

4309 Lloydtown-Aurora Road, King, ON, L7B 0E6 • Phone: 1-877-662-5489 • Fax: 905-939-4606 • Email: info@burmanenergy.ca • www.burmanenergy.ca

CANADIAN NIAGARA POWER INC.

LRAMVA SUPPORT

October 17, 2016

PREPARED BY: JARRETT URECH, CET

REVIEWED BY: BART BURMAN, MBA BA.SC. P.ENG

Table of Contents

Executive Summary					3
Introduction					3
Terms .					3
About Burman Energy Consu	ultants Grou	up Inc.			4
Scope of Work	•				4
Lost Revenue Adjustment M	echanism H	listory			5
Lost Revenue Adjustment M	echanism C	Dutline			5
Lost Revenue Adjustment M	echanism V	/ariance Acc	ount Outlin	e	6
Summary of Calculated Annu	ual LRAMVA	A Details			7
Reference Material	•				9
Methodology	•				9
Supporting Attachments					11

Burman Energy Consultants group has calculated Canadian Niagara Power's LRAMVA value for the period of 2013 through 2015 to be a total of \$381,209.56. Canadian Niagara Power did not forecast any CDM savings as a component of their 2012 approved cost of service application.

Introduction

Since the completion of Third Tranche CDM programs and reporting, LDCs across Ontario have sought to recover revenues lost to successful CDM programming. The mechanism that enables this recovery is the Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (LRAM).

On April 26, 2012, new Board-issued CDM Guidelines were enacted that provide updated LRAM details. For CDM programs delivered within the 2011 to 2014 term, the Board established the Lost Revenue Adjustment Variance Account (LRAMVA). This account captures the variance between the Board-approved CDM forecast and the actual CDM results.

The variance calculated from this comparison must be recorded in separate sub-accounts per the applicable customer rate classes.

LDCs must apply for the disposition of the balance in the LRAMVA as part of their cost of service (COS) applications or on an annual basis, as part of their IRM rate applications.

The LRAM mechanism determines persistent CDM impacts realized after 2010, for those distributors whose load forecast has not been updated.

Terms

Term	Description
Persistence	CDM savings during the subsequent years after the first year savings.
Extension Framework	The conservation period between 2011 and 2015
Conservation First Framework	The conservation period between 2015 and 2020.
CDM	Conservation and Demand Management
LRAM	Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism
LRAMVA	Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism Variance Account
COS	Cost of Service
IRM	Incentive Regulation Model

Scope of Work

Specifically, Burman Energy will perform the following in its work undertaking:

- 1) Collect and outline savings for the following data sets:
 - i. CDM Results for programs as applicable for the LRAMVA period.
 - ii. Forecasted savings for Conservation and Demand Management programs (Last Approved).
- 2) Collect additional data as outlined:
 - i. LDC volumetric distribution rates for LRAMVA years.
 - ii. Completed Retrofit projects for years for which retrofit savings are reported.
- 3) Calculate by initiative and year the lost revenue values.
- 4) Calculate the currently recovered lost revenue from the load forecast.
- 5) Outline the net LRAMVA values by year and overall.
- 6) Provide summary report with supporting information.

About Burman Energy Consultants Group Inc.

Burman Energy is a vibrant, growing company, and has provided energy conservation program planning, administration and delivery services since the inception of IESO programs in 2007. Serving 39 CDM client LDCs in Ontario, we currently have over 30 staff with specialized expertise in CDM planning and program administration, marketing, technical review and support, quality control, and contractor management. In 2013, Bart Burman, President of Burman Energy, was inducted into Worldwide Who's Who for Excellence in Energy Consulting, and in 2014/15, Bart sits as chair of the EDA's Commercial Steering Committee.

Burman Energy has adopted a new structured approach to fulfilling its contracted obligations with our numerous and diverse LDC CDM clients. Recognizing, in practice, the significant peaks and valleys associated with sustaining a consistent high standard of service on time delivery, our organizational focus continues to be to ensure adequate and flexible staff resources. Cross training in several different aspects of program execution has historically enabled us to make this approach extremely effective in meeting our clients' timeliness criteria.

As a process centric organization, our starting point is to use stock, off the shelf, proven process designs, and adjust collaboratively, in discussion with you, our client, for your specific LDC protocols as required. From this common basis for understanding, identification of roles and associated accountabilities can be easily determined. In addition, this work, up front, provides for a more solid basis upon which to convey pricing options.

Burman Energy Consultants Group Inc. is headquartered at

4309 Lloydtown Aurora Rd., King, ON, L7B 0E6 Telephone: 905.939.7676 Web: Fax: 905.939.4606 Email:

www.burmanenergy.ca info@burmanenergy.ca

Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism History

From 2005 to the end of 2010, distributors delivered CDM programs either through approved distribution rate funding by way of the third installment of their incremental market adjusted revenue requirement ("MARR"), or through contracts with the IESO. Some distributors received incremental distribution rate funding separate from MARR. To promote the participation in and the delivery of CDM programs by distributors, the Board made available an LRAM regardless of whether the CDM programs were funded by the IESO or through distribution rates.

Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism Outline

In preparation of this document, Burman Energy performed this analysis in compliance with Guidelines for Electricity Distributor Conservation and Demand Management EB-2012-0003 with specific reference to the following:

13.6 LRAM & Shared Savings Mechanism for Pre-CDM Code Activities

The Board notes that the Filing Requirements for Transmission and Distribution Applications state the following:

Distributors intending to file an LRAM or SSM application for CDM Programs funded through distribution rates, or an LRAM application for CDM Programs funded by the IESO between 2005 and 2010, shall do so as part of their 2012 rate application filings, either cost-of-service or IRM. If a distributor does not file for the recovery of LRAM or SSM amounts in its 2012 rate application, it will forego the opportunity to recover LRAM or SSM for this legacy period of CDM activity.

The 2008 CDM Guidelines state as follows: "lost revenues are only accruable until new rates (based on a new revenue requirement and load forecast) are set by the Board, as the CDM savings would be assumed to be incorporated in the load forecast at that time". The intent of the LRAM in the 2008 CDM Guidelines was to keep electricity distributors revenue neutral for CDM activities implemented by the distributor during the years in which its rates were set using the incentive regulation mechanism, and that future LRAM claims should be unnecessary once a distributor rebases and updates its load forecast.

The Board therefore expects that LRAM for pre-2011 CDM activities should be completed with the 2012 rate applications, outside of persisting historical CDM impacts realized after 2010 for those distributors whose load forecast has not been updated as part of a cost of service application.

This summary is extracted from the "Guidelines for Electricity Distributor Conservation and Demand Management" (EB-2012-0003). This document can be found at: http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/oeb/ Documents/EB-2012-0003/CDM Guidelines Electricity Distributor.pdf

Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism Variance Account Outline

With specific reference to the following:

13.2 LRAM Mechanism for 2011-2014

The Board will adopt an approach for LRAM for the 2011-2014 CDM period that is similar to that adopted in relation to natural gas distributor DSM activities. The Board will authorize the establishment of an LRAM variance account ("LRAMVA") to capture, at the customer rate-class level, the difference between the following:

- i. The results of actual, verified impacts of authorized CDM activities undertaken by electricity distributors between 2011-2014 for both Board-Approved CDM programs and IESO-Contracted Province-Wide CDM programs in relation to activities undertaken by the distributor and/or delivered for the distributor by a third party under contract (in the distributor's franchise area); and
- ii. The level of CDM program activities included in the distributor's load forecast (i.e. the level embedded into rates).

Distributors will generally be expected to include a CDM component in their load forecast in cost of service proceedings to ensure that its customers are realizing the true effects of conservation at the earliest date possible date and to mitigate the variance between forecasted revenue losses and actual revenue losses. If the distributor has included a CDM load reduction in its distribution rates, the amount of the forecast that was adjusted for CDM at the rate class level would be compared to the actual DCM results verified by an independent third party for each year of the CDM program (i.e., 2011 to 2014) in accordance with the IESO's EM&V Protocols as set out in Section 6.1 of the CDM Code. The variance calculated from this comparison result in a credit or a debit to the ratepayers at the customer rate class level in the LRAMVA. The LRAM amount is determined by applying, by customer class, the distributor's Board-approved variable distribution charge applicable to the class to the volumetric variance (positive or negative) described in the paragraph above. The calculated lost revenues will be recorded in the LRAMVA. Distributors will be expected to report the balance in the LRAMVA as part of the reporting and record-keeping requirements on an annual basis.

This summary is extracted from the "Guidelines for Electricity Distributor Conservation and Demand Management" (EB-2012-0003). This document can be found at: http://www.ontarioenergyboard.ca/oeb/ Documents/EB-2012-0003/CDM Guidelines Electricity Distributor.pdf

Summary Of Lost Revenue Adjustments

LRAMVA Summary

Burman Energy Consultants Group Inc. (Burman Energy) has prepared the following LRAMVA tables, representing the variance amount to be recorded in the LRAM Variance Account. The amount is the calculated result of the lost revenues by customer class based on the volumetric impact of the load reductions arising from the CDM measures implemented, multiplied by Canadian Niagara Power's Board-approved variable distribution changes applicable to the customer rate class in which the volumetric variance occurred. The calculations provided by Burman Energy do not include carrying charges or adjustments based on CDM reductions as included in any CDM Load reduction forecast.

