EXHIBIT 7: COST ALLOCATION EB-2016-0056

# 2 Table of Contents

3	2.7. Cost Allocation Study Requirements2
4	2.7.1 Overview of Cost Allocation
5	Weighting Factors3
6	Services (Account 1855) Weighting Factors3
7	Billing and Collection Weighting Factors3
8	Meter Capital4
9	Embedded distributor Class4
10	Unmetered Loads (including Street Lighting)4
11	MicroFIT Class4
12	Standby Rates4
13	2.7.1.1 New Customer Class (es)
14	2.7.1.2 Eliminated Customer Class
15	Summary of Results and Proposed Changes5
16	2.7.2 Class Revenue Requirements
17	2.7.3 Revenue-to-Cost Ratios7
18	APPENDIX A: Outputs Cost Allocation Model 3.4a12
19	Sheet 16.1 of the Cost Allocation Model12
20	Sheet 16.2 of the Cost Allocation Model13
21	Sheet I8 of the Cost Allocation Model14
22	Sheet O1 of the Cost Allocation Model15
23	Sheet O2 of the Cost Allocation Model16
~ .	

24

# 1 2.7. Cost Allocation Study Requirements

### 2

# 3 2.7.1 Overview of Cost Allocation

4

5 Atikokan Hydro has prepared a cost allocation information filing consistent with Atikokan Hydro's

6 understanding of the Directions and Policies in Board's reports of November 28, 2007 Application

7 of Cost Allocation for Electricity Distributors, and March 31, 2011 Review of Electricity Distribution

- 8 Cost Allocation Policy (EB-2010-0219) (the Cost Allocation Reports). Further Atikokan adhered
- 9 to the Chapter 2 Filing Requirements dated July 14, 2016 and the instructions in the Model.
- 10 Atikokan prepared its cost allocation using Board Approved Model, Cost Allocation version 3.4a.
- 11 The results of the Model reflecting future loads and costs for the 2017 Test Year, along with
- 12 proposed ratios are presented in this Exhibit, in Attachment A.
- 13 A completed model has been filed in live Microsoft Excel in conjunction with this application.
- 14 The revenue to cost ratios from the 2012 application are presented below.
- 15

17

# 16 **Table 7.1:** Previously Approved 2012 Ratios (2012 COS)

	2012 Approved
	Revenue to Cost
Customer Rate Class	Ratios
Residential	97.30%
General Service < 50 kW	120.00%
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	90.60%
Street Lighting	90.60%

The Cost Allocation model is consistent with the test year load forecast, including consumption, demand values and customer count. The 2017 demand values are based on the weather normalized load forecast used to design rates. The 2017 weather normalized forecast has been modeled based on the Hydro One load profiles by rate classification provided for the initial cost allocation study and for the coincident and non-coincident peaks for each classification.

Atikokan referred to section 2.64 of the March 31, 2011 Cost Allocation Report concerning weighting factors and distributors are expected to develop their own weighing factors. For this

- 2 with staff experienced in the subject area.
- 3

# 4 Weighting Factors

- 5
- 6 Services (Account 1855) Weighting Factors
- 7 Weighting factors for services is not applicable for Atikokan Hydro as no costs are recorded in
- 8 account 1855. Atikokan therefore used default values of 1 in the cost allocation model. This same
- 9 methodology applied for Atikokan's previously approved Cost of Service Rate Application (EB-
- 10 2011-0293).

11

- 12 Billing and Collection Weighting Factors
- 13 Atikokan has applied the same Billing Collecting Weight factor which supported the cost allocation
- 14 in Atikokan's 2012 Cost of Service Rate Application (EB-2011-0293). These weighting factors
- 15 were based on internal consultation with those experienced with the level of effort and time
- 16 necessary for billing and collecting activities for each type of customer.
- 17 The weighting factors applied to Billing and Collecting costs are as follows:

# 18Table 7.2:Weighting Factors for Billing & Collecting

Customer Rate Class	Weighting Factors for Billing & Collecting
Residential	1
General Service < 50 kW	1
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	10
Street Lighting	3

- 21 Residential weighting factor is set at "1" per the Cost Allocation instruction sheet.
- 22 Interval accounts (General Service > 50 kW) require a greater level of effort and time associated
- 23 to greater focus on accuracy of billing but additionally time and resources to reading the meters.
- 24 These meters are manually read and submitted to billing for verification and completeness of the

