

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: October-19-16 9:38 PM

To: BoardSec

Cc: Susi.Vogt@ontarioenergyboard.ca; gagthibeault.mpp.co@liberal.ola.org; Daniel Kim; [REDACTED]

Subject: EB-2016-0268

Ms. Kirsten Walli,
Board Secretary,
Ontario Energy Board

It has come to my attention through the posting on the Board's web page that supplemental information dated October 18, 2016 has been provided by the proponent in the above noted matter. I have reviewed this supplemental information, and as an electricity consumer in the Province of Ontario with concerns regarding the reliability and quality of electricity service in Prince Edward County, I like to bring to the Board's attention concerns with the proponent's October 18th submission.

The proponent has submitted three (3) drawings; "Circuit 1", "Circuit 2" and "Generator Substation". The document status of all three drawings is noted as "DRAFT" and all are stamped "DO NOT USE FOR CONSTRUCTION". Clearly these drawings do not represent a final design of the collector and transmission lines and substation.

"Circuit 1" and "Circuit 2" drawings contain the following notes: "Note 1: Surge arrester sizing is preliminary" and "Note 10: Cable lengths are approximate for calculation purposes to be confirmed at final design". The "Generator Substation" drawing contains the following note: "Note 1: Dynamic and static VAR compensation values to be confirmed by final reports". Clearly these drawings contain information that has yet to be confirmed.

In considering the status and incompleteness of the drawings submitted, it cannot be reasonable to rely upon the information in these drawings as being truly representative of the design. It is respectfully suggested that the Board must consider this information to be representative of an incomplete submission.

The proponent's October 18th submission contains an October 17, 2016 email from Yishui Wu, IESO (Wu message). It must be noted that the Wu message is not supported by calculations; attaches no information regarding the "information of removing two turbines you provided"; provides an opinion regarding the SIA which may be based on an act of engineering but has not been sealed by a professional engineer; and contains a hearsay statement regarding a discussion with Hydro One. Furthermore, the Wu message is directed to the proponent and not the Board. It is respectfully suggested that the Board has no basis to accept the October 17th email from Yishui Wu as information or evidence in any hearing on this matter.

The proponent's October 18th submission has not clarified or resolved any of my concerns regarding the impacts of the proposed generation facility upon the local power system. My concerns regarding the impacts to the reliability and quality of electricity service remain. Please consider this in the Board's evaluation of this application.

Furthermore, I would respectfully request the Board to consider that despite the proponent having an REA in their possession for well over a year (July 2015), they have not updated drawings, calculations, or any final details regarding the collector lines, transmission lines and generator substation (please refer to the comments on the proponent's drawings noted above). Furthermore, the proponent's hastily submitted October 18th submission demonstrates that there have been no discussions with IESO or HONI from when the REA was issued until October 2016.

Clearly the proponent has no interest in updating any SIA or CIA report for this project and therefore ensuring there will be no impacts to the local power system. I would respectfully request the Board to consider this (lack of) action on the part of the proponent in any hearing or decision on this application and consider requiring the proponent to submit updated reports either through a fresh hearing or otherwise as the Board may determine.

Please note that I have copied the Honourable Minister of Energy so that he remains aware of my concerns regarding the reliability and quality of electricity service as it relates to the subject project.

I would appreciate an acknowledgement of receipt of this message from the OEB.

Thank you for your anticipated consideration of my comments.

Ray Ford