Results		Lost Reve	enu	e Adjustn	ment Mechanism Year				
Year	2013	2014 2015		2015					
2015	\$ -	\$ -	\$	66,076					
2014	\$ -	\$ 43,773	\$	41,007					
2013	\$ 42,938	\$ 42,665	\$	41,840					
2012	\$ 34,921	\$ 34,770	\$	33,219					
Total	\$ 77,859	\$ 121,208	\$	182,143					
Forecast	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-					
Net	\$ 77,859	\$ 121,208	\$	182,143					
Variance					\$	381,210			

Results		Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism Summary By Rate Class									
Year	Re	sidential	GS	<= 50 kW	G	S > 50 kW				Total	
2015	\$	62,507	\$	71,803	\$	47,832			\$	182,143	
2014	\$	45,281	\$	46,565	\$	29,363			\$	121,208	
2013	\$	18,768	\$	35,407	\$	23,684			\$	77,859	
Total	\$	126,556	\$	153,775	\$	100,878			\$	381,210	
Forecast	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			\$	-	
Net	\$	126,556	\$	153,775	\$	100,878			\$	381,210	

Reference Material

The following IESO documents were used to prepare the LRAMVA calculations:

- i. [2006-2015]_RATES_DATABASE_FROM TARIFFS.xls
- ii. 2011-2015 Canadian Niagara Power Results with Persistence.xls
- iii. Canadian Niagara Power [2013-2015] Retrofit Project Lists

Methodology

Burman Energy would like to present a summary of the methodology used to calculate the LRAMVA figures in this report for the purposes of auditing.

Burman Energy collects the following information as the sources for the values calculated in this report:

- Rate Database documents from the Ontario Energy Board (OEB) website for all years that are being calculated.
- Final CDM results and their persistence into future years received directly from the IESO or from the Local Distributor.
- Retrofit & High Performance New Construction (HPNC) project data with kW, kWh and Rate Class information for each project.
- The forecasted CDM results from the distributors most recently approved Cost of Service application (COS).

Burman Energy takes the results of each initiative where the savings for the LRAMVA report period are not equal to zero and enters the figures into the report. The values entered into the report are organized by results year, rate class, and then initiative. The rate classes outlined here are examples and may not be actual customer classes for this local distribution company.

Results from 2015
Residential
HVAC Incentives
RESIDIENTIAL TOTAL
GS Less Than 50 kW
Retrofit
GS LESS THAN 50 KW TOTAL
GS Greater Than 50 kW
Retrofit
GS GREATER THAN 50 KW TOTAL
Large Use
Retrofit
LARGE USE TOTAL
RESULTS FROM 2015 TOTAL

The results for Retrofit and HPNC items are initially collected for all rate classes then using verified project savings the result savings are divided into the appropriate rate classes.

Year	Application Type	LDC	Demand Savings	Energy Savings	Rate Class	Sector
2015	Retrofit	an Niagara Po	611.86	4,313,083	GS>50	Industrial
2015	Retrofit	an Niagara Po	186.62	791,880	GS<50	Business

kW	65550	76.63%		23.37%		0.00%
kWh	02/30	84.49%	02/20	15.51%	Laige Use	0.00%

Volumetric distribution rates are derived by using the rate database provided on the OEB website directly as they appear. These volumetric distribution rates are collected for each rate class for the years during the LRAMVA reporting period and one year prior are entered into the report along with their effective date. Burman Energy uses the effective date to create a weighted volumetric rate for each of the calendar years (Jan1st through Dec 31st) years in the reporting period. A summary of the calculation is presented below:

$$Weighted \ Rate = \left(Rate_{old} * \left(\frac{Months \ at \ Old}{12} \right) \right) + \left(Rate_{new} * \left(\frac{Months \ at \ New}{12} \right) \right)$$

The weighted volumetric rate is multiplied by the savings metric selected by rate class (the Residential and GS<50 metric is kWh and the GS>50 and Large Use metric is kW). The resulting figure is then subject to global modifiers based on initiative (eg. Demand Response 3 is taken at a factor of 0% due to the type of savings it provides).

 $LRAM(kWh) = Weighted Rate * Modifier%_{If Applicable} * kWh_{Annual}$

The totals are outlined at the bottom of each section with a summary by rate class presented near the bottom of the table for comparison to the forecasted figures.

If the distributor had forecasted CDM savings Burman Energy takes the values and applies same methods outlined for the savings results to calculate the total lost revenue that has already been recovered for the reporting period.

The recovered lost revenue is subtracted from the calculated LRAM resulting in the net figures or Variance. These figures are outlined by reporting period year and as an overall.

