 | 10,330 | 10,121 | 9,007 |
| System Service | 1,135 | 1,843 | 942 | 1,439 | 1,475 | 715 | 1,485 | 600 | 530 | 624 | 677 |
| General Plant | 2,010 | 4,387 | 4,365 | 2,181 | 4,505 | 3,697 | 9,741 | 9,289 | 3,966 | 3,982 | 3,741 |
| Total Expenditure | 33,294 | 35,730 | 24,077 | 27,512 | 30,073 | 31,885 | 37,865 | 34,197 | 29,271 | 29,605 | 28,505 |

Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc. Cost of Service Rate Application EB-2014-0083 Ex. 2, Tab 5, Schedule 1 and Settlement Proposal filed October 9, 2014, page 16

Table 3: PowerStream Appendix 2-AB (EB-2015-0003)

| CATEGORY | Historical Period |  |  |  | 2015 | Proposed |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Plan |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ '000 |  |  |  |  | \$ '000 |  |  |  |  |
| System Access | 21,007 | 19,888 | 17,030 | 26,229 | 24,145 | 28,232 | 28,470 | 29,561 | 28,726 | 31,867 |
| System Renewal | 11,527 | 16,974 | 22,254 | 39,186 | 42,388 | 48,715 | 51,500 | 52,052 | 52,971 | 52,406 |
| System Service | 22,885 | 13,770 | 34,780 | 17,946 | 27,322 | 38,322 | 32,072 | 29,920 | 26,963 | 23,022 |
| General Plant | 7,877 | 24,200 | 19,593 | 26,148 | 24,545 | 17,531 | 19,458 | 13,867 | 16,741 | 18,106 |
| Sub-Total | 63,296 | 74,832 | 93,657 | 109,509 | 118,400 | 132,800 | 131,500 | 125,400 | 125,401 | 125,401 |
| Non-Rate Base | 2,278 | 1,196 | 2,628 | 1,364 | 2,489 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total | 65,574 | 76,028 | 96,285 | 110,873 | 120,889 | 132,800 | 131,500 | 125,400 | 125,401 | 125,401 |

PowerStream Inc. Custom IR EB-2015-0003, Ex. G, Tab 2, Page 3

Table 4: Enersource Historical and Forecasted Capital Expenditures (gross capex values) (EB-2015-0065)

| CATEGORY | Historical Period |  |  |  |  | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 |
|  | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual | Actual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$ '000 |  |  |  |  | \$ 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| System Access | 11,858 | 9,860 | 10,712 | 11,228 | 16,497 | 17,200 | 13,015 | 13,130 | 12,825 | 13,105 | 13,490 |
| System Renewal | 11,422 | 16,224 | 20,887 | 31,257 | 36,058 | 34,735 | 37,243 | 38,240 | 40,280 | 38,570 | 38,490 |
| System Service | 14,326 | 11,493 | 10,055 | 9,474 | 16,452 | 12,408 | 17,916 | 18,123 | 18,162 | 17,238 | 10,568 |
| General Plant | 9,052 | 29,220 | 6,831 | 6,230 | 10,682 | 12,796 | 11,337 | 10,281 | 10,794 | 10,755 | 9,984 |
| Sub-Total | 46,658 | 66,797 | 48,485 | 58,189 | 79,689 | 77,139 | 79,511 | 79,774 | 82,061 | 79,668 | 72,532 |
| Churchill Meadows CCRA Payment |  |  |  |  | 40,479 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURES | 46,658 | 66,797 | 48,485 | 58,189 | 120,168 | 77,139 | 79,511 | 79,774 | 82,061 | 79,668 | 72,532 |

Enersource Hydro Mississauga Inc. Price Cap IRM and ICM Application EB-2015-0065, Interrogatory Response Supp-Staff-15, page 167

## Undertaking No. JTC1.13

## Reference: Page 123 of Transcripts Volume 1

Review the response to B-SEC-18. Correct the response and table in B-SEC-18 as necessary to identify only capital which is incremental to previously Board-approved capital expenditures. Confirm if the ICM requests depart from previously Board-approved capital expenditures.