- 1 billing process. Furthermore these customers are periodically monitored to assess their demand
- 2 and where the customer should be moved to another General Service rate class.
- 3 Street lighting requires greater level of effort compared to residential bills in terms of the accuracy
- 4 of billing and requires manual entry of the demands.
- 5

# 6 Meter Capital

- 7 The purpose of this input is to derive at the weighting factors of each customer class for the
- 8 allocator which is used to allocate account ... The meter capital costs per meter were calculated
- 9 based on the actual installed costs of the meters.
- 10 Embedded distributor Class
- 11 Atikokan Hydro Inc. is not a host to any distributor.

# 12 Unmetered Loads (including Street Lighting)

Atikokan Hydro will communicate with its Street Lighting customer, who is owned by Atikokan Hydro's shareholder, The Town of Atikokan, once final rates have been drafted. At that time Atikokan will communicate any applicable rate changes impacting Street Lighting. The shareholder is well aware of Atikokan Hydro's rate application but specific rate proposals have not been shared at this time. Atikokan Hydro is cautious to share a proposed decrease in rates for the Street lighting class in event the proposal is not approved. Atikokan Hydro will however communicate the applicable changes upon final OEB approval.

# 20 MicroFIT Class

- In accordance with the Chapter 2 Filing Requirements, July 14, 2016, the microFIT class has not
- been included as a separate class in the cost allocation model. Atikokan is requesting to maintain
- the uniform Board approved rate of \$5.40 until the Board updates the uniform microFIT rate in the
- 24 future.

# 25 Standby Rates

- 26 Atikokan is not seeking approval of standby charges.
- 27 2.7.1.1 New Customer Class (es)
- Atikokan is not proposing to add new customer classes. The customer classes approved in
- Atikokan's last Cost of Service Rate Application EB-2011-0293 remain the same for the 2017 Test
- 30 year and forward.
- Residential

- General Service Less Than 50 kW
  - General Service 50 to 4999 kW
  - Street Lighting
  - MicroFIT
- 4 5

2

3

- 6
- 7 2.7.1.2 Eliminated Customer Class
- 8 Atikokan is not proposing to eliminate any existing customer classes.
- 9 Summary of Results and Proposed Changes
- 10

11 The data used in the updated cost allocation study is consistent with Atikokan Hydro's cost data 12 that supports the proposed 2017 revenue requirement outlined in this application. Consistent with 13 the instructions Atikokan Hydro's assets were broken out into primary and secondary distribution 14 functions using breakout percentages consistent with the original cost allocation information filing. 15 (2006) The breakout of assets, capital contributions, depreciation, accumulated depreciation, customer data and load data by primary, line transformer and secondary categories were 16 developed from the best data available to Atikokan Hydro in previous cost of service rate 17 applications. Included are engineering records, its customers and financial information systems. 18 19 Atikokan has assumed the breakout percentages have remained the same as previously submitted in prior applications; specifically 2012 COS; EB-2011-0293. The cost allocation study 20 has been included in Appendix A. In addition, input sheets 1-6 1-8 and output O-1 and O-2 are 21 22 included in pages following.

Capital contributions, depreciation and accumulated depreciation are consistent with the information provided in the 2017 continuity statement shown in Exhibit 2. The rate class customer data used is in the updated cost allocation study is consistent with the 2017 customer forecast outlined in Exhibit 3. Load profiles of the classes are the same as those used in the original Cost Allocation Informational Filing, but have been scaled to match the 2017 load forecast. The following table outlines the scaling factors used by rate class.

# 1 Table 7.3: Load Profiling Scaling Factors

Customer Rate Class	2004 Weather Normal Values used in Original Filing (kWh)	2017 Weather Normal Values (kWh)	Scaling Factor
Residential	12,135,846	9,687,147	79.8%
General Service < 50 kW	6,155,695	5,139,223	83.5%
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	7,663,602	12,043,461	157.2%
Street Lighting	531,698	461,749	86.8%
Total	26,486,841	27,331,580	

3

2

4

# 5 2.7.2 Class Revenue Requirements

- 6
- 7 Table 7.4 below shows the results of the 2012 Cost Allocation study (2012 Cost of Service), EB-
- 8 2011-0293 Atikokan\_DRO\_ModelV2\_Revised. These results are used as a comparison to the
- 9 proposed 2017 Test year rates.