Supporting Attachments

Canadian Niagara Power Inc. LRAMVA CALCULATIONS

OPA Conservation & Demand Management Programs Initiative Results at End-User Level

	2012			2013					2014				2015		4
Initiative Name	Volumetric Rate	Net Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Net Energy Savings (kWh)	Distribution Volumetric Rate (Effective Date: Jan 1)	2013 L	RAMVA	Net Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Net Energy Savings (kWh)	Distribution Volumetric Rate (Effective Date: Jan 1)	2014 LRAMVA	Net Summer Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Net Energy Savings (kWh)	Distribution Volumetric Rate (Effective Date: Jan 1)	2015 LRAMVA	
LRAM CDM Results and Persistence															
Results from 2015															
Appliance Retirement Initiative	0.017467			0.021433					0.021		3.00	14,220.00	0.020567	\$ 292.46	
Bi-Annual Retailer Event Initiative Coupon Initiative	0.017467 0.017467			0.021433 0.021433					0.021 0.021		14.00 35.00	186,251.00 550,222.00	0.020567 0.020567	\$ 3,830.56 \$ 11,316.23	J
HVAC Incentives	0.017467			0.021433					0.021		21.00 71.00	41,379.00 137 646 00	0.020567	\$ 851.03 \$ 2,830.92	,
Low Income Initiative	0.017467		•	0.021433	*				0.021	•	6.00	54,629.00	0.020567	\$ 2,830.92 \$ 1,123.54	_
GS Less Than 50 kW		0.00	0		Ş	-	0.00	0		<u>\$</u> -	150.00	984,347		\$ 20,244.74	
Direct Install Lighting and Water Heating Initiative Energy Audit Initiative	0.0199 0.0199			0.022967 0.022967					0.022967 0.022967		161.00 30.50	742,403.00 142.714.00	0.023 0.023	\$ 17,075.27 \$ 3.282.42	
Retrofit	0.0199	0.00	0	0.022967	ć		0.00	0	0.022967	ć	58.66	323,638.22	0.023	\$ 7,443.68	_
GS Greater Than 50 kW		0.00	U		<u>Ş</u>	-	0.00	U		<u>, -</u>	250.10	1,208,755		\$ 27,801.37	
Energy Audit Initiative Existing Building Commissioning Incentive Initiative	5.761133 5.761133			6.4157 6.4157					6.4808 6.4808		30.50 22.00	142,714.00 14,864.00	6.5801 6.5801	\$ 2,408.32 \$ 434.29	1
Retrofit	5.761133	0.00	0	6.4157	Ś	-	0.00	0	6.4808	<u>ج</u>	192.34 244.84	1,762,738.78	6.5801	\$ 15,187.13 \$ 18,029.73	_
RESULTS FROM 2015 TOTAL		0.00	0		\$	-	0.00	0		\$ -	645.00	4,113,419		\$ 66,075.84	
Results from 2014															
Residential Appliance Exchange	0.017467			0.021433			15.13	26,969.11	0.021	\$ 566.35	15.13	26,969.11	0.020567	\$ 554.66	,
Appliance Retirement Bi-Annual Retailer Event	0.017467			0.021433			3.58	25,002.86	0.021	\$ 525.06 \$ 12 199 70	3.58 35 89	25,002.86	0.020567	\$ 514.23 \$ 11 20E 0E	
Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet	0.017467			0.021433			11.37	151,934.58	0.021	\$ 3,190.63	10.73	141,762.82	0.020567	\$ 2,915.59	
ноте Assistance Program HVAC Incentives	0.017467 0.017467			0.021433 0.021433			12.16 196.07	83,903.70 367,483.69	0.021 0.021	\$1,761.98\$7,717.16	12.15 196.07	83,865.83 367,483.69	0.020567 0.020567	\$1,724.84\$7,557.91	
Residential Demand Response	0.017467	0.00	0	0.021433	Ś	-	21.37 300.77	0.00	0.021	<u>\$</u>	0.00	0.00	0.020567	<u>\$</u> - \$ 24,472,28	
GS Less Than 50 kW	0.0400		U U	0.000007	7				0.022067		00.00		0.022	¢	
Direct Install Lighting Energy Audit	0.0199 0.0199			0.022967 0.022967			85.88 13.37	307,005.44 65,273.57	0.022967 0.022967	\$ 7,050.89 \$ 1,499.12	80.88 13.37	290,068.40 65,273.57	0.023 0.023	\$ 6,671.57 \$ 1,501.29	1