## Response:

Please see Table 1 below which includes an added column for totals and some clarifying formatting and labeling changes. There is no need for changes to the dollar amount entries relative to the table provided in response to Interrogatory B-SEC-18e), as the original table is correct. By definition, "Incremental Capital" and "Net Incremental Capital" are incremental to Board-approved capital expenditures.

Table 1 - Revision to Table B-SEC-18e)

"Total Net Incremental Eligible Capital" is the total amount that is both incremental to Boardapproved capital expenditures pursuant to each distributor's last rebasing application, as well as being eligible for ICM treatment due to coinciding with an IRM year.

## Undertaking No. JTC1.14

## Reference: Page 153 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide the dividend payout percentage for Hydro One Brampton for the last five years.

## Response:

The dividend payout percentage for Hydro One Brampton Networks Inc. ("HOBNI") from 2011-15 was $58.8 \%$.

## Undertaking No. JTC1.15

## Reference: Page 154 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide the amount of the incremental payment made by PowerStream to its shareholders at the proposed dividend policy/payout percentage versus the existing dividend policy/payout percentage.

## Response:

The Applicants provide the amount of the incremental payment (dividends and interest) forecast to be made by PowerStream to its shareholders at the proposed dividend policy/payout percentage versus the existing dividend policy/payout percentage in Table 1 below. Table 2 below provides the dividends and interest payments under the existing (status quo) dividend policy/payout percentage. Table 3 below provides the dividends and interest payments under the proposed (LDC Co) dividend policy/payout percentage.

Table 1 - Incremental Payments to PowerStream Shareholders

| INCREMENTAL PAYMENTS \$MM |  |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vaughan |  |  | 2.4 | 4.8 | 6.5 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.6 | 7.2 | 7.8 |
| Markham |  |  | 1.8 | 3.6 | 4.9 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| Barrie |  |  | 1.1 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 |
| Total Incremental Dividends (regulated business) |  |  | 5.4 | 10.6 | 14.3 | 18.3 | 18.8 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 14.6 | 16.0 | 17.1 |
| Interest on the promissory notes |  |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Vaughan | \$ | 78.24 | (0.9) | (0.9) | (0.9) | (1.3) | (1.3) | (1.3) | (1.3) | (1.3) | (1.3) | (1.3) |
| Markham | \$ | 67.89 | (0.8) | (0.8) | (0.8) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.1) | (1.1) |
| Barrie | \$ | 20.00 | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) | (0.2) |
| Total Interest |  |  | (1.9) | (1.9) | (1.9) | (2.6) | (2.6) | (2.6) | (2.6) | (2.6) | (2.6) | (2.6) |
| Total Incremental Dividends and Interest |  |  | 3.4 | 8.6 | 12.4 | 15.7 | 16.2 | 14.2 | 13.6 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 14.5 |

Table 2 - Existing (status quo) Payments to PowerStream Shareholders

| EXISTING (STATUS QUO) PAYMENTS \$MM |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dividends (50\% payout) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vaughan | 45.32\% | 8.4 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 10.2 | 10.8 | 11.4 | 12.0 | 12.6 | 13.0 | 13.5 |
| Markham | 34.19\% | 6.4 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.1 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 10.2 |
| Barrie | 20.50\% | 3.8 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| Total Status Quo Dividends (Regulated Business) |  | 18.6 | 20.2 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 25.2 | 26.6 | 27.8 | 28.8 | 29.9 |
| Interest on the promissory notes |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Vaughan | \$ 78.24 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 4.7 |
| Markham | \$ 67.89 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Barrie | \$ 20.00 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Total Interest |  | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| Total Dividends and Interest |  | 28.6 | 30.1 | 31.3 | 32.5 | 33.8 | 35.1 | 36.5 | 37.7 | 38.7 | 39.8 |

Enersource, Horizon Utilities, PowerStream Responses to Technical Conference Undertakings Delivered: August 30, 2016