# 10 Table 7.4: Previously Approved Ratios (2012 Cost of Service)

Customer Rate Class	2012 Base Revenue Requirement		2012 Miscellaneous Revenue		2012 ServiceRevene Requirement		
Residential	746,244	60.53%	74,869	59.78%	821,113	60.46%	
General Service < 50 kW	287,448	23.32%	22,136	17.68%	309,584	22.80%	
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	115,030	9.33%	13,652	10.90%	128,682	9.48%	
Street Lighting	84,093	6.82%	14,578	11.64%	98,671	7.27%	
Total	1,232,815	100.0%	125,235	100.0%	1,358,050	100.0%	

11

The table 7.5 below shows the allocation percentage and base revenue requirement allocation under three scenarios: existing rates, prorated existing rates that would yield the test year base revenue requirement and proposed class revenues. These figures provided in the table are supported by the Revenue Requirement Workform Tab 11; Cost Allocation and Rate Design.

Customer Rate Class	2017 Revenue Requirement at Existing Rates		2017 Proposed Revenue Allocated at Existing Rates Prorated		2017 Pro Allocated	posed Rates	Miscellaneous Revenue	
Residential	716,629	56.30%	781,442	56.30%	819,789	59.07%	63,825	62.10%
General Service < 50 kW	257,902	20.26%	281,227	20.26%	281,212	20.26%	18,166	17.68%
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	185,047	14.54%	201,783	14.54%	192,596	13.88%	11,052	10.75%
Street Lighting	113,188	8.89%	123,425	8.89%	94,280	6.79%	9,727	9.46%
Total	1,272,766	100.0%	1,387,877	100.0%	1,387,877	100.0%	102,770	100.0%

# 1 Table 7.5: Base Revenue Requirement under three scenarios

2

Note the above Table 7.5 is for base revenue only; this excludes miscellaneous revenue and is
proposed revenue to be earned by rate classes solely from rates. The following Table 7.6
illustrates the total proposed Service Revenue Requirement Offset by the Miscellaneous
Revenue. Again this is supported by Revenue Requirement Workform Tab 11; Cost Allocation
and Rate Design.

8

# 9 **Table 7.6:** Miscellaneous Revenue offset Allocation

	Proposed Allocated Service revenue	Proposed Miscellaneous Revenue Offset		Proposed Allocated Service Revenue
Customer Rate Class	(row 25)		(row 24)	(Distribution
Residential	845,267	-	63,825	781,442
General Service < 50 kW	299,393	-	18,166	281,227
General Service 50 to 4999 kW	212,835	-	11,052	201,783
Street Lighting	133,152	-	9,727	123,425
Total	1,490,647	-	102,770	1,387,877

10

11

# 12 2.7.3 Revenue-to-Cost Ratios

13

The Board's March 31, 2011 Report on Revenue, on Cost Allocation, section 2.9.4, outlines the range of acceptable ratios. Further, the Board's June 12, 2015 letter, the revenue to cost ratio policy range for the street lighting class be moved from 70-120% to 80-120%. Per the Boards

- 2 policy ranges.
- 3 The following included tables illustrate the allocation costs from Atikokan's previous study (EB-
- 4 2011-0293) and costs allocated in the Teat Year, 2017. The calculated class revenues are also
- 5 illustrated as completed from the Cost Allocation model.

Name of Customer Class <sup>(3)</sup> From Sheet 10. Load Forecast	Costs A Previe	llocated from ous Study <sup>(1)</sup>	%	AI Re	located Class Revenue equirement <sup>(1)</sup> (7A)	%
1       Residential         2       General Service Less Than 50 kW         3       General Service 50 to 4,999 kW         4       Street Lighting         5       6         7       8         9       0         1       2         3       4         5       6         7       8         9       0         1       2         3       4         5       6         7       8         9       0         10       1         2       3         4       5         6       7         8       9         10       1         11       1         12       1         13       1         14       1         15       1         16       1         17       1         18       1         19       1         10       1         11       1         12       1         13       1         14	\$ \$ \$	746,244 287,448 115,030 84,093	60.53% 23.32% 9.33% 6.82%	\$ \$ \$	986,601 270,798 172,553 88,534	64.97% 17.83% 11.36% 5.83%
Total	\$	1,232,815	100.00%	\$	1,518,486	100.00%
			Service Revenue Requirement (from Sheet 9)	\$	1,518,487.52	