Retrofit Time-of-Use Savings	0.0199 0.0199			0.022967 0.022967			20.94 263.67	120,272.75 0.00	0.022967 0.022967	\$ 2,762.26 \$ -	20.94 0.00	120,272.75 0.00	0.023 0.023	\$ 2,766.27 \$ -	
GS LESS THAN 50 KW TOTAL	0.0133	0.00	0	01022307	\$	-	383.86	492,552	0.022307	\$ 11,312.27	115.19	475,615	0.020	\$ 10,939.14	
Demand Response 3	5.761133			6.4157			1,275.61	0.00	6.4808	\$ -	0.00	0.00	6.5801	\$ -	-
High Performance New Construction Retrofit	5.761133 5.761133			6.4157 6.4157			2.93 67.94	18,103.37 411,050.95	6.4808 6.4808	\$ 227.68 \$ 5,283.46	2.93 67.94	18,103.37 411,050.95	6.5801 6.5801	\$ 231.17 \$ 5,364.42	
GS GREATER THAN 50 KW TOTAL		0.00	0		\$ \$	-	1,346.48	429,154		\$ 5,511.14 \$ 43,773,38	70.87	429,154		\$ 5,595.59 \$ 41,007,01	1
		0.00	U		.	-	2,031.10	2,203,038		<i>Ş</i> 43,773.36	435.00	2,034,005		\$ 41,007.01	1
Results from 2013 Residential															
Annual Coupons Appliance Exchange	0.017467 0.017467	2.64 12.64	39,385.98 22,535.83	0.021433 0.021433	\$ \$	844.17 483.02	2.64 12.64	39,385.98 22,535.83	0.021 0.021	\$ 827.11 \$ 473.25	2.54 12.64	37,868.21 22,535.83	0.020567 0.020567	\$ 778.82 \$ 463.49	,
Appliance Retirement	0.017467	3.58	23,949.59	0.021433	\$ ¢	513.32	3.58	23,949.59	0.021	\$ 502.94	3.58 5.72	23,949.59	0.020567	\$ 492.56	
Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet	0.017467	0.01	120.00	0.021433	\$	2.57	0.03	120.00	0.021	\$ 1,843.38 \$ 2.52	0.01	115.00	0.020567	\$ 1,090.73 \$ 2.37	
Home Assistance Program HVAC	0.017467 0.017467	12.99 135.45	146,942.11 239,553.40	0.021433 0.021433	\$ \$	3,149.46 5,134.43	12.84 135.45	144,211.59 239,553.40	0.021 0.021	\$ 3,028.44 \$ 5,030.62	12.83 135.45	143,963.37 239,553.40	0.020567 0.020567	\$	
HVAC Incentives peaksaverPLUS	0.017467 0.017467	1.97 49.22	3,418.36 6.09	0.021433 0.021433	\$ \$	73.27	1.97 0.00	3,418.36 0.00	0.021 0.021	\$ 71.79 \$ -	1.97 0.00	3,418.36 0.00	0.020567 0.020567	\$ 70.30 \$ -	
Residential Demand Response	0.017467	0.00	0.00	0.021433	\$	-	43.40	0.00	0.021	<u>\$</u> -	0.00	0.00	0.020567	<u>\$</u>	_
GS Less Than 50 kW		224.34	505,701			12,081.80	218.57	300,904		<u>\$ 11,780.25</u>	1/4./4	555,504		<u>\$ 11,391.90</u>	
Commercial Demand Response peaksaverPLUS	0.0199 0.0199	0.00 0.64	0.00 0.00	0.022967 0.022967	\$ \$	-	1.67 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.022967 0.022967	\$ - \$ -	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.023 0.023	\$ - \$ -	
Retrofit Small Business Lighting	0.0199 0.0199	57.11 130.87	326,507.20 469 871 70	0.022967 0.022967	\$ \$	7,498.78 10 791 39	56.79 130.87	325,383.35 469 871 70	0.022967 0.022967	\$ 7,472.97 \$ 10 791 39	56.77 123 38	325,318.84 441 570 42	0.023 0.023	\$ 7,482.33 \$ 10 156 12	
GS LESS THAN 50 KW TOTAL		188.63	796,379		\$	18,290.17	189.33	795,255		\$ 18,264.36	180.15	766,889	0.020	\$ 17,638.45	1
DR-3	5.761133	1,147.41	26,127.11	6.4157	\$	-	0.00	0.00	6.4808	\$ -	0.00	0.00	6.5801	\$ -	
Retrofit GS GREATER THAN 50 KW TOTAL	5.761133	163.21 1,310.62	938,378.53 964,506	6.4157	\$ \$	12,565.49 12,565.49	162.28 162.28	935,148.59 935,149	6.4808	\$ 12,620.65 \$ 12,620.65	162.23 162.23	934,963.20 934,963	6.5801	\$ 12,809.83 \$ 12,809.83	