## 12

Table 3 - Proposed (LDC Co) Payments to PowerStream Shareholders

| PROPOSED (LDC Co) PAYMENTS \$MM |  |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total LDC Co Dividends (60\% payout) |  |  | 52.2 | 66.8 | 77.6 | 89.0 | 92.6 | 91.3 | 93.0 | 92.1 | 97.3 | 102.2 |
| Vaughan |  | 20.84\% | 10.9 | 13.9 | 16.2 | 18.5 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 19.4 | 19.2 | 20.3 | 21.3 |
| Markham |  | 15.73\% | 8.2 | 10.5 | 12.2 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 14.6 | 14.5 | 15.3 | 16.1 |
| Barrie |  | 9.43\% | 4.9 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 8.4 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 8.7 | 9.2 | 9.6 |
| Total LDC Co Dividends (Regulated Business) |  |  | 24.0 | 30.7 | 35.7 | 40.9 | 42.6 | 42.0 | 42.8 | 42.4 | 44.8 | 47.0 |
| Interest on the promissory notes |  |  | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
| Vaughan | \$ | 78.24 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Markham | \$ | 67.89 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Barrie | \$ | 20.00 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Total Interest |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Total Dividends and Interest |  |  | 32.0 | 38.7 | 43.7 | 48.2 | 49.9 | 49.3 | 50.1 | 49.7 | 52.1 | 54.3 |

Undertaking No. JTC1.16
Reference: Page 156 of Transcripts Volume 1
Provide the asset replacement rate as a percentage for each individual LDC for the years 2010 to 2015 and forecast for 2016; and the forecast asset replacement rate for LDC Co. for the 10-year period and show the calculation.

## Response:

The asset replacement rate as a percentage of total assets for each of Enersource, Horizon Utilities, Hydro One Brampton ("HOBNI") and PowerStream is not available. The asset replacement rate has not been computed on a historical (2010-2015) or forecast basis (2016) for any of the individual LDCs. The forecast asset replacement rate for the ten year period from 2017-2026 has not been determined, as yet.

As stated in the Applicant's response to Interrogatory B-SEC-17, the Applicants have not prepared a Distribution System Plan ("DSP") for LDC Co, as yet. The Applicants expect to file a DSP for all four rate zones no later than 2019, at which time information on asset replacement for LDC Co will be available.

Individual DSPs have been filed in response to Undertaking JTC1.6. The DSPs for Horizon Utilities and HOBNI are for a five-year term expiring in 2019. The DSPs for Enersource (draft) and PowerStream expire in 2020.

The Applicants expect to continue with the level of capital investment for the distribution system, as identified in each LDC's DSP. In each of the service areas (or rate zones), the electricity distribution system will need to be expanded and refurbished (Exhibit B, Tab 6, Schedule 1, page 1). As identified in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-8, the Applicants anticipate capital savings to be generated from business areas that do not impact the reliability of the distribution system such as Information Technology and Procurement.

Additionally, Horizon Utilities, in its settlement agreement for its Custom IR (EB-2014-0002), is required to, at a minimum, invest at the same level of capital investment approved in its DSP. It is the Applicants' intention to continue to be compliant with the Settlement Agreement, subject to changes in OEB policy as identified in its response to Interrogatory B-CCC-15.

## Undertaking No. JTCx1.17

## Reference: Page 162 of Transcripts Volume 1

Use best efforts to provide the most current organizational chart for the management structure of LDC Co. The chart is not to provide names, but rather positions of the managements structure of the utility.

## Response:

The executive positions reporting directly to the CEO and Presidents have been approved and the organizational chart provided as attachment "JTCx1.17 Attachment_Draft Executive Organizational Structure". The management structure below this level is currently under review and will be finalized following the appointment of these executive positions.

JTCx1.17_ATTACHMENT DRAFT EXECUTIVE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE

MergeCo

Undertaking No. JTC1.18

## Reference: Page 166 of Transcripts Volume 1

Provide additional details for the four IT projects listed in Table 3 on page 4 in the response to Interrogatory B-BOMA-10d).