#### A) Allocated Costs

#### B) Calculated Class Revenues

Name of Customer Class	Load Forecast (LF) X current approved rates		LF X current approved rates X (1+d)		LF X Proposed Rates		Miscellaneous Revenues	
		(7B)		(7C)		(7D)		(7E)
1 Residential	\$	716,629	\$	797,117.26	\$	836,308.85	\$	63,825
2 General Service Less Than 50 kW	\$	257,902	\$	286,868.29	\$	286,870.00	\$	18,166
3 General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	\$	185,047	\$	205,830.57	\$	196,020.00	\$	11,052
4 Street Lighting	\$	113,188	\$	125,900.72	\$	96,518.00	\$	9,727
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
17								
18								
19								
20								
20			_				_	
Total	\$	1,272,766	\$	1,415,717	\$	1,415,717	\$	102,770

1

2

3

4

#### 5 Table 7.7: Customer Class Ratios

Name of Customer Class	Previously Approved Ratios Most Recent Year: 2012	Status Quo Ratios	Proposed Ratios	Policy Range
Residential	97.30%	87.24%	91.20%	85 - 115
General Service Less Than 50 kW	120.00%	112.80%	112.80%	80 - 120
General Service 50 to 4,999 kW	90.60%	125.42%	120.00%	80 - 120
Street Lighting	90.60%	153.55%	120.00%	80 - 120

6

7

8 With consideration of the Board's acceptable policy range; to bring the ratios within the 9 appropriate policy ranges, Atikokan has proposed to keep General Service < 50 kW equal to 10 status quo but has made changes to Street Lighting, General Service > 50 to 4,999 kW and 11 slightly to the residential. Both the Street Lighting and General Service > 50 has been brought 12 down to the Boards maximum target of 120%. The slight adjustment to the residential class was

1 to balance the revenue requirement. Atikokan does not propose to continue rebalancing rates 2 after the cost of service test year. The following table, Rebalancing Revenues to Cost Ratios, 3 from the Revenue Requirement Workform Model (similar to Table 7.7 above) illustrates the previously approved Cost of Service Cost to revenue ratios, status quo and the proposed ratios 4 as described above to keep them aligned with the OEB Approved Policy Range. As noted above, 5 both the General Service greater than 50 and the Street Lighting class requires rebalancing to fall 6 7

within the acceptable policy range.

Name of Customer Class	Previously Approved Ratios	Status Quo Ratios	Proposed Ratios	Policy Range
	Most Recent Year:	(7C + 7E) / (7A)	(7D + 7E) / (7A)	
	2012			
	%	%	%	%
1 Posidontial	07 209/	97 269/	01 249/	95 115
2 Conorol Sonico Loop Than 50 kW	97.30%	67.20%	91.24%	80 120
2 General Service Less Than 50 kW	120.00%	112.04%	112.04%	80 - 120
4 Stroot Lighting	90.60%	123.09%	120.00%	80 - 120
5	30.0078	100.1970	120.0070	00 - 120
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				

C) Rebalancing Revenue-to-Cost Ratios

8

9

10 The following table excerpted from the Revenue Requirement Workform simply illustrates 11 Atikokan is proposing no change for the Price Cap IR Period of both 2018 and 2019. Atikokan 12 proposes to make the Revenue to Cost allocation changes proposed for Residential, General 13 Service 50 to 4,999 kW and Street Lighting from their existing ratios in the 2017 Test Year; effective May 1, 2017 rates. 14

#### (D) Proposed Revenue-to-Cost Ratios (11)

Name	of Customer Class	Prop	oosed Revenue-to-Cost	Ratio	Policy Range	
		Test Year	Price Cap	Price Cap IR Period		
		2017	2018	2019		
1 Reside 2 Gener	ential ral Service Less Than 50 kW	91.24% 112.64%	91.24% 112.64%	91.24% 112.64%	85 - 115 80 - 120	
4 Street 5	al Service 50 to 4,999 kvv	120.00%	120.00%	120.00%	80 - 120 80 - 120	
6 7 8						
9 10						
11 12						
13 14 15						
16 17						
18 19 20						