RESULTS FROM 2013 TOTAL		1,723.78	2,324,586		\$	42,937.52	570.19	2,291,368		\$ 42,665.26	517.11	2,255,756		\$ 41,840.24	
Results from 2012 Residential															
Appliance Exchange	0.017467	5.50	9,652.62	0.021433	\$	206.89	5.50	9,652.62	0.021	\$ 202.70	5.32	9,490.81	0.020567	\$ 195.19	
Appliance Retirement Bi-Annual Retailer Event	0.017467 0.017467	5.72 7.56	38,455.01 136,855.34	0.021433 0.021433	\$ \$	824.22 2,933.27	5.72 7.56	38,455.01 136,855.34	0.021 0.021	\$ 807.56 \$ 2,873.96	5.61 7.56	38,352.52 136,855.34	0.020567 0.020567	\$ 788.78 \$ 2,814.66	,
Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet Home Assistance Program	0.017467 0.017467	1.18 0.63	7,144.86 4 982 79	0.021433 0.021433	\$ \$	153.14 106 80	1.18 0.63	7,144.86 4 982 79	0.021	\$ 150.04 \$ 104.64	1.18 0.63	7,144.86 4 410 79	0.020567 0.020567	\$ 146.95 \$ 90.72	
HVAC	0.017467	1.76	3,282.39	0.021433	\$ \$	70.35	1.76	3,282.39	0.021	\$ 68.93	1.76	3,282.39	0.020567	\$ 67.51	
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	0.017467	64.23 86.58	311,937	0.021433	\$ \$	2,391.20 6,685.86	64.23 86.58	311,937	0.021	> 2,342.85 \$ 6,550.68	64.23 86.29	311,101	0.020567	> 2,294.51 \$ 6,398.31	
GS Less Than 50 kW Direct Install Lighting	0.0199	132.75	492,449.34	0.022967	\$	11,309.92	131.65	487,178.07	0.022967	\$ 11,188.86	115.70	424,159.50	0.023	\$ 9,755.67	
Energy Audit Retrofit	0.0199	5.35	26,030.31	0.022967	\$ ¢	597.83	5.35	26,030.31	0.022967	\$ 597.83 \$ E 006.07	5.35	26,030.31	0.023	\$ 598.70	
Small Business Lighting	0.0199	1.54	8,717.71	0.022967	\$	200.22	1.50	8,480.85	0.022967	\$ 194.78	0.48	3,087.18	0.023	\$ 71.01	_
GS LESS THAN 50 KW TOTAL GS Greater Than 50 kW		186.84	745,279		Ş	17,116.57	185.67	739,700		\$ 16,988.44	168.43	670,628		\$ 15,424.45	
High Performance New Construction Retrofit	5.761133 5.761133	130.59 13 83	423,17 <u>1.84</u> 169,207 33	6.4157 6.4157	\$ \$	10,053.53 1.065.06	130.59 13 83	423,171.84 169.152 24	6.4808 6.4808	\$ 10,155.54 \$ 1 075 19	130.59 13 75	423,171.84 168.640 84	6.5801 6.5801	\$ 10,311.15 \$ 1 085 52	
GS GREATER THAN 50 KW TOTAL	5.701155	144.42	592,379	0r1 <i>31</i>	\$	11,118.59	144.41	592,324	0.4000	\$ 11,230.73	144.33	591,813	0.0001	\$ 11,396.67	1
		417.84	1,649,595		\$	54,921.02	416.66	1,643,961		ə 34,769.85	399.05	1,573,542		ə <u>3</u> 5,219.44	
Summary By Rate Class Residential	0.017467	311 12	875.638 33	0.021433	Ś	18.767 72	605 93	2.156 233 31	0.021	\$ 45 280 90	684 56	3.039.252.25	0.020567	\$ 62 507 2Q	
General Service Less Than 50 kW	0.0199	375.47	1,541,657.74	0.022967	\$	35,406.74	758.86	2,027,506.50	0.022967	\$ 46,565.07	713.94	3,121,887.54	0.023	\$ 71,803.41	
General Service Greater Than 50 kW	5.761133	1,455.04	1,556,884.82	6.4157	\$ \$	23,684.08	1,653.17	1,956,626.98	6.4808	\$ 29,362.53	622.26	3,876,246.97	6.5801	\$ 47,831.83 \$ 192,142,52	
		2,141.03	3,374,181		ŗ	11,030.34	3,017.35	0,140,30/		y 121,208.49	2,020.70	10,037,387		y 102,142.53	
LRAM CDM RESULTS AND PERSISTENCE TOTAL		2,141.63	3,974,180.89		\$	77,858.54	3,017.95	6,140,366.80		\$ 121,208.49	2,020.76	10,037,386.76		\$ 182,142.53	
Lost Revenue Adjustment Mechanism V	/ariance						<u> </u>				<u>u</u>			\$381 209 56	