Table 3 - Breakdown of Implementation Capital Cost

| Implementation Capital Cost | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0 2 5}$ | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| IT - CIS Consolidation | 13.8 | 9.1 | 2.0 | - | 25.0 |
| IT - ERP Consolidation | 5.9 | 2.0 | - | - | 7.9 |
| IT - Engineering Systems <br> Consolidation | 4.8 | 3.1 | 2.4 | - | 10.3 |
| IT - Infrastructure Consolidation | 7.5 | 0.5 | - | - | 8.0 |
| Corporate Branding | 1.4 | - | - | - | 1.4 |
| Consolidation of other operational <br> activities | 0.4 | 0.4 | - | - | 0.8 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 3}$ |

## Response:

The Applicants provide additional details for the four IT projects listed in Table 3 on page 4 in the response to Interrogatory B-BOMA-10d) in Tables 1 to 4 below.

Table 1 - IT - CIS Consolidation

| Project/ Initiative | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CIS Consolidation - Foundation | 1.3 |  |  | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIS Consolidation - Horizon | 6.5 | 3.4 |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIS Consolidation - Enersource | - | 2.4 | 2.0 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIS Consolidation - HOB | 6.0 | 3.4 |  | 9.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0}$ |

The IT - CIS Consolidation has been broken down into four distinct phases:

- CIS Consolidation - Foundation [Phase 1] includes hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to create the backend infrastructure required to support a consolidated Oracle CC\&B CIS system based on the existing PowerStream Oracle CC\&B system.
- CIS Consolidation - Horizon [Phase 2] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate Horizon Utilities from Daffron CIS to the consolidated Oracle CC\&B CIS.
- CIS Consolidation - Enersource [Phase 3] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate Enersource from Oracle CC\&B to the consolidated Oracle CC\&B CIS.
- CIS Consolidation - HOBNI [Phase 4] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate HOBNI from its legacy custom CIS to the consolidated Oracle CC\&B CIS.

Table 2 -IT - ERP Consolidation

| Project/ Initiative | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ERP Consolidation - Foundation | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |
| ERP Consolidation - Horizon | 2.8 |  |  | 2.8 |
| ERP Consolidation - Enersource |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |
| ERP Consolidation - HOB | 2.8 |  |  | 2.8 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

The IT - ERP Consolidation has been broken down into four distinct phases:

- ERP Consolidation - Foundation [Phase 1] includes: hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to create the backend infrastructure required to support a consolidated JD Edwards ERP system based on the existing PowerStream JD Edwards system.
- ERP Consolidation - Horizon [Phase 2] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate Horizon Utilities from IFS ERP to the consolidated JD Edwards ERP.
- ERP Consolidation - Enersource [Phase 3] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate Enersource from JD Edwards to the consolidated JD Edwards ERP.
- ERP Consolidation - HOBNI [Phase 4] includes: data cleansing and migration, consulting services, and internal labour to migrate HOBNI from its legacy custom ERP to the consolidated JD Edwards ERP.

Table 3-IT - Engineering Systems

| Project/ Initiative | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| GIS-OMS Integration - Horizon | 1.4 |  |  | 1.4 |
| GIS-OMS Integration - Powerstream |  | 2.2 | 2.2 | 4.4 |
| GIS-OMS - HOBNI | 1.4 |  |  | 1.4 |
| SCADA Integration | 1.8 | 0.9 |  | 2.7 |
| OSI Soft (SCADA Data Integration) | 0.1 | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Cascade CMMS | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{4 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ |

- GIS-OMS Integration - Horizon includes data cleansing and migration, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to support the consolidation of Horizon Utilities' Intergraph GIS-OMS system with the Enersource Intergraph GIS-OMS systems.
- GIS-OMS Integration - PowerStream includes data cleansing and migration, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to support consolidation of PowerStream's ESRI GIS-OMS system with the Enersource Intergraph GIS-OMS systems.
- GIS-OMS Integration - HOBNI includes data cleansing and migration, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to support consolidation of HOBNI's Intergraph GIS and Survalent OMS systems with the Enersource Intergraph GIS-OMS systems.
- SCADA Integration includes data cleansing and migration, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to support consolidation of the Survalent SCADA systems of Horizon Utilities and HOBNI, and the PowerStream Schneider SCADA system with the Enersource Survalent system which will be the LDC Co standard.
- OSI Soft Data Integration project includes data mapping, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to integrate data from all SCADA systems into the Operational Data Store used by PowerStream for analytics to support system operation, planning and maintenance.
- Cascade CMMS project includes data mapping, hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to extend the Computerized Maintenance Management System used by PowerStream for substation asset management to the Horizon Utilities, Enersource, and HOBNI service areas.