1

# 1 APPENDIX A: Outputs Cost Allocation Model 3.4a

2

3

Sheet 16.1 of the Cost Allocation Model



#### EB-2016-0056

#### Sheet I6.1 Revenue Worksheet - First Run

Total kWhs from Load Forecast	27,331,580
Total kWs from Load Forecast	35,532
Deficiency/sufficiency (RRWF 8. cell F51)	- 142,952

			1	2	3	7
	ID	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light
Billing Data						
Forecast kWh	CEN	27,331,580	9,687,147	5,139,223	12,043,461	461,749
Forecast kW	CDEM	35,532			34,102	1,430
Forecast kW, included in CDEM, of customers receiving line transformer allowance		27,282			27,282	
Optional - Forecast kWh, included in CEN, from customers that receive a line transformation allowance on a kWh basis. In most cases this will not be applicable and will be left blank.		_				
KWh excluding KWh from Wholesale Market Participants	CEN EWMP	27,331,580	9,687,147	5,139,223	12,043,461	461,749
Existing Monthly Charge Existing Distribution kWh Rate			\$36.95 \$0.0104	\$76.23 \$0.0096	\$563.69	\$12.22
Existing Distribution kW Rate Existing TOA Rate					\$2.2329 \$0.22	\$15.0615
Additional Charges						
Distribution Revenue from Rates		\$1,278,858	\$716,629	\$257,902	\$191,139	\$113,188
Transformer Ownership Allowance Net Class Revenue	CREV	\$6,092 \$1,272,766	\$0 \$716,629	\$0 \$257,902	\$6,092 \$185,047	\$0 \$113,188

## Sheet 16.2 of the Cost Allocation Model

🛃 Ontario Energy Board

# 2017 Cost Allocation Model

#### EB-2016-0056

#### Sheet I6.2 Customer Data Worksheet - First Run

			1	2	3	7
	ID	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light
Billing Data						
Bad Debt 3 Year Historical Average	BDHA	\$5,662	\$2,831	\$383	\$2,448	\$0
Late Payment 3 Year Historical Average	LPHA	\$7,916	\$5,133	\$2,010	\$769	\$4
Number of Bills	CNB	19,620	16,668	2,736.00	204.00	12.00
Number of Devices	CDEV					625
Number of Connections (Unmetered)	CCON	625				625
Total Number of Customers	CCA	1,635	1,389	228	17	1
Bulk Customer Base	CCB	1,635	1,389	228	17	1
Primary Customer Base	CCP	1,701	1,389	228	17	67
Line Transformer Customer Base	CCLT	1,691	1,389	228	7	67
Secondary Customer Base	CCS	1,635	1,389	228	17	1
Weighted - Services	CWCS	2,259	1,389	228	17	625
Weighted Meter -Capital	CWMC	446,008	372,252	61,104	12,652	-
Weighted Meter Reading	CWMR	31,644	16,668	2,736	12,240	-
Weighted Bills	CWNB	21,480	16,668	2,736	2,040	36

#### Bad Debt Data

Historic Year.	2012	-				
Historic Year:	2013	15,618	7,809	465	7,344	
Historic Year:	2014	1,368	684	684		
Three-year average		5,662	2,831	383	2,448	-

#### Street Lighting Adjustment Factors

NCP Test Results	4 NCP

	Primary As	set Data	Line Transformer Asset Data		
	Customers/		Customers/		
Class	Devices	4 NCP	Devices	4 NCP	
Residential	1,389	9,671	1,389	9,671	
Street Light	625	464	625	464	

Street Lighting Adj	ustment Factors
Primary	9.3869
_ine Transformer	9.3869

3 4

5

Ontario Energy Board 2017 Cost Allocation Model

# EB-2016-0056

Sheet I8 Demand Data Worksheet - First Run

This	is	an	input	sheet	for	demand
alloc	ati	ors	-			

CP TEST RESULTS	4 CP
NCP TEST RESULTS	4 NCP
Co Incident Boak	Indicator
1 CP	CP 1
4 CP	CP 4
12 CP	CP 12
Non-co-Incident Peak	Indicator
1 NCP	NCP 1
4 NCP	NCP 4
12 NCP	NCP 12