METHODOLOGY

All results are at the end-user level (not including transmission and distribution losses)

EQUATIONS:

PRESCRIPTIVE MEASURES/PROJECTS:

Gross Savings = Activity * Per Unit Assumption Net Savings = Gross Savings * Net-to-Gross Ratio All savings are annualized (i.e. the savings are the same regardless of time of year a project was completed or measure installed) ENGINEERED/CUSTOM PROJECTS: Gross Savings = Reported Savings * Realization Rate Net Savings = Gross Savings * Net-to-Gross Ratio All savings are annualized (i.e. the savings are the same regardless of time of year a project was completed or measure installed) DEMAND RESPONSE:

Peak Demand: Gross Savings = Net Savings = contracted MW at contributor level * Provincial contracted to ex ante ratio **Energy: Gross Savings = Net Savings =** provincial ex post energy savings * LDC proportion of total provincial contracted MW All savings are annualized (i.e. the savings are the same regardless of the time of year a participant began offering DR)

#	Initiative	Attributing Savings to LDCs	Savings 'start' Date	Calculating Resource Savings
Con	sumer Program			
1	Appliance Retirement	Includes both retail and home pickup stream; Retail stream allocated based on average of residential throughput; Home pickup stream directly attributed by postal code or customer selection	Savings are considered to begin in the year the appliance is picked up.	Peak demand and energy savings are
2	Appliance Exchange	When postal code information is provided by customer, results are directly attributed to the LDC. When postal code is not available, results allocated based on average of residential throughput	Savings are considered to begin in the year that the exchange event occurred	determined using the verified measure level per unit assumption multiplied by the uptake in the market (gross) taking into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net) at the measure level.
3	HVAC Incentives	Results directly attributed to LDC based on customer postal code	Savings are considered to begin in the year that the installation occurred	
4	Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet	LDC-coded coupons directly attributed to LDC; Otherwise results are allocated based on average of residential throughput	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the coupon was redeemed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined using the verified measure level per unit assumption multiplied by the uptake in the market (gross) taking into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net)
5	Bi-Annual Retailer Event	Results are allocated based on average of residential throughput	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the event occurs.	at the measure level. Reported results are presented with verified per unit assumptions and net-to-gross ratio from Bi-Annual Retailer Event and Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet initiatives.
6	Retailer Co-op	When postal code information is provided by the customer, results are directly attributed. If postal code information is not available, results are allocated based on average of residential throughput.	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the home visit and installation date.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined using the verified measure level per unit assumption multiplied by the uptake in the market (gross) taking into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net) at the measure level. Reported results are presented with verified per unit assumptions and net-to-gross ratio from Bi-Annual Retailer Event and Conservation Instant Coupon Booklet initiatives.
7	Residential Demand Response	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on data provided to OPA through project completion reports and continuing participant lists	Savings are considered to begin in the year the device was installed and/or when a customer signed a peaksaver PLUS™ participant agreement.	Peak demand savings are based on an ex ante estimate assuming a 1 in 10 weather year and represents the "insurance value" of the initiative. Energy savings are based on an ex post estimate which reflects the savings that occurred as a result of activations in the year and accounts for any "snapback" in energy consumption experienced after the event. Savings are assumed to persist for only 1 year, reflecting that savings will only occur if the resource is activated.

#	Initiative	Attributing Savings to LDCs	Savings 'start' Date	Calculating Resource Savings
8	Residential New Construction	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in application in the saveONenergy CRM system; Reported results are presented with forecast assumptions as per the business case.	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the project completion date.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined using a measure level per unit assumption multiplied by the uptake in the market (gross) taking into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net) at the measure level.
Busi	ness Program			
9	Efficiency: Equipment Replacement	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified at the facility level in the saveONenergy CRM; Projects in the Application Status: "Post-Stage Submission" are included (excluding "Payment denied by LDC"); Please see "Reference Tables" tab for Building type to Sector mapping	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the actual project completion date on the iCON CRM system.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings for a given project as reported in the iCON CRM system (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free- ridership and spillover (net). Both realization rate and net-to-gross ratios can differ for energy and demand savings and depend on the mix of projects within an LDC territory (i.e. lighting or non-lighting project, engineered/custom/prescriptive track).
10	Direct Installed Lighting	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on the LDC specified on the work order	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the actual project completion date.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined using the verified measure level per unit assumptions multiplied by the uptake of each measure accounting for the realization rate for both peak demand and energy to reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings take into account net-to-gross factors such as free- ridership and spillover for both peak demand and energy savings at the program level (net).
11	Existing Building Commissioning Incentive	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application.	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the actual project completion date.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings for a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V
12	New Construction and Major Renovation Incentive	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application; Initiative was not evaluated, reported results are presented with reported assumptions.	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the actual project completion date.	protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net).
13	Energy Audit	Projects are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the audit date.	Реак demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings resulting from an audit as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were

	actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross).
	Net savings takes into account net-to-gross
	factors such as free-ridership and spillover
	(net)