Table 4 - IT - Infrastructure Consolidation

| Project/ Initiative | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Email Consolidation | 0.2 |  |  | 0.2 |
| Telecommunications | 0.3 |  |  | 0.3 |
| Phone System Consolidation | 0.8 |  |  | 0.8 |
| IT Security Consolidation | 1.2 |  |  | 1.2 |
| Data Centre Consolidation | 3.7 |  |  | 3.7 |
| IT Service Desk Consolidation | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Data Backup \& Archiving | 0.7 |  |  | 0.7 |
| Misc. System Standardizations | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{7 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 0}$ |

The objectives of these projects are to implement a common, consolidated IT infrastructure to support the new company in Years 1 and 2. This consolidation will drive IT efficiencies and synergies. This IT infrastructure consolidation includes utilization of existing assets where possible. Costs include: hardware, software, consulting services and internal labour to support the infrastructure consolidation.

## Undertaking No. JTC1.19

## Reference: Page 172 of Transcripts Volume 1

To provide some of the non-payroll-related savings associated with the functions set out in Table 1, in response to Board Staff 3.

## Response:

The non-payroll-related savings associated with the functions identified in Table 1 in response to Interrogatory B-Staff-3a) represent \$47.7MM of the $\$ 354.6 \mathrm{MM}$ anticipated reductions in operating expenditures. The Applicants have recast this table to isolate the non-payroll related savings in Table 1 below. The total non-payroll-related savings of $\$ 47.7 \mathrm{MM}$ are consistent with Table 1 in the response to Interrogatory B-Staff-7a).

| Functions | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centralized | 0.4 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 31.9 |
| Asset Management \& Engineering | - | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.8 |
| Corporate | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Finance | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| Human Resources | 0.3 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 11.3 |
| Information Technology | - | (0.4) | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 12.8 |
| Regulatory | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 1.6 |
| De-centralized | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 15.8 |
| Customer Service | - | - | (0.1) | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Metering | - | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 3.0 |
| Facilities, Logistics, Fleet | - | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 11.7 |
| Construction, Control Room, Trouble Response | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | (0.1) | 0.4 |
| Grand Total | 0.5 | 2.6 | 3.7 | 6.1 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 47.7 |

The Asset Management \& Engineering savings relate to Geospatial Information System ("GIS") and Outage Management System ("OMS") development (\$0.9MM); and a reduction in third party services for asset management and analysis (\$0.9MM).

The Corporate savings are due to a reduction in consulting costs (\$0.8MM).

The Finance savings are primarily due to a reduction in third party consulting costs (\$3.6MM).

The Human Resources savings are due to the harmonization of benefits, incentive plans and other HR-related programs across the four utilities (\$11.3MM).

The Information Technology savings are primarily attributable to reductions in managed services and maintenance contracts (\$10.1MM); and third party support (\$1.8MM).

Regulatory savings are primarily due to a reduction in recurring operating expenses (\$1.6MM).

The Customer Service savings are primarily attributable to a reduction in Customer Information Systems ("CIS") maintenance (\$1.1MM), a reduction in consulting costs (\$0.7MM), and volume discounts on billing and collecting costs (\$0.7MM); partly offset by increases in outsourcing for field collections (\$0.9MM) and printing and mailing costs (\$0.9MM).

The Metering savings are attributable to the replacement of third party services with in-house services for Advanced Metering Infrastructure ("AMI") and Metering Service Provider ("MSP") solutions (\$3.0MM).

The Facilities, Logistics, Fleet savings are primarily attributable to reductions in maintenance contracts ( $\$ 5.9 \mathrm{MM}$ ), volume discounts on inventory purchases ( $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{MM}$ ), and the termination of the sub-lease for PowerStream's Jane Street office (\$1.7MM).


[^0]:    1. Amounts in this undertaking response are based on the preliminary estimate of the decision impact, before final rate order.