Customer Classes     Total     Residential     GS <50
CO-INCIDENT PEAK           1 CP           Transformation CP         TCP1           4,376         2,511           1,136         614
1 CP         Transformation CP         TCP1         4,376         2,511         1,136         614         116
1 CP         Transformation CP         TCP1         4,376         2,511         1,136         614         116
Hallsonnation CP 1 4,576 2,511 1,156 614 118
Rulk Dolkpov CD RCD1 4 270 2 511 1 120 614 110
Build Delivery CP         BCP1         4,370         2,511         1,350         614         116           Tatal System CD         DCD1         4,376         2,511         1,126         614         116
10tal Sytem CP DCP1 4,376 2,511 1,136 014 116
4 CF Transformation CD TOP4 16 526 0.091 4.446 2.502 407
Traitsion and the second secon
Build Delivery CP         BCP4         10,320         3,001         4,440         2,532         407           Tatal Sydem CP         DCP4         16,526         9,091         4,446         2,592         407
Total Sytem CP DCP4 10,520 3,001 4,440 2,552 407
12 CP
Transformation CP TCP12 42 009 21 996 12 524 9 004 593
Hallstoff after the second s
Build Buildery CP BCP12 43,000 21,050 12,524 0,004 503
Total Sytem CP DCP12 43,000 21,030 12,324 0,004 303
NON CO. INCIDENT BEAK
NON CO_INCIDENT PEAK
1 NCB
Lass findation NCP from Lass Data Brain and DNCP1 5,562 23,637 1,561 1,259 116
Printally NCP PNCP1 5,552 2,657 1,551 1,250 116
Line transformer NCP LINCP1 5,151 2,637 1,551 647 116
Secondary NCP SNCP1 5,562 2,637 1,551 1,256 116
4 NCP
Classification NCP from
Load Data Provider DNCP4 20.497 9.671 5.797 4.565 464
Primary NCP PNCP4 20,497 9,671 5,797 4,565 464
Line Transformer NCP   TNCP4 19,004 9,671 5,797 3,072 464
Secondary NCP SNCP4 20.497 9.671 5.797 4.555 464
12 NCP
Classification NCP from
Load Data Provider DNCP12 51.412 24.116 14.915 10.990 1.391
Primary NCP PNCP12 51,412 24,116 14,915 10,990 1,391
Line Transformer NCP LTNCP12 47,818 24,116 14,915 7,396 1,391
Secondary NCP SNCP12 51,412 24,116 14,915 10,990 1,391