#	Initiative	Attributing Savings to LDCs	Savings 'start' Date	Calculating Resource Savings
14	Commercial Demand Response (part of the Residential program schedule)	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on data provided to OPA through project completion reports and continuing participant lists	Savings are considered to begin in the year the device was installed and/or when a customer signed a peaksaver PLUS™ participant agreement.	Peak demand savings are based on an ex ante estimate assuming a 1 in 10 weather year and represents the "insurance value" of the initiative. Energy savings are based on an ex post estimate which reflects the savings that occurred as a result of activations in the year. Savings are assumed to persist for only 1 year, reflecting that savings will only occur if the resource is activated.
15	Demand Response 3 (part of the Industrial program schedule) Results are attributed to LDCs based on the total contracted megawatts at the contributor level as of December 31st of the relevant year, applying the provincial ex ante to contracted ratio (ex ante estimate/contracted megawatts); Ex post energy savings are attributed to the LDC based on their proportion of the total contracted megawatts at the contributor level.		Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the contributor signed up to participate in demand response.	Peak demand savings are ex ante estimates based on the load reduction capability that can be expected for the purposes of planning. The ex ante estimates factor in both scheduled non- performances (i.e. maintenance) and historical performance. Energy savings are based on an ex post estimate which reflects the savings that actually occurred as a results of activations in the year. Savings are assumed to persist for 1 year, reflecting that savings will not occur if the resource is not activated and additional costs are incurred to activate the resource.
Indu	strial Program			
16	Process & System Upgrades	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in application in the saveONenergy CRM system.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the incentive project was completed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings from a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net).
17	Monitoring & Targeting	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the incentive project was completed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings from a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net).
18	Energy Manager	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the project was completed by the energy manager. If no date is specified the savings will begin the year of the Quarterly Report submitted by the energy manager.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings from a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net).

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 Burman Energy Consultants Group Inc .

Version: 5.3.12.6

#	Initiative	Attributing Savings to LDCs	Savings 'start' Date	Calculating Resource Savings
19	Efficiency: Equipment Replacement Incentive (part of the C&I program schedule)	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified at the facility level in the saveONenergy CRM; Projects in the Application Status: "Post-Stage Submission" are included (excluding "Payment denied by LDC"); Please see "Reference Tables" tab for Building type to Sector mapping	Savings are considered to begin in the year of the actual project completion date on the iCON CRM system.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings for a given project as reported in the iCON CRM system (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free- ridership and spillover (net). Both realization rate and net-to-gross ratios can differ for energy and demand savings and depend on the mix of projects within an LDC territory (i.e. lighting or non-lighting project, engineered/custom/prescriptive track).
20	Demand Response 3	Results are attributed to LDCs based on the total contracted megawatts at the contributor level as of December 31st of the relevant year, applying the provincial ex ante to contracted ratio (ex ante estimate/contracted megawatts); Ex post energy savings are attributed to the LDC based on their proportion of the total contracted megawatts at the contributor level.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the contributor signed up to participate in demand response.	Peak demand savings are ex ante estimates based on the load reduction capability that can be expected for the purposes of planning. The ex ante estimates factor in both scheduled non- performances (i.e. maintenance) and historical performance. Energy savings are based on an ex post estimate which reflects the savings that actually occurred as a results of activations in the year. Savings are assumed to persist for 1 year, reflecting that savings will not occur if the resource is not activated and additional costs are incurred to activate the resource.
21	Home Assistance Program	n Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application; reported results are presented with forecast assumptions as per the business case.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which the measures were installed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined using the measure level per unit assumption multiplied by the uptake of each measure (gross) taking into account net-to- gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net) at the measure level.
Lega	cy Programs Comple	ted in Current Year		
22	Electricity Retrofit Incentive Program	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which a project was completed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings from a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net). If energy savings are not available, an estimate is made based on the kWh to kW ratio in the provincial results (http://www.powerauthority.on.ca/evaluation- measurement-and-verification/evaluation- reports).
23	High Performance New Construction	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on customer data provided to the OPA from the gas utility.	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which a project was completed.	
24	Toronto Comprehensive	Program run exclusively in Toronto Hydro-Electric System Limited service territory		

#	Initiative	Attributing Savings to LDCs	Savings 'start' Date	Calculating Resource Savings
25	Multifamily Energy Efficiency Rebates	Results are directly attributed to LDC based on LDC identified in the application	Savings are considered to begin in the year in which a project was completed.	Peak demand and energy savings are determined by the total savings from a given project as reported (reported). A realization rate is applied to the reported savings to ensure that these savings align with EM&V protocols and reflect the savings that were actually realized (i.e. how many light bulbs were actually installed vs. what was reported) (gross). Net savings takes into account net-to-gross factors such as free-ridership and spillover (net). If energy savings are not available, an estimate is made based on the kWh to kW ratio in the provincial results (http://www.powerauthority.on.ca/evaluation- measurement-and-verification/evaluation- reports).
26	Data Centre Incentive Program	Program run exclusively in PowerStream Inc. service territory		
27	EnWin Green Suites	Program run exclusively in ENWIN Utilities Ltd. service territory		

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2016 Burman Energy Consultants Group Inc .

Version: 5.3.12.6