#### 1 Sheet O1 of the Cost Allocation Model

Ontario Energy Board

# **2017 Cost Allocation Model**

#### EB-2016-0056

Sheet O1 Revenue to Cost Summary Worksheet - First Run

#### Instructions: Please see the first tab in this workbook for detailed instructions

Class Revenue, Cost Analysis, and Return on Rate Base

			1	2	3	7
Rate Base Assets		Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light
crev mi	Distribution Revenue at Existing Rates Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$1,272,766 \$102,770 Misc	\$716,629 \$63,825	\$257,902 \$18,166 Je Input equals O	\$185,047 \$11,052	\$113,188 \$9,727
	Total Revenue at Existing Rates	\$1,375,536	\$780,454	\$276,068	\$196,099	\$122,915
	Factor required to recover deficiency (1 + D)	1.1123			<b>Aaaaaaa</b>	
	Distribution Revenue at Status Quo Rates Miscellaneous Revenue (mi)	\$1,415,717 \$102,770	\$797,117 \$63,825	\$286,868 \$18,166	\$205,831 \$11,052	\$125,901 \$9,727
	Total Revenue at Status Quo Rates	\$1,518,487	\$860,942	\$305,034	\$216,883	\$135,628
	Expanses					
di	Distribution Costs (di)	\$456,947	\$275,945	\$90,777	\$51,397	\$38,828
cu	Customer Related Costs (cu)	\$228,507	\$170,234	\$27,857	\$30,171	\$245
ad	General and Administration (ad)	\$431,949 \$197,470	\$280,782 \$129,885	\$75,332 \$37,431	\$50,937 \$19,191	\$24,898 \$10,963
INPUT	PILs (INPUT)	\$12,234	\$7,796	\$2,367	\$1,253	\$817
INT	Interest	\$65,654	\$41,839	\$12,705	\$6,725	\$4,385
	Total Expenses	\$1,392,761	\$906,481	\$246,469	\$159,675	\$80,137
	Direct Allocation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
NI	Allocated Net Income (NI)	\$125,726	\$80,120	\$24,329	\$12,879	\$8,398
	Revenue Requirement (includes Ni)	\$1,518,487	\$986,601	\$270,798	\$172,553	\$88,534
		Revenue Red	quirement input e	quais Output		
	Rate Base Calculation					
	Net Assets					
dp	Distribution Plant - Gross	\$4,881,013	\$3,052,156	\$983,098	\$539,191	\$306,567
gp accum den	Accumulated Depreciation	\$1,833,791 (\$3,647,600)	\$1,168,188	\$354,890	(\$412,781)	\$122,783
со	Capital Contribution	(\$20,123)	(\$12,259)	(\$3,935)	(\$2,181)	(\$1,749)
	Total Net Plant	\$3,047,082	\$1,941,619	\$589,657	\$312,161	\$203,645
	Directly Allocated Net Fixed Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
COP	Cost of Power (COP)	\$3 857 454	\$1 374 934	\$724 605	\$1 693 004	\$64.910
	OM&A Expenses	\$1,117,403	\$726,961	\$193,965	\$132,505	\$63,971
	Directly Allocated Expenses	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Subtotal	\$4,974,857	\$2,101,895	\$918,571	\$1,825,510	\$128,882
	Working Capital	\$373,114	\$157,642	\$68,893	\$136,913	\$9,666
	Total Rate Base	\$3,420,196	\$2,099,261	\$658,549	\$449,074	\$213,312
		Rate B	ase Input equals	Output		
	Equity Component of Rate Base	\$1,368,078	\$839,704	\$263,420	\$179,630	\$85,325
	Net Income on Allocated Assets	\$125,726	(\$45,539)	\$58,565	\$57,208	\$55,491
	Net Income on Direct Allocation Assets	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Net Income	\$125,726	(\$45,539)	\$58,565	\$57,208	\$55,491
	RATIOS ANALYSIS					
	REVENUE TO EXPENSES STATUS QUO%	100.00%	87.26%	112.64%	125.69%	153.19%
	EXISTING REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$142,951)	(\$206,147)	\$5,270	\$23,546	\$34,381
		Deficie	ncy Input equals	Output		
	STATUS QUO REVENUE MINUS ALLOCATED COSTS	(\$0)	(\$125,659)	\$34,236	\$44,330	\$47,093
	RETURN ON EQUITY COMPONENT OF RATE BASE	9.19%	-5.42%	22.23%	31.85%	65.04%



Ontario Energy Board 2017 Cost Allocation Model

## EB-2016-0056

#### Sheet O2 Monthly Fixed Charge Min. & Max. Worksheet - First Run

Output sheet showing minimum and maximum level for Monthly Fixed Charge

	1	2	3	7
<u>Summary</u>	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light
Customer Unit Cost per month - Avoided Cost	\$12.89	\$12.42	\$137.99	\$0.01
Customer Unit Cost per month - Directly Related	\$19.97	\$19.55	\$223.90	\$0.03
Customer Unit Cost per month - Minimum System with PLCC Adjustment	\$43.94	\$43.53	\$267.48	\$10.80
Existing Approved Fixed Charge	\$36.95	\$76.23	\$563.69	\$12.22

			1	2	3	7
Information to b ROD, ROE and A	e Used to Allocate PILs, A&G	Total	Residential	GS <50	GS>50-Regular	Street Light
Genera Genera	I Plant - Gross Assets I Plant - Accumulated Depreciation	<b>\$1,833,791</b> (\$1,125,041)	\$1,168,188 (\$716,689)	\$354,890 (\$217,727)	\$187,931 ( <mark>\$115,297</mark> )	\$122,783 (\$75,328)
Genera	I Plant - Net Fixed Assets	\$708,751	\$451,498	\$137,163	\$72,635	\$47,455
Genera	I Plant - Depreciation	\$62,391	\$39,745	\$12,074	\$6,394	\$4,177
Total N	et Fixed Assets Excluding General Plant	\$2,338,331	\$1,490,121	\$452,493	\$239,526	\$156,191
Total A	dministration and General Expense	\$431,949	\$280,782	\$75,332	\$50,937	\$24,898
Total O	&M	\$685,454	\$446,180	\$118,633	\$81,568	\$39,073

3